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The fact that a third edition of this book has been produced so soon after the last is testimony to the appeal of the problem solving format. I said in the preface to both previous editions that problem solving is a practical skill that cannot be learnt from textbooks. This book is designed to help the reader reorganize their knowledge into a clinically useful format. It cannot teach you to solve problems unless you supplement it with clinical experience, for which there is no substitute.

This third edition includes ten completely new problems, making it almost twice as long as the first edition. All the chapters have been completely revised. Despite the short interval since the last edition it is surprising how many have had to be extensively rewritten to account for new national guidance, changes in legislation and advances in treatment. Topics of the new sections range through basic dentistry, special care topics and child protection to name a few. We hope you enjoy them and find them useful.

I am indebted to the many friends and colleagues who have contributed. As before, many of these chapters are team efforts with input from people who are not acknowledged. It is difficult for a reader to appreciate how much effort the many authors have expended and the time they have given up to produce this book. Without them, and the patience and support of my wife Wendy and children, this book would never have been written.

EW Odell
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A high caries rate

SUMMARY
A 17-year-old sixth-form college student presents at your general dental surgery with several carious lesions, one of which is very large. How should you stabilize his condition?

Examination
Extraoral examination
He is a fit and healthy-looking adolescent. No submental, submandibular or other cervical lymph nodes are palpable and the temporomandibular joints appear normal.

Intraoral examination
The lower right quadrant is shown in Figure 1.1. The oral mucosa is healthy and the oral hygiene is reasonable. There is gingivitis in areas but no calculus is visible and probing depths are 3 mm or less. The mandibular right first molar is grossly carious and a sinus is discharging buccally. There are no other restorations in any teeth. No teeth have been extracted and the third molars are not visible. A small cavity is present on the occlusal surface of the mandibular right second molar.

What further examination would you carry out?
Test of tooth vitality of the teeth in the region of the sinus. Even though the first molar is the most likely cause, the adjacent teeth should be tested because more than one tooth might be nonvital. The results should be compared with those of the teeth on the opposite side. Both hot/cold methods and electric pulp testing could be used because extensive reactionary dentine may moderate the response.

The first molar fails to respond to any test. All other teeth appear vital.

Investigations
What radiographs would you take? Explain why each view is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiograph</th>
<th>Reason taken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bitewing radiographs</td>
<td>Primarily to detect approximal surface caries, and in this case also required to detect occlusal caries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periapical radiograph of the lower right first molar tooth, preferably taken with a paralleling technique</td>
<td>Preoperative assessment for endodontic treatment or for extraction should it be necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panoramic radiograph</td>
<td>Might be useful as a general survey view in a new patient and to determine the presence and position of third molars.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What problems are inherent in the diagnosis of caries in this patient?
Occlusal lesions are now the predominant form of caries in adolescents following the reduction in caries incidence over the past decades. Occlusal caries may go undetected on visual examination for two reasons. First, it starts on the fissure walls and is obscured by sound superficial enamel, and secondly lesions cavitate late, if at all, probably because fluoride strengthens the overlying enamel. Superimposition of sound enamel also masks small and medium-sized lesions on bitewing radiographs. The small occlusal cavity in the second molar arouses suspicion that other pits and fissures in the molars will be carious. Unless lesions are very large, extending...
into the middle third of dentine, they may not be detected on bitewing radiographs.

The radiographs are shown in Figure 1.2. What do you see?

The periapical radiograph shows the carious lesion in the crown of the lower right first molar to be extensive, involving the pulp cavity. The mesial contact has been completely destroyed and the molar has drifted mesially and tilted. There are periapical radiolucencies at the apices of both roots, that on the mesial root being larger. The radiolucencies are in continuity with the periodontal ligament and there is loss of most of the lamina dura in the bifurcation and around the apices.

The bitewing radiographs confirm the carious exposure and in addition reveal occlusal caries in all the maxillary and mandibular molars with the exception of the upper right first molar. No approximal caries is present.

If two or more teeth were possible causes of the sinus, how might you decide which was the cause?

A gutta percha point could be inserted into the sinus prior to taking the radiograph, as shown in Figure 1.1. A medium- or fine-sized point is flexible but resilient enough to pass along the sinus tract if twisted slightly on insertion. Points are radiopaque and can be seen on a radiograph extending to the source of the infection, as shown in another case in Figure 1.3.

fig. 1.2 Periapical and bitewing films.

fig. 1.3 Another case, showing gutta percha point tracing the path of a sinus.

What temporary restoration materials are available? What are their properties and in what situations are they useful?

See Table 1.2.

Why is one molar so much more broken down than the others?

It is difficult to be certain but the extensive caries is probably, in part, a result of the previous restoration. In view of the pattern of caries in the other molars, it seems likely that this was a large occlusal restoration and the history suggests it was placed in a vital tooth. It probably undermined the mesial cusps or marginal ridge. Three factors could have contributed to the extensive caries present only 1 year later: marginal leakage, undermining of the marginal ridge or mesial cusps leading to collapse, or failure to remove all the carious tissue from the tooth. Failure to remove all carious enamel and dentine is a common cause of failure in amalgam restorations.

Diagnosis

What is your diagnosis?

The patient has a nonvital lower first molar with a periapical abscess. In addition he has a very high caries rate in a previously almost caries-free dentition.

Treatment

The patient is horrified to discover that his dentition is in such a poor state, having experienced only one episode of toothache in the past. He is keen to do all that can be done to save all teeth and a decision is made to try to restore the lower molar.

How will you prioritize treatment for this patient? Why should treatment be provided in this sequence?

See Table 1.1.
Table 1.1 Sequence of treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase of treatment</th>
<th>Items of treatment</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediate phase</td>
<td>Caries removal from the lower right first molar, access cavity preparation for endodontics, drainage, irrigation with sodium hypochlorite and placement of a temporary restoration</td>
<td>Essential if the tooth is to be saved and to remove the source of the apical infection. There is also an urgent need to minimize further destruction of this tooth, which may soon be unrestorable. The temporary restoration is necessary to facilitate rubber dam isolation during future endodontic treatment, and it will also stabilize the occlusion and stop mesial drift.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilization of caries</td>
<td>Removal of caries and placement of temporary restorations in all carious teeth in visits by quadrants/two quadrants</td>
<td>To prevent further tooth destruction and progression to carious exposure while other phases of treatment are being carried out.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventive treatment</td>
<td>Dietary analysis, oral hygiene instruction, fluoride advice</td>
<td>Should start immediately and extend throughout the treatment plan, to reduce the high caries rate and ensure the long-term future of the dentition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent restoration</td>
<td>Will depend on what is found while placing temporary restorations</td>
<td>Permanent restorations may be left until last; stabilization takes priority.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.2 Temporary restoration materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Situations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc oxide and eugenol pastes</td>
<td>Kalzinol</td>
<td>Bactericidal, easy to mix and place, cheap but not very strong. Easily removed.</td>
<td>Suitable for temporary restoration of most cavities provided there is no significant occlusal load. Endodontic access cavities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-setting zinc oxide cements</td>
<td>Cavit</td>
<td>Harden in contact with saliva. Reasonable strength and easily removed.</td>
<td>Endodontic access cavities. No occlusal load.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycarboxylate cements</td>
<td>Poly-F</td>
<td>Adhesive to enamel and dentine, hard and durable.</td>
<td>Used when mechanical retention is poor. Strong enough to enable rubber dam placement when used in a badly broken down tooth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass ionomer including silver reinforced preparations</td>
<td>Chem-fil Shofu Hi-Fi Ketac Silver</td>
<td>Adhesive to enamel and dentine, hard and durable. Good appearance.</td>
<td>As polycarboxylate cements and also useful in anterior teeth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

■ How would you ensure removal of all carious tissue when restoring the vital molars?

Removal of all softened carious tissue at the amelodentinal junction is essential and only stained but hard dentine can be left in place.

Removal of carious dentine over the pulp is treated differently. In a young patient with large pulp chambers there is always a tendency for the operator to be conservative but this might be counterproductive if softened or infected dentine were left below the restoration. Very soft or flaky dentine must always be removed. Slightly soft dentine can be left in situ provided a good well-sealed restoration is placed over it. Deciding whether to leave the last layers of softened dentine can be difficult and the decision rests to a degree on clinical experience. Pain associated with pulpitis indicates a need to remove more dentine or, if severe, a need for elective endodontics. Interpreting softened dentine in rapidly advancing lesions is difficult. The deepest layers are soft through demineralization but are not necessarily infected and may sometimes be left over the pulp. Also, bacterial penetration of the dentine is not reliably indicated by staining in rapidly advancing lesions. Removal of the last layers of carious dentine may require some courage in deep lesions.

More detailed information on caries removal is included in problem 9, ‘A large carious lesion’.

■ What is the most important preventive procedure for this patient? Explain why.

Diet analysis. Caries requires dietary sugars, in particular sucrose, glucose and fructose, an acidogenic plaque flora and a susceptible tooth surface. Denying the plaque flora its substrate sugar is the most effective measure to halt the progression of existing lesions and prevent new ones forming. No preventive measure affecting the flora or tooth is as effective. A further advantage of emphasis on diet is that it forces the patient to acknowledge that they must take responsibility for preventing their own disease.

■ How would you evaluate a patient’s diet?

Dietary analysis consists of two elements: enquiry into lifestyle and into the dietary components themselves. Information about the diet itself is of little value unless it is taken in context with the patient’s lifestyle. Only dietary recommendations tailored to the patient’s lifestyle are likely to be adopted.

The diet record should include all the foods and drinks consumed, the amount (in readily estimated units) and the time of eating or drinking.

In this case it should be noted that the patient is a 17-year-old student. Lifestyle often changes dramatically between the ages of 16 and 20. He may no longer be living at home and may be enjoying physical, financial and dietary independence from his parents. He may be poor and be eating a cheap carbohydrate-rich diet of snacks instead of regular meals. Long hours of studying may be accompanied by the frequent consumption of sweetened drinks.

Analysis of the diet itself may be performed in a variety of ways. The patient can be asked to recall all foods consumed over the previous 24 hours. This is not very effective, relying as it does on a good memory and honesty, and is unlikely to
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give a representative account. Relying on memory for more than 24 hours is too inaccurate. The most effective method is for the patient to keep a written record of their diet for 4 consecutive days, including 2 working and 2 leisure days. The need for the patient to comply fully and assess their diet honestly must be stressed and, of course, the diet should not be changed because it is being recorded. Ideally the analysis should be performed before any dietary advice is given. Even the patient who does not keep an honest account has been made more aware of their diet. If they know what foods to omit from the sheet to make their dentist happy, at least the first step in an educative process has been made.

■ How will you analyse this patient’s 4-day diet sheet shown in Figure 1.4? What is the cause of his caries susceptibility?

Highlight sugar-rich foods and drinks as in Figure 1.4. Note whether they are confined to meal times or whether they are eaten frequently and spaced throughout the day as snacks. The number of sugar attacks should be counted and discussed with the patient. Also note the consistency of the food because dry and sticky foods take longer to be cleared from the mouth. Sugared drinks taken immediately before bed are highly significant because salivary flow is reduced during sleep and clearance time is greater.

The patient should be advised to use a fluoride-containing toothpaste. During the period of dietary change it would also be beneficial to use a weekly fluoride rinse as well. This could be continued for as long as the diet is felt to be unsafe.

Oral hygiene instruction is also important, but may be emphasized in a later phase of treatment. It will not stop caries progression, which is critical for this patient, and there is only a mild gingivitis.

Assuming good compliance and motivation, how will you restore the teeth permanently?

The mandibular right first molar requires orthograde endodontic treatment and replacement of the temporary restoration with a core. Retention for the core can be provided by residual tooth tissue, provided carious destruction is not gross. The restorative material may be packed into the pulp chamber and the first 2–3 mm of the root canal. If insufficient natural crown remains, it may be supplemented with a preformed post in the distal canals. The distal canal is not ideal, being further from the most extensively destroyed area, but it is larger.

The other molar teeth will need to have their temporary restorations replaced by definitive restorations. Caries involved only the occlusal surface but removal of these large lesions has probably left little more than an enamel shell. Restoration of such teeth with amalgam would require removal of all the unsupported, undermined enamel leaving little more than a root stump and a few spurs of tooth tissue.

Restoration could be better achieved with a radiopaque glass ionomer and composite hybrid restoration. The glass ionomer used to replace the missing dentine must be radiopaque so that it is not confused with residual or secondary caries on radiographs. A composite linked to dentine with a bonding agent would be an alternative to the glass ionomer.

Figure 1.5 shows the restored lower first molar 2 months after endodontic treatment. What do you see and what long-term problem is evident?

There is good bone healing around the apices and in the bifurcation. Complete healing would be expected after 6 months to 1 year at which time the success of root treatment can be judged.

As noted in the initial radiographs, the lower right first molar has lost its mesial contact, drifted and tilted. This makes it impossible to restore the normal contour of the mesial surface and contact point. The mesial surface is flat and there is no defined contact point. In the long term there is a risk of caries of the distal surface of the second premolar, and the caries is likely to affect a wider area of tooth and extend further gingivally than caries below a normal contact. The area will also be difficult to clean and there is a risk of localized periodontitis. Tilting of the occlusal surface may also favour food packing into the contact unless the contour of the restoration includes an artificially enhanced marginal ridge.

This tooth may require a crown in the long term. Much of the enamel is undermined and the tooth is weakened by endodontic treatment. A crown would allow the contact to have a better contour but the problem is insoluble while the tooth remains in its present position. Orthodontic uprighting could be considered.
4 day diet analysis sheet for John Smith

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>sausage, pitta bread, ketchup, tea with 2 sugars</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>mug hot chocolate, packet crisps, can of diet cola drink</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>1 slice cherry cake</td>
<td>10.30</td>
<td>4 slices toast, and peanut butter, 1 piece cake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>1 glass cola drink, hot chocolate</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>4 chocolate biscuits, tea with 2 sugars</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>1 slice cake, tea with 2 sugars</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>fish pie, 1 glass cola drink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>chocolate bar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00 pm</td>
<td>fish pie, 1 glass cola drink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>turkey salad sandwich, 1 glass cola drink, tea with 2 sugars</td>
<td>1.00 pm</td>
<td>2 pieces cheese on toast, garlic sausage, 1 slice cake, 1 glass cola drink</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>1 slice cake, tea with 2 sugars</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00 pm</td>
<td>fizzy drink, chocolate bar, 1 slice cake</td>
<td>4.30 pm</td>
<td>ham, 1 piece cake, tea with 2 sugars</td>
<td>3.00 pm</td>
<td>sausages, beans, toast, an orange, 1 can cola drink</td>
<td>2.00 pm</td>
<td>tea with 2 sugars, 1 biscuit, 1 piece cake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00 pm</td>
<td>1 glass cola drink</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.30 pm</td>
<td>tea with 2 sugars, 1 biscuit, 1 piece cake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.00 pm</td>
<td>salad, garlic sausage, ham, coleslaw</td>
<td>7.30 pm</td>
<td>burger and chips, 1 can of cola drink</td>
<td>8.00 pm</td>
<td>spaghetti bolognaise, ice cream</td>
<td>9.00 pm</td>
<td>fish and chips, peas, 1 cola drink</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1.4 The patient’s diet sheet.
Why not simply extract the lower molar?

Extraction of the lower right first molar may well be the preferred treatment. The caries is extensive, restoration of the tooth will be complex and expensive and problems will probably ensue in the long term. The missing tooth might not be readily visible.

To a large degree the decision will depend on the patient’s wishes. If he would be happy with an edentulous space, the extraction appears an attractive proposition. However, if a restoration is required, a bridge will require preparation of two further teeth. A denture-based replacement is probably not indicated but an implant might be considered at a later date. Any hesitancy or uncertainty on the patient’s part might well influence you to propose extraction.

Another factor affecting the decision is the condition and long-term prognosis of the other molars. If further molars are likely to be lost in the short or medium term it makes sense to conserve whichever teeth can be successfully restored.
A 45-year-old African man presents in the accident and emergency department with an enlarged jaw. You must make a diagnosis and decide on treatment.

He is otherwise fit and healthy.

Extraoral examination
He is a fit-looking man with no obvious facial asymmetry but a slight fullness of the mandible on the right. Palpation reveals a smooth rounded bony hard enlargement on the buccal and lingual aspects. Deep cervical lymph nodes are palpable on the right side. They are only slightly enlarged, soft, not tender and freely mobile.

Intraoral examination
What do you see in Figure 2.1?
There is a large swelling of the right posterior mandible visible in the buccal sulcus, its anterior margin relatively well defined and level with the first premolar. The lingual aspect is not visible but the tongue appears displaced upwards and medially suggesting significant lingual expansion. The mucosa over the swelling is of normal colour, without evidence of inflammation or infection. There are two relatively small amalgams in the lower right molar and second premolar.

If you could examine the patient you would find that all his upper right posterior teeth are extracted and that the lower molar and premolars are 2–3 mm above the height of the occlusal plane. Both teeth are grade 3 mobile but both are vital.

What are the red spots on the patient’s tongue?
Fungiform papillae. They appear more prominent when the tongue is furred, as here, for instance when the diet is not very abrasive.

On the basis of what you know so far, what types of condition would you consider to be present?
The history suggests a relatively slow-growing lesion, which is therefore likely to be benign. While this is not a definitive relationship, there are no specific features suggesting malignancy, such as perforation of the cortex, soft tissue mass, ulceration of the mucosa, numbness of the lip or devitalization of teeth. The character of the lymph node enlargement does not suggest malignancy.

The commonest jaw lesions that cause expansion are the odontogenic cysts. The commonest odontogenic cysts are the radicular (apical inflammatory) cyst, dentigerous cyst and odontogenic keratocyst. If this is a radicular cyst it could have arisen from the first molar, though the occlusal amalgam is relatively small and there seems no reason to suspect that the tooth is nonvital. A residual radicular cyst arising on the extracted second or third molar would be a possibility. A dentigerous cyst could be the cause if the third molar is unerupted. The possibility of an odontogenic keratocyst seems unlikely, because these cysts do not normally cause

History
Complaint
The patient’s main complaint is that his lower back teeth on the right side are loose and that his jaw on the right feels enlarged.

History of complaint
The patient has been aware of the teeth slowly becoming looser over the previous 6 months. They seem to be ‘moving’ and are now at a different height from his front teeth, making eating difficult. He is also concerned that his jaw is enlarged and there seems to be reduced space for his tongue. He has recently had the lower second molar on the right extracted. It was also loose but extraction does not seem to have cured the swelling. Although not in pain, he has finally decided to seek treatment.
much expansion. An odontogenic tumour is a possible cause and an ameloblastoma would be the most likely one, because it is the commonest, and arises most frequently at this site and in this age group. There is a higher prevalence in Africans than other racial groups. An ameloblastoma is much more likely than an odontogenic cyst to displace the teeth and make them grossly mobile. A giant cell granuloma and numerous other lesions are possibilities but are all less likely.

### Investigations

- **Radiographs are obviously indicated. Which views would you choose? Why?**

  Several different views are necessary to show the full extent of the lesion. These are listed in the ‘Radiographic view’ table above.

- **These four different views are shown in Figures 2.2–2.5. Describe the radiographic features of the lesion (shown in ‘Feature of lesion’ table on p. 9).**

- **Why do the roots of the first molar and second premolar appear to be so resorbed in the periapical view when the oblique lateral view shows minimal root resorption?**

  The teeth are foreshortened in the periapical view because they lie at an angle to the film. This film has been taken using the bisected angle technique and several factors contribute to the distortion:
  - the teeth have been displaced by the lesion, so their crowns lie more linguually, and the roots more buccally;
  - the lingual expansion of the jaw makes film packet placement difficult, so it has had to be severely tilted away from the root apices;
  - failure to take account of these two factors when positioning and angling the X-ray tubehead.

### Radiological differential diagnosis

- **What is your principal differential diagnosis?**
  1. Ameloblastoma
  2. Giant cell lesion.

- **Justify this differential diagnosis.**

  Ameloblastoma classically produces an expanding multilocular radiolucency at the angle of the mandible.
produce this radiographic appearance with prominent expansion. Adjacent teeth are usually displaced but rarely resorbed. However, aneurysmal bone cyst is much rarer than central giant cell granuloma in the jaws.

What types of lesion are less likely and why?

Several lesions remain possible but are less likely either on the basis of their features or relative rarity.

Rarer odontogenic tumours including particularly odontogenic fibroma and myxoma. These similar benign connective tissue odontogenic tumours are often indistinguishable from one another radiographically. Odontogenic myxoma is commoner than fibroma but both are relegated to the position of unlikely diagnoses on the basis of their relative rarity and the younger age group affected. Both usually cause unicellular or apparently multilocular expansion radiolucency at the angle of the mandible that displace adjacent teeth or sometimes loosen or resorb them. A characteristic, though inconsistent feature is that the internal dividing septa are usually fine and arranged at right angles to one another, in a pattern sometimes said to resemble the letters ‘X’ and ‘Y’ or the strings of a tennis racket. In myxoma, septa can also show the bubbly honeycomb pattern described in giant cell granuloma.

Odontogenic keratocyst. This is unlikely to be the cause of this lesion but in view of its relative frequency it might still be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature of lesion</th>
<th>Radiographic finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Posterior body, angle and ramus of the right mandible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Large, about 10 × 8 cm, extending from the second premolar, back to the angle and involving all of the ramus up to the sigmoid notch, and from the expanded upper border of the alveolar bone down to the inferior dental canal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape</td>
<td>Multilocular, producing the soap bubble appearance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outline/edge</td>
<td>Smooth, well defined and mostly well corticated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative radiodensity</td>
<td>Radiolucent with distinct radiopaque septa producing the multilocular appearance. There is no evidence of separate areas of calcification within the lesion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects on adjacent structures</td>
<td>Gross lingual expansion of mandible, expansion buccally is only seen well in the occlusal films. Marked expansion of the superior margin of the alveolar bone and the anterior margin of the ascending ramus. The involved teeth have also been displaced superiorly. The roots of the involved teeth are slightly resorbed, but not as markedly as suggested by the periapical view. The cortex does not appear to be perforated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As noted above, it most commonly presents at the age of this patient and is commoner in his racial group. The radiographs show the typical multilocular radiolucency, containing several large cystic spaces separated by bony septa, and the root resorption, tooth displacement and marked expansion are all consistent with an ameloblastoma of this size.

A giant cell lesion. A central giant cell granuloma is possible. Lesions can arise at almost any age but the radiological features and site are slightly different, making ameloblastoma the preferred diagnosis. Central giant cell granuloma produces expansion and a honeycomb or multilocular radiolucency, but there would be no root resorption and the lesion would be less radiolucent (because it consists of solid tissue rather than cystic neoplasm), often containing wispy osteoid or fine bone septa subdividing the lesion into a honeycomb-like pattern. However, these typical features are not always seen. The spectrum of radiological appearances ranges from lesions which mimic odontogenic and solitary bone cysts to those which appear identical to ameloblastoma or other odontogenic tumours. The aneurysmal bone cyst is another giant cell lesion which could

Fig. 2.4 Lower true occlusal view.

Fig. 2.5 Periapical view of the lower right first permanent molar.
A multiocular radiolucency

included at the end of the differential diagnosis. It should be included because it can cause a large multiocular radiolucency at the angle of the mandible in adults, usually slightly younger than this patient. However, the growth pattern of an odontogenic keratocyst is quite different from the present lesion. Odontogenic keratocysts usually extend a considerable distance into the body and/or ramus before causing significant expansion. Even when expansion is evident, it is usually a broad-based enlargement rather than a localized expansion. Adjacent teeth are rarely resorbed or displaced.

What lesions have you discounted and why?

Dentigerous cyst is a common cause of large radiolucent lesions at the angle of the mandible. However, the present lesion is not unilocular and does not contain an unerupted tooth. Similarly, the radicular cyst is unilocular but associated with a nonvital tooth.

Malignant neoplasms, either primary or metastatic. As noted above, the clinical features do not suggest malignancy and the radiographs show an apparently benign, slowly enlarging lesion.

Further investigations

Is a biopsy required?

Yes. If the lesion is an ameloblastoma the treatment will be excision, whereas if it is a giant cell granuloma, curettage will be sufficient. A definitive diagnosis based on biopsy is required to plan treatment.

Would aspiration biopsy be helpful?

No. If odontogenic keratocyst were suspected, this diagnosis might be confirmed by aspirating keratin. It would also be helpful in trying to decide whether the lesion were solid or cystic. It would not be particularly helpful in the diagnosis of ameloblastoma.

What precautions would you take at biopsy?

An attempt should be made to obtain a sample of solid lesion. If this is an ameloblastoma and an expanded area of jaw is selected for biopsy it will almost certainly overlie a cyst in the neoplasm. A large part of many ameloblastomas is cyst space and the stretched cyst lining is not always sufficiently characteristic histologically to make the diagnosis. If the lesion proves to be cystic on biopsy, the surgeon should open up the cavity and explore it to identify solid tumour for sampling.

The surgical access must be carefully closed on bone to ensure that healing is uneventful and infection does not develop in the cyst spaces. The expanded areas may be covered by only a thin layer of eggshell periosteal bone. Once this is opened it may be difficult to replace the margin of a mucoperiosteal flap back onto solid bone.

The histological appearances of the biopsy are shown in Figures 2.6 and 2.7. What do you see?

The specimen is stained with haematoxylin and eosin. At low power the lesion is seen to consist of islands of epithelium separated by thin pink collagenous bands. Each island has a prominent outer layer of basal cells, a paler staining zone within that, and sometimes a pink keratinized zone of cells centrally. One of the islands shows early cyst formation (c shown in Figure 2.6). At higher power, the outer basal cell layer is seen to comprise elongate palisaded cells with reversed nuclear polarity (nuclei placed away from the basement membrane). Towards the basement membrane many of the cells have a clear cytoplasmic zone and the overall appearance looks like piano keys. Towards the basement membrane many of the cells have a clear cytoplasmic zone and the overall appearance looks like piano keys. Above the basal cell layer is a zone of very loosely packed stellate cells with large spaces between them. There is no inflammation.

How do you interpret these appearances?

The appearances are typical and diagnostic of ameloblastoma. The elongate basal cells bear a superficial resemblance to preameloblasts and the looser cells to stellate reticulum. The arrangement of the epithelium in islands with the stellate reticulum in their centres constitutes the follicular pattern of ameloblastoma.

Diagnosis

The final diagnosis is ameloblastoma, of the solid/multicystic type.

Does the type of ameloblastoma matter?

Yes, it is important for treatment. There are several different types of ameloblastoma and not all exhibit spread into the
in place to avoid the need for full thickness resection of the mandible and a bone graft. This causes a low risk of recurrence, but such recurrences are slow growing and may be dealt with conservatively after the main portion of the mandible has healed. The fact that the ameloblastoma is of the follicular pattern is of no significance for treatment.

What other imaging investigations would be appropriate for this patient?

In order to plan the resection accurately, the extent of the tumour and any cortical perforations must be identified. Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT, computed tomography (CT) and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) would show the full extent of the lesion in bone and surrounding soft tissue respectively.
Case 3

An unpleasant surprise

SUMMARY

A 30-year-old lady develops acute shortness of breath following administration of amoxicillin. What would you do?

Medical history

You checked the medical history before administering the amoxicillin and so you know that the patient is a well-controlled asthmatic taking salbutamol on occasions. She also suffers from eczema, as do her mother and her two children, and uses a topical steroid cream as required. The patient has had antibiotic cover before and refuses treatment without. See Case 44 for further discussion.

Dental history

The patient has been a regular attender for a number of years. She has had previous courses of penicillin from her general medical practitioner for chest infections.

What is the likely diagnosis?

Anaphylaxis, arising from hypersensitivity to the amoxicillin.

Examination

What is the patient’s face shown in Figure 3.1? What do you see?

There is patchy erythema. In the most inflamed areas there are well-defined raised oedematous weals, for instance at the corner of the mouth and on the side of the chin. This is a typical urticarial rash and indicates a type 1 hypersensitivity reaction.

What would you do immediately?

- Reassure the patient.
- Assess the vital signs including blood pressure, pulse and respiratory rate.
- Lie the patient flat (as there is no difficulty breathing).
- Call for help.
- Obtain oxygen and your practice emergency drug box.

What are the signs and symptoms of anaphylaxis?

The signs and symptoms vary with severity. The classical picture is of:

- a red urticarial rash
- oedema that may obstruct the airway
- hypotension due to reduced peripheral resistance
- hypovolaemia due to the movement of fluid out of the circulation into the tissues
- small airways obstruction caused by oedema and bronchospasm.

Involvement of nasal and ocular tissue may cause rhinitis and conjunctivitis. There may also be nausea and vomiting.

What does urticarial mean?

The word urticarial comes from the Latin for nettle rash. An urticarial rash has superficial oedema that may form separate flat raised blister-like patches (as in Fig. 3.1) or be diffuse. In the head and neck it is often diffuse because the tissues are lax. Markedly oedematous areas may become pale by compression of their blood supply but the background is erythematous. Patients often know an urticarial rash by the lay term hives.

History

Complaint

The patient complains that she feels unwell, hot and breathless.

History of complaint

The patient has an appointment for routine dental treatment involving scaling and a restoration under local anaesthesia and antibiotic prophylaxis. She took a 3 g oral dose of amoxicillin 45 minutes ago.
**What is the pathogenesis of anaphylaxis?**

Anaphylaxis is an acute type 1 hypersensitivity reaction triggered in a sensitized individual by an allergen. The allergen enters the tissues and binds to immunoglobulin E (IgE) that is already bound to the surface of mast cells, present in almost all tissues. Binding of allergen to IgE induces degranulation and the release of large amounts of inflammatory mediators, particularly histamine. This causes the vasodilatation, increased capillary permeability and bronchospasm.

**Type 1 hypersensitivity is also known as immediate hypersensitivity but onset was delayed for 45 minutes. Why?**

Acute anaphylactic reactions may occur within seconds or may be delayed for up to an hour depending on the nature of the allergen and the route of exposure. It takes time for an oral dose of antibiotic to be absorbed and pass through the circulation to the tissues, in this case 45 minutes. The reaction would be expected about 30 minutes after intramuscular administration of an allergen but almost instantaneously after intravenous administration. The time of onset is unpredictable. Some allergens such as peanuts and latex can cause rapid reactions despite being applied topically. The variability in onset of reactions explains why patients should be observed for an hour after administration of antibiotic cover.

On examining for the signs noted above you discover that the patient is breathless and a wheeze can be heard during both inspiration and expiration indicating small airways obstruction. She feels hot and has a pulse rate of 120 beats per minute and blood pressure of 120/80 mmHg. She is conscious but the effects are becoming more severe and the rash now affects all the face and neck region and has spread onto the upper aspect of the thorax. The appearance of one arm is shown in Figure 3.2.

### Treatment

**What treatment would you perform?**

Before the breathing problems were noted you correctly laid the patient flat. However, their lungs must now be raised above the rest of their body to prevent oedema fluid collecting in the lungs. Allow the patient to adopt the most comfortable position for breathing and give oxygen (5 litres per minute) by facemask. Because there is bronchospasm, give the following drugs in order:

**Adrenaline (epinephrine)** 1: 1000, 500 micrograms intramuscularly. The easiest form to administer is a preloaded ‘EpiPen’ or ‘Anapen’, which are available for both adults (300 micrograms/dose) and children (150 micrograms/dose). Alternatively, a Min-I-Jet prepacked syringe and needle assembly or a standard vial of adrenaline solution, both containing 1 milligram in 1 millilitre (1:1000), may be used. However, both of these latter methods require a delay in administration to prepare the injection. You need to be familiar with whichever form is held in your practice as delay in calculating doses and volumes is clearly undesirable. Adrenaline (epinephrine) may also be given subcutaneously but the absorption is slower and this route is no longer recommended. Note that autoinjectors are designed for self-administration and so provide a slightly lower dose than is recommended. The recommended site for the intramuscular injection is the anterolateral aspect of the middle of the thigh, where there is most muscle bulk. If clothing prevents access, the upper lateral arm, into the deltoid muscle, is an alternative site. In an emergency it may be necessary to inject through clothing but this is not recommended. In the past the tongue has been proposed a potential site because it is familiar to dentists, but it is highly vascular allowing rapid uptake of drug and unlikely to be acceptable to the conscious patient.

**Chlorphenamine (chlorpheniramine)** 10 mg intravenously will counteract the effects of histamine.

**Hydrocortisone 100–200 mg intravenously or intramuscularly.**

**Intravenous fluid.** Only required if hypotension develops. A suitable regime would be 1 litre of normal saline infused over 5 minutes with continuous monitoring of the vital signs.

The last three actions require intravenous access and this may be difficult to achieve in an individual with reduced circulatory volume and hypotension. Finding and entering a collapsed vein is difficult even for the experienced and is best attempted as soon as adrenaline has taken effect. If necessary massage the arm towards the hand to try to inflate the vein. The importance of gaining venous access depends on circumstances. If medical or paramedical help is likely to arrive quickly, no more than adrenaline may be required. If not, these extra drugs may be important. Though the circulation may be maintained effectively by adrenaline, its action is short lived and you will only have a limited number of doses available. It is probably worthwhile inserting a Venflon-type intravenous cannula or at least a butterfly needle for any patient that develops difficulty breathing. If the reaction becomes more severe, it may be more difficult to insert later.

The presentation of drugs useful for anaphylaxis is shown in Figure 3.3.

**Why must the drugs be given in this order?**

Adrenaline is the life-saving drug and must be given straight away, before circulatory collapse. It is rapidly acting.

---

**Fig. 3.2** The patient’s arm 5 minutes later.
Continue to administer oxygen.
• Arrange transfer of the patient to an appropriate secondary care facility.
• Advise the patient of the need for formal investigation of their probable allergy.

Can you relax now the immediate crisis is over?
No, definitely not. The response of the patient needs to be closely observed. Adrenaline (epinephrine) is highly effective but has a very short half-life. Recurrence of bronchospasm, a
drop in blood pressure or worsening oedema indicates a need for further adrenaline (epinephrine). This is likely to be needed about 5 minutes after the previous administration and it can be repeated again as often as necessary. However, the chlorphenamine (chlorpheniramine) will start to become effective and no more than two doses of adrenaline (epinephrine) should be necessary.

Late relapse, hours later, is also possible. Mast cells also release other potent inflammatory mediators and some have long half-lives. The hydrocortisone prevents this late relapse.

### Can an anaphylactic reaction be controlled without adrenaline (epinephrine)?

If the only features are a rash and mild swelling not involving the airway it may be appropriate to give chlorphenamine (chlorpheniramine) and hydrocortisone in the first instance and observe the response. However, if bronchospasm, hypotension or oedema around the airway develops, adrenaline (epinephrine) will be needed. Adrenaline (epinephrine) should be administered as early as possible to be effective and it is better not to delay unless the signs and symptoms are very mild.

### Further points

#### Why is adrenaline (epinephrine) effective?

Adrenaline (epinephrine) is the prototypical adrenergic agonist and has both alpha and beta receptor activity. Alpha receptor-mediated action on arterioles causes vasoconstriction and thus reverses oedema. Beta receptor-mediated actions include increasing the cardiac output by increasing the force of contraction and heart rate (beta 1) and bronchodilatation (beta 2). Mast cell degranulation is also suppressed.

#### Why was this patient at high risk of anaphylaxis?

She has a history of asthma and a family history of eczema. This indicates atopy and an increased risk of developing hypersensitivity to a wide range of substances. It is important to take a thorough allergy history, particularly regarding drugs, rubber and other dental materials in all patients. No patient should be exposed to a possible allergen until you have sought advice.

#### Why had this patient no history of allergy to penicillin?

The patient may have been sensitized by the previous courses of penicillins. This underlines the unpredictability of allergic reactions. Patients who have been administered any medication should be monitored for an appropriate time in case of acute adverse effects, the period depending on the route of administration (see above).

#### How can penicillin allergy develop in patients who have never taken penicillins?

It is thought that sensitization may also develop in response to very small quantities of penicillins in the environment. Veterinary uses of penicillins leave residues in meat and milk, and these may pass to babies via their mother’s milk. Penicillins are ubiquitous and there is probably a genetic predisposition to explain why only a few individuals develop hypersensitivity.

#### Can patients be tested for penicillin allergy?

Yes, but it carries a risk of anaphylaxis and must be performed with care in a specialized centre. Only 10–20% of patients who report penicillin allergy are actually hypersensitive but not all can be tested. It is recommended that testing be reserved only for those who give a convincing history of a type 1 reaction and who also have a definite requirement for penicillin. In most cases a safe alternative antibiotic, for example clindamycin, is available and so testing is not performed.

#### Why is there no corticosteroid or antihistamine in my dental emergency drugs box; it is claimed to contain the recommended drugs?

The Resuscitation Council UK has published guidance on medical emergencies and resuscitation, revised in May 2008. Their recommendations have been endorsed by the General Dental Council. They state that the emergency drugs listed in Table 3.1 should be available in all dental surgeries in the UK:

Of the drugs recommended for this case, only oxygen and adrenaline are included. The guidance specifically notes that antihistamines and corticosteroids are not first line drugs for treatment of anaphylaxis. As noted above, this is true, but this drug box is a minimum specification for general practice only. Much more diverse emergency drug boxes are used by those working in hospitals, health clinics and some specialist practices, where dentists may be trained in advanced trauma life support (ATLS) or have other specialist skills through their involvement with conscious sedation or special care dentistry.

The list must also be modified to circumstances. In remote areas where medical help may be delayed, it will be essential to have these additional drugs for longer term treatment and also for the dentist to be able to gain venous access. These drugs and skills should be within the remit and capabilities of any dental practitioner.

Dentists must be familiar with the actions and effects of drugs they may need to use, so it is the dentist’s responsibility to ensure that they are properly informed about any additional drugs they elect to hold. The General Dental Council also provides guidance that every practice should have two people available and trained in medical emergencies whenever treatment is being carried out. All the dental team must practice simulated emergencies together on a regular basis.

### Table 3.1 Emergency drugs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol trinitrate spray</td>
<td>400 micrograms/dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salbutamol aerosol inhaler</td>
<td>100 micrograms/puff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenaline injection</td>
<td>1:1000 1 mg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin dispersible</td>
<td>300 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucagon injection</td>
<td>1 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral glucose solution</td>
<td>Gel, tablets or powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midazolam</td>
<td>5 mg/ml or 10 mg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other possibilities

- If you discovered that you had just administered a penicillin orally to a patient known to be allergic to penicillins, what would you do?

  Absorption of only a very small amount of the penicillin is needed to trigger an allergic response so there is no point in thinking that inducing vomiting would be helpful. The best thing to do would be to administer the chlorphenamine (chlorpheniramine) and steroid immediately, prepare the adrenaline (epinephrine) and oxygen and administer the adrenaline (epinephrine) immediately any signs begin to develop. The patient would still have to seek medical care as soon as possible because the late phases of the reaction might still develop even if the immediate phases were prevented.

- Suppose the patient had been a child?

  Allergy in children is usually triggered by dietary allergens rather than drugs but latex allergy is possible and children with frequent medical exposure to latex, as in catheters, are at risk. Doses of adrenaline are reduced to 250 micrograms for ages 6–12 years and 120 micrograms for ages 6 months to 6 years. Giving these doses might prove difficult if you do not have specific paediatric formulations in your emergency drug kit. Autoinjectors provide 300 or 150 micrograms and Min-I-Jet devices are designed to give a full adult dose. Children with severe allergies may carry autoinjection devices with the correct paediatric dose and should be asked to bring them when they attend for dental treatment.
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Case 4  

Gingival recession

SUMMARY

A 30-year-old woman has gingival recession. Assess her condition and discuss treatment options.

Medical history

She is a fit and healthy individual and is not a smoker.

- What further specific questions would you ask to help identify a possible cause?

How often do you brush your teeth? Provided brushing is effective, cleaning once a day is sufficient to maintain gingival health. However, most patients clean two or three times each day and some brush excessively in terms of frequency, duration and force used. Trauma from brushing is considered a factor in some patients’ recession, and recession may indicate a need to reduce the frequency and duration of cleaning while maintaining its effectiveness. In this instance the patient has a normal toothbrushing habit but should clean no more than twice each day and for a sensible period of time.

Have you had orthodontic treatment? A lower incisor is missing, suggesting that some intervention may have taken place. Fixed orthodontics in the lower labial segment is occasionally associated with gingival recession in patients with thin buccal gingiva, narrow alveolar processes and correction of severe crowding. Plaque control may be compromised during the wearing of an orthodontic appliance and, even over a relatively short period, this can contribute to the problem. In this instance the patient had undergone extraction of the incisor but had not worn an appliance.

Examination

Intraoral examination

- The appearance of the lower incisors is shown in Figure 4.1. What do you see?
  - Missing lower left central incisor.
  - Unrestored teeth.
  - No plaque is visible except for a small amount at the cervical margin of the lower left lateral incisor.
  - Gingival recession affecting all lower incisors and, to a lesser extent, the lower canines.
  - Apart from the abnormal contour, the buccal gingivae are pink and healthy and the interdental papillae are normal.
  - Reduction in width of keratinized (cornified) attached gingival epithelium. In places, attached gingiva appears absent.

- What clinical assessments would you make, how would you make them and why are they important?

See Table 4.1.

On performing these clinical examinations you find that all probing depths are 1–2 mm with no bleeding. The width of keratinized gingiva varies with the degree of recession. The lower left lateral incisor has no attached gingiva and tension on the lip displaces the gingival margin. No teeth have increased mobility and no possible occlusal factors are present. There is no reason to suspect loss of vitality and all teeth respond to testing.

History

Complaint

The patient is worried about the gingival recession around her lower front teeth, which she feels is worsening.

History of complaint

She remembers noticing the recession for at least the previous 5 years. She thinks it has worsened over the last 12 months. There has recently been some sensitivity to hot and cold and gingival soreness, most noticeably on toothbrushing or eating ice cream.

Dental history

The patient has been a patient of your practice for about 10 years and you have discussed her recession at previous visits and reassured her. She has a low caries rate and generally good oral hygiene.
Investigations

What radiographs are indicated?

Radiographs would give little additional information. The degree of bone loss on the buccal aspect, including bone dehiscence and fenestration, is not well shown on radiographs because of superimposition of the roots. Radiographs might help if interdental bone loss is suspected, but the intact interdental papilla, together with minimal probing depths, suggest normal interdental bone height. A radiograph would be of value if mobility indicated a need to assess root length and bone height.

Diagnosis

What is your diagnosis and what is the likely aetiology?

The patient has gingival recession. In this case the assessment has not provided a diagnosis any more accurate than that given by the patient but the features should give some clues to the possible aetiology.

Recession is probably multifactorial in aetiology. The most important factor is probably anatomical variation between patients. Some individuals have very thin gingival tissue buccally, both soft tissue and bone. When the buccal plate of the alveolus is thin, bony dehiscence or fenestrations below the soft tissue are more likely. For these reasons, there is more recession on the teeth which are prominent in the arch and least on slightly instanding teeth (see the more instanding central incisor in Figure 4.1). When these predisposing factors are present, other insults become important. The most important is probably traumatic toothbrushing. Plaque-induced marginal inflammation will also destroy the thin tissue at this site relatively quickly. Traumatic occlusion may also contribute.

In this case the patient is maintaining a very good standard of plaque control and there is no cervical abrasion, which might be further evidence of toothbrush trauma.

What advice and treatment would you provide?

- Ensure a sensible, atraumatic but effective brushing regime to remove the small amount of plaque present and explain the importance of good oral hygiene in areas of recession.
- Reassure the patient that the condition is not necessarily progressive, though it is irreversible.
- Monitor for progression with the aid of clinical measurements. A drawing, clinical photographs or study casts are very helpful and should be repeated at intervals.
- Treat the dentine hypersensitivity. Recession alone should not be painful. Ensure that the exposed root surface is suffering neither early caries nor erosion. Check the diet for sugars, acid drinks and foods and apply topical antihypersensitivity agents. This is another reason to perfect the cleaning of these teeth.

In this case the patient maintained good plaque control but the recession worsened slowly over a period of several years until there was a lack of functional attached gingiva.

What other treatments might be possible? Are they effective?

Table 4.2 shows alternative treatments.

In this case a free gingival graft was placed and the result is shown in Figure 4.2.

What do you see; is the graft successful?

Yes, the graft appears successful. Palatal connective tissue and overlying epithelium has been placed apical to the lower incisor gingival margin to provide a wider zone of attached keratinized gingiva. Because the palatal connective tissue is transferred the epithelium retains its keratinized palate structure.

Does the graft need to lie at the gingival margin?

No. The graft forms the gingival margin on the lower left lateral incisor but elsewhere lies below the margin. Provided the graft is firmly bound down to the underlying tissue it will stabilize the gingival margin against displacement on lip movement.

Why not place the graft over the root as well and correct the recession?

As noted in Table 4.2, surgery to correct the recession itself is difficult to achieve and unpredictable, especially in the long term.
Table 4.2 Alternative treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Effectiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mucogingival surgery to correct the recession, either a lateral pedicled graft, double papilla flap, or a coronally repositioned flap. These may be used in conjunction with an interpositional (subepithelial) connective tissue graft. These are essentially cosmetic operations.</td>
<td>May be effective in carefully selected cases. The presence of adjacent interdental papillae and suitable donor sites is essential. Total root coverage is difficult to achieve and unpredictable, especially in the long term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucogingival surgery to provide a wider and functional zone of attached gingiva. This therapeutic procedure provides a zone of thicker tissue which is more resistant to further recession and less prone to soreness with normal brushing. A free gingival graft is the treatment of choice.</td>
<td>Highly effective. Grafting palatal mucosa into the alveolar mucosa prevents the lip pulling the gingiva from the teeth. Even if the gingival margin has little attached gingiva, it can remain healthy if protected from displacement or other trauma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision of a thin acrylic gingival stent or veneer.</td>
<td>Can provide an excellent cosmetic result if well made, but only considered for extensive recession in highly visible areas. The usual indication is the upper incisors following periodontal surgery with loss of papilla. Rarely used and not applicable to this case.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.2 Appearance of the free gingival graft 6 months after placement.

Figure 4.3 A different patient.

term. The root surface does not provide a nutrient bed on which the free graft can survive. Grafts in this situation would have to be pedicled to ensure their nutrient supply and also need to be placed so that they receive some nutrient from an adjacent exposed connective tissue bed. A more predictable result may be obtained by using an interpositional (subepithelial) connective tissue graft. A free graft is most unlikely to be successful if simply placed over the root surface.

**Figure 4.3 shows a different patient with recession. What does the appearance tell you?**

There is approximately 4 mm of recession buccally on the lower right canine. Apical to the gingival margin there is a hole in the gingival tissue. Plaque and subgingival calculus (formed within a periodontal pocket) are visible and the tissue is inflamed. The small ‘bridge’ of tissue at the gingival margin is not attached to the tooth surface and will eventually break down. In this case the recession is secondary to pocket formation in a plaque-induced periodontitis. Inflammation associated with subgingival calculus has caused loss of much of the buccal bone.

**How would treatment of this patient’s recession differ?**

It would differ only in the early stages. Inflammation must be treated by oral hygiene improvement and subgingival debridement. If, after a period to allow healing, there is resolution of inflammation, the situation is very similar to that in the first case and assessment and treatment would be identical. There would be no value in attempting to surgically correct the fenestration in the attached gingiva. As discussed above, grafting onto the root surface is technically complex and success is unpredictable.
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SUMMARY
A 9-year-old boy is referred to you in the orthodontic department with an unerupted upper left central incisor. What is the cause and how may it be treated?

Medical history
The patient has suffered from asthma since he was 4 years old. This is controlled using salbutamol (Ventolin).

Examination
Extraoral examination
There are no extraoral signs or symptoms and the patient is an active, happy boy.

Intraoral examination
- The appearance of the mouth is shown in Figure 5.1. What do you see?
  The patient is in the early mixed dentition stage and the teeth present are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upper Teeth</th>
<th>Lower Teeth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6EDC81</td>
<td>8CDE6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6EDC21</td>
<td>12DE6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No upper left central incisor is present, but there is a pale swelling high in the upper labial sulcus above the edentulous space and the upper left B. There has been some loss of space in the region of the absent upper central incisor.

There is a tendency to an anterior open bite which is slightly more pronounced on the right.

There is mild upper and lower arch crowding and a unilateral crossbite on the left. If you were able to examine the patient you would discover that this is associated with a lateral displacement of the mandibular position. The lower centre line is shifted to the left.

There are no restorations but the mouth is not very clean.

- What are the possible causes of an apparently absent upper central incisor?
  The incisor may be missing or have failed to erupt. Possible causes include the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmentally absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avulsed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure to erupt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilaceration and/or displacement as a result of trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scar tissue preventing eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supernumerary tooth preventing eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient space as a result of crowding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological lesion (e.g. cyst or odontogenic tumour)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- What specific questions would you ask the parents?
  The most important questions are related to trauma. Avulsion or dilaceration would follow significant trauma which is likely to be recalled by the parent. The parent should be asked whether the deciduous predecessor was discoloured. If it was this would provide evidence of loss of vitality, perhaps related to trauma.

  Extraction would be unusual and a cause should be readily obtained in the history.

  In response to your questioning the parent reports that the patient fell on his face when he was much younger. At the time of the accident there was considerable injury to his lips...
and teeth, but no tooth loss was noticed and no dental opinion was sought.

What are the likely causes of the anterior open bite and shift in the lower centre line?
The anterior open bite is probably associated with a thumb-sucking or similar habit. The shift in the centre line is probably caused by the combination of crowding and early exfoliation of the lower left C.

Give a differential diagnosis for the cause of the missing incisor. Explain each possibility.

Dilaceration of the central incisor as a result of the injury appears the most likely cause. However, it is unclear whether the injury was severe enough to cause dilaceration. Dilaceration usually follows intrusion and the intruded tooth might well have re-erupted into its normal position. The swelling in the sulcus does not lie on the normal eruption path of the central incisor, and dilaceration could explain the abnormal position.

A supernumerary tooth or an odontome would be the next most likely possibility if trauma is not the cause. Supernumerary teeth are not uncommon in the premaxilla (1–3% of the population), and the late-forming (tuberculate) type which often lies adjacent to the crown of the permanent incisor frequently causes delay or failure of eruption.

A pathological lesion appears unlikely but cannot be excluded. There is no evidence of alveolar expansion to suggest a cyst, which would be the most likely cause and could arise from the tooth itself, a supernumerary or an odontome. An unexpected lesion remains a remote possibility.

What causes have you excluded and why?
Crowding appears to be an unlikely cause. It would have to be very severe to cause a delay of up to 2 years and this patient’s teeth are only mildly crowded. Crowding is a very unusual cause for failure of eruption of a central incisor because resorption and loss of the B would provide enough space for eruption.

Scarring of the alveolus delays eruption because it slows resorption of bone over the tooth and because fibrosis and thickening of the mucoperiosteum resists tooth movement. This is an unlikely cause because there is no reason to suspect scarring, the deciduous predecessor having been extracted only 4 months ago.

Avulsion can be excluded because it seems that the tooth has never erupted and there is no recent history of trauma.

Developmental causes of absence appear most unlikely. The swelling in the upper sulcus would seem to indicate that the tooth is present but has failed to erupt. A missing central incisor without other missing teeth would be an extremely rare finding.

Investigations
Radiographs are required to determine whether or not the unerupted tooth is present, to establish whether it is the cause of the swelling in the sulcus and detect possible supernumerary teeth.

What radiographic views would you request and why?
See Table 5.1.

The radiographs of the patient are shown in Figures 5.2–5.4.

What do the radiographs show?
The panoramic radiograph confirms the presence of a full complement of developing permanent successors, excluding the third molars, which would not be expected to have formed. However, a crypt should be present between the ages of 8½ and 10 years of age and there is a suggestion of early crypt formation in the lower left quadrant. The unerupted permanent upper left central incisor is clearly visible on this radiograph; its shape is not normal but the root shape cannot be seen in this view. It is not possible to establish the labiopalatal position of the tooth in this film nor to detect an adjacent supernumerary tooth which may lie outside the tomographic focal trough.

The periapical view gives considerably more detail. The upper left central incisor has an intact but distorted root. Its apical development appears normal and similar to that of the right central incisor but the foreshortened appearance suggests dilaceration. Using this film in conjunction with the panoramic view and applying the principle of vertical parallax you can see that the crown of the central incisor is labially positioned. This is consistent with the swelling in the sulcus being caused by the crown of the tooth. No supernumerary tooth is present.

The lateral view completes the picture and shows clearly the displaced crown of the central incisor. From the three films it is possible to deduce that the crown and root of the tooth are misaligned; the crown deflected labially with its incisal edge pointing forwards into the labial sulcus and the root developing in the normal direction.

What is your final diagnosis?
The upper left central incisor is dilacerated, probably as a result of intrusion of the deciduous predecessor in the injury sustained in infancy.

Treatment

What are the options for treatment?
If the dilaceration were severe, the tooth would require extraction. Then either of the following options could be selected:

1. Align the adjacent teeth, ideally with fixed appliances, using the central incisor space. The lateral incisor would replace the central incisor and could be masked to simulate it. In the short term this could be accomplished by an adhesive restoration but in the longer term a permanent restoration would be necessary. The canine might also need restoration or masking so that it would not appear incongruous, especially in a patient with slender lateral incisors. This option is not ideal because the final appearance is often poor.
### Table 5.1 Radiographic views and their purposes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>View</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dental panoramic radiograph</td>
<td>To provide a general view of the developing dentition and establish the presence or absence of the permanent teeth and any supernumeraries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper standard occlusal or periapicals of the edentulous area, taken with a paralleling technique</td>
<td>To provide a more detailed view of the region, in particular the root morphology and any adjacent structures such as supernumerary teeth or pathological lesions. These may lie outside the focal trough of the radiograph or be obscured by superimposition of other structures in the panoramic view. If periapical views are taken they should include the adjacent teeth in case these were damaged in the original accident. In addition the standard occlusal and panoramic view can be used together to establish the relationship of unerupted structures relative to the dental arch, using the principle of (vertical) parallax. Objects lying nearer to the X-ray tube (labially positioned) appear to move in the opposite direction to the tube relative to a fixed point. Those further away (palatally positioned) appear to move in the same direction as the tube.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral view</td>
<td>Confirms the presence of any distortion of the tooth, if dilacerated, and confirms the relationship of the tooth to the labial swelling in a third dimension.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5.2 Dental panoramic radiograph.

Fig. 5.3 Periapical views.
Should a fixed or removable appliance be used?

As the tooth movements are relatively simple an upper removable appliance can be used at this stage. More control and more accurate tooth positioning would be achieved with a fixed appliance. However, the patient will probably require further fixed appliance treatment at a later age and the fine adjustment of tooth position could be performed then.

Design a suitable removable appliance.

| Fig. 5.4 | Lateral view. |
| Fig. 5.5 | The fitted extrusion appliance. |

The appliance consists of:
- cribs on $\text{D}_1$ (0.6-mm wire)
- cribs on $\text{6}_1$ (0.7-mm wire)
- finger springs on $\text{3}$ and $\text{2}$ (0.5-mm wire) to retract and regain the space for the $\text{1}$
- a buccal arm to extrude $\text{1}$ (0.7-mm wire) attached to the gold chain bonded to $\text{1}$

Figure 5.6 shows the position of the dilacerated tooth after approximately 18 months of active treatment. What further treatment may be necessary at a later stage of dental development?

Ideally it would be appropriate to relieve the crowding in the permanent dentition and align the teeth, correcting the unilateral posterior crossbite and eliminating the mandibular displacement. Details of appropriate treatment cannot be finalized until the patient passes from mixed dentition to permanent dentition at about 10–12 years of age.

| Fig. 5.6 | After 18 months of treatment. |
Case 6

Down’s syndrome

SUMMARY

A 40-year-old male patient presents to you in your dental surgery with a loose tooth. What is the cause and what will you do?

Dental history

He has been seen in your practice for several years and attends with his mother on most occasions (Figure 6.1).

You have been monitoring his periodontitis for many years. The patient achieves a moderate degree of oral hygiene but cleaning posteriorly is always suboptimal. He has had a series of episodes of acute symptoms from this tooth but has always refused to let you extract it.

Medical history

The patient has Down’s syndrome. He has a patent ventriculoseptal defect that is unrepaired and a mild to moderate learning disability. He reports recurrent upper respiratory tract infections.

Social history

The patient lives at home with his parents and works part-time in a supermarket. He does not smoke or drink any alcohol.

What are the causes of Down’s syndrome?

Down’s syndrome is caused by complete or partial trisomy of chromosome 21. The majority of patients have a complete third copy of the chromosome, but there are several different ways in which cells can acquire additional chromosome 21 DNA. This is important because not all individuals with Down’s syndrome have a similar phenotype. The types of trisomy 21 are explained in Table 6.1.

How does this cause the condition?

The long arm of chromosome 21 includes a region called the Down syndrome critical region. Genes at this site encode transcription factors that control development, including that of the brain. An increase in copy number of genes in this region is thought to account for most of the neurological and facial, and possibly other, features of Down’s syndrome. Other genes have been identified for leukaemia and other complications.

What is the risk of having a child with Down’s syndrome?

Because most cases are caused by chromosomal non-disjunction during egg formation, the risk is linked to maternal age. The risk rises markedly after 40 years. The risk in a mother aged 30 is approximately 1 in 1000 but this rises to almost 1 in 100 at age 40 years and higher after that.

Prenatal screening relies on a variety of tests, including ultrasound screening. The most accurate tests require amniocentesis and are reserved for those at the highest risk. The newest tests promise accurate diagnosis on the basis of a blood test. The combination of prenatal testing and termination of pregnancy has resulted in falling incidence in many parts of the world. This is somewhat compensated for by a generalized increase in maternal age and greater life expectancy for those affected.

Two-thirds of affected fetuses die during normal development and the frequency of trisomy 21 in the population is 1 in 650–1000 live births.

Fig. 6.1 The patient on presentation.

History

Complaint

The patient has been complaining of a sore, loose lower back tooth for 1 week. It is particularly sore when eating and the patient often flinches whilst chewing.

History of complaint

There were no recent symptoms from this tooth until 1 week ago. When the pain started, the patient’s mother noticed that he stopped bruxing.
The patient has ‘mild to moderate learning difficulty’. What does this mean?

A wide range of terms may be used to describe intellectual ability. Terms such as mental retardation, intellectual impairment and mental subnormality are no longer used in the UK, though they are considered acceptable in other cultures. Learning difficulty and learning disability are considered synonymous in the UK. Mental incapacity is a legal term used to describe ability to make informed decisions. It relates to intellectual ability but is not the same as learning difficulty.

Learning difficulty is defined as a significant impairment of intelligence and social functioning acquired before adulthood. The definitions are from the Education Act 1996 and the Special Educational Needs and Disability Act 2001 that define the educational needs and aid the individual in gaining access to legal protections and rights.

Learning difficulty is usually divided into mild, moderate and severe, but these definitions are not always helpful in health care because they are based on analysis of social functioning as well as psychometric testing. The categories do not correlate directly with intelligence, though they are often equated, as shown in Table 6.2.

The majority of individuals with Down’s syndrome have mild to moderate learning difficulty. Regardless of learning difficulty, all those with Down’s syndrome will require lifelong help with accommodation and supportive working. Some can lead largely independent lives with support whereas, for others, daily supervision will be necessary.

Before you examine the patient, are there significant medical features of Down’s syndrome that you need to consider immediately?

Yes, there are several, but the one of immediate importance is general joint laxity that involves the atlantoaxial joint. Care must be taken positioning the head and neck to avoid dislocation, which would have severe consequences. In practice this is most likely to affect patients under general anaesthesia or sedation. However, individuals with Down’s syndrome also have poor muscle tone so that the joint is not fully stable even when conscious. Simply ensuring head support, including lateral support, is sufficient. About 15% of patients are affected in this way, though only 1–2% are at high risk of spinal cord compression. Examination of a conscious patient poses minimal risk.

Is the patient able to give consent for the examination?

Capacity to give consent must be assessed in line with the Mental Capacity Act 2005. You need to assess capacity to consent at each visit in relation to the treatment to be carried out. As this individual works part-time in a supermarket and has presented for treatment independently, it is very likely that his consent would be valid for examination but not necessarily for any treatment.

In the meantime, you can proceed with examination and diagnosis.

### Examination

#### Extraoral examination

How can you recognize Down’s syndrome?

Down’s syndrome has a readily recognized physical appearance, characteristic facies and signs affecting the hands that are readily recognized in the dental setting. These include:

- Short stature
- Short neck
- Obesity

### Table 6.1 Types and causes of Down’s syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of trisomy</th>
<th>% of patients</th>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free trisomy Down’s syndrome</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>A ‘free’ third copy of chromosome 21 in every cell. Meiotic non-disjunction (failure of chromosomes or chromatids to separate during cell division) in development of the egg (99%) or sperm (5%) results in gametes with an extra copy of chromosome 21. After fertilization and embryogenesis, every cell carries a copy of the third chromosome. Commonest type. Not inherited.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translocation Down’s syndrome</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>One copy is translocated to another chromosome, most often chromosome 14 or 21, in a cell division during development of the egg, or occasionally sperm. Sometimes the translocation affects only the child. Occasionally the translocation is stable and can be passed from generation to generation. About half of cases have a familial pattern of inheritance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaic Down’s syndrome</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Patients are a mosaic of normal cells and cells with trisomy 21. The gametes are normal but non-disjunction during a somatic division in embryogenesis gives some cells trisomy. If the trisomy arises early a large proportion of the patient’s cells are affected; if late, fewer are affected. The features vary depending on which cells are affected. Some patients may be of Down’s appearance but normal intelligence or vice versa, and the features are often mild. Not inherited.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other types</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>Caused by a variety of different chromosomal rearrangements involving chromosome 21.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6.2 Categories of learning difficulty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning difficulty/disability</th>
<th>Indicative IQ</th>
<th>Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>50–70</td>
<td>Most can lead normal lives but may need assistance in handling difficult situations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>35–49</td>
<td>Need to use simple language when talking. Can generally attend to the basic tasks of life after training but more complex activities such as using money usually require support within a special residential environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>20–34</td>
<td>Many able to look after themselves but with careful and close supervision.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are multiple slightly tender mobile cervical lymph nodes.

Intraoral examination

The tongue appears large and makes examination of the lower teeth a little difficult. The oral hygiene is generally good anteriorly but there is fairly thick plaque around the lower teeth a little difficult. The oral hygiene is generally

Interpret these findings in the light of Down’s syndrome.

Recurrent upper respiratory tract infections are common in individuals with Down’s syndrome and noted by the patient. This is likely to account for the lymphadenopathy but you need to consider alternative explanations.

The tongue is not enlarged, but appears so. It has a forward posture, associated with mouth breathing, and poor muscle tone. This is more prominent in children with Down’s syndrome and becomes less prominent in late childhood. Poor tongue control can lead to problems with speech and swallowing.

Individuals with Down’s syndrome have a lower prevalence of dental caries than the normal population, though this can be overcome by high levels of dietary sugar. Caries resistance has been claimed to be due to high titres of secretory IgA against Streptococcus mutans in saliva and a high salivary pH. However, late eruption, spacing of the teeth and shallow fissures also contribute and may be as important.

There is predisposition to plaque-induced gingivitis and periodontitis that might account for the mobile lower molar. Immune function, particularly neutrophil function, is impaired and thought to be the cause, though the exact causes are not defined. There are also changes in complement and antibody levels, required for optimum neutrophil function. Mouth-breathing contributes to gingivitis. Once periodontitis develops, the teeth have short conical roots and are more quickly compromised.

Bruxism is a feature of Down’s syndrome. The patient stopped bruxing when symptoms started, suggesting pain of periodontal ligament origin, and this is consistent with the tooth mobility and pain on eating.

These and other oral features of Down’s syndrome are listed in Table 6.3.

Table 6.3 Oral features of Down’s syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fissured lips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-mouth posture and mouth-breathing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongue protrusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of muscle tone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fissuring of the dorsal surface of the tongue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruxism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental absence of some teeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teeth with short conical roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdental spacing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small teeth, including conical crown forms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow fissures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplastic/hypocalcified enamel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 3 skeletal pattern and malocclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taurodontism (0.54–5.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prone to periodontal disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prone to intraoral candidal infection and angular cheilitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistant to dental caries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6.2 Panoramic radiograph.

Investigations

What investigations should be carried out and why?

As periodontitis is the most likely cause for the tooth mobility, the patient’s periodontal assessment should be updated with pocket depths around as many teeth as possible and a radiographic survey, unless recent films are available. Periapical radiographs are the view of choice but may not be possible because of the combination of the tongue and lack of cooperation. A panoramic radiograph is a suitable second choice provided the patient can sit still for the required period. Alternatively, an oblique lateral is a good choice; the exposure is very short and the film is held against the patient’s face, so that the effects of movement during exposure are minimized.

In this case, you already have a panoramic radiograph that was taken a year ago and shows the cause of the problems.

The panoramic radiograph is shown in Figure 6.2. What do you see?

Consistent with Down’s syndrome, there are missing third molars and small teeth with short conical roots and spacing...
anteriorly. There are only a few shallow restorations but there is marked periodontitis.
There is generalized horizontal bone loss posteriorly with almost complete bone loss around the lower right third molar. Calculus is present in all posterior interdental spaces.

Diagnosis

What is your diagnosis?
Extensive bone loss due to advanced periodontal disease with apical involvement and, almost certainly, a perioendodontic lesion.

Treatment

Will the patient need antibiotic cover for whatever treatment you prescribe?
No. The unrepaired ventriculoseptal defect is not currently considered to require antibiotic cover for dental treatment. However, the patient remains at risk of bacterial endocarditis and infections such as this need to be treated vigorously and without delay. As the patient must understand the risk, signs and symptoms of endocarditis, most of the responsibility will rest with carers, whose involvement will be essential.
More detailed information on endocarditis is given in Case 44.

What treatment would you recommend?
In principle, individuals with Down’s syndrome must be offered the same standard of care as other patients. In this case the prognosis for this tooth in any individual would be poor. When the predisposition to periodontitis, endocarditis and infection is taken into account, the only treatment that can be recommended is extraction. This had been recommended before but was refused.
In the longer term, there is a need for continuing periodontal treatment and monitoring. Removal of subgingival calculus is a priority.
If sedation or general anaesthesia is required, then extraction of the lower left second molar and scaling and root planing could all be carried out in one episode.

Does the level of learning difficulty help in planning treatment?
Only slightly. Knowing that the cause of the learning disability is Down’s syndrome is probably more useful than the level of disability itself. Individuals with Down’s syndrome are generally considered to be friendly, tolerant, cheerful, gentle and patient but somewhat stubborn. This stereotype often holds true, but all are individuals and vary considerably. They all have their own range of abilities that you will need to assess. Some will be anxious about dentistry.
A third of patients will suffer additional psychiatric disorders that may include Alzheimer’s disease, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, autism, depression, bipolar disorder or psychosis. These have more profound effects on dental treatment. The most severely affected will not be amenable to dentistry without general anaesthetic.

It is important to evaluate how treatment has been provided in the past. This patient has been treated in the practice for several years and has had some restorative work carried out, which indicates good cooperation for treatment under local anaesthetic. On the basis of what is known about this patient, he may require only standard types of support such as behaviour management or inhalation sedation, possibly with oral sedation. Intravenous sedation or general anaesthesia might be considered for more demanding treatments.

Can the patient refuse to accept the extraction? He has before.
All patients over the age of 16 take responsibility for their own treatment. The degree of capacity required for consent to be valid increases with the complexity of the procedure. If the patient understands the risks of endocarditis and the advantages and disadvantages of extracting the tooth, his opinion is final.
If you believe that the patient does not understand the issues, then he does not have capacity to consent because he cannot make an informed decision. It is still possible to provide treatment within the framework set by the Mental Capacity Act 2005, which governs consent. This states that:

i. A patient is assumed to have capacity to consent unless proven otherwise. Such a loss of capacity may be permanent or temporary.

ii. Capacity has to be assessed at each visit, in relation to the treatment and recorded.

iii. A person must be able to understand, retain, and weigh the information given and communicate their decision to have capacity.

iv. When a person lacks capacity to consent, treatment must be provided within ‘best interest’ of the patient and consideration given to all the relevant circumstances. The person should be encouraged to participate in the decision-making process. Consideration must be given to past and present wishes, feelings, values and beliefs that would influence the decision. Consult with family, next of kin, carer, lasting power of attorney, or deputy of court as appropriate.

v. Individuals can nominate a lasting power of attorney who can give or withhold consent on their behalf for health care decisions in the event that they lack capacity.

vi. ‘Advance directives’ can be made to advise of treatment that the person does not wish to undergo in the event that that person lacks capacity. These can only rule out specific items and cannot require specific treatment to be carried out. In order to be binding the directive must show capacity and validity applicable to the specific situation.

If the patient cannot make an informed decision, it will be necessary to ensure that any proposed treatment is reasonable and in the patient’s best interests, and the least restric-
tive option in terms of the individual’s basic rights, based upon section 5 of the Mental Capacity Act 2005. This states:

For those who lack capacity the following conditions must be met:

- The treatment is undertaken in connection with another person’s care or treatment;
- The person doing it takes reasonable steps to establish whether the recipient has capacity;
- There is reasonable belief that the recipient lacks capacity;
- There is reasonable belief that the treatment is in the best interests of the patient;
- If restraint is to be used to carry out the treatment, there is reasonable belief both that it is necessary to undertake treatment in order to prevent harm to the person and that the treatment is a proportionate response to the likelihood of the person suffering harm and the seriousness of that harm.

In the event that the patient does not have capacity, the proposed treatment must be discussed with parents, legal guardians or carers and may also be agreed by two dentists or doctors. The NHS consent form for those who lack capacity (consent form 4) should be used.

Delay is not an appropriate response. There is a need for urgent treatment and failure to follow through and deliver it would be negligent.

**Long-term management**

### Are there any long-term issues to consider in planning dental treatment?

Yes, the reduced life expectancy must be taken into account when planning treatment. The mean lifespan for people with Down's syndrome has increased significantly in the last decades and currently stands at about 50 years, with some individuals reaching 60 years or more.

Congenital heart disease carries significant mortality in the long term. It is also possible for patients to acquire cardiac defects in later life, either de novo or as developmental defects reveal themselves in later life.

There is also a predisposition to atherosclerosis as part of generalized premature ageing. Mitral valve prolapse affects about half of adults without congenital heart defects. It is now recommended to have a second cardiac assessment in early adulthood as most deaths result from cardiac causes.

Eyesight is often impaired and may worsen with age as a result of opacification of the lens, making oral care more difficult.

In later life, three-quarters will develop Alzheimer’s disease. The pathogenesis of the neurological degeneration is the same as that in normal individuals, but accelerated because of an extra copy of the amyloid precursor protein carried on the extra chromosome 21. Microscopic evidence of senile plaques and amyloid deposition in the brain is present in all those reaching 40 years of age. Dementia is an increasing problem as the lifespan increases.

### Table 6.4 General features of Down’s syndrome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiovascular</th>
<th>Cardiovascular defect 40–50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vencriptiveal defect 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aortocaval defect 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tetralogy of Fallot 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Isolated patent ductus arteriosus 4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Musculoskeletal</th>
<th>Short broad hands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short stature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obesity from adolescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inwardly curved fifth finger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single palmar flexion crease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypoplasia of maxillary sinuses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absence of frontal/sphenoidal sinuses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joint, including atlantoaxial instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large fontanelles with late closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persistent frontal suture (metopic suture)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muscular hypotonia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skin</th>
<th>Early skin aging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early greying of hair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endocrine</th>
<th>Hypothyroxidism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced fertility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Haematological</th>
<th>Acute leukaemia (in childhood)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunological</th>
<th>Impaired cellular immunity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Impaired neutrophil function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susceptibility to infection, especially fungal and including angular cheilitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neurological</th>
<th>Learning disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obsessive compulsive disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tourette’s syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hearing loss, usually conductive in type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor eyesight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gastrointestinal tract</th>
<th>Duodenal atresia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imperforate anus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tracheo-oesophageal fistula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hirschsprung’s disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coeliac disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced peristalsis/constipation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### With all this patient’s problems, perhaps he should be referred for specialist care?

There is no reason why the majority of individuals with Down’s syndrome should not be treated in general dental practice. Despite this, they and their carers often report difficulty gaining access to dental care. Dentists may be reluctant to take on care for a variety of reasons, often of spurious validity. It is important that all such patients are able to obtain care in an appropriate local setting, ideally with other family members. Specialized services are available, but often stretched. They may be able to provide advice and backup when medical support or treatment under sedation or anaesthetic is required. This would be prudent because the airway may be compromised by the tongue, poor nasal patency and short neck. However, most individuals with Down’s syndrome need only routine dental care from a caring, patient and well-informed dentist.
What other features of Down’s syndrome may be present?

There are many features associated with Down’s syndrome that will only be seen in a minority of patients, as shown in Table 6.4. Many have implications for dental diagnosis or treatment.
SUMMARY

A 50-year-old lady presents to you in your hospital dental department complaining of dry mouth. Identify the cause and plan treatment.

History

Complaint

She complains of dryness which makes many aspects of her life a misery. The dryness is both uncomfortable and renders eating and speech difficult. She is forced to keep a bottle of water by her side at all times.

History of complaint

She first noticed the dry mouth about 4 or 5 years ago though it may have been present for longer. At first it was only an intermittent problem but over the last 3 years or so the dryness has become constant. Recently the mouth has become sore as well as dry.

Medical history

The patient describes herself as generally fit and well but has had to attend her medical practitioner for poor circulation in her fingers. They blanch rapidly in the cold and are painful on rewarming. She has also used artificial tears for dry eyes for the last 2 years but takes no other medication.

Examination

Extraoral examination

She is a well-looking lady without detectable cervical lymphadenopathy. There is no facial asymmetry or enlargement of the parotid glands and the submandibular glands appear normal on bimanual palpation. Her eyes and fingers appear normal.

Intraoral examination

The appearance of the patient’s mouth is shown in Figures 7.1 and 7.2. What do you see? How do you interpret the findings?

What are the common and important causes of xerostomia and how are they subdivided?

In true xerostomia the salivary flow is reduced. The term ‘false xerostomia’ describes the sensation of dryness despite normal salivary output.

On the basis of the history and examination which cause is the most likely? Why?

Sjögren’s syndrome is the most likely cause. It is the commonest single medical disorder causing xerostomia. It also
causes dry eyes and predominantly affects female patients of middle age. Sjögren's syndrome is sometimes defined by the presence of dry eyes and mouth, with or without an autoimmune/connective tissue disorder. This patient meets these criteria though they are rather imprecise and further investigations would be required to confirm the diagnosis.

What causes have you excluded and why?

Drugs are by far the commonest cause of true xerostomia but this patient is not taking any medication.

Dehydration is a common cause in elderly people who may have a habitual low fluid intake, especially when institutionalized. It also accompanies cardiac or renal failure or diuretic drugs. (The combination of drugs and disease probably explains the apparent association of xerostomia with age). These are not factors in this case.

False xerostomia is very common. Those who sleep with an open mouth will have xerostomia on waking, compounded by the normal reduction in salivary secretion at night. Diseases causing oral mucosal roughness such as lichen planus or candidosis may cause a sensation of dryness but no such condition is present. False xerostomia may be a feature, sometimes a central one, in psychiatric disorders. However, this patient’s mouth is genuinely dry. The history of prolonged and unremitting dryness over a period of years almost always indicates a salivary disorder and the appearance of the mucosa and the high caries rate indicate true xerostomia.

Neurological and developmental causes, such as aplasia of gland or atresia of ducts, are very rare and need not be considered further until common causes have been investigated. There is no history of irradiation of the head and neck.

What is Sjögren’s syndrome and how may the condition be subclassified?

Sjögren’s syndrome is a poorly understood autoimmune disorder in which exocrine glands are destroyed. In primary Sjögren’s syndrome the salivary and lacrimal glands are those most affected (though there are often nonspecific systemic signs of autoimmune disease such as Raynaud’s phenomenon) and there is sometimes salivary gland swelling. Other exocrine glands and organs are also affected. In secondary Sjögren’s syndrome there is an accompanying connective tissue disorder such as rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus or mixed connective tissue disease. Other exocrine glands are less severely affected in the secondary form, the mouth is usually less dry and salivary glands are very rarely enlarged.

### Investigations

#### What simple test differentiates false and true xerostomia?

Measuring the whole salivary flow rate. This may be done by asking the patient to tilt their head forward to allow all saliva to flow into a graduated specimen container for 10 minutes. Although this patient is strongly suspected to have true xerostomia it would still be a useful test because it provides a baseline reading against which disease severity and progression may be judged.

When you measure the flow, the patient has a whole salivary flow rate of 0.1 ml/minute.

#### What salivary flow rate would you consider to indicate xerostomia?

Approximately 500 ml of saliva are secreted daily, mostly during eating and drinking, and very little at night. Rates vary greatly between individuals but less than 2 ml in 10 minutes (0.2 ml/minute) unstimulated whole saliva flow is generally considered to indicate xerostomia.

This patient has true xerostomia.

#### What further investigations are required and why is each performed?

Although a number of investigations will be required to confirm the diagnosis, the immediate problem is one of soreness. A dry mouth is not usually sore unless there is superimposed candidal infection. Smears, a saliva sample or a therapeutic trial of antifungal agent are required to exclude this possibility.

The diagnosis of Sjögren’s syndrome is straightforward when the clinical presentation is florid, and may then be based on history and examination alone. However, numerous investigations are required in most patients with suspected Sjögren’s syndrome in whom there are just a few early signs (Table 7.1). Many investigations are possible but only the minimum required to make the diagnosis need be performed. A selection is usually necessary because every test will be negative in a small proportion of patients and none is completely specific.

The results of this patient’s investigations are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigation</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salivary culture</td>
<td>10 000 cfu Candida sp./ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smear for candida</td>
<td>Hyphae present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red cell indices</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White cell count/differential count</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR</td>
<td>20 mm/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ig levels</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoantibodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA latex</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antinuclear</td>
<td>Weak positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antithyroid</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssA</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssB</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine glucose</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7.1 Investigations for patients with Sjögren’s syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Relevance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>Whole salivary flow rate</td>
<td>See above; differentiates false from true xerostomia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Culture for candidal count</td>
<td>To exclude superimposed candidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simulated parotid flow</td>
<td>Accurate estimation of maximum possible parotid salivary flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood tests</td>
<td>Full blood picture</td>
<td>Mild anaemia is common in all autoimmune conditions and may require treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erythocyte sedimentation rate (ESR)</td>
<td>Relatively nonspecific but raised in inflammatory conditions, useful for monitoring their activity after treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immunoglobulin levels</td>
<td>Often raised in autoimmune disorders and may be markedly raised in primary Sjögren’s syndrome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autoantibody screen</td>
<td>Autoantibodies are a frequent finding in autoimmune disease. This appears to be a partly nonspecific effect and many different autoantibodies may be seen. The exact combination in the routine screen varies between centres but usually includes rheumatoid factor, antinuclear, antithyroid, antiparietal cell and antimitochondrial antibody. Additional autoantibodies which may be seen in Sjögren’s syndrome are antisalivary-gland duct antibody and ssA and ssB autoantibodies (anti-Ro and anti-La) directed against extractable nuclear antigens. None of these antibodies is individually helpful in diagnosis but the presence of more than one is typical. They may help diagnosis of connective tissue disease in secondary Sjögren’s syndrome and ssA and ssB may indicate patients at risk of specific complications. Antisalivary gland duct antibody is not related to either the periductal infiltrates seen on biopsy or the pathogenesis of the disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>Occasionally useful to exclude unsuspected diabetes as a cause of dehydration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salivary gland</td>
<td>Sialogram</td>
<td>In established disease a sialogram almost always shows characteristic changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other imaging techniques</td>
<td>Pertechnetate scintigraphy is a complex but useful test of secretion from individual glands. It is useful if sialography is not possible but involves a significant radiation dose. Magnetic resonance imaging is useful to delineate the extent of salivary gland swelling if present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor salivary gland biopsy</td>
<td>The histological appearances of salivary glands are characteristic in established disease. Biopsy of major glands is difficult but the same changes may be seen in the minor glands of the lips and cheeks provided a sufficient sample is removed (6–8 glands).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parotid gland biopsy</td>
<td>Biopsy of the tail of the parotid is possible without significant risk to branches of the facial nerve. It provides an excellent sample and may be useful when other techniques have failed or when other conditions need to be excluded. It may also be helpful in the diagnosis of lymphoma in swollen parotid glands. However, it is rarely performed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye</td>
<td>Schirmer test</td>
<td>This measures lacrimal secretion. Numm filter paper strips are placed with one end under the lower eyelid and the length wetted after 5 minutes is recorded. In practice the test is not very reproducible. (It is also uncomfortable and may cause corneal abrasions when the eye is very dry and for this reason is no longer recommended). Ophthalmological examination is preferable but the Schirmer test remains widely used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ophthalmological examination</td>
<td>Examination by an ophthalmologist using a slit lamp will detect conjunctival splits and Rose Bengal staining identifies dried tear secretion on the front of the eye. Though these changes are rarely helpful in diagnosis, examination and follow up are required to prevent long-term complications of dry eyes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The parotid sialogram is shown in Figure 7.3. What do you see? What is your interpretation?

The sialogram shows punctate sialectasis. The major duct is seen but almost no major or minor duct branches are visible. Small round spots of contrast medium are scattered throughout the gland, apparently unconnected with the duct tree. These features have some similarities to those in chronic nonspecific sialadenitis but are much more even and affect the whole gland equally. These features are characteristic of Sjögren’s syndrome.

The minor salivary gland biopsy is shown in Figures 7.4 and 7.5. What do you see?

The low power view shows several minor salivary glands. A minimum of 6–8 glands is required for reliable diagnosis and this sample is sufficient. Even at this low magnification, dark foci of inflammatory cells are visible (though they cannot be identified as such) and it can be seen that the lobular structure of the glands is largely intact.

The high power view shows one gland lobule. Centrally there are three small ducts surrounded by a dense lymphocytic infiltrate. The infiltrate is sharply defined and within the lymphocytic focus there is complete loss of acinar cells (acinar atrophy). Around the lymphocytes there is a zone of essentially normal uninflamed mucous salivary gland.

Fig. 7.3 Parotid sialogram.

How do you interpret these histological appearances?

The focal lymphocytic sialadenitis centred on ducts and concentric sharply defined zones of acinar atrophy
surrounded by normal acini are characteristic of Sjögren’s syndrome.

**Diagnosis**

**What is your final diagnosis?**

The patient has primary Sjögren’s syndrome. The diagnosis was suspected on the basis of history and examination, and is confirmed by the characteristic sialogram and biopsy findings. The primary form of Sjögren’s syndrome is indicated by the lack of autoimmune/connective tissue disease and the positivity for ssA and ssB autoantibodies. The presence of Raynaud’s phenomenon, the severity of the xerostomia and dryness of the eyes are also more consistent with the primary form. In addition the patient has candidosis which is the probable cause of the soreness.

**Treatment**

**How could you contribute to the management of this patient?**

Control of the underlying disease is not possible but the patient requires treatment for complications and continued follow up:

- Treat candidosis and follow up regularly for recurrence.
- Preserve what salivary secretion remains; saliva is more effective than saliva substitutes.
  - Sip water rather than drinking it, so as to expand remaining saliva and not wash it from the mouth.
  - Whenever possible avoid drugs which cause xerostomia.
  - Maintain fluid intake.
  - Stimulate residual salivary flow using chewing gum (sugar-free).
  - Consider using pilocarpine in severe cases (though side-effects and an appropriate dosing regimen can be problematic).
- Prevent and treat dental caries
  - Avoid sweets or overuse of citrus fruit to stimulate salivary flow.
  - Appropriate dietary analysis, preventive advice and fluoride treatment.
  - Treat caries.
- Consider using saliva substitutes though these are generally unsatisfactory and not liked by patients.
  - Carboxymethyl-cellulose and similar starch-based liquids.
  - Mucin-based preparations are more effective and generally better tolerated.
- Warn patient about, and follow up for, attacks of acute bacterial ascending sialadenitis in the major glands, which destroys residual gland function. Treat aggressively if it develops.
- Ensure continued ophthalmological follow up.
- Inform patient’s general medical practitioner to ensure follow up for other complications. Involvement of other exocrine glands can lead to dry skin, dry vagina, pancreatic dysfunction and lung disease.
- Warn patient and follow up for development of persistent salivary gland swelling.
- Provide continued reassurance and care for patients with this distressing condition.

**What is the significance of the development of salivary gland swelling?**

This is usually the first sign of lymphoma development; 10% or more of patients with primary Sjögren’s syndrome eventually develop lymphoma and in some cases gland swelling is the presenting sign. The lymphoma is usually a form of low grade B-cell lymphoma (MALT type) which has a slow indolent growth pattern, remains localized to the salivary glands for a long period and initially responds well to treatment. However, high grade lymphoma may also develop. Persistent gland swelling would be an indication for biopsy.
Case 8

Painful trismus

SUMMARY
A 27-year-old woman is unable to open her mouth normally. What is the diagnosis and how should she be managed?

Extraoral examination
The patient is apyrexial and appears well. There is no facial swelling and the skin colour over the preauricular regions is normal. There is tenderness on palpation over the right condyle but no tenderness on the left side. There is generalized muscular tenderness, particularly of the right masseter and the right lateral pterygoid muscles. Examination of the fingers, wrists and elbows shows an increased range of joint movement.

Intraoral examination
- The patient's appearance is shown in Figure 8.1. She is trying to open her mouth to the maximum extent. What do you see?

There is limited mouth opening and a deviation towards the right side. If you were able to examine the patient you would find that the opening, measured between the tips of the incisor teeth, is 23 mm. Lateral excursions of the mandible were measured at 8 mm to the right and 1 mm to the left. The patient readily achieved a normal position of maximum intercuspation between upper and lower teeth.

Differential diagnosis
- The patient has trismus. What is trismus?

The definition of trismus is reduced opening caused by spasm of the muscles of mastication but the term is used loosely for all causes of limited opening. True trismus is usually temporary.

- What are the causes of trismus?

Causes of limitation of opening include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intra-articular causes</th>
<th>Extra-articular causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal derangement of the joint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractured condyle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic synovitis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septic arthritis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoarthritis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory arthritis, (e.g. rheumatoid or psoriatic)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankylosis (secondary to trauma or infection)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions of the condylar head (e.g. osteochondroma)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma (e.g. fractured mandible not involving the condyle)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postsurgical removal of impacted lower third molar or recent prolonged dental treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute infections of the oral tissues especially involving the cheeks or the muscles of mastication, usually dental in origin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**What are the common causes of pain in the temporomandibular joint?**

There are three conditions that are common and they are usually classified according to the ‘Research Diagnostic Criteria’ or RDC system.

**Myofascial pain dysfunction syndrome**, also known as arthromyalgia or, inappropriately, temporomandibular joint pain-dysfunction. In this condition the masticatory muscles, which move the joint, are the source of the pain and the condition has little or nothing to do with the joint itself. The pain is described as a diffuse ache over the side of the face and has a tight, heavy or dragging quality. The pain can be mild or severe and usually fluctuates in intensity, with bouts of exacerbation lasting hours or sometimes days. Two presentations are seen, one with pain that is worst in the early morning and one in which it builds up through the day and is worst in the early evening. Movements of the jaw are sometimes painful, but not always, and mouth opening is sometimes restricted. Tight bands within tense muscles are thought to be the cause. The condition also affects other parts of the musculoskeletal system, where it may be termed fibromyalgia.

**Internal derangement** of the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) is the term used to describe instability or abnormal position of the articular disc, usually anterior displacement. This gives rise to clicking and locking of the TMJ.

**Osteoarthrosis** or degenerative joint disease is also commonly seen in the TMJ and the condyle in particular. Although commonly ascribed to wear and tear, the cause is probably a failure of cartilage repair with a genetic predisposition. Fibrillation (cracking and fraying) of the articular cartilage and loss of proteoglycans lead to break up of the articular surface. This causes pain on movement, especially when the joint is loaded, for instance during chewing. There is restriction of movement in all directions. Crepitus (a grating or crunching noise and sensation) is also evident.

**Why do temporomandibular joints click?**

Laxity of the posterior distal ligaments allows the disc to move forward into an abnormal position and it may momentarily obstruct forward condylar translation during jaw movements. The disc may be trapped and stretched forwards but further movement releases it suddenly and it snaps back into its normal position, giving rise to the audible and palpable click that the patient appreciates. This is an opening click.

A closing click arises during closing and is caused by the condyle rapidly repositioning posteriorly, displacing the disc anteriorly or medially.

Both are associated with a disc that fails to move in a coordinated manner. When a patient has both an opening and a closing click they are said to suffer from reciprocal clicks. The mechanisms of clicks are shown in Figure 8.2.

**Why do joints lock in internal derangement?**

Sudden locking of the jaw (inability to open) implies total obstruction of forward condylar movement (translation). There are two types of lock: a closed lock and an open lock. Locking and clicking are presentations of the same process – internal derangement of the joint.

If the discal ligaments become very stretched or even ruptured, the disc may move anteriorly into a very displaced position and become fixed in the anterior fornix of the joint space. This completely obstructs forward translation of the condyle. Locking of this type can be acute in onset but usually follows many years of reciprocal clicking. In this case the condyle is stuck behind the disc, the patient cannot open their mouth and so the condition is known as closed lock.

In an open lock the mouth is locked open and the condyle is in a forward position. This happens in subluxation or dislocation of the joint.

Movements of the temporomandibular joint and mechanisms of clicks and open lock are shown in Figure 8.2.

**Does this patient have an intra-articular or extra-articular cause for the locking? Explain why.**

In trismus of extra-articular origin the joint and its capacity to move are unaffected. This condition can be imagined by thinking of the patient as having a piece of string tied between the tip of their nose and the point of the chin. The mouth is unable to open in a vertical direction but lateral and protrusive movements are not restricted. Therefore translation of the condyles permits normal protrusive and lateral excursions despite the fact that the mouth cannot be opened. In this case there is both deviation on opening and restricted lateral excursion, suggesting that the cause is not extra-articular.

When the cause is intra-articular, forward translation of the condyle is normally the first movement to be lost. This component of joint movement occurs in the upper joint space and is required for opening and lateral excursion. Thus, in intra-articular causes of trismus there is usually limitation of movement in all directions, as in the present case.

Movements possible in intra- and extra-articular trismus and locking are shown in Figure 8.3.

**What is the most likely cause?**

There is no history of surgery or trauma, no suggestion of fracture, no inflammation visible over the joint to suggest arthritis and no systemic cause for arthritis. Traumatic synovitis is a possibility but does not usually cause selective impairment of movement; all joint movements are painful.

This leaves internal derangement involving the intra-articular disc as the most likely cause. The progression of clicking to locking with pain and intra-articular trismus of rapid onset is typical of closed lock and fits with the pattern of symptoms and signs seen in this case.

| Muscle spasm of masticatory muscles (e.g. myofascial pain) |
| Disease of masticatory muscles (e.g. myositis ossificans) |
| Scarring of muscles, skin or mucosa (e.g. submucous fibrosis, scleroderma or after radiotherapy) |
| Inflammatory conditions of the oral mucosa (e.g. painful ulcerative conditions or other forms of stomatitis) |
| Tetanus |
Fig. 8.2 Movements of the temporomandibular joint during the normal opening and closing cycle, with reciprocal clicking and in closed lock. The structure of the normal temporomandibular joint is shown in the upper panel, with the components of the articular disc and joint capsule. The top row shows the normal opening and closing cycle. Rotation occurs in the lower joint compartment and translation in the upper. The mechanism of reciprocal clicking is shown in the middle row with arrows indicating the sudden movements of disc and condyle that cause opening (O) and closing (C) clicks respectively. The bottom row shows partial opening in a patient with closed lock as a result of anterior displacement of the disc with reduced translation and opening. 1, External auditory meatus; 2, bilaminar region of disc; 3, posterior band of disc; 4, intermediate zone of disc; 5, anterior band of disc; 6, insertion of lateral pterygoid.

In this case the patient is still able to translate the left condyle forward, causing deviation to the right on opening. Lateral excursion to the right was normal at 8 mm. Therefore the cause of the restricted opening is internal derangement of the right joint.

Investigations

What investigations may help?

Plain radiographs will probably show no abnormality because there is no change in the bony structures of the joint. If a pathological process other than internal derangement is suspected then radiography may be helpful. A dental panoramic tomogram is usually the first view of choice with other tomographic projections including spiral tomography cone beam CT or CT giving additional information. Alternatively transpharyngeal or transcranial projections give clearer views but with a higher radiation dose.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) would show the malpositioned disc and this may sometimes be helpful in diagnosis. Images from this patient’s magnetic resonance scan are shown in Figure 8.4.

Arthrography – radiography with a contrast medium injected into the joint – is possible. Lower joint space
arthrograms are more helpful but it is more difficult to inject medium into the lower joint space.

Serology for rheumatoid factor and an autoantibody profile may be indicated if a polyarthritis is suspected. However, some causes of arthritis are seronegative, for instance psoriasis and ankylosing spondylitis.

In this, and most other cases, the clinical picture is sufficiently clear to make the diagnosis and these investigations are not normally required.

**Treatment**

**How should this condition be managed?**

Most cases of closed lock resolve spontaneously. A consensus view supports conservative management for a period of at least 3–6 months with prescription of analgesics if required. The joint should be mobilized gently as the condition permits.

Forced manipulation of the joint, especially in the early phase, is generally not helpful. It used to be thought that dislocated discs should be surgically repositioned during an open joint operation. This produces about a 90% cure but the same result is obtained spontaneously without surgical intervention.

Proponents of open joint surgery argue that the disc needs to be pulled back into its normal anatomical position and the posterior attachments shortened to keep it there. However, MRI studies have shown that the disc often relapses following surgery and also that an anterior position of the disc is often perfectly compatible with normal joint function. It seems likely that the condyle may adapt to the altered disc position by a process of remodelling.
Suppose there is no improvement after 6 months?

In a few cases limitation persists longer than 6 months and then may respond to arthrocentesis – injection of sterile saline or Hartmann’s salt solution into the joint to break down adhesions between the disc and the bony joint components. This procedure can be performed either blind or under arthroscopic guidance and is sometimes referred to as *lysis and lavage*. In the event that this procedure is not successful, surgical meniscectomy and disc replacement may be indicated but is required in only a very small number of patients.

Another possibility

Is there any significance in the history of joint hypermobility?

Yes. Those with hypermobile joints are at higher risk of temporomandibular joint derangement, as well as damage to other joints. However, only a small proportion of patients with temporomandibular joint derangement will have this particular predisposing factor. Joint hypermobility would not be a result of the patient’s childhood gymnastics but those with mobile joints are likely to perform well in such sports.
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A large carious lesion

SUMMARY

How will you deal with a large carious lesion in a maxillary molar tooth?

Examination

Extraoral examination

The extraoral examination reveals no significant abnormality.

Intraoral examination

There is no tenderness or swelling over the buccal or palatal roots.

- The maxillary right second molar is shown in Figure 9.1. What do you see and how do you assess the problem so far?

The history is classical of acute pulpitis and repeated attacks of pain – as opposed to continuous severe pain – probably indicate that the pulp can be preserved if the caries is treated. The fact that there is no pain on biting and no tenderness over the roots also suggests a reversible pulpitis and excludes periapical periodontitis.

There is a deep, cavitated lesion on the occlusal surface with extensive exposed carious dentine. Opaque white demineralization of the marginal, unsupported enamel indicates that it is also carious, despite not having fractured off. The dark colour of the tooth distally suggests that caries undermines enamel to the distal marginal ridge and it certainly involves the dentine supporting the enamel of the mesial approximal surface.

This is a relatively small upper second molar. Females have slightly smaller teeth, and when second molars are this small, the third molar may not have developed. This may influence the decision to restore or extract the tooth.

Investigations

- What investigations would you carry out?

  A test of tooth vitality (sensitivity) is required even though the symptoms suggest a vital pulp. This is a large cavity in a molar and partial pulp vitality is a possibility.

  A radiograph is required for a variety of reasons. The proximity of the lesion to the pulp and its lateral extent, undermining cusps and the approximal enamel, will affect restorability. Pulp size reduction by reactionary dentine will also be visible. It may also be possible to see evidence of periapical periodontitis, widening of the periodontal ligament and loss of the lamina dura. The ideal views would be a bitewing or periapical depending on your suspicions about pulp vitality or caries in other teeth.

When you perform these investigations, the tooth responds quickly and strongly to ethyl chloride (cold), indicating a hypersensitive pulp, though the proximity of the pulp to the cavity may also contribute to this strong response.
A LARGE CARIOUS LESION

Fig. 9.2 Radiograph of the tooth.

The radiograph is shown in Figure 9.2. What do you see?

The coronal radiolucency indicating caries is extensive. It reaches the inner third of the dentine close to the pulp. There appears to be a bridge of intact dentine between the lesion and the pulp chamber. Caries has undermined most of the occlusal enamel and also the distal enamel, but there are no separate approximal lesions or cavitation present. The coronal pulp chamber is rounded and much reduced in size, with the pulp horns obliterated by reactionary ('tertiary') dentine.

There is slight radicular ‘burn-out’ of the dentine immediately above and below the mesial and distal amelodentinal junction. This could be mistaken for caries extending from the crown into the distal root, but the shape and site of the relative radiolucency do not suggest caries.

Diagnosis

What is your diagnosis?

Acute pulpitis in the maxillary second molar caused by extensive occlusal caries. The pulp appears vital and there is no evidence of periapical periodontitis. The pulp does not appear exposed radiographically.

Treatment

Is this tooth restorable?

Yes. The prognosis must be guarded as the pulp may still lose vitality, as a result of either the caries or restoration. There is no indication for immediate endodontic treatment.

What will you do first?

The patient is in pain and the immediate response must be to relieve it. Pulpitis does not respond to analgesics drugs and the appropriate treatment is restoration.

If a permanent restoration cannot be placed immediately, a temporary dressing could be placed following partial excavation of caries. This would relieve pain by removing the stimulus (bacterial products and acid penetrating the dentine) and by insulating the inflamed pulp from the triggering stimuli. Eugenol-containing temporary filling materials have an obtundent effect on the pulp, reducing pain. However, it must be noted that eugenol-containing materials must not be used if the final restoration to be placed is an adhesive composite as the eugenol adversely affects the bond/polymerization process. A second alternative might be to place a longer-term provisional restoration with a calcium hydroxide lining, in an attempt to induce further reactionary dentine formation in the pulp. However, the carious lesion does not appear to be in imminent danger of breaching the pulp.

Removal of caries will leave a weakened crown, more susceptible to fracture under normal occlusal loading. An immediate definitive restoration is the best course of action. Subsequently, the patient needs a full caries risk assessment and an intensive preventive regime that may include topical fluorides if other lesions are found. Further details are given in problem 1.

Why not extract this tooth?

Such a large lesion in a casual dental patient might well lead to extraction if that were the patient’s wish. However, this is a young patient and she may not have a third molar or, if it is present, it may not erupt spontaneously after extraction of the second molar. Even though the prognosis may be guarded there is a good chance that this tooth could be retained for many years. This would be the better immediate option because the condition of the rest of the dentition has not yet been fully assessed.

Operative treatment

How will you provide analgesia?

A buccal infiltration of local anaesthetic including a vasoconstrictor should be sufficient. There is no continuous pulpal pain to suggest that obtaining analgesia will be a problem. If this fails, palatal infiltration would be an appropriate next step, or intraligamentary injection.

Is rubber dam essential for this restoration?

Yes, it is required. There is a risk of pulp exposure. Though this is small, contamination of the cavity by oral bacteria could reduce the chances of success of subsequent endodontic treatment.

The lesion is extensive and it may be that some carious tissue will have to be retained. The marginal seal of the restoration will be critical to its final success. An adhesive restoration will require a controllable, dry field to achieve the best seal. Rubber dam will also help by controlling the soft tissues, improving visibility and access. The final quality of restorations placed under rubber dam is considered to be higher than those placed without.

What materials could you use to restore the cavity?

The choice of restorative material will be a compromise between physicochemical properties, the skill of the dentist placing the restoration and the ability of the patient to maintain it. Choices for this cavity are shown in Table 9.1.
Table 9.1 Potential direct restorative materials for this tooth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resin composite</td>
<td>Good appearance, favourable wear characteristics and mechanical properties, intact enamel margins</td>
<td>Technique sensitivity (moisture control, multiple increments), high polymerization shrinkage and shrinkage stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass ionomer cement</td>
<td>Less technique-sensitive (more moisture-tolerant than resins), bulk fill possible, fluoride released, dynamic chemical exchange, bonds to both enamel and dentine</td>
<td>Good initial, but poorer long-term, appearance and mechanical properties than composite (brittle fracture and abrasion resistance lower after initial set)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesive layered restoration</td>
<td>Most useful for very deep cavities with margins primarily in dentine. Good mechanical properties with GIC as dentine replacement, composite replacing enamel. Good appearance and long-lasting. No base or lining required</td>
<td>Technique sensitivity as for composite, choice between using GIC or resin-modified GIC as the dentine replacement, and their poor resistance to acid-etch, bulk GIC required for strength and durability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amalgam</td>
<td>Least technique-sensitive, good mechanical properties, longevity</td>
<td>Poor appearance, risk of overpreparation to provide macroretention (possibly may be partly overcome with bonded amalgams)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Which is the most appropriate choice for this cavity?**

There is no absolutely correct answer, for the reasons given in the previous paragraph. In this case the decision was made to use resin composite. Composite would adhere well to the extensive supragingival enamel margins and the depth of the cavity might not have been sufficient to accommodate a layered restoration. The material will help support the surrounding tooth structure due to its adhesive and mechanical properties. One disadvantage of composite alone is the need to place the restoration in small increments to reduce shrinkage stress on the cavity margin. This is especially important in this case where there is considerable poorly supported enamel, but can be overcome by using the latest low-shrink composite materials (for which less than 0.9% shrinkage by volume is claimed).

**How do you gain access to the lesion? How much enamel will you remove?**

The lesion is already open, making access to the dentine straightforward, but much of the occlusal enamel is unsupported and weakened. Ideally, enamel at the margins should be completely sound to ensure etching and adhesion are effective. Unfortunately, this is not always easy to assess clinically. All frosted, demineralized, unsupported and friable enamel must be removed. Pressure with a hand instrument will fracture off unsupported enamel if unsure. Compromises might have to be made to conserve the cusp enamel. Once the enamel joining the cusps and forming the thick outer ring of the occlusal surface is lost, much of the strength of the crown is lost. A tungsten carbide or diamond bur in an air-turbine handpiece or hand instruments may be used to remove the enamel and introduce a slight bevel if required. (Figure 9.3.)

**How should you remove the carious dentine?**

Conventionally, carious dentine is removed with large slowly revolving rose-head, carbon-steel burs and hand excavators. Possible alternative techniques are shown in Table 9.2.

No method is completely guaranteed to remove only the necessary amount of caries. The best methods are those that give the most tactile feedback to the operator because assessment of softening and texture is critical to remove the optimum amount of dentine. These include the mechanical and chemomechanical methods.

**Table 9.2 Techniques for excavation of carious dentine**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Mechanism/advantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burs</td>
<td>Mechanical, rotary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand excavators</td>
<td>Mechanical, non-rotary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-abrasion (alumina/bioglass), ultrasoms, sono-abrasion, air polishing</td>
<td>Mechanical, non-rotary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbide, Carisolv gel, enzyme-based gels</td>
<td>Chemo-mechanical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasers</td>
<td>Ablative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photoactive disinfection (PDA), ozone</td>
<td>Oxidative destruction and bacterial killing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fig. 9.3* The lesion after unsupported enamel removal.

**How much carious dentine needs to be removed?**

The 'correct' amount to remove is the minimum required to restore the tooth successfully and prevent disease progression. In order to achieve this, it is necessary to understand the structure of different parts of a carious lesion. There is a continuous spectrum of degrees of dentine destruction in caries. At the advancing front (at the depth of the lesion closest to the pulp) there is demineralization (and remineralization during reversal). Behind that, the tubules are widened by demineralization and can be more easily penetrated by bacteria. Between this layer and the
surface is progressive destruction of the dentine by both
demineralization and proteolysis induced by bacteria. The
dentine structure is destroyed and numerous bacteria live
within it.

This continuous spectrum of destruction is conventionally
divided into zones to aid understanding, but it must be
appreciated that this is an artificial concept and that the
boundaries of the zones are based on histological
examination, not clinical appearance.

Dentine caries can be divided into zones of destruction,
bacterial penetration and demineralization, or caries-infected
and affected zones. The features of the zones are shown in
Table 9.3.

In addition there are changes in the dentine caused by
reactions of the pulp–dentine complex. These are not part of
the caries process (they may be induced by trauma, attrition
or age, for example) but they are present to some degree in
carious but vital teeth and form an integral part of the overall
picture. The pulp–dentine defence reactions are shown in
Table 9.4.

During caries removal, the aim should be to remove all
dentine that contains bacteria. Dentine that is only softened
by acid can partially remineralize and repair, and may be
retained under certain circumstances. Thus it will be
necessary to identify the layer or zone of the lesion that
clinically corresponds to the level of the red line in Table 9.3
and in Figure 9.4.

How can you recognize this level clinically?

This is difficult to do with any accuracy. The relatively smooth
lines marking the edges of the zones in Figure 9.4 do not
reflect the irregularly shaped advancing front and the small

Table 9.3  Histological layers of dentine caries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Dentine caries zones</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superficial (closest to enamel)</td>
<td>Destruction</td>
<td>Infected, irreversibly denatured and demineralized, 'necrotic' dentine with little residual tubular structure and lateral clefts. Loss of odontoblast processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>Bacterial penetration</td>
<td>Infected, bacteria penetrate along tubules widened by demineralization. But there is less damage to the tubular structure. Loss of odontoblast processes, less loss of mineral and collagen breakdown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deepest (closest to pulp)</td>
<td>Demineralization</td>
<td>Affected, tubules widened by acid diffusing ahead of the advancing bacteria, dentine softened but structurally intact. Loss of odontoblast processes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9.4  Pulp–dentine complex responses to dentine caries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction/change</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peritubular reactionary dentine</td>
<td>Forms on the inner wall of tubules where odontoblast processes remain alive. Gradually obliterates tubules around the sides of the carious lesion and between it and the pulp. Occlusion of the tubules makes the dentine translucent and more radiodense. This is known as the translucent zone (not to be confused with the translucent zone in enamel caries, which is quite different). The circumpulpal dentine has the largest tubule diameter and is softer and more porous than dentine further from the pulp. Peritubular sclerosis is therefore a very useful reaction in the deepest layers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular reactionary dentine ('tertiary' dentine)</td>
<td>Forms in the pulp below the lesion, obliterating pulp horns and increasing the amount of dentine between canes and the pulp. Only slowly formed reactionary dentine is regular and it forms a good barrier to caries, even though it does not always form at the most useful sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular reactionary dentine ('tertiary' dentine)</td>
<td>A rapidly formed reactionary dentine in the pulp below canes. As regular reactionary dentine, but forms a less well-organized, more permeable and less effective barrier.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9.4  The zones of dentine caries and pulp–dentine defence reactions. Left panel, zones of caries: A Infected dentine (including the zones of dentine destruction and bacterial penetration along intact tubules); B affected, demineralized dentine. Right panel, pulp defence reactions: C translucent zone formed by peritubular sclerosis surrounds the lesion; D regular and/or irregular reactionary ('tertiary') dentine that has reduced the size of the pulp; E pulpitis, an immunological and inflammatory reaction triggered by odontoblast damage, but not helpful in resisting the advance of caries.
tongues of bacteria that penetrate tubules in advance of the main lesion.

It is universally accepted that not all bacteria have to be removed during excavation. Those that are sealed from the oral environment, the primary source of their substrate, will either die or become dormant. Provided the restoration provides a good seal, small numbers of retained bacteria will not be sufficient to allow caries to progress below the restoration. Removal of grossly infected dentine is relatively straightforward. All superficial, very soft, wet, often more darkly stained dentine is highly infected and is readily excavated.

Whether the underlying caries-affected dentine, which can potentially be repaired by the dentine–pulp complex, may be spared is a decision that must be made on a case-by-case basis. It is usually preserved in the cavity depths to protect the pulp but cleared from around the enamel–dentine junction to ensure that the peripheral seal is effective. Caries-affected dentine is often stained and is softer than the surrounding healthy dentine. A possible clinical indicator that the boundary zone between infected and affected dentine has been reached is that the cavity surface is both scratchy and sticky to a sharp dental probe. Unfortunately this sensation cannot be appreciated in the words from a textbook and requires experience of the sensation!

**Can caries detector dyes help identify the zones in carious dentine?**

The original caries detector dyes, developed in the 1970s and based on propylene glycol solutions, were claimed to stain collagen that had become denatured by the carious process. However, they tended to penetrate too far into dentine and stain acid-denatured collagen, thus staining both infected and affected zones, and even sound dentine. Removing all dye-stained dentine therefore risked excessive and unnecessary dentine removal.

New caries indicators under development detect bacterial metabolism and have the potential to identify the infected zone more accurately in future.

**The appearance after initial caries removal is shown in Figure 9.5. What do you see?**

The dentine surface is still friable and flakes parallel with the surface, along clefts that are the result of bacteria breaking out of the tubules. The base of the cavity must still be in the infected zone. It is just starting to feel scratchy with a probe. Further caries should be removed.

**The final extent of excavation is shown in Figure 9.6. What do you see?**

Further dentine has been removed around the periphery. Affected dentine over the pulp has been retained. It does not show the gross flaking seen in Figure 9.5, but is still stained but dry, appearing matt. It is still noticeably soft but distinctly scratchy with a sharp probe. Consideration must be given of the relative hardness of the dentine retained at the base of the final cavity. If it is too soft, there is a risk that cohesive failure could occur within the dentine itself on shrinkage of an adhesive restorative material.

**Should this restoration have a mesial box?**

The amelodentinal junction is clearly visible in Figure 9.6 and both mesial and distal enamel have lost all, or most, of their support. There is an argument that the unsupported enamel will fracture, leading to failure of the restoration. If amalgam were being used, then both mesial and distal boxes would definitely be required. Conversely, removing the mesial or distal enamel would seriously compromise the strength of the remaining crown and predispose to cuspal fracture, particularly buccally. Modern adhesive materials have good compressive strength and adhesion to the whole of the dentine and enamel inner surface of the cavity and will provide support for the enamel. It must be accepted that leaving this enamel does incur a small risk of failure, but the potential benefit of a more conservative approach outweighs the risk. Approximal caries, adverse occlusion or the possibility that the patient might not return immediately if the tooth fractured would influence this decision.
Surely caries has been left under this restoration and it will fail?

In the recent past, this cavity would have been considered inadequate, with soft and stained dentine remaining on the cavity floor. There are almost certainly bacteria remaining in the dentine. If this cavity were to be prepared for amalgam, with its inherently poor marginal seal, the restoration would probably fail fairly quickly. However, the principles of caries removal have been revolutionized partly by the advent of adhesive restorations, which seal effectively, and partly by recognition that dentine caries may remineralize.

There is a risk that this more conservative approach will lead to failure if the quality of the overlying restoration is suboptimal. Leaving more caries than was accepted in the past does carry a risk, but the affected dentine has been left behind for specific reasons. This conservative approach will require continued monitoring for success.

Annual review will permit any visual changes to the restoration and its seal to be noticed. Early in its life, the restoration may develop some staining along sections of the margin and this does not constitute failure of the seal. However, if staining is accompanied by roughness to a sharp dental probe (not accounted for by fracture of composite ‘flash’), this might indicate the seal has been breached and the restoration would require repair or possibly replacement, depending on the extent of the breakdown.

What is stepwise excavation?

A technique in which caries is removed in increments to allow the dentine–pulp complex to heal the deeper layers of caries-affected dentine. Superficial infected dentine is removed and a provisional restoration placed to seal in the remaining tissue. Over a period of months, the deepest affected dentine remineralizes and develops an appearance similar to arrested carious dentine: darker, harder and drier than previously. Peritubular and reactionary dentine are also laid down around the lesion and at the pulp interface during this period.

If this technique were to be followed, the restoration would be removed after approximately 9 months, further carious dentine excavated and a permanent restoration placed. Current evidence suggests that this is clinically unnecessary. The peripheral seal of modern adhesive restorations is now so good that arrest and remineralization are predictable.

The notes might record that this tooth has been excavated conservatively and re-restoration can be delayed until either the restoration peripheral seal fails or, perhaps, some unsupported enamel fractures off. At that stage a decision can be made about further excavation. After removal of the failed restoration, if the cavity floor is hard and dry, no further dentine removal may be necessary.

Does the cavity require a lining for pulp protection?

The purposes of cavity linings are:

1. to protect the pulp from the bacterial infection and diffusion of bacterial acid and toxins
2. to seal, impregnate and mechanically reinforce any layer of caries-affected dentine that may have been retained
3. to stimulate the dentine–pulp complex to lay down tertiary dentine as a defence response, usually when using calcium hydroxide-based linings
4. to protect the pulp from thermal, electrical and mechanical stimuli transmitted through the overlying restoration.

Many adhesive restorative materials and bonding agents carry out all these functions perfectly adequately and so the value of a separate lining is nowadays seriously debatable. However, it is still a common practice amongst some dentists to place a minimal lining at the base of a deep cavity close to the pulp, often using a calcium hydroxide or glass ionomer cement.

The final restoration is shown in Figure 9.7. It was finished using composite finishing burs and polishing discs and pastes to improve the final lustre of the restoration surface.
A lump on the gingiva

SUMMARY
A 48-year-old man presents to you in general dental practice with a gingival swelling. What is the cause and what would you do?

Examination

Extraoral examination
He is healthy looking but slightly overweight. There are no palpable cervical lymph nodes.

Intraoral examination
The patient is partially dentate and has relatively few and extensively restored teeth. He wears an upper partial denture. The root of the upper lateral incisor is present and its carious surface lies at the level of the alveolar ridge. The teeth on each side of the lesion are restored with metal–ceramic crowns.

There is a mild degree of marginal gingivitis. Most of the interdental papillae are rounded and marginal inflammation is present around crowns. Flecks of subgingival calculus are visible.

The appearance of the lesion is shown in Figure 10.1. Describe its features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Appears to arise from the gingival margin of the lateral incisor root or the interdental papilla mesially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Approximately 10 × 7 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape and contour</td>
<td>Irregular rounded nodule. It is not possible to say whether it is pedunculated or sessile, though from its size and the fact that it overlies the lateral incisor root, it is probably pedunculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>Patchy red and pink with a thin grey translucent sheen. The surface is almost certainly ulcerated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you were able to palpate the lesion you would find that it is fleshy and soft and attached by a thin base to the gingival margin. It bleeds readily from between the tooth and lesion when pressed with an instrument but it is not tender.

From the information in the history and examination so far, what is your differential diagnosis?

Likely:
- Pyogenic granuloma (if the patient had been female, pregnancy epulis might have been considered)
- Fibrous epulis

Less likely:
- Peripheral giant cell granuloma
- Sinus papilla (parulis)

Unlikely:
- Papilloma
- Benign hamartoma or neoplasm
- Malignant neoplasm.

Justify your differential diagnosis.

A very wide range of lesions may affect the gingiva and many possible causes cannot be excluded on the basis of the information given so far. However, the gingiva is the site of predilection for a number of inflammatory hyperplastic lesions comprising fibrous tissue and epithelium. All are
investigations and findings

**Pyogenic granuloma** is a localized proliferation of granulation tissue or very vascular fibrous tissue. It arises in association with a local irritant such as poor oral hygiene, calculus or the margin of a restoration. The present lesion has many features of the pyogenic granuloma: it is asymptomatic, soft and vascular, bleeds readily, and has an ulcerated surface. If the patient had been female, a pregnancy epulis (a variant of pyogenic granuloma arising during pregnancy) would have been possible.

**Fibrous epulis (gingival fibroepithelial polyp/nodule)** is a nodule of more fibrous hyperplastic tissue. It is not usually ulcerated, is firmer on palpation and does not bleed so readily. Some fibrous epulides develop from pyogenic granulomas by maturation of the fibrous tissue and some arise de novo. They are usually associated with a local irritant in the same manner as pyogenic granulomas. The current lesion could well be a fibrous epulis, though its vascularity and red colour are more suggestive of pyogenic granuloma. These two names are really no more than convenient labels for lesions at opposite ends of a spectrum ranging from granulation tissue to dense fibrous tissue. All are hyperplastic.

**Peripheral giant cell granuloma** is another hyperplastic lesion which seems to develop in response to a local irritant. Clinically it may have a deep red maroon or blue colour, but is otherwise indistinguishable from pyogenic granuloma or fibrous epulis. However, histologically it is distinctive, containing numerous multinucleate osteoclast-like giant cells lying in a very cellular vascular stroma. The giant cell epulis is commoner in children, though it can arise in an adult. While it cannot be excluded, it is a less likely diagnosis for the present lesion.

**Sinus papilla (parulis)** is essentially a pyogenic granuloma developing at the opening of a sinus. Infection and inflammation are the stimuli inducing hyperplasia. If the sinus heals, the sinus papilla may disappear or it may mature and shrink into a small fibrous nodule. The usual site is on the alveolar mucosa and the lesion is usually no more than 4 or 5 mm across. This is an unlikely cause.

**Papillomas** are lesions of proliferating epithelium. Their exact cause is not always clear though it is generally considered that most are caused by human papilloma virus infection. Others do not appear to contain virus and may be benign neoplasms. Papillomas may arise at any site in the oral cavity but are often seen at the gingival margin and lips. Sometimes patients have warts on their fingers as well. Papillomas usually have a white spiky or frond-covered surface or a smoother cauliflower-like surface and neither is seen in the present lesion. Papillomas do not bleed easily and this seems an unlikely diagnosis.

It would not be useful to list the many other possible causes, but a few groups of lesions might also be considered.

**Hamartomas and benign neoplasms** can arise at all sites. If this were such a lesion a haemangioma would be likely in view of the vascularity. A haemangioma could appear very similar to a pyogenic granuloma.

**Odontogenic tumours** can occasionally arise extraosseously in the gingiva but usually form uninflamed sessile nodules.

**Malignant neoplasms** occasionally present in the gingiva. Metastatic deposits are commoner than primary lesions and leukaemia is the most likely cause. Kaposis sarcoma might also be considered in an HIV-positive individual. Both these lesions are vascular, may bleed on pressure and ulcerate.

### Further examination and investigations

- **What further examinations and investigations would you perform? Explain why.**

  The definitive diagnosis will require a biopsy, and excision is indicated. However a number of other investigations (Table 10.1) need to be performed to identify possible causes. If the cause is left untreated the lesion may recur after excision.

  The results of these further examinations are shown in Table 10.1.

### Differential diagnosis

- **What is the most likely diagnosis?**

  On the basis of the clinical appearance and the results of the tests in Table 10.1 the lesion is almost certainly a pyogenic granuloma or fibrous epulis.

### Treatment

- **What treatment would you provide?**
  - Excision biopsy
  - Removal of causative factors, i.e. plaque and calculus
  - Provide treatment for the generalized periodontitis
  - Extract or restore the lateral incisor root.

### Table 10.1 Investigations and findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Findings in this patient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Periodontal examination</td>
<td>To assess pocketing around the lesion and detect subgingival calculus, a common cause</td>
<td>There is generalized chronic adult periodontitis with loss of attachment of 3–4 mm. There is a 5-mm probing depth adjacent to the lesion, most of which is false pocket below the lesion. This pocket and others contain subgingival calculus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests of vitality of the adjacent incisor and canine</td>
<td>To determine whether the cause could be irritation from a periapical infection draining into the pocket</td>
<td>Both teeth are vital on electric pulp testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulpal view of the incisor and canine</td>
<td>Not useful for diagnosis but might be indicated on the basis of probing or vitality tests</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10.2 Obtaining a report on a biopsy specimen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avoid distortion or crushing of specimen</td>
<td>If a suture has been placed through the lesion to hold it and prevent it being lost in the vacuum, do not remove it. Cut the thread a centimetre or so from the lesion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure rapid and efficient fixation</td>
<td>Place immediately in 10 times the tissue volume of 10% formal saline (available in biopsy containers from pharmacies, hospital suppliers and some pathology departments). In the absence of fixative, postpone the biopsy if possible. Spirits and other solutions used in dental surgeries are ineffective. An unfixed specimen will autolyse (rot) on its way to the laboratory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide the pathologist with sufficient clinical information to enable diagnosis</td>
<td>Fill in a request form or write a letter including the patient’s name, age and sex, a complete clinical description of the lesion, the differential diagnosis and medical history. Include any details of previous lesions or lesions elsewhere in the mouth. Do not forget your own name and practice address and phone number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect those handling the specimen in transit</td>
<td>Package the specimen according to the Post Office regulations for sending hazardous materials through the post. Make sure the container is labelled with a hazard sticker identifying the contents as formalin. Place the specimen container in either an unbreakable second container or box with padding. Include enough absorbent material (e.g. tissue) to soak up all the formalin in the pack in the event of breakage. Label the package ’Pathology specimen – handle with care’ and send by first-class post.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Would you perform this biopsy in general dental practice? What complications might develop?

Yes: this amounts to no more than the removal of a flap of gingiva, and ideally this would be performed in general practice. The only significant complication might be bleeding because this is a very vascular lesion. However, haemostasis should not prove a problem because pressure can be readily applied to the gingival margin.

How would you obtain a report on the biopsy specimen?

Most histopathology departments, either specialized oral pathology departments associated with dental schools, or departments in district general or other hospitals, provide postal or courier pathology services for the dentists and/or medical practitioners in their area.

The steps to be taken after removal are shown in Table 10.2.

Diagnosis

The microscopic appearances of the biopsy specimen are shown in Figures 10.2 and 10.3. What do you see and how do you interpret them?

The surface is ulcerated and covered by a slough of fibrin containing nuclei of inflammatory cells. At higher power you would be able to identify these as neutrophils. Below the surface is a pale-stained tissue in which the endothelial lining of numerous small blood vessels stands out. The vessels have a radiating pattern and point towards the surface reflecting a pattern of growth outwards from the centre. Between the vessels there is a little fibrin and the tissue is oedematous or myxoid or both. More deeply there is a cluster of inflammatory cells and collagen bundles are more prominent between the vessels.

The lesion is a nodule of ulcerated maturing granulation and fibrous tissue.

What is the diagnosis?

Pyogenic granuloma.

Other possibilities

Is a more conservative approach to treatment ever justified?

Yes: elimination of the causative factors may induce considerable resolution. However, the degree of resolution varies; softer more vascular lesions shrink most and firmer more fibrous lesions hardly at all. Removal of calculus and improved oral hygiene may cause partial resolution and leave a smaller lesion which is easier to excise and bleeds much.
less. Such a course of action is often appropriate for treatment of pregnancy epulis, both because of the wish to avoid the procedure during pregnancy and because excision during pregnancy carries a risk of recurrence. Definitive excision may then be delayed until after parturition. Occasionally resolution is almost complete and no further treatment is required.

If, on removing the lesion, you felt bone within it, what would this signify?

Woven and lamellar bone, sometimes quite large pieces, can lie within fibrous epulides and pyogenic granulomas. Bone may be noted on excision or on histological examination. Sometimes such lesions are referred to as mineralizing epulides (or peripheral ossifying fibroma in the US). The presence of bone seems to be of no great significance and it may indicate that such lesions arise by proliferation of the deep fibrous tissue of the periosteum. Some consider lesions containing bone more likely to recur than those without but there is no good evidence to support this belief.
Case 11

Pain on biting

SUMMARY
A 32-year-old man presents at your general dental practice surgery with intermittent pain on biting. Identify the cause and discuss treatment options.

Dental history
The patient has been a regular attender at your practice since childhood. He has a small number of relatively small restorations. At his last appointment, some 4 months ago you placed an amalgam restoration in the lower right second molar.

- Based on what you know already what are the likely causes? Explain why.

  A pulpal pain is the most likely cause because the pain appears to originate in a tooth and is poorly localized. Pain of periodontal ligament origin should be well localized. However, pulpitis appears not be present because there is no sensitivity to hot or cold. Pulpitis caused by placement of the recent amalgams and pain due to caries or exposed dentine can be excluded for the same reasons.

  A crack in the tooth or electrogalvanic pain are possible causes suggested by pain on biting. Both are triggered by tooth–tooth contact.

  Trigeminal neuralgia should be considered as an unlikely nondental cause. It causes paroxysmal stabbing or electric shock-like facial pain in distributions of the trigeminal nerve and may be initiated by touching or moving trigger zones. It usually affects the middle-aged or elderly. The history of pain on biting is almost conclusive of a dental cause but it can be difficult to exclude trigeminal neuralgia in some patients, particularly when trigger zones lie in the mouth or attacks are triggered by eating. If no dental cause is found, the possibility of trigeminal neuralgia may need further investigation.

  Acute periodontitis caused by an occlusal high spot on the recently placed amalgam needs to be considered. However, although this could cause great tenderness on biting it would be expected that the pain from the bruised periodontium would be present at other times. Also, such periodontally-sensed pain would be well localized.

- What additional questions would you ask? Why?

  The patient should be asked about clenching or bruxing of the teeth because the additional occlusal load can cause fracture and will determine treatment options.

  The patient describes a habit of nocturnal bruxism with some tenderness of masticatory muscles at times of stress.

Examination

Extraoral examination
There is a suspicion of hypertrophy of the masseter muscles on clenching.

Intraoral examination
The incisal edges of the upper and lower anterior teeth are worn and the dentine is exposed. The cusps of the posterior teeth are slightly flattened or rounded consistent with mild attrition. There is no evidence of any loss of attachment or gingival recession.
The appearance of the teeth in the lower right quadrant is shown in Figure 11.1. The lower right molars and premolars contain small- to moderate-sized MOD amalgam restorations, those in the molars having small buccal extensions. The upper molars have small separate MO and DO amalgams containing small- to moderate-sized MOD amalgam restorations. The upper premolars are unrestored.

### Differential diagnosis

#### What is your differential diagnosis? Why?

The pain is almost certainly caused by a cracked cusp or crown. The presence of masseteric hypertrophy and attrition on the occlusal surfaces of the teeth would suggest a parafunctional habit that could predispose the tooth to cracking. Galvanic pain may be excluded because there are no occluding restorations of dissimilar metals.

#### Which tooth would you suspect? Why?

The lower second molar appears the most likely to be cracked. It should be investigated first because the pain seems to have started shortly after restoration. The risk of cracking depends on the size of restorations. The upper teeth have small restorations which are limited to fissures and mesial and distal surfaces. In the upper molar the ridge of enamel joining the distobuccal to mesiolingual cusps is intact so that cusps are unlikely to be undermined. Intact teeth can also crack, though usually only in association with increased occlusal load. The most susceptible teeth are the premolars because moderately sized amalgams undermine the lingual and palatal cusps in the small crowns. Lower first molars are also prone to crack because they tend to contain the largest restorations in the mouth. Root-filled teeth are prone to crack but obviously could not cause a pulpal pain. Symptoms would then only be produced if the periodontal ligament were involved and the pain would be well localized.

### Investigations

#### What tests and further examinations would you perform to identify the causative tooth? What do the results tell you?

The investigations are described in Table 11.1.

On performing these tests you discover that all the teeth in the quadrant are vital. Biting on cotton wool on the lower second molar provokes pain that the patient identifies as the same as that on biting. No particular cusp can be identified and no crack can be found.

### Treatment

#### What would you do next? Explain why.

The path of the crack must be defined as far as possible because this will determine treatment options. The restoration(s) in the tooth should be removed and a further attempt made to find the crack using transillumination and dye as described in Table 11.1. If the crack appears to enter the pulp or be directed towards it, root treatment will be required.

After investigation the crack is found to run across the mesiolingual cusp and disappear subgingivally. It does not appear to enter the pulp.

---

**Table 11.1** Identifying the causative tooth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigation</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tests of vitality of all teeth in the lower right quadrant should be performed, either with an electric pulp tester or a cold stimulus.</td>
<td>The pain must originate from a vital tooth. It is also possible that the cracked tooth might be hypersensitive. This could aid diagnosis though hypersensitivity to testing would not be expected in the absence of pain on hot and cold. Vitality might also affect the choice of treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close examination with a good light (a bright fibre optic is especially useful for transillumination). A soluble dye such as a disclosing agent may be painted onto the crown. After the excess is washed off small amounts may remain in the crack rendering it visible.</td>
<td>May reveal a crack.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempts to stimulate the pain by pressing the handle of an instrument against each cusp, preferably from more than one direction.</td>
<td>Pain indicates a cracked cusp and the causative cusp is identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ask the patient to bite hard on a soft object such as a cotton wool roll.</td>
<td>This transmits pressure to the whole occlusal surface and forces the cusps slightly apart. Pain on biting suggests a cracked tooth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place a wooden wedge against each cusp in turn and ask the patient to bite on each.</td>
<td>This is a more selective test to identify the cusp or cusps which are cracked. By placing the wedge on different surfaces of the cusp it may be possible to tell in which direction the crack runs. There may be pain on biting but pain which is worse on release of pressure is said to be characteristic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiograph</td>
<td>To exclude the possibility of caries and to assess the feasibility of root filling the tooth should it be necessary. The radiograph is unlikely to be of direct help in diagnosis and might not be necessary if other investigations successfully identify the cracked cusp.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 11.2. Restoration options for cracked teeth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Advantages and disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>This is not an option, even if the patient is happy to put up with the pain. Cracks may propagate into the pulp, allow bacterial contamination and devitalize the tooth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal of the cracked portion followed by restoration</td>
<td>This is unsafe. Leveving of the cracked portion risks a catastrophic fracture with pulpal communication. Many cracks are incomplete and leverage may propagate them in unpredictable directions. Just occasionally the fragment will be limited to enamel and dentine of the crown, particularly where the tooth already contains a large restoration undermining the cusp, but even then a deliberate fracture is not recommended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full or partial coverage gold indirect restoration</td>
<td>This is the treatment of choice. The preparation should finish supragingivally wherever possible. Gold is malleable and allows some plastic deformation which is not possible with ceramics or composites which are more brittle. Full occlusal coverage is needed to protect the tooth from further damage and a casting can provide some splinting, reducing the potential for further cracks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full coverage bonded porcelain crown</td>
<td>Full coverage with porcelain bonded to metal has the advantage of a better appearance but the ceramic is brittle. This disadvantage may be offset by using an adhesive to lute the crown. There is then the potential for the crack to be sealed by the infiltrating cement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesive restoration</td>
<td>In theory an adhesive restoration would cement the crack together and prevent movement of the two fragments. However, on curing, adhesive materials undergo polymerization shrinkage which places further stress on the crack and may propagate it further.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porcelain inlay/onlay</td>
<td>These suffer the same disadvantages of metal fused to porcelain crowns.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What are the treatment options for restoring cracked teeth? What are their advantages and disadvantages?

These are listed in Table 11.2.

If the cracked portion had already been broken off at presentation and the pulp were not involved, what restoration options would have been open to you?

Assuming no second crack were present, this would present a simple choice. One of the methods described in Table 11.2 could be used and this would have the advantage that further cracks would be prevented. In view of the history of bruxism this might be an appropriate option.

However, most cracks are single and it would also be possible to adopt a more conservative approach and restore with a composite and a dentine bonding agent or a sandwich restoration. The latter uses a glass ionomer to replace the dentine and a composite to replace the enamel. An amalgam restoration is also simple and highly effective. Both these would require the cusp to be reduced in height to reduce the occlusal load.

Suppose you had been unable to identify the causative tooth using the methods described above. What would you try next?

Sometimes it is difficult to identify a crack. The pain is poorly localized and a first step would be to repeat the whole procedure on the upper molars and premolars in case the patient has incorrectly localized the pain.

If no crack is identified, the restorations must be removed from any further teeth that appear to be likely causes. Finally, the most suspect tooth may have a tight fitting copper band or orthodontic band cemented around it. This can be left in position for several weeks to see whether the pain is abolished, and is a particularly useful test when the pain is felt infrequently.
SUMMARY

The acrylic denture base and cobalt–chromium casting shown both have defects caused by similar mechanisms. Can you identify the problem and its causes, which are different in the two examples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 12.1 Types of porosity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Defect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraction porosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaseous porosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granular porosity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This denture has suffered from gaseous porosity and the appearances are typical but more extensive than usually seen.

What causes monomer to vaporize during processing?

The boiling point of methylmethacrylate is 100.3°C at standard temperature and pressure. If the boiling point is exceeded then the methylmethacrylate vaporizes and bubbles produce porous defects. The polymerization of methylmethacrylate is exothermic and will contribute to vaporization if precautions are not taken to reduce the temperature. Because the process is heat-dependent, it is most likely to develop in thick sections of the denture and in the last portions to be polymerized.

How is gaseous porosity normally prevented?

Methylmethacrylate should be polymerized at a low temperature and under pressure. Packing the dough under pressure raises the boiling point of the methylmethacrylate, and polymerization at 72°C for 16 hours (or 72°C for 2 hours and 100°C for a further 2 hours) followed by slow cooling gives time for the heat of the exothermic reaction to dissipate.
Cobalt–chromium casting

A cobalt–chromium denture framework is shown in Figure 12.2. What do you see and how do you interpret these changes?

The metal has numerous small perforating holes. They are of various sizes and some have coalesced to form large defects.

What are the common defects in cobalt–chromium casting? How may they be prevented?

See Table 12.2.

Which of these defects affects the present casting? Explain why.

The casting defects are small and round, like those in the acrylic denture, and also appear to be caused by gas bubble formation. This is another example of porosity but it is much more extensive than is seen when the investment is too thick or gas dissolves in the alloy. In this case a more fundamental mistake must have been made and the cause is probably use of the wrong investment material.

If a framework is invested in a gypsum-bonded investment, the investment will break down at a lower temperature than the melting point of the alloy. The CaSO₄ binder reacts with the SiO₂ refractory to produce SO₃ gas, bubbles of which cause porosity in the casting. Gypsum-bonded investments are used for gold-based alloys and phosphate-bonded investments must be used for Co–Cr based alloys.

Fig. 12.2 The cobalt–chromium partial denture casting.

Table 12.2 Common defects in cobalt–chromium castings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Defect</th>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Preventive measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Porosity: spherical voids</td>
<td>Investment too thick</td>
<td>Use the correct powder: liquid ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gases dissolve in the alloy and form bubbles on cooling</td>
<td>Do not overheat the alloy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity: irregular voids</td>
<td>Casting shrinkage</td>
<td>Ensure sprues are of the correct diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turbulent flow of the alloy</td>
<td>Ensure sprues are in the correct position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete cast: rounded margins</td>
<td>Back pressure of air in the mould</td>
<td>Use a porous investment or include vents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete cast: short casting</td>
<td>Insufficient alloy</td>
<td>Use the correct investment and do not heat too rapidly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mould too cold when cast</td>
<td>Ensure the correct operating temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insufficient casting force</td>
<td>Ensure the machine is correctly set up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fins</td>
<td>Investment cracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough surface</td>
<td>Investment breakdown</td>
<td>Use the correct investment and do not overheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Air bubbles on wax pattern</td>
<td>Use a wetting agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distortion</td>
<td>Stress relief of the wax pattern</td>
<td>Warm the wax thoroughly before making the pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast too small</td>
<td>Insufficient investment expansion</td>
<td>Use the correct operating temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast too large</td>
<td>Too much investment expansion</td>
<td>Use the correct investment for the alloy, and the correct operating temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUMMARY
A 55-year-old male patient suddenly collapses in your general dental practice. What is the cause and what would you do?

History

Complaint
The patient has attended for a routine dental appointment to receive some simple conservation work under local anaesthetic. He is a regular attender but dislikes injections.

Twenty minutes after injection of the local anaesthetic he suddenly becomes anxious and complains of a pain in his chest. He is breathless. When your nurse asks the patient if he is OK there is no response.

Medical history
Having checked the medical history just before starting treatment you are aware that the patient is a well-controlled insulin-dependent diabetic. He suffers hypertension and takes enalapril 20 mg daily (Innovace) and is overweight. He smokes 20 cigarettes a day and describes himself as a ‘social drinker’, consuming 30 units of alcohol each week.

What would you do immediately?
Check to see whether the patient is conscious. Make a determined effort to rouse him by shaking him and asking loudly whether he can hear you.

The patient does not respond.

What causes of sudden loss of consciousness might affect a patient undergoing dental treatment?
The important causes of unexpected loss of consciousness are:

- vasovagal attack (faint)
- hypoglycaemia
- cardiac arrest
- steroid crisis.

How may these causes of loss of consciousness be differentiated?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Signs and symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vasovagal attack</td>
<td>Often associated with anxiety. Usually, though not always, some premonitory symptoms of faintness before losing consciousness. Cold clammy skin, pallor, initially bradycardia and low pulse volume followed by tachycardia and a full pulse. Rapid recovery on placing supine or slightly head down (maximum recommended inclination 10°).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycaemia</td>
<td>Seen in starved patients or diabetics with relative insulin overdose caused by starvation or stress. Rapid recovery on administering oral glucose or, if unconscious, glucagon followed by oral glucose on regaining consciousness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid crisis</td>
<td>Seen only in those taking systemic steroids in relative insufficiency as a result of stress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac arrest</td>
<td>No central pulse. Usually history of angina, coronary arterial disease, hypertension or other risk factor.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Which is the most likely cause in this case? Why?
In this case the cause is very likely to be cardiac arrest. The symptom of pain in the chest radiating to the neck and arm is characteristic of myocardial infarction, the commonest cause of cardiac arrest, and is not seen in the other causes of collapse. Diabetes, hypertension and a high alcohol intake are all risk factors for atheromatous arterial disease and its complication of myocardial infarction.

Does cardiac arrest always follow myocardial infarction?
No. The heart may continue to pump unless a large area of the myocardium or conducting tissue is damaged. Cardiac arrest may also follow hypoxia or respiratory obstruction.

How will you confirm your provisional diagnosis?
For a diagnosis of cardiac arrest the patient must be:

- unconscious
- not breathing or have abnormal breathing (infrequent noisy gasps).

Examination
You place a hand on the patient’s neck to feel the carotid pulse. He feels cold and clammy. Even though it is only half a minute since he lost consciousness the patient already looks grey and he is beginning to look cyanosed. He is not breathing.

What is the current protocol for assessing and managing sudden collapse?
It is critically important to start Basic Life Support (BLS) procedures immediately without further consideration of possible causes. The current 2005 Resuscitation Council guidelines for the management of respiratory and/or cardiac arrest in an adult are:
Sudden Collapse

1. Check the area for danger to yourself and victim
2. Assess responsiveness by shaking shoulders and shouting
3. Shout for help (do not call 999 yet)
4. Open the airway (tilt head and lift chin or jaw thrust)
5. Check mouth for vomit / debris and remove with finger scoops
6. Assess breathing – listen and feel for breathing while observing chest movements. Take no more than 10 seconds
7. If breathing is abnormal (infrequent noisy gasps) or absent, call emergency service on 999. You may have to leave the victim to do this
8. Perform 30 chest compressions of 4–5cm each over the centre of the sternum at 100 per minute
9. Give 2 ventilations
10. Continue compressions (30) and ventilations (2) until help arrives, the victim shows signs of life or until you are physically exhausted and unable to carry on.

What are Basic and Advanced Life Support?

Basic Life Support (BLS) is the diagnosis and immediate management of cardiac arrest (of whatever aetiology) without the use of equipment. It represents the absolute minimum standard of resuscitation skills which all dentists, dental hygienists and dental nurses must acquire and maintain.

Advanced Life Support (ALS) is concerned with the restoration of spontaneous circulation and stabilization of the cardiovascular system. Techniques include ECG assessment, defibrillation and the administration of drugs.

What is the aim of Basic Life Support?

To protect the brain from irreversible hypoxic damage. This develops within 3–4 minutes of cardiac arrest in a previously healthy and well-oxygenated individual. Basic Life Support delays the rate of deterioration of cerebral function and maximizes the chances of ALS being successful. Effective BLS followed by prompt ALS and hospital admission greatly increases the patient’s chance of survival.

Why not dial ‘999’ as soon as the patient loses consciousness?

The most common cause of sudden loss of consciousness in the dental chair is a vasovagal attack (faint) which does not require attendance by the emergency services. The call for help in step 3 is intended to summon local helpers such as dental nurses or receptionist.

What is the most common cause of failure or difficulty with BLS?

Airway obstruction in the unconscious patient is the commonest problem and is usually due to the relaxed tongue falling back to obliterate the airway in the oropharynx. This may be overcome by measures which pull the tongue forward such as head tilt (neck lift), chin lift and jaw thrust. Blood, vomit or other foreign materials (including poorly fitting or broken dentures) may also obstruct the airway.

Should dentures be removed during BLS?

Only if they are loose or broken. Well-fitting dentures usually facilitate a good oral seal during expired air (mouth-to-mouth) ventilation.

If the patient is not breathing, can you be certain that the patient has suffered cardiac arrest?

No. The diagnosis depends upon loss of consciousness and absence of a central pulse. However, calling 999 for professional assistance if there is respiratory arrest at this point is sensible, because cardiac arrest follows respiratory arrest very quickly.

Having dialled 999, what information should your helper give the operator?

• your name
• address (with directions)
• your telephone number
• that a patient has collapsed with a suspected cardiac arrest.

Although this sounds simple, hurried calls may omit essential information. Response to cardiac arrest is usually provided at highest priority by a specialized team and is not a routine ambulance call. Failure to provide your telephone number leaves the emergency services unable to return your call.

Prognosis

Is it likely that your patient will recover spontaneously?

Unfortunately not. Even with prompt ALS support from a specialist team the chances of death are greater than 50%. This may seem a poor chance of survival but if BLS and ALS are delayed, less than 2% of patients will live. In this case the patient recovered following ALS care provided by a specialist ambulance team who arrived at the practice 12 minutes after the 999 call was placed; a very rapid response.

How long would you continue to provide BLS?

Until help arrives or you are exhausted.

How can you increase your chances of providing effective Basic Life Support?

Only by regular practical instruction and testing the competence of yourself and your practice team. BLS cannot be learned from a book.
A difficult child

SUMMARY
A mother brings her nervous 4-year-old daughter for treatment. How will you approach examining her and defining a treatment plan?

History
Your nurse shows the child and mother into the surgery. The child is clinging tightly to her mother and will not look at you or acknowledge you.

Complaint
The child has no complaint but her mother has noticed holes in her back teeth.

History of complaint
The mother first noticed the holes 6 months ago and there has never been any toothache.

Dental history
The child has never had a dental examination or treatment before. She was taken to another dentist but became hysterical in the waiting room and refused to go in. She is only in your surgery because she has been bribed with a chocolate bar.

Medical history
The child is fit and well.

- This is not looking hopeful. What must you do before you can attempt to examine the child?
  You need to encourage child to feel safe and engender feelings of trust. To do this you must establish a rapport with the child. Without some form of rapport little progress is possible.

- The child appears frightened. What fears would you expect in a 4 year old in a dental setting?
  A typical 4 year old is usually scared of:
  - the unknown
  - pain
  - new environments
  - new people
  - being separated from their mother.

- What further questions would you ask and why?
  Does the child attend a nursery or playgroup full-time or part-time? If so for how long have they attended?
  A child attending nursery will be used to dealing with people outside their home and should have greater coping skills, be more socially developed and used to being separated from the mother. They should also understand the concept of rules that have to be followed. You can be more confident of successfully managing the behaviour of such a child.

  How does the mother feel about going to the dentist?
  Maternal anxiety is a strong influence on the young child's reaction to dentistry. If the mother is nervous at this appointment the child will already have sensed this. Indeed if the mother is severely anxious it may be better for the father or grandparent to accompany the child. A mother who is herself very nervous may not be able to support you later on if things get difficult.

  How is the child's behaviour at home? This will have to be asked very tactfully as parents usually insist that their children behave well. Try asking whether she sleeps well – perhaps the child goes to bed when she wants and also gets her own way in most other things. Find out whether the parents routinely use bribes to gain the child's cooperation. You need to find out whether the child is over indulged ('spoil') or whether the parents are used to setting limits for their child's behaviour. Limit setting is considered good parenting practice. If the child is used to having limits set to her
behaviour, she will be much easier to direct in the dental setting. If she is an only child, the parents may be inexperienced in good parenting.

**Is the child genuinely nervous or just playing up?** Your strategies for managing fear, shyness and naughtiness would be different. However, this is difficult to assess without observing the child’s behaviour. You may not be able to make an immediate decision and, of course, it is quite possible that all factors are contributing.

You discover that the patient is an only child. She has just started part-time nursery but is having problems settling down after her mother leaves the room. The child is generally good at home and, like most children, she likes to have her own way. However, she responds well to direction and is not allowed to have her own way all the time. Her mother attends the dentist but is rather nervous of treatment. From this you can see that in addition to allaying the anxiety of both child and mother, you will also have to teach the child what behaviour is expected and appropriate at the dentist.

■ **What can the average 4-year-old child be expected to do? How does this knowledge help?**

Some of the developmental milestones for a 4-year-old child are shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Usually separates well from mother</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Names four primary colours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Can state own age and address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Listens intently to stories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Understands turn-taking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Starts to understand concept of obeying rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Washes and dries own hands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Understands yesterday, today and tomorrow, simple past and future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Blows nose reliably</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Talking about these abilities with the mother allows you to develop a rapport with her and may alert you to any educational difficulties that the child may have. Not all parents are completely forthcoming about their child’s development. If the child has learning difficulties your approach will be slower and more considered.

You discover that the child appears to have reached the normal developmental milestones for her age.

■ **Now that you have a better appreciation of the background, how will you develop a rapport with the child?**

**Make eye contact.** You may catch the child’s attention while talking over the previous points with the parent and already be interacting with her in some way or other. If not you must now direct all your attention to the child. Start with a compliment about the child’s clothes, toys, hair or a similar topic and catch her eye. You may need to say gently ‘look at me’.

**Talk to the child in appropriate language.** You must be able to converse at the level of a 4 year old and this takes knowledge and practice. Always use the child’s first name, child friendly language and avoid potentially fear-promoting words. This is often called ‘childrenese’ and examples are referring to your vacuum as a hoover and the operating light as sunshine. Ask open questions that cannot be answered with a simple yes or no to promote responses. Knowledge of some current children’s television characters is always useful and will provide plenty of topics of conversation.

**Use nonverbal communication.** Young children generally respond better to nonverbal communication, particularly touching and smiling. A pat, or stroke of the hand or hair is valued much more by a young child than a comment such as ‘good girl’ or ‘well done’.

**Be aware of body language.** Children are very sensitive to nonverbal communication. Watch the child and be aware of your own body language. Are you being defensive or welcoming and friendly?

**Consider engaging through play.** Children learn through play so consider the use of familiar toys or puppets. Perhaps she would like to show you how she brushes her teddy bear’s teeth? However, remember that toys and play alone are only a means to an end and are not a substitute for good behaviour management.

**Dispel fear of the unknown.** Tell the child that all you want to do today is talk to her and her mother, count her teeth and check that mummy has brushed them properly. Stress that you are going to do nothing else and continually check back with the child to involve her and ensure that she understands the limits of the planned dental experience.

Using these strategies you are able to open a conversation, though the child does not separate voluntarily from her mother. Ideally you would examine the child at this visit to assess the treatment needs. However, she is not in pain and you could delay examination until the next visit, at which time you and the surgery will be more familiar. However, the mother is worried and would prefer that you could examine the child today.

**Examination**

■ **Would you try to use the dental chair for this first examination?**

Not necessarily. The child may be examined initially on the mother’s lap, on an ordinary chair, or standing between the mother’s knees, all of which are more familiar than the dental chair. Place the mother where your dental light can be used if the child will tolerate it. If the child does not allow her teeth to be examined in these positions then you must consider an examination under more controlled conditions.

■ **How could you safely examine the child without frightening her further?**

If you decide to perform a full examination on a reluctant child it must be done in a controlled, caring and confident manner with experienced nursing support and with the consent and cooperation of the mother. You must explain to the mother exactly what you are going to do, seek verbal consent and repeat to the child that you are just going to count teeth. Then:

- Align the chair in a fairly upright position.
- Ask the mother to sit in the chair as if she is being examined – the child will probably come with her.
Ask the child to sit on the mother’s lap.

Explain to the child what you are going to do.

Ask the mother to turn the child so that she is sitting across the mother with her head at the 10 o’clock position.

Ask the mother to control the arms and hands.

Your nurse will gently control the feet.

Slowly and calmly lower the child’s shoulders and head onto your lap, explaining what you are doing.

The technique is shown in Figure 14.2. Note how hands and legs are gently held and the child remains in close contact and able to see her mother. This position is useful not only for mild degrees of anxiety but also to examine severely frightened children in pain who are determined to resist. Understandably the child sometimes cries, but not always. Although not ideal, crying often allows good access to the mouth. If the child will not open their mouth, your nurse tickling their stomach will usually have the required effect. Your nurse will need to position the operating light carefully as light in the child’s eyes is often upsetting.

Keep the examination short and immediately afterwards, whether the child is upset or not, reward her with words, a ‘soft’ reward) and a sticker or balloon, (a ‘hard’ reward). This will encourage the child to allow examination at the next visit. However, be careful not to give inappropriate praise for poor behaviour and inadvertently give the impression that bad behaviour is acceptable.

In your brief examination you see the appearances shown in Figure 14.3.

**The appearances on examination are shown in Figure 14.3. What do you see and what do the appearances indicate?**

- Caries in the occlusal pits and fissures of the second primary molar
- Caries in the distal of the first primary molar, the marginal ridge has collapsed
- Reasonable gingival condition with some interdental marginal inflammation.

The key feature is the collapse of the marginal ridge of the first primary molar. The pulp is either directly involved by caries or compromised in the great majority of primary molars once the ridge collapses. This tooth will require a pulpotomy or extraction. Following pulpotomy, a preformed metal crown will be the restoration of choice because they have been shown to be more durable than an intracoronal restoration. However, in an anxious child with limited cooperation it might be appropriate to stabilize the lesion with a temporary intracoronal restoration and delay the definitive restoration for a few months.

**What would you do next at this appointment?**

Nothing further is to be gained from this first visit. However, it is essential that you prepare the child for the next visit. Ask her to bring her toothbrush next time and introduce the concept of future visits and a radiograph (‘photograph’).

Discuss your findings and proposed approach, possible treatment needs and preventive advice with the mother including a 3-day diet diary.

During this period your nurse should take the initiative to talk informally with the child, introduce the dental chair and equipment in a play-like manner and allow the child to take the lead in exploring the surgery. Some children respond very well to this indirect approach by a second person.

**How will you plan treatment taking the child’s nervousness into account?**

The child requires a range of treatment ranging from oral hygiene instruction to a pulpotomy and a preformed metal crown. You must teach the child to accept the more complex treatment by leading her along a graded pathway of increasing challenge.

If treatments are listed in order of increasing difficulty for any child, the challenge scale would look something like Figure 14.4.

The speed at which you progress along the scale will depend on the individual child’s ability to cope with each procedure.
You need to monitor the child’s reactions continually to check that you are not progressing too fast.
Items in the treatment plan should be arranged as far as possible in order of increasing challenge. A child may accept a challenging treatment when in pain but subsequently might only accept a lower rated treatment.

What behaviour management strategies, tips and tricks might you use during examination and treatment of nervous children?
A range of methods are given in Table 14.1. All may be appropriate at various times.

Are there strategies you should avoid?
Yes, the following will almost certainly make the situation worse. Try not to:
- use bribes or coercion – these only work in the very short term and reinforce bad rather than good behaviour.
- belittle the child or tell them they are behaving like a baby. This lowers their self-esteem and poor self-esteem is often linked to anxiety in children.
- send the parent of a child this age outside. Removing the main source of security for a young child is counterproductive.
- fail back on the skills that you may use to control your own children or young relatives in a social setting. It is important to maintain a professional distance and follow behaviour management strategies that are based on sound principles.
- lose your cool or raise your voice. It can be stressful treating anxious children and you need to recognize this.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tell–show–do</td>
<td>An important part of shaping the child’s behaviour, effective for many children and widely taught. Explain what you are going to do, show the patient how you will do it and only then do it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behaviour shaping</td>
<td>Introducing the child to new experiences in a number of small stages or approximations. This involves using tell–show–do. Backtrack if the desired behaviour is not forthcoming and only progress to next behavioural challenge after the child has accepted each stage in the build up. Use prevention to get you started and work along the scale of challenge above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice control</td>
<td>Consider repeating an instruction in a slightly different way if you sense that the child is not responding, perhaps with a different tone of voice, eye contact, facial expression or touch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of empathy</td>
<td>Question to elicit the patient’s feelings, for instance: ‘Is that OK?’, ‘How is that feeling?’ ‘Is that better now?’ One of the most useful tools in child management; empathic statements have been shown to reliably lessen anxiety in children. They make the child feel that you are genuinely concerned about them. On the contrary reassuring is much less effective. Comments such as ‘it will be all right’, ‘That’s fine’, ‘You are doing well’ are frequently used but it would be much better to make an empathic statement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide sense of control</td>
<td>A child who feels helpless will feel anxious. Minimize this by establishing a sense of control for the child. Arrange stop signals and give the child choices. Stop signals are particularly effective. Tell the child to raise their hand if they want to tell you something or if something is worrying them. Watch out for children who abuse this power. Limit unpleasant treatment, such as use of the air rotor, to short bursts of defined length and count out loud as you use up the time. Agree the number of seconds that is acceptable with the child and gradually extend the time period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific reinforcement</td>
<td>Use specific reinforcement for any behaviour; tell the child what they are doing well and also what you find to be unhelpful behaviour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft rewards</td>
<td>Keep rewarding the child verbally throughout; most children like to be told how clever they are. Say how well the child is trying and reward them for doing their best.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard rewards</td>
<td>These are items such as stickers or balloons. Rewards work best when they are consistent, immediate and relevant to the child. They need to be matched to the child’s age and gender.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modelling</td>
<td>Using another child of similar age, perhaps an older sibling or a video, to demonstrate good behaviour can sometimes be helpful, but it is of limited use in young anxious children.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 14.1 Behaviour management strategies

Fig. 14.4 Treatments in order of increasing difficulty.
If these strategies fail, what other options might be open to you?

With skilled behaviour management many normal but anxious children can accept the more challenging treatments listed below. However, sometimes a child will be too anxious and alternatives may need to be considered. If a child is not showing the desired behaviour by the second or third visit you should consider referral to a specialist paediatric dentist or use of nitrous oxide-inhalation sedation. Inhalation sedation usually works best in children aged 5 and above though occasionally younger children are receptive, depending on their emotional maturity and ability to cooperate. Intravenous sedation is unpredictable in children and not recommended. If all else fails treatment under general anaesthetic is a last resort but this must be carefully planned, definitive and completed in one visit to avoid the need for further episodes of general anesthesia.

How could you have made the first appointment easier?

If you had known that a new nervous child patient was booked, a pre-appointment questionnaire could have provided much useful information, such as likes and fears, personality, previous experiences, nicknames, preventive habits and the names of favourite toys or pets. The form could also give information to the parent on your approach to children’s dental care and the concept of introducing the child to dentistry in a measured way through prevention. This allays maternal anxiety. At the opposite end of the spectrum, it helps to avoid the situation where the mother asks why you are not going to do a filling at the first visit.

You would also have greeted the child and parent in the waiting room, reception area or office as this reduces anxiety in children. You also need a child-friendly environment with comics, computer games, toys or music and videos. These confirm to both the parent and the child that they are in a caring and understanding practice.

The use of modelling, whereby a cooperative child is used or shown in a video can sometimes be helpful in allaying moderate anxiety in some children.

Are you at a disadvantage dealing with nervous children if you are male?

There is no good evidence that children prefer female dentists. However, most preschool or nursery children will be much more familiar with female carers and may take more time to settle with a male dentist. There is no need to refer small children specifically to female dentists.

Some male dentists feel uncomfortable about the use of touch as part of their nonverbal communication approach; indeed for some it is culturally unacceptable. This could be a handicap for treating very young children. If you are male and are worried that touching children may be misconstrued by the parent, it is important to touch only head and hands and always in the presence of a chaperone.
A 36-year-old lady presents with severe pain a few days after tooth extraction. What is the cause and what can be done?

History of complaint
The patient underwent surgical removal of the lower left third molar tooth at her dentist 4 days ago. The extraction had proved more difficult than expected and involved repeated attempted elevation and a small amount of bone removal using a bur. Following the extraction, bleeding stopped normally. The extraction site had been tender but apparently was healing slowly until the pain started yesterday. Since then she has also noticed halitosis and a bad taste.

Medical history
The patient is otherwise fit and well. She is taking an oral contraceptive and no other positive findings were revealed by the medical history.

Examination
Extraoral examination
The patient has moderate extraoral swelling of the facial soft tissues overlying the extraction socket and some early discolouration of the skin by ecchymosis. There is trismus and she is able to open her mouth to only 22 mm interincisal clearance. There are no palpable lymph nodes in the deep cervical chain or submandibular triangle.

Intraoral examination
Halitosis is noticeable. The appearance of the socket is shown in Figure 15.1. The lower left third molar socket contains no tissue, only food debris. The surrounding soft tissues are slightly swollen but not significantly inflamed as judged by redness.

Diagnosis
Based on what you know already, what is the most likely diagnosis?
The diagnosis is most likely to be a dry socket (alveolar osteitis). The history of severe and persistent pain localized to the tooth socket appearing 3–5 days after extraction, particularly a traumatic one, is characteristic. The lack of local inflammation or enlarged lymph nodes is compatible with this diagnosis and argues against post extraction infection either in the bone or soft tissue.

The diagnosis is confirmed by the examination which shows that the blood clot has been lost from the socket. In severe cases the bone of the socket may be exposed, and the bone of the distal lip of the socket can be seen in Figure 15.1.

Halitosis is the result of food debris in the socket being degraded by a partially anaerobic bacterial flora. The trismus is almost certainly related to the surgical trauma of extraction.

What other causes of post extraction pain are there? Are they likely in this case?
Pain from surgical trauma to tissues should be considered when extraction is difficult. However, pain starts immediately after sensation is regained in the area and responds to analgesics. Tenderness is characteristic, rather than spontaneous pain.
**Pain after Extraction**

**Osteomyelitis** is rare but should be considered because of its severity and difficulty of treatment, especially if diagnosed late. It causes a deep boring pain, not dissimilar from dry socket, but is poorly localized. Osteomyelitis is almost exclusively seen in patients who are immunocompromised or have sclerosis of the bone of the jaws. It usually takes several weeks to become evident.

**Fractured mandible** is a very rare complication of extraction. It might be considered if swelling and bruising appear out of proportion to dental extraction. Fracture is usually evident clinically if it is displaced.

**Retained root fragments** are surprisingly rarely a cause of long-term pain, though the surgical trauma of the failed extraction may cause pain. Root fragments are almost never found in a dry socket.

None of these alternative causes matches the patient's symptoms or signs as well as dry socket.

### What investigations would you carry out?

At this stage, the history and examination are completely compatible with the diagnosis and no investigations are indicated. If there were features of infection, culture of pus and antibiotic sensitivity would be necessary and the temperature should be taken. Radiographs are not useful unless a root fragment is suspected but cannot be seen or palpated. Even if osteomyelitis is suspected, radiographs would not provide useful information because there has been insufficient time for the characteristic radiographic changes to develop.

### What is a dry socket?

A dry socket is one from which the blood clot is lost before it can become stabilized by ingrowth of granulation tissue. The exposed bone surface becomes colonized by anaerobic bacteria and spirochaetes and is partially and superficially devitalized. Loss of blood clot is thought to be the result of excessive fibrinolysis caused by bacterial, local tissue or salivary factors.

In the absence of a blood clot, healing is delayed because soft tissue must grow from the gingival margin to cover the bone and fill the socket.

### What factors predispose to dry socket?

The risk factors associated with development of dry socket are:

- Surgical or traumatic extraction
- Mandibular extraction, especially third molar
- Female patient, especially if on contraceptive medication
- Patient who smokes
- Infection or recent infection at site
- Periodontal disease or acute necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis elsewhere in the mouth
- Local bone disease or sclerosis reducing blood supply for clot formation, as in Paget's disease, cemento-osseous dysplasia or after radiotherapy
- Excessive use of local anaesthetic; vasoconstrictor in excess around the socket
- May prevent formation of blood clot
- History of previous dry socket
- Young adult to middle-aged patient

### How would you treat this patient?

Reassure the patient that, though extremely painful, this condition does not signify any serious consequence of the extraction. Inform her that the socket will heal normally but more slowly than usual, and that during the healing period treatment can be provided to relieve the pain though she may have to return for several treatments.

Local treatment to the socket is the most effective measure. Irrigate the socket gently with warm saline or 0.12% chlorhexidine to remove the debris. Place a dressing into the mouth of the socket to prevent impaction of further food. Many proprietary dressings are available, including resorbable materials, antiseptic preparations and analgesic formulations. In practice almost all are satisfactory provided they are used appropriately and replaced as required. Care should be taken not to pack the socket full of the dressing because this would prevent it from filling up with granulation tissue as healing progresses.

Effective socket cleansing and socket hygiene are more important than the type of dressing used and the patient should be recalled every 2 days for retreatment if necessary. In severe cases a daily dressing may be appropriate initially, and as the socket heals and pain reduces the period between dressing may be extended. The trismus should be monitored and should reduce.

### What drugs might you prescribe?

Antibiotics should not be prescribed because they are ineffective. Analgesics are also largely ineffective in the absence of local measures. A nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug should be adequate for most cases. The pain of dry socket has a reputation for severity and in the past controlled drugs have been prescribed. This is only occasionally justified but may need to be considered.

### How quickly will the pain be relieved?

Improvement of symptoms will usually be noted within minutes or up to an hour, and more quickly if the dressing contains a local anaesthetic agent. Pain may start again a day or two after dressing, gradually increasing in severity. After a few days the pain will reduce and re-dressing may not be necessary. After about 10 days the socket should be filled with tissue and it will probably be asymptomatic for the last few days of healing.

### What if the condition persists for longer than this or appears to be worsening?

Failure to resolve in the longer term usually indicates the presence of small sequestra of devitalized lamina dura or root fragments. These are a normal sequel of extraction and are usually resorbed in the remodelling process during healing. Larger pieces may delay healing and sometimes sequestrate through the alveolar ridge mucosa many weeks after extraction, though they are not usually associated with significant pain. Periapical radiographs should be taken because only these have the resolution required to see the small sequestra, which may be less than half a millimetre in size. Occasionally, larger sequestra of lamina dura may be seen to be separating radiographically. If these are associated
with symptoms and are not shed, surgical removal may become necessary. In practice this intervention is extremely rarely required, and sequestra are usually small and lost without being noticed.

The diagnosis will have to be reviewed and radiographs are also useful to exclude other causes for the pain. In the event that the nature of the pain has changed, or if the patient suffers any condition predisposing to osteomyelitis (local bone sclerosis, pathological or therapeutic immunosuppression, bisphosphonate drugs), this possibility should be thoroughly investigated.
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A numb lip

SUMMARY
A 68-year-old man presents to you in general dental practice complaining that his lower lip has become numb. How would you investigate and manage this symptom?

History
Complaint
He complains of sudden onset of numbness of the lower right lip. It feels cold, as if he had had an injection for dental treatment (Figure 16.1).

History of complaint
The patient noticed the numbness immediately he woke up the previous morning. His jaw has been aching for some months and he has noticed some tingling in the lip, which he ascribes to recent dental treatment.

Dental history
You are seeing the patient for an emergency appointment. He is normally under the care of one of your colleagues and his records and radiographs are available.

A series of appointments over the last few months have addressed pain from the lower right quadrant. The tingling in the lip was noted 3 months ago. The lower right first molar had been considered to be the cause. Your colleague placed a root filling 4 months ago but the pain did not resolve completely. Three weeks ago the lower right second premolar was extracted as a likely cause of the pain. However, discomfort continued.

Medical history
The patient reports that he is fit and well. He takes 50 mg atenolol daily for mild hypertension. In the past he has suffered depression and was treated with antidepressants in the past.

What is the sensory nerve supply to the lip?
The sensory nerve supply to the face is shown in Figure 16.2.
The three divisions of the trigeminal nerve supply most of the face. The greater auricular nerve is formed by the ventral rami of the cervical nerves C2 and C3.
The lower lip is supplied by the mental nerve.

What is the course of the nerve supply?
The trigeminal nerve starts in the pons where its sensory and motor roots arise. The ophthalmic and maxillary branches leave the skull via the superior orbital fissure and the foramen rotundum respectively. The mandibular branch leaves the skull at the foramen ovale to enter the infratemporal fossa, where it divides into an anterior group of mostly motor branches and a posterior group of sensory branches.
The anterior group of branches includes the nerves to lateral pterygoid, deep temporal nerves to masseter and the sensory long buccal nerve. There are three posterior branches, including the auriculotemporal nerve, which is given off almost immediately. This passes backwards to innervate the side of the scalp and part of the ear. The main nerve then divides into the lingual nerve, which passes to the tongue.

Fig. 16.1 The patient on presentation.

Fig. 16.2 Sensory nerve supply to the face.
along the lateral pterygoid, and the inferior alveolar nerve, which gives off the small motor branch to mylohyoid and then enters the mandibular foramen in the mandibular ramus. It emerges from the mental foramen to provide sensation to the lip.

Unlike many other areas of sensory innervation, those on the face are well defined and sharply delineated. Though there is some slight variation between individuals, there is little overlap of the areas supplied by different nerves.

What are the causes of numbness of the lip?

Numbness may be constant or temporary/intermittent, depending on the cause. The main causes are shown in Table 16.1, and each may affect the nerve at different parts along its course.

### Examination

**Extraoral examination**

The appearance of the patient is shown in Figure 16.1. He appears normal; the lip is of normal colour and shows no distortion or drooping to suggest a motor nerve lesion.

When you examine him you find that there is only a very mild swelling of the posterior right lower jaw. There is no detectable mass, but the patient is tender in the right submandibular area. There is normal movement of the lip.

How will you test for sensation? Why is this necessary?

A sensation of numbness may be central in origin, denote damage to the peripheral nerve or be psychosomatic. Only by testing sensation can the exact area affected be defined and this will help define the cause.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 16.1</th>
<th>Major and more frequent causes of a numb lip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Osteomyelitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apical infection causing pressure on nerve in mandibular canal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour</td>
<td>Primary malignant neoplasm of bone such as osteosarcoma, chondrosarcoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary malignant neoplasm of mucosa invading bone such as oral squamous cell carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary malignant neoplasm of brain or tissues along path of nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metastatic malignant neoplasm to brain or tissues along path of nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benign tumour of brain such as meningioma or nerve schwannoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>Mandibular fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Direct trauma to nerve at mental foramen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune</td>
<td>Peripheral neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple sclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular</td>
<td>Vascular compression of nerve root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td>Tetany from hyperterventilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic</td>
<td>Tetany from alkalosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injurious causes</td>
<td>Intraneural injection of local anaesthetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Direct trauma to the inferior dental nerve at the lingula or mental foramen from dental injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trauma to the inferior dental bundle from dental extraction (particularly third molars), apicectomy or implant placement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Injury to the mental nerve during surgical extractions/apicectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Injury to the inferior alveolar nerve by extruded root filling material or caustic endodontic agents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tests of sensation may include light touch (with a wisp of cotton wool or a Von Frey hair – fine filaments of calibrated rigidity for testing touch), pain (with a sharp and blunt point), vibration, temperature and two-point discrimination. A cotton wool fibre and a sharp point such as a hypodermic needle are usually sufficient for a dental setting. Outline the area affected, making sure that hand movements cannot be seen by the patient so that the results are objective. Test and retest if the results are unclear.

If the results are abnormal, it will be necessary to test the other cranial nerves, because these may be affected if a lesion is present in the brain or along the common paths of the cranial nerves.

How will you test cranial nerve function?

The cranial nerves’ main head and neck functions may be tested as described in Table 16.2.

When you do this, the skin of the patient’s lip is found to be almost completely without light touch and pain sensation in the area below the vermillion border and on its mucosal surface. There is a sharp cut-off in the midline and the skin below the chin has normal sensation.

How do you interpret the findings so far?

The extraoral findings are relatively subtle. There is mild swelling of the mandible that suggests a local mandibular cause. The tenderness in the right submandibular area might represent infection or reactive lymphadenopathy.

The fact that the area of anaesthesia is sharply delineated suggests a peripheral nerve cause. It also almost excludes a psychosomatic origin, as psychosomatic sensations do not tend to follow neurological or vascular distributions. The fact that lip movement is normal indicates normal facial nerve function. As the roots and paths through the base of skull of the trigeminal and facial nerves are close, this would suggest that a central or base-of-skull lesion is not the cause.

The distribution on the lower-lip skin suggests injury or compression of the inferior alveolar nerve. The normal sensation under the chin is significant. This area is supplied by the nerve to mylohyoid, given off the inferior alveolar nerve just above the lingula, to supply a thumbprint-sized patch of skin under the chin. Therefore, the cause must lie between the start of the inferior dental canal and the lip.

**Intraoral examination**

The oral mucosa is healthy apart from the lower right second premolar extraction site. The socket opening is swollen and filled with granulation tissue that is growing out slightly above the alveolus. There are no sequestra and no sinus or pus at the socket mouth.

The remaining teeth appear healthy and none is tender to percussion.

How should a healing socket appear 3 weeks after extraction? Is this socket normal?

The initial clot starts to be replaced by granulation tissue growing in from the periphery a few days after extraction. By
8–10 days, even a large molar socket should be filled with granulation tissue. At 3 weeks there should be an intact layer of epithelium over the granulation tissue. This socket is not epithelialized. The granulation tissue growing out from the socket indicates a process of frustrated healing that could have many causes. This socket has failed to heal.

### What are the causes of failed or delayed socket healing?

#### General causes
- Age
- Diabetes
- Steroids and other immunosuppressants
- Bisphosphonate therapy
- Malnutrition
- Cancer chemotherapy

#### Local causes
- Impacted food debris
- Foreign bodies – bony sequestra, root fragments
- Dry socket
- Infection, including tuberculosis
- Oroantral fistula formation
- Previous radiotherapy to the site
- Sarcoidosis
- Local malignancy

### How do you interpret the findings now?

There would appear to be a local cause in the body of the mandible causing compression or injury to the inferior alveolar nerve. Inflammation or infection from nonvital teeth or the nonhealing socket could involve the nerve.

Alternatively another process may cause both nerve injury and have prevented socket healing.

### Investigations

#### What investigations should you perform?

The remaining teeth in the affected quadrant should be tested for vitality.

A radiograph is required to assess the extraction socket, the adjacent teeth, the whole height of the slightly expanded mandible and the full length of the inferior dental canal. Either a dental panoramic or an oblique lateral radiograph would be an appropriate view.

The lower first molar is root-filled. The second molar is vital, but the lower right incisors, canine and first premolar appear nonvital.

#### The panoramic tomograph is shown in Figure 16.3. What does it show?

Several teeth are heavily restored. The lower first molar is root-filled and there is a poorly defined radiolucency about 2 cm in length extending from the distal root of the second molar to the premolar socket. The cortical bone outline of the inferior dental canal cannot be seen in this region. The recent extraction socket still has the lamina dura present, though it appears slightly more indistinct than normal, consistent with infection or another process causing resorption. No sequestra or root fragments are present in the socket, though a plain periapical view would have been
What malignant neoplasms are the most likely causes?

Jaw malignancy may be primary or secondary (metastatic). The main options are:

**Primary**
- Osteosarcoma
- Chondrosarcoma
- Odontogenic carcinomas and sarcomas

**Secondary**
- Breast carcinoma
- Bronchogenic carcinoma (lung)
- Kidney carcinoma
- Prostate carcinoma
- Thyroid carcinoma
- Other less common sources.

All the primary neoplasms are relatively rare in the jaw and cause mixed radiolucency, because bone, mineralized cartilage or dental hard tissues are present.

Metastasis is by far the most likely cause. Carcinoma of the breast would be very unlikely, though not impossible, in a male. All the other options need to be considered. Although those listed above are the commonest jaw metastases, almost any malignancy can spread to the jaws.

Breast and prostate metastases are unusual in that they sometimes cause bone formation at the site, producing a sclerotic or mixed radiolucency rather than the more typical pure ‘moth-eaten’ poorly defined radiolucency. In this case the lesion is purely destructive, and any of the common options could be the cause.

What further investigation is required?

Biopsy of the socket is required to establish the diagnosis. This may be performed under local or general anaesthetic, but must be representative of the lesion. It will therefore need to include tissue from the socket and the underlying radiolucency. Some of the sample must be fixed in formalin for histology and a portion must be kept fresh to send for microbiological culture and sensitivity in case the diagnosis turns out to be osteomyelitis.

This biopsy would be best performed in a specialist unit or local cancer centre, not in general practice, because malignancy is strongly suspected.

The biopsy is shown in Figure 16.4. What do you see?

The left panel shows the bone curettings from the socket composed of normal lamellar bone with several marrow spaces. These would normally be filled by fatty marrow in a patient of this age.

Because the biopsy shows adenocarcinoma, lung, kidney and prostate will be the most likely primary sites for the carcinoma, because these tissues give rise to adenocarcinomas.

Differential diagnosis

**What is your differential diagnosis? Justify each possible diagnosis.**

**Chronic osteomyelitis.** There is a history of dental infection, extraction with a nonhealing socket and tenderness at the site. This would be a statistically likely cause and should be considered first. However, some features do not fit. Chronic osteomyelitis is usually associated with a predisposing cause. Aside from this patient’s age, none is present. There is no definite lymphadenopathy and no discharge of pus from the socket or any sinuses. The radiological features are partly consistent, but osteomyelitis is usually a more patchy radiolucency with zones of sclerosis. Peripheral bone sclerosis, sequestra seen radiographically or in the socket would also be expected. The radiological features of osteomyelitis take several weeks to develop, but the symptoms started several months ago.

Acute osteomyelitis is not suspected. There are no systemic symptoms of infection and the delay since extraction is rather long. Acute osteomyelitis tends to affect younger patients and the pain is deep and throbbing. Onset is soon after extraction and there are no radiological signs.

**Malignant neoplasm.** The patient is elderly and there is a long history of vague pain and paraesthesia, suggesting a long-standing lesion. The dental extractions may have been performed for valid reasons or as a result of the misdiagnosis of the cause of pain. The nonhealing socket could well be caused by malignancy and the radiological features are suggestive; the lesion is poorly defined and purely destructive. Although this is the statistically less likely option, it is the best match to the findings.
How can the primary be identified?

Start again with a more detailed medical history and refer for medical examination. The diagnosis may already be known because metastasis to the jaw is usually a feature of late-stage disease. In other cases, jaw lesions may be the presenting sign.

Some of the most likely primary sites can be investigated by relatively simple techniques such as physical examination, ultrasound, chest radiograph and blood tests for circulating prostate-specific antigen (PSA). If no primary is suggested, imaging would be a next step.

Often it is possible to determine the primary site from the biopsy. Cells in malignant neoplasms often retain some characteristics of their tissue of origin and in this case the glands have a resemblance to normal prostate gland, though a lung primary would also be possible.

It is often possible to detect specific marker proteins of the tissue of origin by using immunocytochemistry. The right-hand panel of Figure 16.4 shows the biopsy stained with antibodies to PSA. PSA is an enzyme secreted by prostate cells and expressed in their cytoplasm. Though not completely specific to prostate gland, there are few other tissues that express high levels. Presence of PSA is indicated by positive staining (brown colour) around all the glands in the bone marrow.

Histopathology suggests strongly that this is a metastasis from a prostate carcinoma. A blood test reveals a high level of circulating PSA. No mass can be detected in the prostate by clinical examination but prostate ultrasound scanning reveals a small nodule and a needle biopsy shows prostate carcinoma.

Diagnosis

The diagnosis is metastatic prostate carcinoma.

What is the prognosis?

In general, metastases to the jaws carry a poor prognosis because they indicate advanced disseminated malignancy, often malignant disease that has become refractory to treatment. In many cases palliative care is all that can be offered and patients with breast, colon or lung metastases are likely to die in under a year. When bony metastases are in sites that risk significant morbidity, such as vertebrae, they may be treated surgically or by radiotherapy, but this is rarely indicated in the jaw unless there is severe pain.

Some prostate carcinomas can respond to hormone treatment. While the cancer remains sensitive to these treatments it may progress only very slowly. This may be so even when there are widespread bone metastases and the course of disease may be significantly extended.

Might there be future implications relevant to dentistry?

Yes, patients with multiple bone metastases of myeloma, breast or prostate carcinoma are often treated with intravenous bisphosphonates to slow bone destruction and prevent spinal cord damage, pathological fracture and bone pain. Bisphosphonate drugs prevent bone turnover and reduce bone viability. There is a risk of developing the unusual pattern of sterile osteonecrosis of the jaws associated with these drugs (see problem 23).
Case 17

A loose tooth

SUMMARY

A 25-year-old man presents in your general dental practice with a loose tooth. Identify the cause and summarize the treatment options.

Complaint

The patient complains of a loose tooth and points to his upper left lateral incisor which is crowned. He says it is uncomfortable when it moves and has become so mobile that he thinks it may fall out.

History of complaint

He has noticed that the tooth has become progressively looser over the last few months and would like a replacement. There has been no pain associated with the tooth but he is aware of an unpleasant taste which appears to emanate intermittently from his upper front teeth.

Dental history

The patient had been a regular attender at another dental practice for many years until he moved to your area. He is motivated and does not wish to lose any teeth.

Four years previously, the lateral and central incisors had been fractured in an accident at work. Both teeth sustained class II coronal fractures but were initially left untreated. Several months later another dental practitioner provided some restorations on both teeth and shortly afterwards the patient asked for the lateral incisor to be crowned because he was unhappy with the appearance.

Medical history

The patient has insulin-controlled diabetes. Otherwise he is fit and well and is taking no medication.

Examination

Extraoradl examination

No submandibular or cervical lymph nodes are palpable.

Intraoral examination

The patient has an extensively restored dentition with a crowned upper left lateral incisor that is grade II mobile buccolingually but not vertically. There is generalized but mild redness and delayed bleeding on probing around the gingival margin associated with a small amount of plaque at the crown margin. However, there is no increase in probing depth around this tooth. There is no evidence of caries on any teeth and generally the periodontal condition is good. The adjacent teeth are firm. No sinuses are present to explain the bad taste and no pus is detected on periodontal probing.

What additional questions might you ask?

Did you notice the mobility suddenly increase or hear a crack from the tooth? The marked mobility without evidence of periodontitis suggests a root fracture.

The patient has noticed no sudden increase in mobility.

How would you clinically assess the possibility of root fracture?

By determining the axis of rotation of the mobile crown. Apply pressure forwards and backwards to identify how far down the root the axis of rotation appears to be.

When you do this you find that the crown appears to rotate about a point 2–3 mm below the gingival margin. If rocking the crown produces bubbles of saliva at the gingival margin this would be an indicator of a root fracture communicating with a periodontal pocket or the gingival crevice. No such bubbles are seen.

Based on what you know so far, what are the likely causes?

Having excluded mobility caused by periodontitis and coronal bone loss, the two possibilities which remain the most likely are resorption or root fracture. The mobile tooth is rotating about a point just below the gingival margin so either process must affect the coronal part of the root.

Resorption of the apical half of the root would move the axis of rotation of the remaining tooth coronally. There would have to be extensive resorption to cause this degree of mobility and raise the axis of rotation so far. Resorption is a recognized complication of trauma to teeth and so this would be the most likely cause.

Root fracture is possible. No fracture was noted but the marked mobility would be consistent with the root fracture of the coronal part of the root. If there is a root fracture it would appear to be independent of the original trauma. Teeth which suffer coronal fractures do not usually suffer root fractures as well because most of the energy is absorbed by fracturing the crown. However, if a root fracture had been present for the last 4 years it might have triggered slow resorption, combining both possible causative factors.

An unsuspected lesion has destroyed the bone and/or the tooth root apically, leaving support only coronally; this is a remote possibility. The tooth would then be mobile about the remaining intact periodontal ligament. The commonest
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lesion to do this would be a radicular cyst arising on a nonvital tooth.

However this seems most unlikely as there is no expansion and the adjacent teeth are not displaced or mobile. A different lesion remains a remote possibility.

Investigations

What investigations would you carry out? Why? What are the potential problems?

See Table 17.1.

On performing the tests of tooth vitality you find that it is impossible to obtain a response from the upper left central and lateral incisors. All other anterior teeth appear vital.

The periapical radiograph is shown in Figure 17.1

What do you see?

The left lateral incisor is crowned but not root filled. A large oval radiolucency fills the middle third of the root and communicates with the periodontal ligament. The margins of the defect are smooth and sharply defined. The lamina dura around the apex appears intact. The bone level mesially and distally is coronal to the defect and there is no evidence of either horizontal or vertical bone loss. Very little root dentine remains below the crown and gingival margin.

The upper left central incisor is root filled. The filling appears well condensed and extends very close to the ideal level. The root appears to have a curve at the apex. There is a poorly defined radiolucency around the apex mostly on its mesial side, where the lamina dura is missing.

The canine has mesial caries and its apical lamina dura is indistinct. However no obvious apical radiolucency is present.

What is wrong with the radiograph in Figure 17.1?

A regular pattern is superimposed over the whole film. This is a developing artefact caused by some film processors (e.g. Velopex) which use woven nylon bands to transport the film between solutions. If these bands are dirty or worn their surface texture transfers an imprint onto the film. A less marked example of the same artefact is shown in Figure 35.3. Another uniform artefactual pattern results from exposing the wrong side of an intraoral film packet to the beam. The embossed metal backing foil casts a patterned shadow onto the film and the shielding causes an additional underexposure, differentiating this artefact from the one illustrated.

Diagnosis

What is your diagnosis?

There is extensive internal resorption of the lateral incisor. The central incisor has a failed root filling with a periapical granuloma or abscess. The cause of the taste could be intermittent drainage of pus from this periapical lesion, plaque trapped in the resorption defect or caries on the mesial surface of the upper left canine.

What types of resorption are there? What are their characteristic features?

Resorption is the process of removal of dental hard tissues by osteoclasts. There is usually some form of repair, either by reactionary dentine or bone, and repair may lead to ankylosis. All resorption is identical in its basic process, but it is convenient to subdivide resorption into clinically relevant
types. Resorption may be classified as inflammatory or replacement types, or alternatively as internal or external types. All types may be transient or progressive.

**Inflammatory resorption** is associated with detectable inflammation and may be internal or external (apical or cervical). Inflammation may be evident radiographically, as radiolucency in the adjacent bone, or clinically as redness. The inflammatory type of resorption has the positive aspect that treatment of the cause of the inflammation may halt the resorption.

Unfortunately this is not entirely predictable. Many cases of so-called inflammatory resorption, both internal and external, are not associated with significant inflammation clinically or histologically and are perhaps better regarded as idiopathic.

**Replacement resorption** is resorption accompanied by progressive replacement of the tooth by bone. It is often associated with ankylosis and is a complication of luxation injuries, particularly intrusion and avulsion. Inflammation is absent, so that treatment, which is difficult, must be directed at the resorption itself.

**Internal resorption** starts on the pulpal aspect of the dentine. It typically affects the middle third of the root and forms a well-demarcated defect with a smooth symmetrical shape. Internal resorption indicates that the pulp is vital and that, provided the lesion has not perforated the root, the process will be halted by root canal treatment.

**External resorption** starts on the surface of the tooth, usually on the root but occasionally on the crown in unerupted teeth. A microscopic degree of superficial external root resorption is normal and is usually repaired by cementum. Greater apical resorption may be seen radiographically on teeth that have been moved orthodontically. Extensive apical resorption may accompany periapical inflammation or infection on nonvital teeth. A nonvital pulp may also trigger external resorption of the root coronally by producing noxious products which diffuse outwards to the periodontal ligament along the dentinal tubules. Cervical resorption usually starts just below the gingival margin and may affect one or many teeth. Radiographically, the early stages may mimic the appearance of an infra bony periodontal pocket. All types of external resorption are irregular in outline and extensive lesions often spare a thin layer of dentine around the pulp so that the pulp can remain vital until a late stage, even if the defect communicates with a pocket.

**What causes resorption?**

Resorption and repair are physiological processes on the external surface of the root. On the pulpal surface resorption is pathological but repair is one of the pulp’s responses to injury. External resorption is known to follow damage to the cementum layer or loss of vitality of cementum and this is thought to be why avulsion injury is so commonly followed by resorption. Cervical resorption is assumed to be primarily inflammatory in aetiology, caused by the periodontal flora, though this does not explain cases where multiple lesions affect several teeth.

Internal resorption must follow loss of the pre-dentine layer separating pulp from dentine, but the causes of this loss are unknown. A degree of inflammation or increased pulpal pressure are probably factors.

**What are the features of resorption?**

- Asymptomatic (unless an inflammatory cause is symptomatic)
- Internal resorption is only active in vital or partially vital teeth
- External resorption may develop on vital or nonvital teeth
- Resorption itself does not compromise vitality until the pulp communicates with the mouth
- Usually slow and intermittent, occasionally very rapid
- Mobility or pathological fracture
- ‘Pink spot’: pulp visible through the crown
- Ankylosis (continuity of tooth and bone)
- Radiolucency and loss of tooth substance.

**What are the signs of ankylosis?**

- Lack of normal mobility
- High pitched metallic percussive sound
- Infra occlusion (in the growing jaw)
- Sometimes identifiable radiographically as a bridged periodontal ligament
- Patchy ‘moth-eaten’ root surface/lamina dura.

**What is your diagnosis?**

Internal resorption, probably as a late sequela of the previous trauma or restoration of the teeth. Resorption is advanced and the root has suffered a pathological fracture making the coronal fragment very mobile.

The upper central incisor has a persistent periapical periodontitis despite root canal treatment.

**Treatment**

**How would you manage this problem in the short and long term?**

The prognosis for the lateral incisor is poor and it requires extraction. It cannot be restored because the resorption has involved the periodontal ligament around much of the tooth circumference. A tooth with a more localized perforation might be repaired surgically. However, in combination with the necessary root canal treatment, this would be heroic treatment with an unpredictable chance of success. Repair is more likely to be practical for external cervical resorption.

Time must be given for alveolar remodelling before the definitive restoration is made and a temporary replacement will be required.

**What are your options for a short-term replacement?**

- Every-type or spoon acrylic denture
- Immediate insertion of an adhesive/minimal preparation bridge
- In the very short term, the existing crown might be splinted to the adjacent teeth pending extraction.
What are your options for the long-term replacement?

Minimal preparation simple cantilever bridge replacing the lateral incisor with a retainer on the canine. This would require the carious lesion in the canine to be small and sufficient occlusal clearance for the retainer.

A conventional simple cantilever bridge using the canine as the abutment.

A conventional simple cantilever bridge using the upper left central incisor as the abutment. This would require a parallel-sided, cast or preformed post and core to support a single cantilever replacing the lateral incisor. Such a retainer is not ideal because using a post crowned tooth as a single abutment has a relatively high failure rate; indeed post retention is best avoided in all bridge designs. The failed root filling in the central incisor is also a problem. Retreatment would not produce a better root filling than the existing one which appears well condensed and as close to the apex as possible. Apicectomy will have to be considered for this tooth and if it is performed the root length available for a post will be reduced. Taken together with the time necessary to ensure apical healing, these factors exclude a replacement retained by the central incisor in the short term.

In the longer term this incisor might be usable as an abutment and if it were, the design could be further strengthened against rotation by using the mesial cavity in the canine for an inlay to act as a minor retainer for a fixed movable bridge.

A single tooth implant would be possible but a cautious approach is prudent in diabetes. This is not a complete contraindication to implants, but the possibility of delayed healing in diabetes, and the maxillary site (where implants have a reduced survival rate), mean that an implant might not be recommended. Further discussion of anterior single tooth implants will be found in case 35.
Case 18

Oroantral fistula

SUMMARY
A 42-year-old man presents with pain following extraction of an upper first molar. What is the cause and how will you treat him?

Medical history
He gives a history of smoking 20 cigarettes a day for 24 years but considers himself fit and healthy.

Examination
Extraoral examination
He is a healthy looking man with no facial swelling or lymphadenopathy. There is a lightly blood-stained discharge from the left nares and halitosis.

Intraoral examination
The appearances on presentation are shown in Figure 18.1. What do you see and how do you interpret the features?

There is a large defect in the alveolus at the site of the first molar socket, the socket appears empty and the oral mucosa has grown to line the visible sides of the socket. After 2 weeks the oral epithelium should have proliferated to cover the socket mouth but there must have been a failure of clot formation and/or organization. One possibility is that the patient has a dry socket (see Case 15). No bone is visible in the socket but it could be exposed apically. However, dry socket is rare in the maxilla and it is more likely that the socket is communicating with the maxillary antrum.

Differential diagnosis
What causes for this pain are possible and why?

Sinusitis secondary to oroantral fistula. An oroantral communication itself causes little or no discomfort but usually induces a degree of sinusitis. The nature and distribution of pain and presence of nasal discharge are typical of sinusitis. This seems the most likely diagnosis. Fistula formation is most commonly associated with extraction of maxillary first and second molars.

Dental pain. Before jumping to conclusions, it is worth considering whether the wrong tooth may have been removed. If the extraction was performed for pulpitis (which is often poorly localized), it is possible that at least some of the symptoms may arise from the adjacent teeth. You will need to check whether additional symptoms suggest pain of dental origin.

What is an oroantral fistula?
An oroantral fistula (OAF) is a persistent epithelialized communication between the maxillary antrum and the mouth, present for more than 48 hours. The epithelial lining of the fistula grows from the gingival epithelium, periodontal pocket lining or the antral lining and it may take up to 7 days for the epithelium to completely line the walls of the communication. If the tract is not lined by epithelium it is known as an oroantral communication or perforation.
Oroantral communications either close spontaneously or become epithelialized and persist as fistulae.

**What is the aetiology of oroantral fistula?**

The vast majority of oroantral fistulae result from dental extraction. Up to 10% of upper molar extractions may create oroantral communications but very few, only 0.5%, persist to become fistulae. Other causes include malignant neoplasms arising in the oral cavity or antrum.

**What factors predispose to formation of oroantral fistulae following extraction of teeth?**

- Proximity of roots to maxillary antrum, large sinus
- Difficult extraction, unfavourable root morphology
- Periapical lesions such as apical granulomas or cysts
- Bone loss due to periodontitis or periapical–endodontic lesion
- Hypercementosis
- Local infection or sequestrum
- Predisposition to infection (e.g. diabetes)
- Dry socket or other poor healing
- Advanced age
- Pre-existing diseases in the sinus, though this is probably not a very significant factor.

**What are the signs and symptoms of OAF?**

The symptoms depend on the size of the fistula. Initially there may be persistent pain localized to the tooth socket but later, when inflammation has subsided, the fistula will be painless. If pain is a prominent symptom, some additional element such as infection must be suspected. The socket may present as an empty cavity or as a prolapse of antral lining through the socket into the mouth.

The most characteristic symptoms are the escape of fluids from the mouth into the nose on eating, or air or fluid into the mouth on blowing the nose. Passage of saliva, food and bacteria into the antrum causes sinusitis and the symptoms experienced will depend on its severity. Unilateral nasal obstruction, a feeling of fullness, pain over the maxilla and tenderness on pressure are typical.

**Investigations**

**What investigations would you carry out, how and why?**

Investigations need to be performed to confirm the communication with the antrum, to check for associated complications and to exclude the possibility that a malignant neoplasm is the cause of either the antral communication itself or the failure of the socket to heal. Investigations are summarized in the Table 18.1.

**The periapical radiograph of the socket is shown in Figure 18.2. What do you see?**

The first molar socket is indistinct. The lamina dura has been resorbed, probably as a result of inflammation or infection. The tract of a fistula is not visible. This is usually the case because the cortex is intact buccally and palatally, providing most of the radiodensity of the socket. The floor of the antrum is just visible and a root fragment approximately 3 mm long lies on the sinus floor (outlined in Fig. 18.7). The second molar has an inadequate root canal treatment, probably associated with loss of apical lamina dura and a small periapical radiolucency. A pin has perforated the distal root.

**What else do you need to know about the root fragment?**

Whether it is loose in the antrum or trapped under the sinus lining or in granulation tissue. The root will have to be removed and if it is under the lining or trapped, it should be possible to remove it through the socket. If it is loose in the antrum, removal in this way may prove impossible, necessitating a later elective surgical procedure such as a Caldwell–Luc approach.

**How will you decide where the root is and whether it is mobile?**

If the fistula opening is large you might try to visualize the fragment directly. If not, a second radiograph at right angles to the periapical, such as an occipitomental view, would help to localize it. A further view with the patient’s head tilted would reveal whether or not the root moves.

---

**Table 18.1 Summary of investigations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aim of investigation</th>
<th>Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To demonstrate communication between antrum and mouth, the definitive test for oroantral fistula if there is no history of fluid or air passing between sinus and mouth.</td>
<td>If the fistula is large it may be possible to see into the antrum or pass a probe or large gutta percha point through into the antrum. If not, the patient can be asked to blow air into their nose while pinching the anterior nares closed and keeping their mouth open. You may see air bubbles, hear a hissing noise or detect air movement with a wisp of cotton wool at the socket opening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To detect retained root fragments or sequestra in the socket. To exclude the possibility of other lesions such as malignant neoplasms.</td>
<td>Radiographs of the socket, ideally a periapical view, possibly also a panoramic tomograph.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To detect root fragments displaced into the antrum and exclude other antral disease.</td>
<td>Radiographs of antrum, usually a panoramic tomograph or standard occipitomental view is sufficient. However, it is difficult to visualize the whole antrum in any one view without superimposition of other structures. Cone beam computerised tomographic imaging is the best way to examine the sinus if a root fragment is suspected but cannot be detected on other views. However, it requires a higher X-ray dose, is expensive and only available in some centres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To eliminate dental causes for any pain.</td>
<td>Vitality tests (thermal and/or electric) and examination for mobility of adjacent teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To exclude malignancy or identify other causes for impaired socket healing.</td>
<td>Biopsy. Not usually required but if there is a worrying radiographic appearance or solid tissue in the socket, biopsy is indicated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A section of the occipitomental view is shown in Figure 18.3. What do you see and how do you interpret the appearances?

The sinuses and facial bones are symmetrical and there is no expansion of the maxillary antrum. However, the sinus on the left is much more radiopaque than that on the right indicating oedema and thickening of the sinus lining or exudates within it. There is no fluid level visible. The root fragment is not visible because it lies on the sinus floor and is obscured by the superimposed alveolus.

Diagnosis

What is your final diagnosis?

Sinusitis secondary to oroantral fistula caused by extraction of the upper first molar. A root fragment has been displaced into the sinus. Apical periodontitis of the second molar may also contribute to the sinusitis but this is a chronic problem and a lower priority for treatment.

Treatment

How would you treat this patient?

- If pus is present in the fistula or if symptoms are severe, consider treating the sinusitis first and closing the fistula later after the sinusitis has partially resolved (it will not resolve completely until the fistula is closed). If there is long-standing infective sinusitis, this must be treated prior to surgical closure otherwise healing will be compromised.
- Excise the fistula, otherwise remnants of the epithelial lining may proliferate to reform the tract.
- Remove the root fragment from the sinus.
- Close the oroantral communication surgically.

Would you treat this patient in general practice?

Provided you are confident of your ability to remove the root fragment, there is no reason why this cannot be dealt with in a general practice situation. However, if the root is mobile in the antrum, the patient should be referred to hospital.

How would you excise the fistula and remove the root?

Under local anaesthesia, incise around the edge of the socket from gingiva right down into the antrum, removing all the soft tissue in the socket as a cylinder or cone-shaped piece and draw it into the mouth. Depending on the size of the bony defect and the amount of bone resorption (usually greater in long-standing fistulae) this opens up a large hole into the sinus. With suction, good light and direct vision try to identify the root fragment and remove it with fine forceps, sucker tip or other instrument. Take care not to displace it into the sinus. If it becomes displaced it may be possible to wash it out by flushing saline into the sinus. Alternatively, better surgical access to the sinus may be achieved using a Caldwell–Luc approach under general anaesthesia. This is the main reason for referring patients with mobile fragments to hospital.

Send the excised tract for histopathological examination in case of unexpected underlying lesions.

How will you close the defect?

The buccal mucoperiosteal flap with advancement (buccal advancement flap) is the most commonly used technique and it has more than a 90% success rate. The technique is shown in Figure 18.4. After excising the fistula, as above, proceed as follows:

Make two incisions buccally, anterior and posterior to the socket, passing parallel up the attached gingiva and then splaying to provide a wider base to ensure a good blood supply for the flap. The line of the incisions must be compatible with the flap sliding palatally to cover the defect in the alveolus.

Elevate the mucoperiosteal flap you have outlined by lifting the soft tissues in the plane beneath the periosteum.

Advance the flap. The flap cannot yet be pulled across the defect because the periosteum cannot be stretched. Fold the
flap back to expose its periosteal surface and make several shallow parallel incisions across the flap that penetrate only the periosteum (about 0.25–0.5 mm in depth). This must be done very carefully with the flap under slight tension. As the periosteum is incised the flap will be felt to stretch. Do not perforate the flap or it will either be cut off or have a compromised blood supply. Make sufficient incisions to lengthen the flap so that it can reach across to the palatal side of the defect with minimal tension.

Prepare the palatal aspect of the alveolar defect. Refresh the margin of the palatal side to expose a narrow bony rim at least 1 mm wide, preferably 2 mm. The flap must be sutured into place at a site that has bony support.

Suture the flap in place using slowly resorbable sutures (for instance 3/0 vicryl) or nonresorbable sutures. Place several sutures around the apex of the flap (mesial, distal and central) and buccally. The flap must not be under tension and sufficient sutures must be placed to ensure an airtight and watertight seal supported by underlying bone. Ensure haemostasis. The sutures must remain in place for 10–14 days.

What alternative flap designs are possible?

The buccal advancement flap may not be possible when the bony defect is very large or when a previous attempt at repair has failed.

A number of other flaps are possible including the palatal island flap, submucosal palatal island flap, combined buccal and palatal flaps and even pedicled grafts from the tongue. However, most of these techniques are complex and have been superseded by the buccal fat pad technique. Diagrams of the rotated palatal flap technique, the second most commonly used method, are shown in Figure 18.5. Alternative methods to close oroantral fistulae are noted in Table 18.2.

What postoperative instructions are required?

In addition to the routine instructions given after extraction, the patient must be placed on an antral regime to reduce inflammation and prevent a rise in air pressure in the antrum. The upper first molar is situated in the lowest point of the maxillary sinus. Persistent infection or inflammation will induce exudates that will drain to this point and cause

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 18.2 Local flap design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indications/advantages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal advancement flap (see Figure 18.4). Relatively simple, no flap donor site to heal, suitable for local analgesia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal fat pad transfer. As above and the buccal fat pad is dissected from under the buccal flap on a pedicle and secure in the socket. As above, able to fill a larger defect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal flap. Possible when buccal flap has failed or would have insufficient length to cover a palatally placed bone defect. Covers the defect with masticatory mucosa.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
breakdown of the clot. Increased air pressure in the sinus, for instance from sneezing or blowing the nose, will force air or exudate through the alveolar defect as well as physically disrupting the clot and flap. Decongestants maintain the patency of the opening of the sinus to favour drainage to the nose.

A suitable antral regime would be:
- an absolute ban on blowing the nose for 48 hours
- sneeze allowing pressure to escape through the mouth
- nasal decongestant (such as ephedrine nasal spray 0.5%)
- decongestant inhalant (e.g. Karvol).

In addition, chlorhexidine mouthwash should be given. The repair will fail if there is leakage of saliva and bacteria past the flap from the oral aspect. No rinsing should be performed for 24 hours.

Other possibilities

**How might formation of oroantral communication be prevented?**

The risk of oroantral communication should be assessed routinely on a radiograph before extraction of upper molars. If the risk is high, an experienced surgeon should remove the tooth. Surgical extraction, possibly with elective sectioning of the tooth, reduces the chances of disrupting the maxillary floor.

**The preoperative radiograph is shown in Figure 18.6. What do you see?**

Several features in the list of risk factors above are evident. There is a low antral floor in contact with the roots, there is little alveolar bone height and there is loss of lamina dura around the tooth root apices.

**How could an oroantral communication be confirmed at the time of extraction? How might this help?**

If an antral communication is present, an echoing 'wind tunnel sound' will be heard if a small suction tip is held in the socket, the result of air being sucked from the antrum as well as the mouth. If the communication is large you may be able to see into the antrum or identify nasal regurgitation of your irrigation fluids or blood from the extraction site. Do not ask patient to blow through their nose while holding it. The sinus lining may still be intact but would be burst by the pressure and a small communication might be enlarged.

If a communication is suspected, stabilization of the clot, closure of the socket with resorbable sutures and appropriate warnings to the patient about blowing the nose should prevent a fistula developing. This is likely to be effective if the diameter of the communication is 4 mm or less. If it is larger, it should be repaired immediately using a suitable flap technique to avoid sinusitis and infection developing.
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SUMMARY

A 38-year-old woman with mouth ulcers has noticed a recent exacerbation in their severity. You need to make a diagnosis and decide on suitable investigations and treatment.

Medical history

The patient is otherwise fit and well.

- The patient has already provided several pieces of information of value for differential diagnosis. How do you assess her ulcers on the basis of the information available?

The patient has noted an outset of ulceration early in life with recurrent attacks of single ulcers or small crops of ulcers. There are very many causes of oral ulceration but these ulcers appear to be recurrent, that is they appear periodically and heal completely between attacks.

- What are the common causes of recurrent oral ulceration?
  - Recurrent aphthous stomatitis (RAS)
    - Minor type
    - Herpetiform type
    - Major type
  - Erythema multiforme
  - Occasional cases of traumatic ulceration
  - Ulcers associated with gastrointestinal disease.

- How will you differentiate between these conditions?
  Almost entirely on the basis of the findings in the history. Some features of the examination, blood tests or a biopsy may be helpful in certain cases, but the history is most important.

- What features of the ulceration would you ask about to determine the diagnosis? Explain why for each.
  See Table 19.1 This patient’s answers are shown in the right-hand column.

- How are major and minor RAS differentiated?
  By severity rather than by any one feature alone. RAS may be labelled as major because of the size of the ulcers, their long duration or because they develop scarring on healing.

- From which type of ulcers does the patient appear to be suffering?
  She would appear to have typical minor RAS which has increased in severity recently.

Examination

Intraoral examination

- The appearance of one ulcer is shown in Figure 19.1. What do you see?
  There is an obvious ulcer on the anterior buccal mucosa. It is shallow, a few millimetres in diameter and has a slightly irregular but well-defined margin. The surrounding mucosa appears normal with only a narrow rim of erythema around the ulcer. There is a temporary restoration in the upper right first premolar and the ulcer would lie in approximately this region at rest.
When you examine the patient you find two more ulcers. One is 2 mm in diameter and lies in the lower labial sulcus on the alveolar mucosa adjacent to the lower right canine. A third ulcer, also 3 mm in diameter, lies on the upper left buccal mucosa anterior to the parotid papilla. They appear to be identical to the ulcer shown.

What can you deduce from these appearances?

The appearances are not particularly helpful in differential diagnosis but are typical of those seen in minor recurrent aphthous stomatitis. The slightly irregular outline of the largest ulcer indicates early healing. The ulcers are not at all suggestive of erythema multiforme.

If you were able to examine the mouth you would find that there is no evidence of scarring in the common ulcer sites, which would have suggested the major form of RAS. The mucosa is otherwise healthy excluding the possibility of chronic ulceration in a mucosal disease, such as lichen planus or a vesiculobullous disease. The normal mucosa at sites of previous ulcers confirms that the ulceration is indeed recurrent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>This patient’s ulcers …</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Recurrent aphthous stomatitis (RAS) almost exclusively affects nonkeratinized mucosa. Erythema multiforme affects predominantly the vermilion border of lip, buccal mucosa and anterior mouth. Recurrent traumatic ulceration usually recurs at the same site, often close to a sharp tooth.</td>
<td>… affect the labial mucosa and anterior buccal mucosa, especially in the sulci behind the lips. They never occur on the dorsal tongue or palate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Recurrent aphthous ulcer size depends on type. Minor ulcers are usually up to 8 mm in diameter, herpetiform 0.2–3 mm, and major ulcers are larger than 1 cm, sometimes up to 3 or 4 cm in diameter.</td>
<td>… are usually 3–5 mm in diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of each ulcer</td>
<td>Minor RAS ulcers heal in approximately 10 days. Herpetiform ulcers may heal in about the same time or sometimes a shorter period (they are often smaller). Major RAS lesions may fail to heal for weeks or even months. Erythema multiforme is variable depending on severity and heals in 10–21 days.</td>
<td>… last a week or so before each ulcer heals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ulcers</td>
<td>Minor RAS lesions usually appear singly or in crops of 4–5 ulcers; major RAS lesions are fewer in number, often only one or two, herpetiform ulcers are numerous, from 30 to 100 at a time.</td>
<td>… are normally single, occasionally 2–3 develop at once. Recently there have been up to 5 at once.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of attacks</td>
<td>Frequency of attacks of RAS varies with severity. Ulcers may appear almost continuously or just once a year. Sometimes they coincide with menstruation. Erythema multiforme classically recurs at 6–8 week intervals in severe cases but the frequency may be only one or two attacks in a year.</td>
<td>… are usually confined to one or two attacks a year but she has had three crops in the last 4 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape</td>
<td>RAS ulcers are usually round or oval and sharply defined, especially in the early stages. They may become more irregular as healing takes place. Herpetiform ulcers coalesce to form irregular shapes. Ulcers in erythema multiforme are irregular and ragged and often poorly defined, merging with inflamed surrounding mucosa. Those on the lips are often covered by bloody fibrin sloughs.</td>
<td>… are round or oval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whether multiple ulcers develop synchronously or asynchronously</td>
<td>In RAS, ulcers may develop in crops within a few days of one another, or asynchronously. One crop may appear before another has healed. Herpetiform RAS lesions usually appear in crops together. In erythema multiforme all the ulcers develop synchronously.</td>
<td>… usually appear within a few days of one another.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are ulcers preceded by vesicles?</td>
<td>The presence of vesicles indicates possible viral infection or vesiculobullous disease. This fact may be helpful in the differential diagnosis of herpetiform ulcers, which resemble viral ulcers but are not preceded by vesicles.</td>
<td>… have not been preceded by any vesicles, at least as far as the patient has been aware.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset</td>
<td>RAS usually has onset before or around adolescence. Erythema multiforme typically develops in the second or third decade.</td>
<td>… started with occasional ulcers in childhood and she has had occasional ulcers throughout her life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>Often present in RAS, not found in erythema multiforme.</td>
<td>… or ulcers like them do not appear to affect her parents. The patient’s 7-year-old son occasionally has ulcers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exacerbating or relieving factors</td>
<td>None is usually detected for RAS, though an ulcer may develop at a site of minor trauma, complicating the differential diagnosis if the ulcers are very infrequent. Stress often appears to precipitate attacks of RAS. Erythema multiforme may be triggered by a drug, viral or other infection, classically 10 days before the ulcers appear. Often no trigger is identified.</td>
<td>… occasionally develop where she bites herself or knocks her mucosa with a toothbrush.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Diagnosis

- **What is your diagnosis and what would you do next?**

  The diagnosis is recurrent aphthous stomatitis of the minor form. The next step is to exclude the possibility that the ulcers are associated with an underlying condition.

- **With what underlying conditions/causes may RAS be associated?**

  - Iron deficiency
  - Vitamin deficiency, particularly B12 and folate
  - Gastrointestinal disease
  - Behçet’s disease
  - Smoking cessation.

- **What features of the ulcers themselves might indicate the presence of an underlying predisposing condition?**

  Any feature in the history or examination which is atypical for the type of RAS should raise suspicion of an underlying...
condition. In particular, the following should trigger a search for underlying predisposing causes:

- Onset after the second decade
- Increase in ulcer size, duration, symptoms or severity
- Marked periulcer erythema.

How would you investigate the possibility of an underlying condition?

Iron deficiency is relatively common. Check for known history of anaemia. Question the patient about common causes of iron-deficiency anaemia, including menorrhagia and gastrointestinal bleeding (peptic ulcer, hiatus hernia, inflammatory bowel disease and haemorrhoids). Check that a balanced and varied diet is consumed, even though dietary deficiency is rare. Perform blood tests or refer the patient to her medical practitioner to check for microcytosis and to determine haemoglobin and red cell/haemoglobin indices. Ulcers may be associated with minor degrees of iron deficiency that are insufficient to cause anaemia and sensitive tests for iron depletion are required. Serum ferritin, which reflects body iron stores, is the ideal test.

Vitamin deficiencies associated with aphthous stomatitis are usually of folate or B12. Check that a balanced and varied diet is consumed and that there is no gastrointestinal disease to reduce absorption of folate. Exclude dietary deficiency of B12 by asking about pernicious anaemia and gastrointestinal disease and confirming that the diet is adequate, particularly if a strict vegetarian diet is consumed. Perform blood tests for mean cell volume (increased in vitamin deficiency) and assay serum or erythrocyte folate level and serum B12.

Gastrointestinal disease exacerbates RAS because of reduced absorption of iron, folate and B12. Ask about both diarrhoea and constipation, abdominal cramps, weight loss and blood in stools and check the medical history.

Gastrointestinal diseases are also associated with other types of oral ulceration. Sometimes these ulcers are recurrent but their appearances are usually characteristic and they are most unlikely to be mistaken for ulcers of RAS. Large leathery ulcers, multiple pustules and irregular haemorrhagic ulcers are very occasionally seen in ulcercative colitis, linear ulcers with hyperplastic margins in Crohn’s disease and herpetiform-type ulcers in coeliac disease.

Behçet’s disease is rare but can present with oral ulcers as the most significant problem. Patients may suffer from a broad spectrum of signs and symptoms and should be questioned about genital ulcers on mucosa or skin, rashes including erythema nodosum or pustules, arthritis of large and small joints, venous thrombosis and bowel symptoms. Ocular signs including uveitis and conjunctivitis are found in a minority of patients and these, and central nervous system symptoms, are serious. There are no specific tests for Behçet’s disease (though HLA typing may help identify those at risk from ulcerous disease). A biopsy of an oral ulcer may be helpful because it can demonstrate the underlying vasculitis that accounts for many of the manifestations.

Smoking cessation is excluded by questioning. It sometimes exacerbates ulceration but starting smoking again does not usually induce remission.

Treatment

What treatments are available and which would you suggest?

Many treatments are available. Unfortunately none is highly effective in all patients and treatment must be selected to suit individual cases. Reassurance is an important part of treatment in minor RAS. Tell the patient that RAS is very common, but is a ‘nuisance’ condition rather than serious or infectious and warn her that:

- no one treatment is consistently effective;
- she may need to try several treatments before she finds one which works well for her;
- treatments are not completely effective, and they should only be expected to moderate the symptoms and sometimes the frequency of ulcers;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Indications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>Probably the best option for occasional ulcers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covering agents, e.g. Orabase</td>
<td>Good for infrequent ulcers anteriorly in the buccal and labial mucosa, ideally single ulcers. Use is difficult and the patient must be capable of some dexterity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-inflammatory/analgaeic mouthwash, e.g. benzydamine</td>
<td>Both types of mouthwash are useful when ulcers affect a range of oral sites not accessible to covering pastes. In general not highly effective but may reduce pain directly or by reducing infection of the ulcer surface. Popular with most patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiinfectious mouthwash, e.g. chlorhexidine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low potency topical steroid pellets such as hydrocortisone (Corlan) and steroids in Orabase such as triamcinolone (Adcortyl in Orabase)</td>
<td>Ulcers must be at sites where the pellet can be left to dissolve or Orabase applied, usually in the sulci. Useful first-line treatments if the ulcer-free period is longer than 1 month and may reduce frequency in some patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid mouthwashes, e.g. betamethasone</td>
<td>Used when ulcers affect a range of sites and are of sufficient severity to merit a therapeutic treatment. Potent, not available to general dental practitioners in the UK. Patients must dissolve tablets to make fresh mouthwash.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid aerosols, e.g. budesonide</td>
<td>Useful when a more potent steroid must be delivered to a single site. Potent, not available to general dental practitioners in the UK.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic drugs, steroids, colchicine, azathioprine, thiouamide</td>
<td>For severe cases and Behçet’s disease refractory to other treatments. Potent, not available to general dental practitioners in the UK.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, simple advice may help to make ulcers bearable: avoid spicy foods, acidic fruit juices and carbonated drinks; consider drinking with a straw when ulcers are present; avoid sharp foods such as crisps, and astringent toothpastes or those with irritant flavourings or detergents.
Troublesome Mouth Ulcers

- The aim of treatment should be to make the ulcers bearable.

If an underlying condition such as iron deficiency is detected, its correction will probably reduce their severity but will not cure the ulcers completely.

Treatments available are shown in Table 19.2.

For this patient, the most important factor is to exclude underlying causes and iron deficiency is the most likely. Treatment of underlying deficiency may reduce the ulcer severity so that the patient can again ignore her ulcers. In the meantime a mouthwash or hydrocortisone pellets would appear to be suitable as a first-line treatment though the patient might also be encouraged to try some of the many nonprescription preparations available.

The patient asks whether the buccal ulcer could be caused by the temporary restoration in the adjacent tooth. What is your opinion?

No. This is most unlikely. The history of RAS is so typical that the diagnosis is not in doubt. Reactions to dental materials are not associated with ulcers of this type. However, recurrent aphthous ulcers often develop at the sites of minor trauma. Trauma either during restoration, from a sharp edge or from biting while the mucosa was anaesthetized might well explain the location of this particular ulcer.
SUMMARY
A 55-year-old man presents to your oral and maxillofacial surgery department clinic with a lump on the left side of the neck. You must make a diagnosis.

History
Complaint
The patient complains of the lump and notices some discomfort on swallowing, as if something is stuck in his throat. He assumes the lump is the cause.

History of present complaint
He thinks he first noticed the lump about 3 months ago. It has always been painless and is slowly enlarging. The discomfort on swallowing is of recent onset.

Medical history
The patient is otherwise fit and well. He smokes 20 cigarettes per day and drinks 10 units of alcohol each week as beer.

Examination
Extraoral examination
The appearance of the swelling is shown in Figure 20.1.

What do you see? What is the likely origin of the mass?
There is a swelling just anterior to the anterior border of the sternomastoid muscle and below and behind the angle of the mandible. It is several centimetres in diameter and extends forwards below the angle of the mandible towards the submandibular region. The overlying skin does not appear to be inflamed.

The lesion lies over the deep cervical lymph node chain and could well arise from a cervical lymph node. It is too low and too far posterior to be arising from the submandibular gland and too low to have arisen in the lower pole of the parotid gland. Other soft tissues of the neck could be the origin, but a lymph node is the most likely cause.

If you could palpate the lesion you would find that it is approximately 8 cm by 6 cm in size and feels firm on palpation, possibly slightly fluctuant. It is mobile, not fixed to the overlying skin or deep structures. The patient does not notice any tenderness on palpation. There are no other swellings or enlarged lymph nodes palpable on either side of the neck.

Intraoral examination
The submandibular glands are palpable bimanually and appear symmetrical. Both are mobile and clearly separate from the swelling, which lies posterior to the gland.

The patient’s mouth has been well restored in the past but suffers from recent neglect and several carious cavities are visible. There is no significant periodontal disease.

Fig. 20.1 a and b The appearance of the swelling.
with most probing depths less than 5 mm and no mobile teeth. The lower left first permanent molar has lost a large restoration and has extensive caries. There is no soft tissue swelling, sinus or tenderness in the sulcus adjacent to the apices of the roots. The tooth is not tender to percussion. The oral mucosa appears normal, and the tonsils appear to be symmetrical.

**Differential diagnosis**

- **What are the most likely causes of the lump and why?**

  **Metastatic malignancy** appears likely and this lesion is so typical of a cervical lymph node metastasis that it must be considered to be malignant until proved otherwise. The combination of features suggestive of metastasis is the patient’s age (should be considered a possible cause in any patient aged over 45), the site (consistent with a cervical lymph node), the firm consistency and lack of tenderness. Fixation to the skin or other structures would be almost conclusive of malignancy but is a late sign. The patient is a smoker and drinker and so has an increased risk of malignancy. Either a squamous carcinoma or adenocarcinoma is likely. Melanoma and other malignancies are further possible causes.

  **Lymphadenitis** secondary to a local cause is common and so must be considered. However, there is no tenderness on palpation to suggest an inflammatory cause. If this were a reactive inflammatory enlargement, the most likely source of infection would be a dental, pharyngeal or skin infection. The patient has a potential source of dental infection in the lower left first permanent molar but the tooth is not tender to percussion nor associated with overt infection, making it an unlikely cause.

  **Tuberculosis** needs to be considered both as a possible diagnosis and as a factor affecting management. Most patients with cervical lymph node enlargement caused by tuberculosis have reactivation (‘secondary’ or post-primary) tuberculosis in which a previous quiescent infection becomes reactivated. This localized infection may or may not be accompanied by pulmonary disease though there may be radiological evidence of past tuberculosis on chest radiograph. Cervical tuberculous lymphadenitis is common in those from the Indian subcontinent. Atypical mycobacterial infection is a disease which often affects the cervical lymph nodes but is almost always seen in children or the immunosuppressed.

- **Which additional but less likely causes need to be considered whenever a patient complains of an enlargement at this site? Why are they unlikely causes in this case?**

  Numerous lesions could arise at this site and it is not useful to list them all. A number of possible causes (Table 20.1) merit consideration, because they are common, easily excluded or cause significant morbidity.

---

**Table 20.1** Further possible causes of the enlargement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Developmental causes</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branchial cyst</td>
<td>Branchial cysts develop at this site. They usually present in childhood or early adulthood but can on occasion be asymptomatic for many years and present late with infection. However, 55 years of age would be extremely late and a metastatic malignancy is a much more likely cause. Cystic change in metastatic carcinoma in lymph nodes is a well-recognized finding and fluctuation can be misinterpreted as indicating a benign cyst such as a branchial cyst. Branchial cysts are rare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infectious causes</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat scratch disease, toxoplasmiosis, brucellosis, glandular fever</td>
<td>These are less common causes of cervical lymphadenopathy in this age group. All usually cause enlargement of several nodes, often bilaterally. Toxoplasmiosis and glandular fever usually affect young adults. Cat scratch disease may present with a single markedly enlarged node. Exposure to cats or other pets or history of a primary skin infection at the site of a scratch aids diagnosis. Serological tests allow the diagnosis of cat scratch disease, toxoplasmiosis and brucellosis. Of these conditions, only cat scratch disease is a conceivable cause for this swelling and the likelihood is low.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV infection</td>
<td>Should always be considered in chronic lymph node enlargement but causes generalized lymphadenopathy. May be accompanied by signs of immunosuppression. A most unlikely diagnosis for this presentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inflammatory causes</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoidosis</td>
<td>Another cause of generalized lymphadenopathy or enlargement of a group of nodes. More common in the 20–40 age group. African-Americans, West Indian and Irish immigrants to the UK are at particular risk. Usually accompanied by other signs which aid diagnosis. An unlikely cause for this patient’s swelling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benign neoplasms</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salivary gland neoplasm</td>
<td>The tail of the parotid gland extends low into the neck, to just below and behind the angle of the mandible. This lesion does not appear to be in the correct site for a parotid gland origin but the possibility of a benign salivary neoplasm might be considered. A Warthin’s tumour or pleomorphic adenoma would be the most likely possibilities because they are commonest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid body tumour (paranglioma)</td>
<td>These arise from the carotid body at the carotid bifurcation and cause a swelling just in front of the sternomastoid muscle but slightly higher than the present swelling. They are rare, affect the 30–60-year-old age group and are sometimes bilateral. Though an unusual cervical swelling, the accompanying pulsation, thrill or bruit from the carotid blood supply aids diagnosis. The lesion is mobile horizontally but not vertically because it is attached to the carotid artery. An unlikely cause for this patient’s swelling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other benign soft tissue neoplasms</td>
<td>Many are possible, arising from muscle, nerve, fat or fibrous tissue. None merits singling out as a possible cause in this case.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other primary malignant neoplasms</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>An enlarged lymph node in the deep cervical lymph chain could be the first presentation of lymphoma. Non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma would be the most likely type in a patient of this age. However, enlarged lymph nodes in lymphoma are almost always multiple and feel rubbery. The presence of such a large discrete lesion without other enlarged lymph nodes almost completely excludes lymphoma.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 20.2 Techniques for obtaining tissue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigation</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine needle aspiration biopsy/</td>
<td>The least invasive procedure which can provide a sample of the lesional tissue. FNAB does not risk seeding tumour or tuberculosis into the tissues of the neck. Rapid. Readily repeated if fails. Leaves no scar.</td>
<td>It is possible to miss the lesion when inserting the needle. If this is likely to be a problem, the procedure can be performed under ultrasound or radiological guidance. Provides only a small sample and definitive diagnosis on cytology may not be possible (though a sufficiently accurate diagnosis to plan treatment may be provided).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytology (FNAB or FNAC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incisional biopsy</td>
<td>Readily performed and provides a large tissue sample which will almost certainly be sufficient for diagnosis. In lymphoma, a lymph node is usually required for classification of disease. (However, the neck is not the favoured site for the resulting scar and another node would probably be sampled).</td>
<td>If the lesion were malignant it would probably be spread into the tissues of the neck, making subsequent surgical treatment very difficult, if not impossible. This complication can be minimized by taking the biopsy from an area which would later be excised. However, spread into the tissue planes of the neck cannot be reliably prevented. Risk to adjacent structures in the neck.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 20.3 Other investigations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigation</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitality tests</td>
<td>To search for dental causes of infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographs of teeth on left side</td>
<td>To search for a dental infectious cause and provide information to plan necessary dental treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sialogram</td>
<td>To determine whether the mass is within the submandibular or parotid glands, unlikely in this case.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest radiograph</td>
<td>To search for metastasis in lungs, or for evidence of tuberculosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serology</td>
<td>Viral titres and specific tests to determine potential infectious causes such as cat scratch fever.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound scan</td>
<td>To determine the lesion’s relationship to the salivary glands; determine its extent, and whether it is cystic; to find out whether other masses or enlarged lymph nodes are present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT/MRI scan/PET scan</td>
<td>To localize the lesion and its relationships to normal tissues. Unnecessary at this stage. May be required later to plan treatment when diagnosis is established.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Investigations

What is the most important investigation? Which methods might be used and what are their advantages and disadvantages?

The critical requirement when malignancy is suspected is to obtain tissue speedily for microscopic diagnosis. All other investigations are less important at this stage. Two techniques are in common use; the fine needle aspiration biopsy and the surgical incisional biopsy (Table 20.2).

What other investigations might be performed, either now or at a later date? Why?

See Table 20.3.

In this case a suitable combination of investigations would be fine needle aspiration, dental radiographs, vitality tests and possibly ultrasound scan. The sialogram would have been performed if a salivary origin had been thought possible after clinical examination.

The lower first molar was nonvital and a periapical radiograph revealed apical radiolucency. The smear from a fine needle aspirate is shown in Figure 20.2.

What does the fine needle aspirate show and how do you interpret the appearances?

The aspirate shows cells from the lesion spread as a single layer and stained with the Papanicolaou stain. This stains nuclei dark blue, keratin orange and the cytoplasm of non-keratinized epithelial cells turquoise. The cells are almost all epithelial as shown by their prominent cytoplasm and by the presence of keratinization (arrowed A) in some of them. The larger cells have angulate polygonal cytoplasm typical of squamous epithelial cells. The nuclei of the cells range markedly in size from small hyperchromatic nuclei to very large irregular nuclei. At higher power the chromatin pattern is coarse. These features indicate malignancy and the keratinized cells indicate that this is a squamous carcinoma. Many normal lymphocytes were found elsewhere on the slide. This indicates that the carcinoma is in a lymph node and is therefore a metastasis.
Diagnosis

The patient has metastatic squamous carcinoma, almost certainly in a cervical lymph node.

What are the possible sites for the primary malignant neoplasm?

Any site in the drainage area of the lymph node in which squamous carcinoma may develop:

- Oral mucosa, particularly ventrolateral tongue, floor of mouth, soft palate, fauces or retromolar mucosa
- Pharynx, nasopharynx or oropharynx
- Tonsil
- Maxillary sinus
- Facial skin and scalp
- Salivary glands

What would you do to localize the primary carcinoma?

- Check history for previous known malignant disease
- Re-examine for symptoms or signs of possible primary carcinomas at all these sites
- Upper aerodigestive tract endoscopy under general anaesthesia
- Computerised tomography, magnetic resonance imaging or positron emission imaging

In this case, endoscopy revealed an ulcerated mass in the pharynx near the base of the tongue, and biopsy revealed squamous cell carcinoma.

What would you do if a primary carcinoma is not identified?

During endoscopy, blind biopsy of the nasopharynx and ipsilateral tonsillectomy may reveal an unsuspected small carcinoma. If this fails to identify the primary then the search will have to be widened, initially to other common sites for squamous carcinoma, such as lung, and then to the whole body. Very occasionally no primary lesion is found and the patient is said to have an occult primary.

Treatment

What are the treatment options assuming a primary is identified in the head and neck?

The treatment of choice for most primary head and neck squamous carcinoma with lymph node involvement is surgical resection, with subsequent radiotherapy in selected cases to eradicate any possible residual disease. Radiotherapy is always given if the carcinoma is found to have spread outside the capsule of lymph nodes in which metastases have seeded (extracapsular spread). Radiotherapy alone would be used in selected cases such as small tongue, tonsil or laryngeal carcinomas, for palliation in advanced carcinoma or when patients refuse surgery. Surgery would usually involve the en bloc removal of the primary site and lymph nodes from the deep cervical chain in continuity (block dissection of neck). Reconstruction using local, distant or free flaps may be required.

Chemotherapy and immunotherapy are of little benefit in squamous carcinoma of the head and neck. Further information is included in Case 57.

Another possibility

If the fine needle aspirate had shown adenocarcinoma or poorly differentiated carcinoma, which possible primary sites would have required investigation?

Adenocarcinoma (carcinoma showing glandular differentiation) might well have arisen in the breast, lung or prostate. The thyroid, salivary glands and minor mucous glands in the upper aerodigestive tract would also be possible primary sites. A poorly differentiated carcinoma could have metastasized from any of the squamous carcinoma or adenocarcinoma primary sites.

The stomach is a further possible source and a low cervical metastasis on the left side is a recognized presentation. However, in this case the swelling is too high in the neck to have arisen from the stomach.

Why does a gastrointestinal carcinoma sometimes metastasize to the left side of the neck?

Lymph from the oesophagus and the upper part of the stomach drains upwards in the thoracic duct which enters the lower end of the internal jugular vein. There is a rather variable anatomy at the site and often the subclavian and internal jugular lymph trunks join the thoracic duct rather than the internal jugular vein. In this situation, malignant cells draining up the thoracic duct can be carried a short distance into the lymphatics of the neck by retrograde flow (because the lymphatics are at a low and fluctuating pressure). Such cells can seed metastases in the lymph nodes just above the clavicle (Virchow's node).
Case 21

Trauma to an immature incisor

SUMMARY

An 8-year-old girl has fractured her upper right permanent central incisor tooth.

What additional questions would you ask and why?

Did the patient lose consciousness? This would indicate a relatively severe blow to the head and might indicate significant intracranial trauma. If the patient lost consciousness, even for a short period, they should be referred to hospital where they would almost certainly be admitted for 24 hours of observation. In this case the patient did not lose consciousness.

Was a piece of the tooth broken off and was it found? Missing fragments of teeth may have been inhaled, swallowed, embedded in the lip or lost. If a fragment has been found it must be matched to the fracture to determine whether other pieces remain missing and the patient investigated to locate and remove the pieces. In this case no fragment was found.

Has the patient suffered trauma previously? Previous trauma to this tooth could have resulted in arrested root development, disturbed crown formation or pathological mobility prior to this incident, depending on the age and stage of dental development at the time. Such changes could affect treatment and might explain the parent’s observation that the tooth was not normal. In this case no previous trauma could be recalled by the parent.

Was the damaged tooth fully erupted before the accident? In early mixed dentition, incisors on opposite sides of the mouth may be at different stages of eruption. At this age it would be expected that eruption would be complete but there is wide variation in eruption date and rate. It would be possible to misinterpret incomplete eruption as an intrusion injury if the original degree of eruption were not known. In this case, the child’s mother reported that both front teeth were fully erupted.

What object or surface did the child hit with her mouth? Injury on surfaces such as playgrounds, roads and pavements carries the risk of contaminating the wound with dirty particulate material. Sometimes such foreign material even enters intraoral wounds. Thorough debridement would then be required. It would also be necessary to check the child’s immunization status for tetanus prophylaxis and arrange a booster dose if required. In this case, the child hit the edge of a table.

History

Complaint

The child is brought in as an emergency by her mother, complaining of a broken front tooth.

History of complaint

Two hours prior to presentation the child had slipped at school, hitting her mouth. One front tooth appears to be broken.

Medical history

The child has mild asthma, but is otherwise healthy.

Dental history

The child has attended the dentist irregularly, has no caries and no experience of operative dentistry. Her mother states that the broken tooth had not appeared normal and may have been decayed.

Examination

Extraoral examination

The child is distressed but is readily examined. There is some slight swelling of the upper lip but no external abrasions or lacerations.

Intraoral examination

The appearances of the teeth are shown in Figure 21.1. What do you see?

The gingival tissues labial to the upper right permanent central incisor are erythematous and swollen. The crown of the tooth appears to be missing and less than 1 mm of the tooth is visible above the level of the gingiva. The visible fragment appears to be an intact incisal edge rather than a
fractured enamel or root surface. The lateral incisors show mild hypominerlization of the labial enamel in the incisal third of the crown.

If you were able to examine the patient you would find that the palatal gingiva of the upper right central incisor is also red and swollen. The remainder of the dentition is caries-free. There are no lacerations in the mucosa of the inner aspect of the lip.

**What additional examination(s) would you perform?**

Injury to the adjacent incisors and teeth in the lower labial segment should be investigated. Vitality, mobility, tenderness to percussion and fractures should be noted. A periodontal probe should be gently inserted into the labial gingival sulcus to confirm or exclude the presence of a deep pseudo-pocket which would indicate traumatic displacement.

**What features in the history and examination would lead to suspicion of nonaccidental injury?**

| History of repeated trauma (dental and facial injury, but also limb fractures) |
| Presenting injury not consistent with history given |
| Child's account varies significantly from parental account |
| History changed over course of initial consultation or review visits, evasive answers to questions |
| Delayed presentation |
| Bruises, abrasions or other soft tissue lesions apparently sustained over a period of time (for instance at different stages of healing) which are not accounted for by the presenting injury |

**Differential diagnosis**

**What is your initial differential diagnosis?**

There are two main possibilities, either the central incisor has been almost completely intruded (intrusive luxation) or its crown has been fractured horizontally at gingival level. The appearance in the figure indicates that this is an intrusion luxation because the visible tooth is an intact incisal edge rather than a fractured root.

**Investigations**

**What investigations would you perform? Explain why for each.**

Radiographs are required to visualize the intruded/fractured tooth and to assess damage to it and the adjacent teeth. Periapical views should be taken of all upper incisors to detect possible root fracture and to assess the stage of root development of the incisors.

In intrusion injuries the force of the blow is directed upwards so that it is unlikely that the lower incisors have been damaged. However, if the upper incisor turns out to be fractured then the lower incisors should also be radiographed to exclude root fracture. The periapical view of the upper right central incisor is shown in Figure 21.2.

**Tests of vitality of all incisors are required.** If the patient is sufficiently composed to allow it, all the incisors should be checked for vitality, preferably by electric pulp testing. Teeth recently subjected to trauma may not respond to testing (‘concussion’) and testing teeth with open apices may give an artificially low reading. However, it is important to take a baseline reading soon after the injury so that if vitality does not recover, treatment may be instituted without delay.

**The periapical radiograph is shown in Figure 21.2. What does the radiograph show?**

The radiograph shows a severe intrusive luxation of the maxillary right permanent incisor. The periodontal ligament space is indistinct or obliterated in part. There is no crown or root fracture visible, and the root is immature with a wide open apex. A peculiar feature on the film is the small circular radiolucent areas on the crown of the intruded tooth. These are well demarcated and smooth in outline.

**What could these radiolucent areas be?**

The lesions are relatively radiolucent and lie towards the incisal edge where enamel rather than dentine is responsible for the radiopacity of the tooth. This suggests that missing enamel is likely to be the cause. The patient's mother mentioned that the tooth had always appeared decayed and causes predating the current injury are the most likely. A number might be considered:
Enamel hypoplasia or hypomineralization would appear to be the most likely cause.

**What is your final diagnosis?**

The patient has an intrusive luxation to the permanent central incisor. This tooth also has several discrete hypoplastic enamel defects that were present before the accident.

**Treatment**

**What types of tissue injury result from intrusion and what are their complications?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injury</th>
<th>Complication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crushing and rupture of the periodontal fibres</td>
<td>Bacterial infection or inflammation tracking along the periodontal ligament. Increased risk of root resorption. Weakened periodontal attachment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushing, devitalization and scraping off of cementum</td>
<td>Transient surface root resorption with the possibility of more extensive external resorption and ankylosis in the longer term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushing of the apical neurovascular bundle (and the pulp itself in immature teeth)</td>
<td>Loss of pulp vitality.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Will the tooth re-erupt or should it be surgically repositioned?**

All mature teeth (closed apex) and over 60% of immature teeth become nonvital as a result of intrusive luxation.

Therefore, it is advisable to reposition the tooth as rapidly as possible so that access to the pulp chamber can be facilitated before pulp necrosis occurs. Intruded teeth with open apices do have the potential for re-eruption, but if this has not commenced within 1 week, intervention is required. There is at present no evidence to indicate the optimal treatment for the intrusive luxation of permanent teeth. Given sufficient cooperation, immediate surgical repositioning of the tooth will immediately restore the appearance. This should be followed by a short period of splinting of 7–10 days. This option may, however, increase the likelihood of external root resorption and loss of marginal bone support. Relatively rapid orthodontic extrusion over a period of 3–4 weeks is considered less traumatic and less likely to induce resorption.

**What immediate treatment is indicated?**

Immediate treatment aims to prevent subsequent external root resorption, preserve marginal bone support and prevent sepsis. Teeth with a closed apex should be treated by immediate pulp extirpation and placement of a non-setting calcium hydroxide root canal dressing. Immature teeth should be monitored for spontaneous re-eruption and loss of vitality. A 5-day course of systemic antibiotics should be prescribed, and the false gingival pocket surrounding the intruded crown gently irrigated with chlorhexidine.

**What follow up should you arrange?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Follow-up period</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>To monitor spontaneous eruption and vitality of immature teeth, or to remove the splint and change the calcium hydroxide paste in a tooth treated by immediate repositioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 weeks and 6 months</td>
<td>To continue monitoring spontaneous re-eruption of an immature tooth. Replace calcium hydroxide dressing. Radiograph.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-monthly and then annually for several years</td>
<td>To observe for delayed onset of external root resorption.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this case, spontaneous eruption was awaited, but was very slow. Electric pulp testing indicated early pulp necrosis. The tooth was then extruded rapidly with a simple orthodontic appliance engaged on to a bracket attached to the labial surface of the intruded tooth. As soon as there was adequate access to the pulp chamber, the necrotic pulp was extirpated, the canal cleaned and obturated with non-setting calcium hydroxide paste. The appearance of the extruded tooth is shown in Figure 21.3 and it confirms the diagnosis of enamel hypoplasia made radiographically.

**How would your management have differed if the patient had been a 3-year-old child with an intruded primary incisor?**

Mild intrusive luxation injuries in the primary dentition may be treated with reassurance and observation though parents should always be warned that damage to the permanent successor is common. Partial or sometimes total re-eruption over the following months is usual.
However, extraction should be performed without delay if a combination of periapical and lateral radiographs demonstrate that the deciduous tooth has impinged on the follicle of the underlying tooth or if there is subsequent loss of vitality. As in the permanent dentition, vitality must be monitored carefully if the apex is closed at the time of injury. Pulp tests in young children are often unreliable because of lack of understanding, and a close watch must be kept for colour change.

Fig. 21.3 Appearance of the extruded upper right central incisor.
Case 22

Hypoglycaemia

SUMMARY
A 55-year-old man collapses in your general dental surgery. What is the cause and what would you do?

History

Problem
The patient appears to become distant and incoherent towards the end of a treatment session.

Medical history
Having re-checked the medical history before commencing the treatment, you are aware that he is an insulin-dependent diabetic. He has had diabetes mellitus for 40 years and is currently taking insulin 20 IU in the morning and 15 IU at night. In addition he has peripheral vascular disease resulting in intermittent claudication and angina, for which he takes glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) spray when necessary.

Dental history
This gentleman has been a regular patient at the dentist for a number of years. On this occasion he has attended during his lunch hour for routine dental treatment involving simple periodontal treatment and the preparation of a six-unit bridge under local anaesthesia.

What is the likely diagnosis?
Hypoglycaemia.

Why was this particular diabetic at risk of hypoglycaemia?
The patient is an insulin-dependent diabetic. He has an absolute deficiency of insulin and requires insulin to control his blood glucose. Hypoglycaemia is an effect of the insulin rather than the diabetes itself.

What are the underlying events leading to the clinical presentation?
The patient said he had taken his insulin as normal. This has mobilized glucose from the blood into the tissues, reducing the blood glucose level. The patient must eat to replenish his blood glucose otherwise the level will continue to fall. Glucose is almost the only energy source for the brain but it stores little and requires a constant supply in the blood. Reduction in blood glucose starves the brain and results in abnormal brain activity. This may present as altered behaviour, including aggression and confusion.

What would you do immediately?

- Reassure the patient
- Assess vital signs, blood pressure, pulse and respiratory rate.

Examination

The patient is conscious. However, he is becoming increasingly confused and is sweaty and has tachycardia.

What other signs would you look for?
Signs of sympathetic nervous system activity may accompany hypoglycaemia as the body tries to mobilize glucose. This could lead to the patient being sweaty and tachycardic. However, neuropathy and vascular disease are common complications of diabetes and may prevent signs of sympathetic activation being apparent until a late stage. It is important not to waste time looking for other signs to confirm your diagnosis for the following reasons:
- the condition can worsen quickly;
- the history of diabetes and presentation are diagnostic;
- treatment cannot cause any significant adverse effects – raising blood glucose in the short term is safe.

Treatment

What treatment would you provide?
Give a glucose drink (20 grams of glucose) quickly as the patient may otherwise become unconscious in minutes. Alternatively, the equivalent amount of glucose gel, sugar lumps or a proprietary glucose drink may be used.

Unfortunately there is a delay finding and dissolving the glucose and the patient lapses into unconsciousness before he is able to drink it.

How may this cause of loss of consciousness be distinguished from other similar causes?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Symptoms and signs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vasovagal attack (faint)</td>
<td>The commonest cause of collapse and often associated with stress. There are usually premonitory symptoms before loss of consciousness. Cold, clammy skin, pallor, initial bradycardia and low volume pulse followed by tachycardia and a full pulse. Rapid recovery on placing supine or slightly head down (tilt not greater than 10°).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid crisis</td>
<td>Usually only seen in patients taking, or who have recently taken, systemic steroids. Arises as a result of relative insufficiency during periods of stress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac arrest</td>
<td>Usually a history of cardiovascular disease in the form of angina, hypertension or previous myocardial infarction. No central pulse.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What treatment would you now commence?

In this situation there are three options:

Give 1 mg of glucagon intramuscularly. This will cause mobilization of glucose from the last stores of glycogen in the patient’s liver, sufficient for him to regain consciousness. It is not a definitive treatment, but a way of producing a conscious patient who can swallow oral glucose. Glucagon works for about 15 minutes and is easy and safe to administer. As soon as the patient is alert and able to swallow, oral glucose should be given. Without this further treatment the patient will lapse back into a hypoglycaemic coma and a second dose of glucagon will be ineffective because all the liver glycogen will have been metabolized.

OR give 50 ml of 50% glucose intravenously. This is a difficult treatment to use and impossible if you cannot cannulate a large vein with a large intravenous cannula. 50% glucose is like thick syrup and very difficult to inject. It is also very irritating and will irreversibly damage the vein into which it is injected.

OR give 100 ml of 20% glucose intravenously. This still requires venous access, but is easier to inject and less likely to cause vein damage.

Only the first option is usually feasible in a general dental practice setting. The presentations of drugs useful in the treatment of hypoglycaemia are shown in Figure 22.1.

How would you proceed once the patient has recovered?

- Abandon dental treatment
- Continue to monitor the vital signs
- Arrange transfer of the patient to an appropriate secondary care facility
- Advise the patient of the need for formal review of their diabetic control.

Other possibilities

Can this happen to a patient with noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM)?

Yes. NIDDM is managed by diet control, oral hypoglycaemic drugs, insulin or a combination of these. Both oral hypoglycaemic drugs and insulin could potentiate hypoglycaemia if there is a relative deficiency of glucose, for instance if the patient does not eat despite having taken their normal dose of medication.

What could have been done to minimize the risk of such an event?

The timing of the appointment should have taken account of the need for the patient to avoid disturbances in their normal daily routine. Routine treatment under local anaesthetic should be undertaken at a time that allows for completion of

Fig. 22.1 Typical presentations of drugs used to treat hypoglycaemia.

A. Infusion set with 20% glucose.
B. Glucose 50% in Min-I-Jet format. The yellow plastic cover is removed from the back (left hand end) of the syringe barrel and front of the glass cartridge and the cartridge is screwed into the syringe barrel. Available in two types, with needle fitted and with luer lock fitting for a conventional needle (shown). After removing front cover and fitting needle, if required, use as a conventional syringe.
C. Glucose powder, dissolve 20 grams in up to one cup of water.
D. Glucagon emergency set with vial of lyophilised powder. Dissolve by injecting water for injection already in the syringe, and draw up for injection.
E. Blood glucose dipstick test strips.
F. Oxygen mask, give 5 litres/minute.
the procedure and recovery before the next food intake is due. In this way the possibility of a hypoglycaemic episode can be minimized. It is also advisable to avoid appointment times at the end of the day when medical assistance may be less readily available in the event of a complication. In this instance the patient had delayed his lunch to undertake a long appointment.

A more rapidly accessible glucose formulation might have helped. Glucose powder is useful for nonurgent uses but a ready-to-use solution is best for emergency use.

**Further points**

- **What are the oral complications of diabetes?**

  Oral complications of diabetes include:
  - Increased severity of periodontal disease and susceptibility to periodontal abscess
  - Xerostomia, as a result of dehydration, may also be a problem and may further predispose the patient to oral candidosis
  - Sialadenosis (salivary gland swelling for hormonal, metabolic or nutritional reasons) may also be seen
  - Oral hypoglycaemics may be associated with the development of lichenoid reactions
  - Peripheral mononeuropathy in the oropharyngeal area is a very rare effect.
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SUMMARY

A 78-year-old female patient has lost a tooth while eating. What is the cause and what will you do?

How do you interpret the findings so far?

The lack of bleeding and sensitivity suggest that the bone is necrotic and the teeth non-vital. Despite bacterial plaque and debris accumulating on the exposed bone there seems to be no infection, even though there is a deep soft-tissue pocket extending to the chin. The surrounding mucosa is hardly inflamed, suggesting that local trauma and infection are not responsible.

The appearances are very characteristic and it is possible to make a fairly confident diagnosis without any further investigations.

What is your diagnosis?

Bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis is almost certainly the diagnosis on the basis of asymptomatic necrosis of bone without pain. The patient has taken alendronate, a bisphosphonate drug, for several years and this is a recognized complication.

What alternative diagnoses have you excluded and why?

Chronic osteomyelitis would seem a possibility but the presentation is not correct for this diagnosis. Relatively mild pain or discomfort would probably be present, as would bad taste, discharge of pus indicating infection and possibly sequestration of the nonvital bone. There would also normally be both a cause and a predisposing factor. If dental infection were the cause, it would be evident clinically or radiographically, or there might be a history of trauma such as a fracture or dental extraction. Patients who develop osteomyelitis usually have some systemic predisposing condition such as diabetes mellitus or immunosuppression. It seems that this option is most unlikely, though a radiograph would help exclude or confirm it.

Acute osteomyelitis is even less likely. Acute infection would be accompanied by the cardinal signs of inflammation.
– pain, erythema and swelling. There is a severe, deep boring pain in acute osteomyelitis with systemic signs of infection.

**Necrotizing periodontitis** could cause necrosis of soft tissue with exposed bone but would be associated with other signs and symptoms. It is almost exclusively found in severe immunosuppression, usually late or untreated human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. Necrotizing periodontitis is usually painful and limited to the gingiva and alveolar margin. It would not cause extensive soft-tissue separation from bone as here.

**Cancrum oris (noma)** is a necrotizing mixed bacterial infection sometimes developing from acute ulcerative gingivitis. It causes tissue necrosis of large parts of the face or other tissues, including bone. The disease is almost confined to malnourished and debilitated children below the age of 8 years in developing countries.

**What is the difference between osteomyelitis, osteitis and osteonecrosis?**

**Osteomyelitis** is an infection in the medullary cavity of the bone. It is usually bacterial. The resulting increased pressure in the medullary space and the inflammatory reaction devitalize the marrow by compressing its blood supply and parts of the bone undergo necrosis. After an acute and intensely painful phase, pus drains and osteoclasts separate the necrotic bone to form sequestra. If the sequestra can be shed, the bone may heal. If not, infection persists in the sequestrum and is difficult to treat, the disease becomes chronic and the surrounding bone becomes sclerotic.

**Osteitis** is a superficial inflammation of bone, such as is seen in the exposed bone of dry socket (see problem 15). Small sequestra may form but infection does not penetrate into or spread within the medullary cavity.

**Osteonecrosis** is death of bone. The causes are usually loss of blood supply, vascular or bisphosphonate drugs. The dead bone is sterile, at least initially, and only becomes colonized by bacteria once exposed to the exterior. Osteonecrosis can follow irradiation of bone because this induces endarteritis, narrowing of the blood vessels with eventual necrosis. Osteonecrosis is increasingly recognized in patients taking bisphosphonate drugs for prevention or treatment of osteoporosis, management of bone metastasis in cancer or for metabolic bone diseases.

**What are bisphosphonate drugs and why are they used?**

Bisphosphonate drugs reduce bone turnover. The drugs are adsorbed on to bone surfaces where they remain bound for a prolonged period. They are taken up by osteoclasts at sites of bone resorption, and interfere with adenosine triphosphate (ATP) metabolism or membrane function. Osteoclasts either die or become unable to resorb bone. Bone formation then exceeds bone resorption, remodelling is slowed and bone density increases or stabilizes.

The drugs have a variety of uses:

- To prevent further bone loss in osteoporosis
- To prevent malignant tumours in bone releasing excess calcium into the blood stream
- To prevent bony metastases from enlarging by inhibiting bone resorption around them

- To reduce bone turnover in Paget’s disease of bone
- To increase bone mass in osteogenesis imperfecta.

**Are all bones affected equally?**

No, the effects are systemic but the effects are much more pronounced in the mandible and the maxilla. Why this should be is not clear, but they may be subjected to higher drug levels because of their good blood supply. They are also close to the surface so that the bone is readily exposed. Bisphosphonate-induced osteonecrosis affects the mandible twice as often as the maxilla, but is almost never seen in other bones.

**Why does the tissue die?**

It is not completely clear. The drugs interfere with inter- and intracellular signalling and inhibit growth of new blood vessels. The tissue of the marrow becomes avascular and dies. The bone also becomes depleted of both osteoclasts and osteoblasts because the two cell populations are interdependent. Osteocytes are not replaced by maturing osteoblasts and eventually die. Both bone and soft tissue undergo slow necrosis without symptoms.

As the overlying mucosa relies on blood partly from the bone and periosteum and partly from mucosa, it may also die. This is most commonly seen to happen in areas where the mucosa is very thin, typically over mandibular tori and on the posterior lingual aspect of the mandible, where there are few muscle attachments.

**What is the risk of developing osteonecrosis?**

The risk is very low and depends on the drug, the dose and duration of administration.

The many different bisphosphonate drugs have different potencies, as shown in Table 23.1. The non-nitrogenous drugs cause osteoclast death and the nitrogenous drugs inhibit osteoclast function. The more potent drugs are much more likely to cause osteonecrosis of the jaws. This is especially so when given in high doses intravenously, as for metastatic malignancy.

The proportion of patients taking bisphosphonates affected by osteonecrosis is estimated to be only 0.05%. However,

| Table 23.1 Bisphosphonate drugs and their relative potency |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| **Drug**                        | **Relative potency** |
| Non-nitrogenous                  |                  |
| Alendronate 10                   |                  |
| Clodronate 100                   |                  |
| Ibandronate 1000                 |                  |
| Nitrogenous                      |                  |
| Ibandronate 100                  |                  |
| Ibandronate 1000                 |                  |
| Risedronate 2000                 |                  |
| Zoledronate 10 000               |                  |
these are commonly prescribed drugs. It is estimated that 3 years of oral administration is required before there is a significant risk of osteonecrosis: the risk becomes significant after 6 months of intravenous administration.

**Investigations**

**What investigations would you perform?**

Investigations are not helpful in diagnosis but may provide useful information for treatment. The vitality of the teeth in and around the exposed area of bone must be determined. Radiographs of the area might seem a logical next step. However, if you take radiographs you will find that the mandibular bone appears completely normal. This is because the osteonecrosis is caused by loss of soft tissue in the marrow spaces. The disease does not alter the mineralized component that is responsible for the radiographic image. This is very different from chronic osteomyelitis, in which there is patchy radiolucency and sclerosis with separation of sequestra.

There is no completely satisfactory method for showing which areas of bone are dead in a patient with osteonecrosis. This is unfortunate because it would be useful to know the extent of necrosis in case extractions or surgery are required in other parts of the jaw.

**Can more specialized investigations help?**

Radioisotope scans are a measure of bone turnover and should show lack of uptake in osteonecrotic bone, but the resolution of the imaging is too poor to be useful.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is probably the most useful investigation, but it is expensive and only available in hospitals. Different types of MRI scan define vascularity and fat content. MRI shows clearly the loss of the marrow in affected areas, as shown in Figure 23.2 where the normal marrow has a high signal (bright) and the affected marrow appears dark. This is currently the best method to identify the extent of osteonecrosis but it is only useful in the mandible, because the maxilla has little medullary space.

**What should be done for the exposed bone?**

Once the teeth are removed the patient should be instructed to keep the mucosa and exposed bone as clean as possible using simple oral hygiene measures and topical chlorhexidine.

**Table 23.2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum CTX value</th>
<th>Osteonecrosis risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;150 pg/ml</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150–100 pg/ml</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;100 pg/ml</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTX, C-terminal telopeptide of type 1 collagen.

It has been suggested that serum levels of the bone turnover marker C-terminal telopeptide of type 1 collagen (CTX-1) reflect the bone suppression by bisphosphonates. This small peptide is released into serum when collagen in bone is resorbed. It provides an indication of bone turnover in the body as a whole, but whether this is a useful reflection of the risk of osteonecrosis in the jaws remains unclear. The currently proposed risk values are shown in Table 23.2, but even a high value cannot guarantee that an extraction is completely without risk.

You decide that no investigations are likely to be useful at this stage. You find that the teeth in the exposed bone do not respond to any tests of vitality. The adjacent teeth give an equivocal result.

**Figure 23.2**

Two adjacent axial magnetic resonance imaging scans through the body of the mandible. The normal bright marrow signal is indicated by the yellow arrow, the abnormal avascular marrow in the osteonecrotic bone by the green arrow.
as needed. Any prominent bone or sharp edges can be trimmed and removed without local anaesthesia. This should prevent trauma to the oral soft tissues and make the area easier to clean (Figure 23.3).

The treatment aim in bisphosphonate osteonecrosis is very different from that in osteomyelitis or osteoradionecrosis. In these latter conditions necrotic bone is limited in extent and its removal exposes healthy, vital bone that can heal. In bisphosphonate osteonecrosis the area of bone involved is very extensive, cannot be removed and is not surrounded by healthy bone. The MRI scan of this patient (Figure 23.2) suggests that the entire anterior and one body of mandible is involved. Trimming back the bone and attempting soft-tissue closure surgically usually results in wound breakdown.

**Will the exposed bone get infected?**

Infection can develop but is surprisingly infrequent. The bone will have been colonized by the oral flora as soon as it was exposed but this is a contamination rather than an infection. The bone is not invaded by the bacteria and there is no host response in the avascular tissue, no inflammation and no immune response.

Simple cleaning and topical antiseptics are the most effective methods of keeping the flora at bay. Antibiotics are ineffective. Drugs cannot enter the bone because it lacks a blood supply.

Sometimes a more aggressive infection will develop in the soft tissues at the margins of the wound and this must be treated according to conventional principles. A microbiological sample should be taken for culture and sensitivity before commencing empirical antibiotic therapy. In most cases metronidazole is an appropriate choice, but this can be supplemented by amoxicillin if needed.

Very rarely there is extensive sequestration of bone and an aggressive periliesional infection, such as that shown in Figure 23.4. Open surgical debridement is then required and the antibiotics may need to be given intravenously.

**What would you do if the site became painful?**

To feel pain requires an intact nerve and blood supply. The bone is insensitive and any pain must arise from the mucosa. Significant infection must be suspected and excluded, but the cause is usually a local problem with tissue hygiene and it can be managed with simple cleaning and topical antiseptics.

**Will the bone ever heal? Should the bisphosphonate be stopped?**

The continuation of the bisphosphonate drug must be reviewed with the patient's medical practitioner or specialist clinician. When the drug is given for malignant disease it may not be possible to stop it without risking major adverse effects.

If the bisphosphonate can be withdrawn, the bone will gradually recover with no intervention other than cleaning. This recovery is very slow and it may take many months to see an improvement. However in 1–2 years most lesions will show significant improvement. Detached periosteum will reattach and the mucosa will grow to cover the revascularizing bone. Figure 23.5 shows regrowth of mucosa to cover exposed bone around a defect in the maxilla. A oroantral fistula remains but the bone is now protected from infection.

Those patients who continue to need medication may be switched to an alternative drug such as strontium ranelate or teraparatide, which do not seem to cause osteonecrosis.

**Is dental treatment safe in a patient on a bisphosphonate drug?**

The risk is best avoided by an effective preventive regime for caries and periodontitis. An enhanced programme of oral hygiene improvement, dietary instruction and topical fluoride preparations would be appropriate for all patients on bisphosphonates.

The safe period of 3 years after starting oral bisphosphonates and 6 months after starting an intravenous regimen gives a window of opportunity to deal with any sepsis or teeth of dubious prognosis and initiate prevention.

Routine restorative dentistry can be performed without problems. It may be a sensible precaution to use a local anaesthetic without a vasoconstrictor for infiltration anaesthesia, but this is not based on any sound evidence.
Temporarily withdrawing the bisphosphonate for a period of 3 months before and 3 months after the extraction is thought to improve the bone’s healing potential and reduce the risk of bone necrosis developing. This short ‘drug holiday’ does not seem to put the patient at increased risk of complications of osteoporosis.

When the risk is considered high, every effort should be made to avoid extraction by root-filling teeth and retaining decoronated roots in a similar fashion to overdenture abutments. Temporary solutions such as this may allow time for a drug holiday before extraction.

All patients taking bisphosphonates should be expected to have slowly healing extraction sockets, even when no osteonecrosis develops.

**Could I be considered negligent if osteonecrosis develops?**

No, provided the risk has been identified and managed appropriately and the patient has been informed of the risks and has given consent for the extraction. Good record keeping will provide evidence of this.

Dental extractions or surgery appear to be a precipitating factor in one-third to one-half of cases. However, this is a complication of drug treatment, not of dental surgery. The bone may well have been necrotic without symptoms for some time; dental extraction simply unmasks the process by exposing the bone.

**Should antibiotic prophylaxis be given for extractions in patients taking bisphosphonates?**

No. The disease is not caused by bacteria and drugs do not penetrate the bone because it has no blood supply. Using antibiotics risks adverse effects and microbial resistance for no benefit. Similarly, chlorhexidine mouth rinse will not prevent problems with the bone, though it may help keep the soft tissues disease-free during the – sometimes slow – healing process.

Oral surgery and dental implants are best avoided if the patient has taken bisphosphonates for a prolonged period of time.

**What do you do if a tooth needs extraction from a patient at risk?**

Most patients will have no problems following an uncomplicated extraction. Just under 1% of patients are reported to develop complications. Extractions should be performed as atraumatically as possible and flap surgery avoided.

Emergency extractions can proceed after having informed the patient of the small complication risk. Where the extraction can be postponed for a period the risk can be assessed by the use of a CTX-1 assay if it is available.
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A problem overdenture

SUMMARY

A 67-year-old lady is referred to your general dental practice complaining that her denture has never ‘seemed right’ from the day it was fitted.

History

Complaint

The patient complains that a small filling has recently been lost from one of the upper canine roots below her overdenture. However, it quickly becomes clear that this has caused no symptoms (the tooth is root-treated) and that she is dissatisfied primarily with her upper complete overdenture.

She can wear the denture in the morning, but by about three o’clock in the afternoon it becomes too uncomfortable and if she is at home she likes to take it out.

History of complaint

The patient successfully wore an acrylic upper partial denture until 6 months ago, but failure of restorations and root treatments led to loss of several upper teeth. She was provided with an upper overdenture on the two retained upper canine roots. The denture was fitted 3 months ago, reviewed on four occasions and minor adjustments were made to the base extension. The patient is happy with the retention and fit of the denture. It does not move during eating. She reports no problem with her lower teeth.

Medical history

The patient is taking low dose aspirin (75 mg/day) following a myocardial infarction and a statin for raised serum cholesterol.

Examination

Extraoral examination

There is no lymphadenopathy. The temporomandibular joint is free of crepitus and clicks, and no muscle tenderness can be elicited in the muscles of mastication. With the denture in place, there is no facial asymmetry. The patient has a slightly open lip posture at rest.

Intraoral examination

The patient has a well-developed upper alveolar ridge with limited resorption consistent with the relatively recent loss of several upper teeth. There is slight redness of the palate under the denture-bearing area, but the ridge is not tender on palpation at any site and there is no bleeding on probing around the canine roots and no detectable sinus. One of the root-treated canine teeth has lost a small restoration from the access cavity. The remainder of the oral mucosa is normal.

There is an almost complete lower arch of natural teeth. These are adequately restored, many with large amalgam restorations, and there is no caries. The occlusal plane is relatively even. There has been slight mesial tipping of the lower second molars as a result of loss of both first molars.

The denture appears clean and without obvious defects and there is a definite post dam along its posterior margin.

On the basis of what you know so far, what are the likely diagnoses and why?

The patient has successfully worn a denture and the transition to an overdenture from an upper acrylic partial denture should have been relatively straightforward. It might have been more difficult if the previous denture had been metal based. If the patient has persevered for 3 months without success she almost certainly has a valid complaint.

There appears to be no problem of displacement of the denture during eating, speaking or other facial movements. This makes it unlikely that the overdenture is poorly adapted, overextended or that the teeth lie outside the neutral zone. Occlusal discrepancies of some kind would appear to be the most likely cause and the vagueness of the complaint, predominantly inability to tolerate the denture, is consistent with an occlusal problem. A further reason to suspect an occlusal problem is the difficulty arising from a complete upper denture occluding against a lower natural arch.

It must also be borne in mind that some denture patients are particularly conscious of appearance and the construction of dentures that satisfy the expectations of such patients can be very demanding. Sometimes a mismatch between the denture appearance and desired facial self-image may manifest as dislike of the denture or complaints about relatively minor features. There is always a potential cosmetic problem of an overcontoured labial flange when canine roots support an upper overdenture because the roots preserve the labial aspect of the alveolar bone.

What specific features of the dentures would you examine and how?

All features of the denture should be reviewed (Table 24.1). Denture complaints may be multifactorial and only by examining all features can an accurate diagnosis be made.

Having examined the patient you find that the denture is correctly extended, stable and retentive. The denture was not displaced on lateral excursion. This leaves the vertical dimension as the most likely cause of an occlusal problem.
What methods can be used to assess vertical dimension?

What are their problems?

Initially it is most straightforward to simply observe the vertical dimension with the denture removed (Fig. 24.1) and in place (Fig. 24.2).

Note the open lip posture (Fig. 24.2) when the denture is inserted. This is an important indicator that there may be an error in vertical height and a more accurate assessment must be made.

There are three common methods which might be used, the first two of which are essentially similar and suffer some of the same problems. These both measure the lower facial height at rest and with the dentures in occlusion. The difference between these measurements is the freeway space. The head must be in a natural vertical position supported by the neck muscles.

A fixed support can alter the freeway space. In most instances these methods are satisfactory and readily applied, but sometimes it may be appropriate to use all three methods to establish the correct vertical dimension.

Dividers/calipers method. Marks or adhesive markers are placed on the chin and nose and their distance apart is measured with calipers or dividers. One problem is that the markers are fixed to the skin and may move through muscle activity, particularly pursing of the lips. All suitable sites on the skin may move to some degree so that it is necessary to check that the patient remains relaxed during the procedure.

This method is shown in Figures 24.1 and 24.2. The calipers are set to the resting face height (Fig. 24.1). When the denture is inserted (Fig. 24.2) the increase in vertical dimension is clearly seen and is about 3–4 mm.

Willis bite gauge. This measures lower face height from the lower border of the nose to the lower border of the mandible. It is important to use the same pressure when recording rest and occlusal height, otherwise compression of the tissues will affect the reading. More importantly, the instrument has to be used at a consistent angle at the base of the nose. This is particularly difficult when making complete dentures against lower standing teeth, because removing the upper denture to record rest height removes the denture support of the upper lip which is used as a landmark.
Diagnosis

What is your diagnosis and why is this the most likely possibility?

Error in occlusal vertical dimension. There is clearly an increased occlusal vertical dimension, based on the measurements described above, and this is beyond the tolerance level of most patients. This fault is frequently associated with a history of being able to cope with the denture for a few hours and then having to remove the prosthesis. The open lip posture is also often associated with an increased occlusal vertical dimension. Some patients naturally have an open lip posture, so this sign is only an indication of potential problems. Until the fault is corrected, it is not really possible to consider any alternative explanation.

What possible diagnoses have you excluded? Explain why for each possibility.

Error in retruded position. Dentures with this fault produce pain on the ridge and pain on eating. If this were suspected it would be necessary to take a precontact occlusal check record and to remount the dentures on an articulator to make a definitive diagnosis. Adjustment of the occlusion to the correct record should cure the symptoms and this will confirm the cause. This possible error needs to be kept in mind in all such cases. If the occlusion is ignored and the denture base adjusted, the area of soreness will move to another area with each adjustment, progressively destroying the fit surface of the denture.

Difficulty in becoming accustomed to acrylic palatal coverage. Three months is normally a sufficient time for a patient to become accustomed to a new denture design, even when there is a change to acrylic palatal coverage. In the very elderly, or those who have worn a denture for many years, this period may need to be extended, and a minority of patients need training bases or simple acrylic partial dentures before definitive complete dentures or overdentures. However, no patient should be expected to become accustomed to a denture with an increased vertical dimension.

Denture-related stomatitis. There was redness of the denture-bearing area and this almost certainly indicates denture stomatitis (chronic atrophic candidosis). However, this condition is asymptomatic and not normally noticed by patients.

Patient’s expectation of appearance has not been met. Both men and women may be embarrassed to admit that their dentures do not fulfil their cosmetic expectations. This may not just be the fault of the denture but also result from a patient’s seeking to recapture their youthful appearance. While this may not be unreasonable, it may be physically impossible. Sometimes hurtful comments from relatives, friends or acquaintances may change the patient’s opinion about an otherwise satisfactory denture. This problem may be manifest by repeated minor complaints that do not make sense clinically, or, as in this case, a dislike or complete rejection of the denture. This problem can only be diagnosed by careful and considerate questioning.

In the present case this possible diagnosis is unlikely given that there is a fault in the vertical dimension and the patient appears happy with the appearance of the denture.

Miscellaneous and other unusual complaints. These include complaints of irritation from a high residual monomer content in an incorrectly processed denture base, or the very rare hypersensitivity to acrylic. In both cases the denture-bearing area, and sometimes the whole mouth, would be sore. This patient has inflammation of the denture-bearing area but this is much more likely to be related to denture plaque or perhaps candidial infection and these should be excluded before considering the alternative causes. Another complaint sometimes unfairly ascribed to dentures is the symptom of mucosal burning in an otherwise healthy mouth. This is usually psychogenic and associated with depression. Nothing in the history suggests this diagnosis.

How would you manage the case?

Replace the missing restoration in the canine root to prevent caries.

To solve the denture problem, the denture must be remade with an appropriate freeway space, but first the denture stomatitis must be treated to improve support for the new prosthesis. A smear from the palate or fitting surface of the denture should be performed to detect candidal infection, unless the appearances are typical in which case treatment may be instituted immediately. Treatment will involve improving denture hygiene, ceasing night wear, if appropriate, and provision of a short course of antifungal treatment such as amphotericin lozenges (which must be sucked with the dentures removed from the mouth). The possibility of an underlying condition predisposing to candidosis should be considered, especially if the infection involves other parts of the mouth or lips or if treatment fails despite good denture hygiene.
Case 25

Impacted lower third molars

SUMMARY
A 24-year-old gentleman is referred to you in your oral surgery-orientated practice for a second opinion on the need to remove his lower third molar teeth. Is this the correct decision, and if it is, how should it be achieved?

History

Complaint
The patient has no complaint at present but has been advised by his general dental practitioner to have his lower third molars extracted. He is very nervous about the extractions and requests a second opinion before deciding on treatment.

History of complaint
The patient has had two episodes of pericoronitis around the lower left third molar. The first was relatively mild but the second, about 3 months ago, was associated with inability to open the mouth and slight facial swelling and required a course of oral antibiotics.

Medical history
The patient is fit and well. He has had a general anaesthetic previously to reduce and fix a compound fracture of his arm which has been permanently plated. He has had no problems with bleeding following trauma.

Examination

Extraoral examination
The left submandibular lymph nodes are palpable but not tender. There is no facial asymmetry.

Intraoral examination

What particular features of the intraoral examination are important and why?

See Table 25.1.

In this case the patient has normal mouth opening, a full unrestored dentition without evidence of caries, periodontal disease or poor oral hygiene. The lower third molars are partially erupted and appear vertically orientated and there is mild inflammation of the attached gingivae surrounding both crowns. The upper third molars are overerupted and nonfunctional. The patient has a pronounced gag reflex when the teeth are examined.

Investigations

Would you take radiographs? If so, which views would you take and why?

Yes, radiographs are required to assess root morphology, degree of bone impaction, proximity to inferior dental nerve and the possibility of associated disease (e.g. cysts, hypercementosis and periodontal bone loss).

Table 25.1 Important features of the intraoral examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercusal opening</td>
<td>One feature determining access for surgical removal and affecting the difficulty of extraction. Tissues may also reflect infection or inflammation in the muscles of mastication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of rest of dentition</td>
<td>If the first or second molars have a poor prognosis through caries or are extensively restored, transplanting the third molars in their place might be considered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral hygiene</td>
<td>Poor oral hygiene increases the risk of dry socket, soft tissue infection and delayed healing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position of lower third molars</td>
<td>The degree of eruption, angulation and proximity to the second molars are important. Partially erupted vertical or distoangular lower third molars are more at risk of pericoronitis than mesioangularly impacted ones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position of upper third molars</td>
<td>Nonfunctional upper third molars may overerupt and traumatize the operculum over the lower third molar or erupt buccally and traumatize the cheek. Both situations might contribute to symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position of external oblique ridge</td>
<td>If this lies close behind or over the impacted tooth, access is poor and considerable bone removal may be required if the tooth is large or impacted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of lower second molars</td>
<td>The lower second molar is at risk of iatrogenic damage during surgical removal of the third molar. Crowns or large restorations, especially those involving the distal surface, will be at risk and may increase the difficulty of the extraction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of pericoronitis</td>
<td>Has the same effect as generalized poor oral hygiene except that the risk of adverse effects is higher. Surgery should not be performed in an infected field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous features</td>
<td>Factors such as a pronounced gag reflex, poor patient compliance and anxiety may all affect treatment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The views to be possibly taken are listed, with their advantages and disadvantages, in Table 25.2.

There is little to choose between these radiographic views in terms of radiation dose, provided fast films and appropriate intensifying screens are used.

In this case the patient's gag reflex prevented the taking of paralleling technique periapicals and so a panoramic radiograph was taken. It is shown in Figure 25.1.

What does the radiograph show?

The patient is fully dentate with no restoration or caries visible on the film. The lower third molars are vertically orientated and impacted against soft tissue rather than the second molars. The impacted teeth are of normal size and the surrounding bone appears to be of normal density. The roots of both teeth appear to be closely related to the inferior dental nerve canal, there is darkening but no narrowing or deflection of the bony wall of the canal, suggesting that it does not contact or pass through the tooth root.

You now have sufficient information to decide whether the third molars should be removed or not. What are the indications for removal?

There has been much debate about indications for removal of third molars, and those for removal of asymptomatic third molars are particularly contentious. Mandibular impacted third molars (MITMs) are very common, affecting approximately 75% of 20–30-year-old patients. Surgery is unpleasant, carries risks and is expensive to state or patient; thus, following accepted guidelines is essential.

The suggested indications for removal are:
- Recurrent pericoronitis and pericoronitis with acute spreading infection
- Unrestorable caries of MITM or adjacent teeth

Table 25.2 The radiographic views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>View</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Periapicals of upper and lower third molars</td>
<td>Provided the periapicals can be taken with a paralleling technique these are the ideal views. They provide a geometrically accurate projection with true relationships to the adjacent structures. They are also convenient for single extractions. These views are the first choice.</td>
<td>Unfortunately it may not be possible to obtain films using the paralleling technique because of patient tolerance. Placement of the film in the ideal position, showing the teeth and inferior dental nerve canal, is uncomfortable. If films are angled then a degree of distortion is inevitable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oblique laterals</td>
<td>Readily taken without specialized equipment. Show both upper and lower third molars without superimposition. Give a good view of the surrounding bone when adjacent lesions (e.g. cysts) are present. It is the second-best option.</td>
<td>Suffer a degree of distortion as the beam is angled upwards, so that the relationship to adjacent structures is not accurate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panoramic radiograph</td>
<td>Convenient survey film if equipment available. Gives a good view of the surrounding bone when adjacent lesions (e.g. cysts) are present. Though only third choice on technical merit, panoramic films are often used and in practice usually provide sufficient information to assess extractions.</td>
<td>Poor image quality because the view is a tomograph. In addition there is superimposition of the opposite angle of mandible over upper and lower third molars. The upward beam angle distorts the relationship between teeth and adjacent structures and the image is magnified. Root morphology often cannot be assessed on panoramic films.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower oblique occlusal</td>
<td>Useful when the lower third molar lies horizontally and is seen end-on in a periapical view. Provides information on buccolingual orientation. Useful if tooth lies out of the line of arch. Used only rarely.</td>
<td>Not yet widely available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cone beam computerised tomography</td>
<td>Low dose computerised tomography available in a dental setting, high definition 3D imaging showing accurate relationships between tooth and ID canal and other structures. For example, see the final image in this problem.</td>
<td>Not yet widely available.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Untreatable periapical inflammation
- Periodontal disease associated with the M3M or adjacent teeth
- Internal or external resorption of M3M or adjacent teeth
- M3M in fracture line
- Associated cysts or neoplasm
- For tooth reimplantation.
- For orthognathic surgery or restorative treatment
- Prophylactic removal may be advised in specific medical conditions

**Should this patient’s teeth be removed and why?**

Yes, he has suffered two episodes of pericoronitis. There is increasing risk of future episodes as the number of attacks rises, and they are likely to become more frequent and more severe.

**How will you decide whether extraction of this patient’s third molars is within your ability?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Easier extraction</th>
<th>More difficult extraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young patient</td>
<td>Patient aged over 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female patient</td>
<td>Male patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European/Asian racial</td>
<td>African racial group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial impaction</td>
<td>Deeply buried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesioangular or vertical</td>
<td>Distoangular or horizontal impaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small crown</td>
<td>Wide crown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conical root</td>
<td>Multirooted, divergent roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lying buccally in relation to line of arch</td>
<td>Lying lingually in relation to line of arch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear path of delivery,</td>
<td>Vertical or distal path of removal required, possibly requiring tooth section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forward and upward</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External oblique ridge</td>
<td>External oblique ridge overlies tooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to tooth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound second molar</td>
<td>Crowned, root-treated or heavily restored adjacent molar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal second molar root</td>
<td>Conical root (risks accidental elevation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>morphology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant from inferior</td>
<td>Adjacent to inferior dental nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dental nerve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large dental follicle</td>
<td>Narrow dental follicle or ankylosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good access</td>
<td>Poor access (e.g. due to trismus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not impacted or soft</td>
<td>Impacted against bone or root of second molar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tissue impacted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of complex or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>difficult extraction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is a matter of judgement. You must judge your own ability and experience against the likely difficulty and also consider your ability to manage any complications. In general the following factors should be considered. The most important of these factors may be remembered using the mnemonic WHARFE:

W = angulation using Winter’s lines
H = Height of mandible
A = Angle of second molar
R = Root form and development
F = size of Follicular sac
E = Exit path of tooth to be extracted

**What are ‘Winter’s lines’ and how might they help assess difficulty?**

To apply Winter’s lines, three imaginary lines are drawn on the radiographic image (Fig. 25.2). For descriptive purposes the lines are assigned colours. The white line runs along the occlusal plane, and the amber line runs along the upper bone surface through the interdental bone crests and along the bone surface behind the third molar (not up the external oblique ridge). The red line passes vertically, at right angles to the white line to the application point for an elevator. In mesioangular impactions the point of elevation lies at the mesial end of the amelocemental junction, and for distoangular impactions it lies at the distal end of the amelocemental junction.

The angle of impaction is judged against the white line. The amber line gives an indication of the amount of tooth which will be visible when the periosteal flap is raised and the amount of bone removal required over the crown. The red line gives an indication of the depth of bone removal required to gain a point of application for an elevator. If the red line is more than 5 mm in length the extraction is likely to merit general anaesthetic. If it is greater than 9 mm, it is likely that extensive bone removal will be required.

**What are the deficiencies of the Winter’s lines technique?**

Winter’s lines are useful for mesioangular impactions but the length of the red line is almost meaningless in distoangular impactions, which are always relatively difficult. The technique also ignores the possibility of sectioning the tooth which makes the extraction easier, changing the point of application of elevators and the path of removal. Winter’s lines should be applied to a periapical radiograph, preferably a geometrically accurate projection obtained by a paralleling technique. The method can be used on oblique laterals or panoramic tomographs but then provides a less accurate estimate of difficulty. In addition, a correction must be made for magnification in the panoramic film, which ranges from ×1.2 to ×1.4 depending on the equipment used. Winter’s lines would not provide useful information in the present case, but they do provide a way of systematically examining a radiograph to ensure that no information is missed.

---

**Fig. 25.2** Example of the application of Winter’s lines.
How difficult are this patient’s extractions likely to be?

Both lower third molars are slightly distoangularly inclined and the external oblique ridge overlies them. Though not very deeply placed these are moderately difficult extractions which should not be tackled under local anaesthetic other than by an experienced or specialized surgeon. Extraction of these teeth is not appropriate in the general practice setting. The majority of lower third molars which meet the criteria for extraction (listed above) will be relatively difficult. This is because the commonest indication is pericoronitis which affects mostly vertically and distoangularly impacted teeth.

Prior to surgery you must warn the patient about the complications of removal. List the possible complications and give an indication of their frequency.

The complications are shown in Table 25.3. The top four are relatively common and should be discussed with patients as a matter of routine.

What warnings would you give to the patient about extraction?

Deciding exactly which complications to warn patients about can be difficult. The decision must be made for each case. The patient must be provided with sufficient information to give informed consent and the clinician must answer all the patient’s questions correctly. It is generally considered mandatory to warn the patient about both sequelae and the significant complications. Sequelae may be induced by surgery or anaesthetic and should be differentiated. Surgical sequelae include swelling (for 48 hours), pain (for approximately 48 hours), bleeding (for about 2 hours), sore temporomandibular joint and trismus, sensitivity of the adjacent teeth, and remodelling of the sockets for approximately 10–12 weeks. Complications which must be described are the risk of dry socket (5%) and the risk of temporary (2%) and permanent (0.5%) damage to lingual and inferior dental nerves. Warnings concerning damage to adjacent teeth or restorations, or displacement of teeth into the antrum, are usually reserved for patients who are at particular risk.

Does the patient require antibiotic cover before surgery to prevent infection of the bone plate in his arm?

No, this is not necessary.

Would you prescribe postoperative antibiotics for these extractions?

There are no universally agreed criteria for providing antibiotics postoperatively. Antibiotics do not significantly affect the incidence of dry socket and should not be given without reason. They would be indicated if there were an increased risk of infection, as in a diabetic or immunosuppressed patient, or if infection were present at the time of operation. However, in normal individuals, antibiotics are probably less important than local measures for preventing infection. A chlorhexidine mouthrinse before operation and/or debridement of the teeth and below the operculum are highly effective in reducing the incidence of postoperative infection and bacteraemia.

In some centres antibiotics are given routinely whenever bone removal is required. In others no antibiotics are given and their value is disputed. If antibiotics are provided, patients having extractions under local anaesthesia usually receive an oral course of amoxicillin or metronidazole. When general anaesthesia is used, a suitable regimen would be a single intravenous bolus dose of 750 mg cefuroxime.

Osteomyelitis is a particular problem because it can be difficult to treat effectively. All those at increased risk should receive antibiotics. Examples are patients in whom bone is sclerotic or has a reduced blood supply, for instance after

<p>| Table 25.3 Possible complications of removal of mandibular impacted third molars |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Postsurgical pain, haemorrhage, trismus, swelling and ecchymosis</td>
<td>These affect all patients to some degree and in a proportion of cases may be prolonged. Inability to eat and enjoy food is considered a significant complication by patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolar osteitis (dry socket)</td>
<td>Affects 1–35% lower third molar extractions, depending on difficulty and technique. An average for surgical extractions is 5%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory nerve damage, paraesthesia or anaesthesia</td>
<td>Affects 10–20% of cases though almost all recover spontaneously. A degree of permanent damage of inferior dental or lingual nerves affects 0.5% of cases. Temporary lingual nerve effects are seen in 2%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute temporomandibular joint pain/dysfunction (myofascial pain syndrome)</td>
<td>About 4% of cases, higher in patients with pre-existing symptoms and those whose teeth are removed under general anaesthesia. A mild degree of muscle and joint discomfort is probably much commoner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latrogenic fracture</td>
<td>Fracture of the mandible is fortunately rare, occurring in 1 per 10 000 cases. If minor fractures of the alveolar or lingual plates or tuberosity are included, the incidence is 2–4% of cases, but apart from tuberosity fracture these are mostly of little consequence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect or incomplete extraction</td>
<td>Less than 1%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute/chronic postoperative infection including osteonecrosis</td>
<td>Rare, affecting 2–3 per 100 000 cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury to adjacent structures including teeth and periodontium</td>
<td>Not uncommon, occurs in 3 per 1000 cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ossicular fistula</td>
<td>Rare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of tooth or fragment into another tissue space (antrum, tissue space, inhaled into lung or swallowed)</td>
<td>Rare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic medical/surgical complications related to surgery and/or anaesthetic</td>
<td>Sore throat, adverse reaction, etc. Patients must not drive or operate machinery for 48 hours after sedation or general anaesthesia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death under outpatient general anaesthetic</td>
<td>1–2 per 400 000. Increased risk in children.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
radiotherapy involving the jaws or when large periosteal flaps are raised in the very elderly. Larger doses and longer courses than normal may be provided.

Is a general anaesthetic required or desirable?

The choice of anaesthetic will depend on the indications for treatment, the assessment of difficulty and the anaesthetic risk assessment for the particular patient.

This patient requires extraction of all four third molars. The indications for removal do not in themselves require a general anaesthetic. However, the surgery is likely to take longer than 20 minutes on each side under local anaesthetic. Arguably this procedure could be performed under local anaesthesia in two visits by an experienced clinician but many patients find this unacceptable. The patient’s gag reflex is one factor suggesting that general anaesthesia or sedation would be appropriate, and the patient appears to have no medical contraindication. The patient must be fully informed of the risks of sedation or anaesthesia before making a decision. The risks of general anaesthesia are such that it is never the anaesthetic of choice for routine or straightforward extractions. The flow chart (Fig. 25.3) illustrates some factors in selecting a suitable anaesthetic.

What surgical technique should be used to remove the lower third molars?

There is much debate about the best method for removal of lower third molars. Some authorities suggest that the buccal technique should become the accepted method. In this system no lingual flap is raised and no lingual nerve retraction is performed so that this method carries a minimal risk of permanent lingual nerve damage. Although this is the
standard method used in Europe and the USA, in the UK it has been traditional to raise lingual and buccal flaps. Under local anaesthetic it is usual to remove bone buccally with a bur, while under general anaesthetic the lingual plate is fractured with a chisel using the lingual split technique.

Another case

What do you see in the cone beam CT images in figure 25.4?

These images from another case demonstrate the value of this more complex imaging technique. They are selected views from the imaged volume, which is manipulated in 3D on a computer monitor. On the left is an axial slice (almost occlusal view) through a horizontally impacted lower third molar. The image is round because this system images a vertical cylinder of tissue that is being seen end-on. Unlike medical CT, cone beam images are conventionally viewed from the top, so that the patient’s right is on the left of the figure. On the right is a sagittal slice. The inferior dental canal (C) can be seen clearly, outlined by thin cortical bone as it passes in contact with and between the apices of two roots (A). There is no cortex visible where the canal contacts parts of the tooth, so that the roots probably penetrate the wall of the canal.
A phone call from school

SUMMARY
An 11-year-old schoolboy has avulsed a permanent incisor tooth. What would you do?

Is there any relevant medical history? Reimplantation of an avulsed tooth is contraindicated in a child predisposed to infective endocarditis. Any known clotting defect could result in problematic bleeding from a tooth socket.

Has the child an up-to-date tetanus immunization? The school should have a record of immunization status, with particular reference to antitetanus immunization.

Have the parents been informed? Ideally the parents should be notified of the injury and of any intended treatment prior to it being carried out. If at all possible, a parent or legal guardian should be encouraged to either accompany the child or to meet at your practice (or hospital if indicated by other injuries).

What age is the child? The age will determine whether the tooth is primary or permanent and, if permanent, the likely stage of root development.

Is the tooth intact? This is difficult for a lay person to ascertain, but you should ask the caller to carefully examine the tooth, ideally without touching it, or at least without touching the root. A crown fracture would be easier to describe than a root fracture, but if a tapering root of approximately 2 cm in length is present, the tooth is probably intact.

Is the root contaminated with dirt or debris? The root surface must be visibly clean prior to any attempt at reimplantation. Dirt on the root must be cleaned off though any asphalt or gravel ‘tattoo’ on the incisal edge is not relevant at this stage.

You are told that the child is healthy and that their only other injuries are minor grazes on knees and palms of both hands. These are no signs of head injury.

What advice can you safely give over the telephone?

Keep the tooth wet. The first advice should be to place the tooth in a cup of cold fresh milk. Storage in tap water is undesirable, as its hypotonicity reduces viability of the periodontal ligament cells adhering to the root.

Clean off visible contaminants. Rinse the root gently under cold running water for a maximum of 10 seconds to remove dirt from the root surface. The tooth will be slippery to hold but it should be held by the crown only, and under no circumstances should the root surface be scrubbed or scraped. Debris that does not rinse off may be carefully dabbed off with a clean, ideally sterile, cotton-wool bud.

If you can, reimplant the tooth straight away. The speed with which the tooth is replanted is the most important factor in determining a favourable outcome following reimplantation. A responsible adult should reimplant the tooth without further delay. It should be emphasised to the caller that it is relatively simple to reimplant the tooth and that they should be encouraged to try. The tooth should be placed back in the socket with firm gentle pressure until it is at the same level as the adjacent incisor and orientated labiopalatally. Reasons for failure are likely to be insufficient confidence, a distressed uncooperative child or a fracture of the socket wall. If the tooth cannot be reimplanted, you should advise that the child be escorted to a dentist as soon as possible.

History

Complaint
The school nurse from a nearby primary school telephones your general dental practice to ask for advice. A child has slipped in the school playground and knocked out a front tooth. The accident happened less than 5 minutes ago, the tooth has been found and it is wrapped in a tissue.

What information would you want from the school nurse?

Are there any other injuries? It should be established whether there has been any loss of consciousness or signs of concussion. Disorientation and impaired response to simple questions may indicate a brain injury that would require immediate hospital assessment. Limb extremity injuries are common in playground accidents, and the school nurse should be asked to establish whether there is any suspicion of limb fracture or lacerations that require suturing. Dealing with these might be a higher priority than the avulsed tooth.
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Go to a dentist as soon as possible, even if the tooth is reimplanted. Deal with the other injuries and then the child should attend a dentist as soon as possible, accompanied by an adult. The child should support the tooth in the socket by gentle finger pressure on the way (biting on it may be ineffective in retaining it if there is no incisor occlusion).

You are told that the child is aged 11 years and has lost a permanent tooth. The school is unable to contact the parents and the school staff are unwilling to replant the tooth. They wish to bring the child to see you in your surgery and are setting off now.

How should the tooth be transported and why?

A successful outcome requires that the remnants of the periodontal ligament adhering to the root remain viable because after replantation the healed ligament will prevent replacement resorption and ankylosis. An appropriate transport medium is essential and the key parameter is its osmotic pressure. It has been shown that the periodontal ligament will survive if stored for only a few minutes in water, for up to 30 minutes in saliva, and up to 60 minutes in cold milk. Saliva is thus useful but it is inadvisable to ask an injured child to hold an avulsed tooth in their mouth for any period because of the risk of it being swallowed or inhaled. Storing dry or using water is to be avoided.

Examination

The child is brought to your practice within an hour of the accident, accompanied by a teacher. The parents still cannot be contacted. Before you can examine or treat the child you must obtain consent.

Is the child competent to give consent?

The legal age of consent is 16 and so the consent of a parent or legal guardian needs to be obtained. This is the preferred course of action and every effort must be made to contact the parent without wasting time as this could compromise treatment.

Individuals below the age of 16 years can give consent for medical treatment provided that they have a clear understanding of the issues involved. This is known as Gillick consent following a legal case in 1985. The principle enshrined in the case is that:

the parental right to determine whether or not their minor child below the age of 16 years will have medical treatment terminates if and when the child achieves a sufficient understanding and intelligence to enable him or her to fully understand what is proposed.

It is therefore possible that this 11-year-old could give a valid consent but it would depend on your ability to explain to them and your assessment of their understanding. Detailed notes of explanations given to the child would be necessary. If the child cannot understand, they cannot be informed and so cannot be competent to give consent. A Gillick consent for dentistry is only advisable for emergency treatment.

Can the parent give consent by telephone?

Yes, a verbal consent would be perfectly valid provided it is informed. Good contemporaneous notes of the telephone conversation should be made and you should ensure, as far as possible, that you are speaking to the parent or guardian.

Can the teacher give consent?

No (unless by chance the teacher happens to be the parent or legal guardian). The teacher has a duty of care to bring the child for treatment but cannot become further involved.

Can the dentist give consent?

No, the same applies. But this does not mean that you cannot carry out treatment. You can carry out emergency treatment that is in the best interests of the child and that would be considered reasonable by the ‘general body of prudent dentists’. Although the dental injury is not life threatening, delay in reimplantation will significantly reduce the chance of a successful outcome. This makes the situation a relative emergency and would be a reason to proceed without parental consent. You have a duty of care to the injured child and must use your clinical judgement to decide what course of action is reasonable. This is a difficult area. The treatment you are offering is the same as the treatment you would have performed with consent, and so you should not be criticised. If you are in doubt about consent, always check with your professional indemnity provider.

Can the child refuse treatment?

Yes, refusal by the child to any treatment must be respected (though under certain circumstances the parent’s consent might override the child’s wishes). To proceed further against the child’s wishes might constitute assault and could be in breach of General Dental Council guidance.

You decide that reimplantation is in the child’s best interests and is clinically indicated. It is still not possible to contact the parents. The patient is keen to try to put the tooth back in position after you have explained the situation.

What will you look for in the clinical examination?

The oral soft tissues

Check for mucosal and gingival tears that may require suturing and remove foreign material embedded in the soft tissues. Full examination will not be possible without cleansing the mouth with gauze soaked in saline to remove blood and debris. Avulsion is often accompanied by copious bleeding but this soon stops, leaving a dramatic and often distressing picture. Cleaning will improve patient comfort and will often reveal the injuries to be less extensive than at first thought.

The dentition

Check the adjacent teeth and those in the opposing arch. Any other injuries will have to be managed following reimplantation.

The socket

Irrigate the socket with sterile isotonic saline to remove blood clot and allow examination of the bony socket wall for comminuted fractures. If a fracture is detected, gently reduce it by pressing the fragment back into place using an instrument such as a flat plastic or a straight Warwick James elevator.
The avulsed tooth

The tooth should be examined and cleaned as outlined above, using isotonic saline as the irrigant and taking great care not to handle the root surface. The opportunity should be taken to measure the incisal-apical length (useful for later endodontic treatment) and assess the diameter and condition of the apical foramen. Any soft tissues adhering to apex should be left, as they may contain Hertwig’s sheath remnants with potential for continued apical maturation.

In this case the tooth has a closed apex, as would be expected from his age.

What is the significance of the state of the apex?

The pulp can only remain vital if it revascularizes and this is determined by the length of the pulp, the diameter of the apical foramen, the storage medium used and the speed of replantation. If the pulp in an immature tooth is less than 17mm in length and has an open apex with a diameter greater than 1 mm, revascularization may be possible provided storage of the avulsed tooth has been favourable and it is replanted within 1 hour.

In this case the apex is closed and the pulp will certainly undergo necrosis. After replantation the pulp remnants will have to be extirpated and the tooth root filled.

Treatment

You have cleaned the tooth as described above. How will you go about replanting it?

Give local analgesia. The aim is painless replantation but local analgesia may not be required. The injured tissues may be relatively insensitive in the period immediately following trauma, and the reimplantation procedure is sufficiently rapid that local analgesia is not always required. Its use may be dictated by the presence of other soft tissue injuries or the previous dental experiences of the child. Concerns that vasoconstriction might compromise success by reducing vascular and root surface. The most practical type of splint is a rigid splinting for longer periods place for 7–10 days. Rigid splinting for longer periods increases the chances of ankylosis.

Prescribe antibiotics. A 7-day course of oral systemic antibiotics should be prescribed to cover the immediate postimplantation period. The benefit of oral antibiotics has not been proven in human studies but in animal studies they have proved beneficial.

Prescribe chlorhexidine mouthrinse (0.1%) twice daily during the splinting period to help keep the area clean and reduce the bacterial flora around the injured periodontal ligament.

Give dietary advice. A soft diet should be recommended during the splinting period, avoiding foods that require incising.

You replant the tooth without difficulty. What would you do next?

The patient needs to be reviewed 7–10 days later to remove the splint. Because the apex is closed and revascularization is not expected, root treatment should be commenced by removing the pulp within 10 days. Delayed extirpation risks external resorption and discoloration of the crown.

Endodontic treatment should be commenced before the splint is removed. The necrotic pulp tissue is extirpated, the root canal cleaned and irrigated with sodium hypochlorite and a nonsetting calcium hydroxide paste is placed in the canal and sealed appropriately. A definitive gutta percha root canal filling is usually delayed for 6–12 months.

Why not extirpate the pulp and root fill the tooth while it is avulsed?

Even if pulp extirpation is required, it should never be carried out extraorally as the need to manipulate the tooth would almost certainly cause more damage to the periodontal ligament and any delay reduces the chances of a successful outcome.

What are the main complications of replantation of an avulsed permanent tooth? How are they managed?

See the table at the top of p. 122.

Follow up

What are the aims of treatment and chances of success?

The aim of replantation is to maintain the tooth in the child patient thus avoiding the need for prosthetic replacement for as long as possible. While there is no frank sepsis or overt discomfort to the patient, the original tooth is the ideal space maintainer.

A failing tooth should be preserved until the patient has reached skeletal maturity, when they could be assessed for an osseointegrated implant. However, in the case of severe resorption or infraocclusion, extraction and immediate replacement with a space maintainer are required.

In one large prospective study of 400 replanted teeth followed up for 5 years, 30% were eventually lost. Immature teeth have a worse prognosis than mature teeth. The chances
of successful revascularization of immature teeth is low at 34%. The periodontal ligament heals in 90% if the tooth is replanted immediately but in only 25% if the tooth is incorrectly stored or replantation is delayed.

Having completed your treatment and immediate follow up, the patient decides to return to his own dentist for root canal treatment. You do not see him again until he is aged 14 when his appearance is shown in Figure 26.2. A periapical radiograph is shown in Figure 26.3.

### How do you interpret the features in Figures 26.2 and 26.3?

The tooth has become ankylosed and infraoccluded during the intervening 3 years of alveolar growth. This is a result of damage to the periodontal ligament on avulsion and failure of any residual vital cells to repopulate and reform a complete periodontal ligament. Bone is fused to the root and there is replacement resorption. The radiograph confirms that the root is extensively resorbed in its middle and apical thirds.

The root filling may have been of poor quality. Although of correct length, the material does not appear to fill the canal laterally and any voids may have become contaminated by bacteria and induced inflammatory resorption, causing the process to accelerate. The tooth must be extracted before lack of growth distorts the alveolus any further. This is not ideal but the tooth has provided space maintenance for 3 years.

### Other possibilities

The other possible options and treatment for avulsed incisors are outlined in Figure 26.4.

#### Suppose the tooth had been avulsed too long for reimplantation or had been allowed to dry out. What options would have been open to you?

It is not worth attempting replantation under these circumstances. An immediate replacement denture would have been required. In the longer term options include a resin-retained adhesive bridge, or a single tooth implant when the patient reaches skeletal maturity, about 18 years of age. A less favoured option would be to close the space orthodontically moving the lateral incisor into the position of the missing central incisor. Since the advent of implants, this is almost never the treatment of choice. The lateral incisor has an unfavourable root size and the crown required to disguise it would appear bulbous. The canine would require alteration of its appearance and this is also difficult.

#### If the replanted tooth had been immature with an open apex, how would your management have differed?

The patient would have been reviewed 7–10 days after the injury to remove the splint. If revascularization were thought possible (see Fig. 26.4), the patient would have been recalled every 3–4 weeks to detect signs and symptoms of pulp

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>External inflammatory root resorption, thought to be the result of bacteria or their products passing from the pulp chamber through the dentinal tubules to induce inflammation in the periodontal ligament and resorption of root and bone.</td>
<td>Recognized radiographically, irregular crescentic areas of resorption on the external root surface and radiolucent areas in the adjacent alveolar bone. Prompt diagnosis and treatment are essential as the process may be very rapid, especially in immature teeth.</td>
<td>The infected necrotic pulp tissue or existing root filling must be removed as soon as possible and the root canal should be dressed with calcium hydroxide. A definitive root canal filling should not be placed until the inflammatory resorption has arrested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External replacement resorption. Following ankylosis, the tooth becomes fused to bone and is remodelled and progressively replaced by bone as part of the process of normal bone turnover.</td>
<td>The ankylosed tooth has a high percussion sound, reduced mobility and eventually becomes infraoccluded as a result of reduced alveolar growth. Radiographically there is loss of definition of periodontal ligament space and dentine. Replacement resorption takes place more rapidly in the growing child.</td>
<td>There is no treatment for external replacement root resorption.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 26.2** The patient aged 14 years.

**Fig. 26.3** Periapical radiograph at age 14 years.
Replant as soon as possible
Provide analgesic
Check antitetanus status
Splint 7–10 days
Systemic antibiotic 7–10 days
Chlorhexidine rinse twice daily

Immature tooth ( apex open)

Successful revascularization, vitality retained
More likely if correctly stored and replanted quickly

Fails to revascularize, loss of vitality. More likely if replanted after delay or improper storage
Resorption more likely
Root canal treatment with calcium hydroxide dressings to induce apical calcific barrier and/or prevent external resorption

Revascularization very unlikely, loss of vitality certain. Chance of resorption reduced if stored correctly and replanted quickly
Root canal treatment with calcium hydroxide dressings to arrest or prevent external resorption within 7–10 days

Mature tooth ( apex closed)

Monitor radiographically and clinically for external inflammatory and/or replacement resorption

Treat apex/root canal

If vital and no resorption, monitor continued root development
Calcific barrier develops
Definitive root canal treatment
Failure to develop calcific barrier or incomplete barrier despite repeated calcium hydroxide dressing
Consider special techniques for open apex (e.g. MTA) followed by definitive root canal treatment using gutta percha

Progressive external replacement resorption
Does not respond to calcium hydroxide dressings, tooth becomes ankylosed, progress usually slow but relentless
Progressive external inflammatory resorption
Treat with repeated calcium hydroxide root canal dressings, variable progression rate, may arrest

Treat resorption

If resorption is slow and does not compromise health or alveolar bone (as a potential implant site), tooth can be retained as space maintainer and extracted at the last possible moment. Place space maintainer, temporary or permanent replacement depending on age

If resorption is rapid or associated with infection or extensive bone loss caused by inflammation, extract tooth and place space maintainer, temporary or permanent replacement depending on age

Long-term follow up for late onset external resorption and to monitor the risk of further injury

Fig. 26.4 Management of an avulsed permanent incisor in a child.
A PHONE CALL FROM SCHOOL

necrosis (tenderness to palpation, increased tooth mobility and grey discolouration of the tooth). Sensitivity tests using ethyl chloride and electric pulp testing should be carried out but are difficult to interpret. Radiographs would have been taken at 4 weeks and at monthly intervals for 4–6 months thereafter to detect external resorption. Any signs of loss of vitality or resorption would have triggered immediate endodontic treatment with calcium hydroxide. These dressings would have been replaced every month for 3 months and then 3–6 monthly, until there was radiographic evidence of a calcific barrier across the open apex. A definitive root filling would then have been placed in the root canal.

An alternative strategy would be to place mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) to create the apical barrier. To use MTA the tooth must be free of infection and have no evidence of progressing root resorption. Once the material has set hard at the apex, which takes a minimum of 4 hours, a conventional root filling would be placed in the canal.

How would your treatment have differed if the avulsed tooth had been a primary incisor?

No attempt should be made to replant an avulsed primary incisor. Parents should be reassured and warned of possible damage to the permanent successor. Follow up should be arranged to monitor normal development and eruption of the permanent successor.
SUMMARY
A 22-year-old woman presents at your general dental practice surgery complaining of the poor appearance of her teeth. What is the cause and what treatment is appropriate?

Medical history
The patient is fit and healthy with no relevant medical conditions noted on her medical history questionnaire.

What are the possible causes of discolouration of teeth? What features of each cause aid differential diagnosis?
The possible causes and relevant features are presented in Table 27.1.

What specific questions would you ask this patient?
Explain why.

Did she suffer any illness between birth and 6 years? This might account directly for the discolouration or could have been the reason for antibiotic treatment with tetracyclines. Further information on chronological hypoplasia will be found in Case 56.

What toothpaste was used during fluoride supplementation? The fluoride supplementation regimen provided for this patient was recommended during her childhood, but the doses would now be considered too high. On these doses, a small proportion of patients would be expected to show mild fluorosis. More severe fluorosis would be associated with a second source of fluoride. The most probable additional source would be ingestion of adult-formula fluoride toothpaste, though living in an area with fluoridated water should also be excluded.

Is there a family history of tooth discolouration or tooth loss? A positive family history aids diagnosis of inherited defects and is essential for diagnosis of some types of amelogenesis imperfecta.

In response to your questioning the patient tells you that she remembers taking many courses of antibiotics as a child for chest infections. She cannot remember what toothpaste she used before the age of 6, but for as long as she can remember she has used an adult paste. She has no family history of similar defects.

Examination
Intraoral examination
On examination the oral mucosa is healthy and oral hygiene is good. The dentition is unrestored.

The appearance of the anterior teeth is shown in Figure 27.1. What do you see? How do you interpret the appearance?
The morphology of the tooth crowns is normal and the incisors, canines and premolars are a grey-brown colour. There are some areas which appear less affected and others which appear opaque white. Small flecks of white are...
Table 27.1 Possible causes of discolouration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extrinsic staining</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary stains such as tea, coffee, cigarette smoke, betel quid Chlorhexidine mouthwash Pigments produced by the normal oral flora, usually the subgingival flora</td>
<td>Usually worse around gingival margin and in less well cleaned areas because these agents stain pellicle and plaque rather than enamel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor tooth</td>
<td>Infection of the deciduous predecessor causes enamel hypoplasia in a permanent tooth and the porous enamel absorbs extrinsic stains. Tooth shape abnormal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intrinsic staining</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental caries</td>
<td>Associated with softening. Characteristic distribution of lesions. Slowly progressing and dentine caries are the types most frequently stained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pigments</td>
<td>Seen most frequently in nonvital teeth (as a result of pulp necrosis). Rarely may affect all teeth in conditions including thalassemia, incompatibility (in the deciduous teeth only), porphyria and hyperbilirubinaemia. Colour ranges from dull red through brown to grey or black.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline staining</td>
<td>Caused by administration of tetracyclines during tooth formation. When severe, this is a generalized green, brown or yellow colour, darkening with time. The teeth may fluoresce under ultraviolet light in the early stages but this reduces as the colour darkens. When mild there may be a chronological banding pattern with horizontal lines of discoloured enamel corresponding to individual courses of tetracycline. Tooth shape is normal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorosis</td>
<td>Varies from mild flecks of opaque white enamel to severely hypoplastic patches which take up extrinsic stain. The latter is only seen in areas where fluorosis is endemic. The mildest effects are impossible to tell from the opaque flecks seen when water fluoride concentration is very low. Affects all teeth. Moderately affected cases of endemic fluorosis may have an apparent chronological pattern of fine white lines associated with periods of exposure to higher doses. Tooth shape normal unless condition is severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amelogenesis imperfecta</td>
<td>Numerous types. Affects all teeth, though some forms are much milder in the deciduous dentition. Colour change varies and is secondary to either hypoplasia (thin hard translucent enamel through which dentine is visible), hypocalcification (chalky white opaque soft enamel) and hypomaturation (patchy distribution of white opacities). Affected areas may also take up extrinsic stain. Tooth shape may be normal and some types have a vertical banding, pitting or ridging pattern. Family history will be positive in many cases. Mild types are difficult to distinguish from fluorosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentinogenesis imperfecta</td>
<td>All teeth are an even grey-brown colour with altered translucency. The shape of the tooth crowns is normal but the roots are thin and taper sharply. There is gradual pulpal obliteration by dentine. There may be a family history and, in some cases, osteogenesis imperfecta is associated. Enamel fractures from the dentine and severe wear follow shortly after eruption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional odontodysplasia</td>
<td>Affects a group of adjacent deciduous and permanent teeth on one side of midline. Enamel hypoplasia leads to uptake of extrinsic stain and yellow cementum may be present over the crown. Characteristic defects on radiography include thin enamel and dentine, large pulps. Affected teeth often fail to erupt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronological hypoplasia</td>
<td>Horizontal band(s) of enamel hypoplasia, each associated with a specific insult, usually a severe illness or metabolic upset including severe attacks of the common viral diseases of childhood. Affected bands are abnormal enamel which may be pitted, hypoplastic, rough, opaque or completely absent, and also take up extrinsic stain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age change</td>
<td>Teeth become yellower and slightly darker with age. This is an even colour change and it is usually mild.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

scattered on the labial enamel. There are several prominent horizontal lines on the teeth, most clearly seen in the enamel of the gingival third of the crowns of both upper central incisors.

The teeth are evenly discoloured and this has the appearance of an intrinsic stain. The distribution of the affected enamel is in a chronological pattern and affects all enamel formed from birth to approximately 6 years of age. The even distribution suggests that the cause was present throughout development or that there were frequent or prolonged exposures. The fine lines in the central incisors suggest that a series of repeated exposures is the more likely cause. The grey-brown colour is typical of tetracycline staining.

The small white flecks are more difficult to explain. They are not consistent with tetracycline staining and could be either mild fluorosis or a normal feature made more prominent by the dark enamel.

**Investigation**

What further examinations would you carry out? Explain why?

The teeth should be examined after drying. This makes porous defects more opaque and more visible and aids the detection of fine chronological bands and enamel flecks. The teeth could also be examined under an ultraviolet (UV) light or near UV light to see whether they fluoresce green/yellow because this would indicate tetracycline staining. The fluorescence is not bright and cannot be seen unless the room is dark and the illuminating lamp has a very low visible light output.

Though not necessary for diagnosis in this case, it is always prudent to test the vitality of discoloured teeth in case loss of vitality is the cause. The vitality of the affected teeth would determine the treatment options available.
Radiography is not a useful investigation in the present case. Periapical radiographs would be indicated if teeth were nonvital or affected by periodontitis. They would also be helpful in a younger patient to determine whether unerupted teeth were normal or if dentinogenesis imperfecta were considered a likely cause.

In this case no fluorescence could be detected in the surgery and all teeth were vital.

**Differential diagnosis**

- **What is your diagnosis?**
  
  The dark colour of the teeth is typical of intrinsic staining caused by tetracycline. The history of yellow teeth becoming darker over a period of years is also characteristic.
  
  An enquiry to the patient’s medical practitioner confirms that as a child she received repeated and sometimes prolonged courses of tetracycline for chest infection, confirming the diagnosis.

- **Why is fluorosis not the cause?**
  
  Fluorosis cannot account for the generalized discolouration. The appearances are quite different, with mottled brown and white patches. The scattered white flecks could be caused by mild fluorosis and the fact that the patient used a fluoride toothpaste as well as a fluoride supplement for many years makes this a possibility. However, no definitive diagnosis can be reached because there is no accepted diagnostic test for mild degrees of fluorosis. Small numbers of such small white flecks are found in normal enamel.

**Treatment**

- **How would you decide which teeth should be treated?**
  
  The patient’s main concern is her appearance. Only those teeth which are affected and visible need be treated. The factors that should be taken into account are the following.
  
  **The smile line.** Observe the patient relaxed, talking and smiling naturally. Note the level of the lip line, which teeth and how much of each crown is visible. This will dictate which teeth need treatment and, if restorations are necessary, where the cervical margin should be placed. In this case all upper teeth from first molar to first molar are visible during smiling but second premolars and molars lie in shadow and the staining is not obvious. The upper lip line runs along the gingival margin of all upper incisors and canines, exposing the interdental papillae.
  
  **The occlusion.** Indirect porcelain or composite veneers are difficult to make where the teeth are imbricated because the teeth on the die model cannot be separated in the laboratory. Alternative methods of treatment must be used. If there is wear on the incisal edge then porcelain veneers, which are inherently brittle, may fracture and direct composite veneers may be preferable.
  
  **Occupation.** A patient whose occupation depends on their appearance may require both a greater degree of correction of the tooth shade and treatment of a larger number of tooth surfaces. Performers and others who work in bright, even artificial, light may also require restorations to look natural under demanding lighting conditions. Fortunately, these more unusual constraints do not apply to this patient.

- **What treatment options are available? What are their advantages and disadvantages?**
  
  The treatment options are presented in Table 27.2.

- **Which treatment is appropriate for this patient? Explain why.**
  
  The selection of appropriate treatment is outlined in the flow chart (Figure 27.3 p.129).
  
  A conservative approach using carbamide peroxide bleaching agents would be appropriate, and was tried initially. However, in this case the patient’s lip line leaves the cervical enamel of the incisors and canines exposed and the effectiveness of the result is not predictable. Dark stain remained cervically after bleaching and the patient requested porcelain veneers to mask the discolouration.

**Prognosis**

The completed porcelain veneers are shown in Figure 27.2. The appearance is not ideal and the disadvantage of having to use opaque veneers is well shown. However, the patient was very happy with this result.

- **What is the long-term prognosis for these veneers?**
  
  The veneers on the upper right canine and lateral incisor are in crossbite with the lower canine and almost edge to edge on the lateral incisor. On the upper left the same teeth are edge to edge. There is a risk of chipping the incisal edges and debonding.

**Prevention**

- **Tetracycline should no longer be prescribed to those below the age of 12. Presumably tetracycline staining should no longer be seen?**
  
  This is true, but unfortunately courses of tetracycline are still occasionally prescribed for children. There are some specific indications, such as cystic fibrosis, for which prolonged tetracycline treatment is still provided to children. Tetracyclines are available as over-the-counter drugs in some countries.
  
  Tetracyclines such as minocycline, which are well absorbed and reach high blood levels, are the drugs of choice to prevent infection in acne. They are frequently prescribed to adolescents and young adults and may stain dental tissues forming at this time, such as the roots of third molars. However, minocycline may also stain bone and fully formed teeth. The drug becomes incorporated into the pulpal surface of the dentine and staining is darkest in teeth where there is active secondary dentine formation. Because this stain lies deep in the tooth, it cannot be bleached by external bleaching agents.
Table 27.2 Treatment options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vital bleaching agents using carbamide (or urea) peroxide</td>
<td>Work best with extrinsic stains and quite well for many intrinsic stains. Easily applied in custom trays, nondestructive and easily repeated if necessary. Does not alter the underlying tooth shade or translucency. If sufficient and even lightening of the shade is achieved, bleaching produces the best appearance of all options. Can also be used to mask severe staining before a veneer is placed. This prevents the dark enamel showing through and allows a more translucent veneer to be used, improving the final appearance.</td>
<td>Unpredictable effectiveness with tetracycline staining, often leaving a dark zone cervical where the stained root shows through the thin cervical enamel. However, almost always some improvement and this may satisfy the patient. Only appropriate when there are minimal or no restorations in the teeth. Restorations are not bleached and there is a theoretical concern that bleaching agents might track to the pulp along the margins of restorations. Some over-the-counter formulations are acidic and others may cause local soft tissue irritation, and should not be encouraged. Licensing regulations vary between countries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonvital bleach</td>
<td>Allows bleaching of deeper dentine than a vital bleach, producing greater effect.</td>
<td>Only possible in nonvital teeth and so usually inappropriate for multiple teeth. To bleach dentine below the cervical enamel the bleaching agent must be applied to the cervical part of the root canal as well as the pulp chamber.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct composite, indirect composite or porcelain veneers</td>
<td>Good appearance possible, can be as good as crowns but much less destructive.</td>
<td>Some tooth preparation is required, the amount varying slightly between types. The ‘emergence profile’ or contour at the gingival margin must be maintained by removing cervical enamel, to avoid a plaque trap. When placed over darkly stained teeth, veneer and cement must be opaque. This reduces translucency and produces a ‘flat’ artificial colour to the finished restoration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowns</td>
<td>Strong and retentive; a variety of bonded or reinforced crowns are available if the occlusion is a problem. Very darkly stained teeth are best crowned. The porcelain is thicker than veneers so that opaque materials are not required. If necessary, metal-bonded crowns completely mask the underlying colour. Usually the best alternative if the teeth contain extensive restorations. Appearance can be excellent.</td>
<td>Destructive of tooth tissue. Margins may compromise periodontal health. Expensive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 27.2 The completed porcelain veneers immediately after cementation.
Discoloured anterior teeth

Generalized defects in amelogenesis imperfecta or dentinogenesis imperfecta

- Moderate or mild defects in hard enamel
  - Review for wear. Wear is often the factor which determines the need for treatment. A conservative approach may be possible
  - Veneers, or full coverage crowns, possibly adhesive depending on distribution of defects

- Enamel fractures off or is very soft
  - Extensive defects in hard enamel
  - Full coverage metal ceramic or porcelain crowns
  - Temporary or adhesive crowns may be required shortly after eruption

Localized defects

- Localized enamel pits or depressed defects
  - White opaque ‘fluorotic’ defects or enamel hypoplasia
  - Small, possibly multiple composite restorations or composite or porcelain veneers

- Brown stained ‘fluorotic’ patches and flecks
  - Pits and mild enamel hypoplasia which have taken up extrinsic stain
  - If little effect consider veneers or full coverage crowns depending on distribution of defects

- White opaque ‘fluorotic’ defects or enamel hypoplasia
  - Vital bleach may be effective alone
  - Little effect—metal ceramic or porcelain crowns required

Localized enamel pits

- Try enamel microabrasion technique or vital bleaching, often effective

- White opaque ‘fluorotic’ defects or enamel hypoplasia
  - Try enamel microabrasion technique or vital bleaching, often effective

Diffuse staining

- Intrinsic stain
  - Vital teeth
  - Vital bleach may be effective alone
  - Partial bleaching and no other contraindication—try composite or porcelain veneer
  - Vital bleach usually effective if prophylaxis alone is not

- Extrinsic stain
  - Non-vital teeth
  - Prophylaxis may be effective alone
  - If little effect—metal ceramic or porcelain crowns required

- Vitreous teeth
  - Endodontic treatment with non-vital bleaching
  - May be effective alone
  - Partial bleaching and no other contraindication—try composite or porcelain veneer

Fig. 27.3 Selection of appropriate treatment.
A very painful mouth

SUMMARY
A 20-year-old man presents to you in your general dental practice, feeling ill and with a very sore mouth.

Examination

Extraoral examination
The patient has enlarged cervical lymph nodes that are slightly tender, mobile but soft or firm rather than hard. Apart from this finding no abnormalities are found in a routine examination of the head, neck and hands.

Intraoral examination

What do you see in Figure 28.1?
There are numerous ulcers on the labial mucosa which have the following characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Labial mucosa and attached gingiva</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>A few millimetres in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape</td>
<td>Well defined, rounded, sometimes coalescing to form larger irregular ulcers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>Covered by a yellow-grey fibrin ulcer slough, no well-defined rim of periulcer erythema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>The surrounding mucosa appears uniformly inflamed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, one large ulcer lies at the commissure and there are small bloodstained crusts around the lips.

If you were able to examine the patient you would discover that more ulcers affect much of the oral mucosa, including the gingivae, palate and tongue, and that they extend back into the oropharynx.

Give a differential diagnosis on the basis of the information you have so far.

- Primary herpetic gingivostomatitis
- Erythema multiforme.

Justify this differential diagnosis.

Primary herpetic gingivostomatitis and other oral viral infections typically cause multiple round small ulcers of acute onset, sometimes coalescing, on a background of inflamed mucosa. The patient feels unwell and has enlarged tender lymph nodes suggesting infection. Primary Herpes simplex infection usually affects much of the mucosa and has a predilection for the keratinized masticatory mucosa of the gingiva. The patient is older than is normally expected for a primary infection. However, the average age of patients with this infection has increased over the last few decades because improved living conditions have resulted in fewer individuals coming into contact with the virus during their childhood.

Erythema multiforme (Stevens–Johnson syndrome) is possible. The acute onset and bloody crusts on the lips suggest this diagnosis and the age of the patient is compatible. However, the distribution of ulcers is not particularly suggestive of this condition. Erythema multiforme affects primarily the lips and nonkeratinized lining mucosa of the anterior mouth, and the ulcers have ragged margins, whereas the irregular ulcers in the picture seem to be formed by coalescence of small round ulcers. A trigger for erythema multiforme is sometimes identified and antibiotics, particularly sulphonamides, are sometimes the cause. This patient has had recent antibiotics, but only after the symptoms appeared. Erythema multiforme is typically
recurrent and the history of previous attacks and their periodicity is important in making the diagnosis. However, in a first attack the features may be milder and, as in this case, there is no history of similar attacks.

What diagnoses have you discounted and why?

Other oral viral infections do not produce a clinical picture of this severity. Herpangina and hand, foot and mouth disease are milder and usually affect the soft palate of children. Varicella zoster would be expected to cause chicken pox in this age group though children are the age group more typically affected; oral zoster usually affects elderly patients and is unilateral.

Herpetiform aphthous stomatitis should be considered but is readily excluded. The ulcers may be numerous, small and coalescing and may have an erythematous background. However they are usually limited to the anterior or posterior of the mouth, do not affect keratinized mucosa and are not accompanied by systemic illness. Attacks are recurrent.

In a mild primary attack of Herpes simplex infection in an adult, the ulcers may be limited to the gingiva, raising the possibility of acute necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis. However, in this case the ulceration is too extensive for necrotizing gingivitis to be considered and in any case it is usually clinically characteristic.

What further questions would you ask and what further examinations would you perform and why?

Do you suffer from ‘cold sores’? If the patient has had recurrent Herpes simplex infection, usually in the form of herpes labialis, then the present ulcers cannot be due to a primary herpetic infection. Recurrent herpetic infection is sometimes a trigger for attacks of erythema multiforme and a cold sore 1–2 weeks before onset would raise this possibility.

In answer to this question, the patient indicates that he does not suffer from cold sores.

Have you been in contact with anyone with cold sores? Identification of a possible source of Herpes simplex 1–2 weeks before the ulcers would give further credibility to this diagnosis. Contact with Herpes zoster is not significant in this case but in less clear-cut cases it would be prudent to ask about both chicken pox and shingles contacts.

The patient has no known contact with any viral disease.

Did you notice small blisters in your mouth before the ulcers appeared? This would suggest herpes virus infection, each ulcer being preceded by a small round vesicle. Larger vesicles and blisters are also found in erythema multiforme but these are irregular and usually limited to the vermilion border of the lips and floor of mouth.

Have you taken any drugs or medicines in the last 3 weeks? This will clarify the possibility that medication has triggered an attack of erythema multiforme.

The patient has taken no medication apart from the antibiotic noted in the history.

Have you any rash anywhere on your body? Erythema multiforme is associated with a variety of rashes (hence its name) and the patient should have a skin examination. The presence of typical target lesions indicates erythema multiforme but other less characteristic rashes should also be noted, together with their time of onset.

No rash is present. Take the patient’s temperature. This simple investigation is easily forgotten, but often valuable. A raised temperature in the early stages indicates infection. The temperature is not raised in erythema multiforme even when severe (unless there is infection of skin lesions).

His temperature is 38°C.

Diagnosis

This differential diagnosis sometimes poses problems. If the patient has erythema multiforme he should be treated with a moderately high dose of systemic steroids, but this should be avoided if he has a viral infection. A period of time must elapse before the results of investigations will be available.

Can you make a diagnosis and commence treatment?

Yes. In this case there is sufficient evidence to make a working diagnosis of primary Herpes simplex infection. Investigations should be performed to confirm the diagnosis but need not delay treatment. Investigations are probably only available to those in hospital practice. Practitioners confident in the diagnosis may well instigate treatment without confirmatory tests.

Investigations

What investigations might you consider, and what are their advantages and disadvantages?

See Table 28.1.

In the current case, a smear for light microscopy and viral antibody titre against Herpes simplex were requested.

Treatment

What treatment would you provide?

The patient should be reassured that he has a common viral infection which, while unpleasant, has no significant implications. It will run its course in a further 10 days or so but it is unlikely to worsen significantly now that it is in its fifth day. Some adult patients may confuse this diagnosis with genital herpes and require some additional explanation.

While unwell the patient should rest and maintain a good fluid intake. This is especially important in children who refuse fluids and become dehydrated rapidly. A sedative antihistamine such as promethazine is sometimes suggested for very small and fractious children who cannot sleep during the acute phase. It also has the advantage of drying the reflex salivation.

The patient should be warned about infectivity. The virus is transmitted only by close contact but while there are vesicles or ulcers in the mouth, the saliva is infectious. Care should be taken to avoid close contact with other individuals, especially...
Table 28.1 Investigations to be considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Advantages and disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smear for light microscopy</td>
<td>Simple and rapid. Characteristic viral changes may indicate herpes virus infection provided epithelial cells from the ulcer margin are present in the smear. Most hospitals should be able to give an urgent result the same day. However, a smear will only be positive for the first few days of ulceration. As a result, a positive smear indicates infection but a negative smear cannot exclude it in all cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swab for viral culture</td>
<td>Simple but takes several days. In general terms this test has the advantage that it detects a wide range of viruses but in this differential diagnosis the broad specificity is not particularly helpful.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swab for viral antigen screen</td>
<td>Simple and moderately fast. A small number of viruses may be identified from their antigens in a swab using ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay). Results from this test may be available in 24 hours but it is only available in some centres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swab for polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based viral detection</td>
<td>Obtaining the smear is simple but the laboratory procedure is complex. Highly specific and moderately fast. Results should be available in 24–48 hours. The test is only available in specialized centres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smear for electron microscopy</td>
<td>Very specific and relatively simple but again only available in specialized centres. The result is usually available the same day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum for viral antibody level</td>
<td>Serum for antibody to herpes and other viruses is simple to obtain and provides a result in about 48 hours. A high titre of anti-viral IgM indicates acute infection (though it may take a day or two to rise to a detectable level) and a low stable titre of IgG denotes a previous infection. In the absence of raised IgM, two samples several days apart to demonstrate an increasing IgG level are required for confident diagnosis of primary infection. This test is widely available and frequently used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy of ulcer</td>
<td>Relatively readily performed but almost never necessary in herpes simplex infection (except for the unusual chronic infections found in the immunosuppressed). Will give the diagnosis of herpetic infection in almost all cases. Also diagnostic in most cases of erythema multiforme.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prognosis

- **What is the risk that this patient will suffer from cold sores in the future?**

  Between 15 and 30% of individuals who come into contact with the virus develop recurrent infection. It is not clear whether those who suffer a symptomatic primary infection such as gingivostomatitis have an increased risk. Although this percentage seems high, many patients with recurrent herpes infection suffer only very occasional lesions.

- **What are the mechanisms and significance of recurrent infection?**

  During infection, *H. simplex* is transported back along axons of sensory nerves to their nuclei. There, neurones are infected but do not die and the virus becomes latent, that is, virus persists but no infectious virions are produced.

  A viral latency gene and the cell mediated immune response contribute to a balance between latency and reactivation. If infection reactivates, virus travels down the nerve to cause a localised recurrent infection of the mucosa or skin, a cold sore. Because the virus is intracellular for most of this life cycle, antibodies of the humoral immune response are not effective in preventing recurrences. Environmental triggers for cold sores include ultraviolet light, illness and stress.

  Latent infection has important consequences. Subclinical reactivation may result in infectious virus being shed from the mucosa without the individual realising they have an active infection. This may be a mechanism of spread in the population. Latent infection in the geniculate ganglion is one cause of Bell’s palsy and very occasionally virus may spread along nerves to the brain causing herpetic encephalitis.

  Labial recurrences are painful and unpleasant but relatively readily treated because there is often a characteristic prodromal sensation of burning or itching and vesicles are easily seen. Early treatment is therefore possible and antiviral drugs can be very effective. Topical preparations of 5% aciclovir are available without prescription.

- **When would you ask the patient to return?**

  The patient should return in about 1 week to check that healing is progressing, but earlier if symptoms worsen or new signs develop.
A VERY PAINFUL MOUTH

At some stage during treatment or follow up the patient should be warned not to take medications prescribed for others. The antibiotic prescribed for the patient’s brother was apparently a harmless but inappropriate drug. Those who take others’ drugs run the risk of hypersensitivity, drug interaction or other unwanted reaction. The importance of completing the prescribed dose should be emphasized to all patients receiving antibiotics, both to ensure effective treatment and because this is critically important in preventing the emergence of resistant strains in the community.

Final outcome

The next day a report on the smear for microscopy shows no evidence of viral infection (possibly because the ulcers have been present for several days), but on the following day the serum antibody result by complement fixation test shows an anti-Herpes simplex type 1 antibody titre of 160 (normal <10). The diagnosis of herpetic gingivostomatitis is confirmed.
SUMMARY
A patient does not wish to have radiographs taken. How should her concerns be answered?

The patient is concerned about radiation and is not sure whether she wishes to have so many films taken.

- What three general guiding principles must you follow in deciding whether or not to undertake any radiographic examination?

  Justification. Each radiation exposure must have a net positive benefit.
  Optimization. The exposures must be at a dose which is As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP), taking social and economic factors into account.
  Limitation. The dose to individuals must not exceed recommended limits.

  The principles are set out by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) who also set the recommended limits.

- What is the patient dose associated with these radiographs?

  Radiation dose measuring is complex. The effective dose (absorbed dose corrected to compensate for the type of radiation and susceptibility of different tissues) is usually quoted. The effective dose from an intraoral radiograph (periapical or bitewing) is in the range of 0.001–0.008 milliSieverts (mSv) and for a panoramic is in the range 0.016–0.026 mSv.

  As this does not mean much to most people, how can you reassure the patient?

  The patient can be reassured that the doses are very small and equivalent to a tiny fraction of the natural background radiation to which we are all exposed every day. If the patient wants to know actual figures then she should be told that the annual dose from background radiation varies around the world, but for example the average in the UK is 2.6 mSv and in the USA is 3.5 mSv. The dose from the radiographs required equates to approximately 16 hours of background radiation for an intraoral film or 2 days for a panoramic film.

- The patient accepts this but points at the hazard warning sticker on your X-ray cubicle. What exactly are the risks?

  At these low absorbed doses there is only one significant risk, that of developing a malignant neoplasm. This is a very low frequency and completely random (stochastic) effect induced by damage to DNA.

  The risk of developing malignancy from a periapical radiograph varies between 1 in 2 million to 1 in 20 million depending on the equipment used, the speed of the image receptor and the length of the exposure time.

  For a panoramic radiograph the risk varies between 0.21 and 1.9 per million, again depending on the equipment used and the type and speed of the image receptor (film/screen combination or digital).

- The patient wants to know how you ensure that she will receive the minimum dose of radiation necessary. What methods limit the dose to patients and how do they work?

  Methods of dose limitation can be divided into three groups as shown in Table 29.1.
The patient has decided to accept the radiographic examination. However, as you are aligning the beam she asks why you have not given her a lead apron. Why not?

Lead aprons are no longer considered necessary for intraoral radiography in some countries including the UK. Emphasis is now placed on reducing the dose from the main beam by the factors outlined below.

You take the images, using film as your image receptor, and have taken into account justification and limitation. Now consider optimization. The benefit to the patient depends on the diagnostic information or yield provided and this is, in turn, dependent on the quality of the radiographs produced. As a result a quality assurance (QA) programme in dental radiography is highly desirable and in some countries including the UK is a mandatory legal requirement. The World Health Organization (WHO) has defined QA in radiography as ‘an organised effort by the staff operating a facility to ensure that the diagnostic images produced by the facility are of sufficiently high quality so that they consistently provide adequate diagnostic information’.

What are the aims of a film-based quality assurance programme?

The aims should include the following:

- To produce diagnostic radiographs of consistently high standard
- To reduce the number of repeat radiographs

Precaution | Reason
---|---
Equipment | The X-ray set should operate at 70 kV
| This produces the optimum energy X-rays for dental radiography, the best balance between tissue penetration and image contrast.
| The X-ray set should contain aluminium filtration in the beam path
| To absorb most of the low energy X-rays that would otherwise be absorbed by the skin. These would increase the patient dose and would not contribute to the radiographic image.
| X-ray sets for intraoral radiography should have a rectangular collimated beam
| To reduce the width of the beam to the minimum required to cover the film. This makes it more difficult to aim the beam accurately and so a film holding and beam aligning device will be required.
| The X-ray set should be checked for safety on installation and regularly thereafter
| This must include, in particular, checks on the tube kilovoltage, as this determines dose, and to ensure no X-rays leak from the back and sides of the unit.
| Image receptors should be as sensitive as possible, i.e. E or F speed film or digital receptors to ensure the shortest exposure times
| Faster detectors reduce the dose required. Digital receptors are the most sensitive.
| Extraoral radiographs should be taken using cassettes ideally containing rare-earth intensifying screens or digital receptors
| These amplify the X-ray signal within the cassette and thus reduce the dose required.
Clinical judgement | Patients should only be X-rayed if the investigations are clinically necessary, i.e. they can be justified following a thorough clinical examination
| Unnecessary radiographs give an unnecessary X-ray dose.
| Published evidence-based ‘selection criteria’ should be used during the justification process
| Weighing the benefits of radiography with the potential adverse effects is complex and best performed by experts. Follow their guidance.
Radiographic technique | Radiographs should be taken as geometrically accurately as possible by using image receptor holders and beam-aiming devices for intraoral radiography
| This will reduce the number of inadequate images caused by malpositioning of the beam or image receptor.
| The minimum number of images should be used.
| Retakes should be avoided
| Processing of films should be carried out correctly following manufacturers’ instructions
| To determine all sources of error and allow their correction
| To increase efficiency
| To reduce costs
| To reduce the radiation dose to patients and staff.

What areas or topics should a film-based quality assurance programme address?

There are six main areas or topics of concern that should form the basis of any QA programme. The essential procedures for each should be laid down in writing and should be the responsibility of a named person.

- Personnel and training
- Image quality
- Working procedures
- Patient dose and X-ray equipment
- Darkroom, films and processing
- Audit.

How can the quality of film-captured images be easily assessed in general dental practice?

One recommended method of assessing image quality is to visually compare every radiograph with a good quality standard reference film and to subjectively rate the quality using published criteria such as the example at the top of p. 137, which comes from the Guidance Notes for Dental Practitioners on the Safe Use of X-ray Equipment (Department of Health, UK, 2001).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>No errors of patient preparation, exposure, positioning, processing or film handling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Diagnostically acceptable</td>
<td>Some errors of patient preparation, exposure, positioning, processing or film handling, but which do not detract from the diagnostic utility of the radiograph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Unacceptable</td>
<td>Errors of patient preparation, exposure, positioning, processing or film handling, which render the radiograph diagnostically unacceptable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What should be done with the 'unacceptable' category 3 films?**

They should be collected over a suitable time period (e.g. a week or a month) and then analysed. This analysis is often referred to as Film Reject Analysis. The category 3 films are assessed as to why they have been rejected and to identify the causative error. Changes to practice can then be instituted to avoid the error being repeated.

**The following four intraoral film-captured radiographs have been rejected as diagnostically unacceptable (category 3). What is wrong with each and what are the possible explanations?**

**What is wrong with the film in Figure 29.2?**

The film is too dark. There is contrast between enamel and dentine but it is not possible to detect the subtler features of the teeth or to see the margin or internal structure in the alveolar bone.

**How might this error have been caused?**

The film can be too dark for three reasons, each of which has a number of possible explanations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Possible causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overexposure</td>
<td>Usually the time of the exposure is too great because the incorrect exposure setting has been selected by the operator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The X-ray set timer may be faulty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over-development</td>
<td>The developer solution could be too hot or too concentrated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The film could have been left in the developer for too long.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fogged film</td>
<td>Light leakage in the darkroom, faulty safe lighting or poor film storage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use of old film as a result of poor stock control.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What is wrong with the film in Figure 29.3?**

The periapical film is too pale. There is insufficient contrast between enamel, dentine and bone and the background is not sufficiently black.

**How might this error have been caused?**

The film can be too pale for two reasons, each of which has a number of possible explanations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Possible causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Underexposure</td>
<td>Usually the time of the exposure is too short because the incorrect exposure setting has been selected or the timer switch has not been depressed throughout the exposure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The X-ray set timer may be faulty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underdevelopment</td>
<td>The developer solution could be too cold, too dilute or too old.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The film could have been left in the developer for too short a time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What is wrong with the film in Figure 29.4 (p. 138)?**

The bitewing image is blurred or unsharp and the molar teeth have been ‘coned-off’ i.e. the corner of the film has not been exposed.

**How might these errors have been caused?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error</th>
<th>Possible causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blurring</td>
<td>Patient movement during the exposure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Coning-off’</td>
<td>The X-ray tubehead has been placed too high and was not aiming directly at the film packet. The straight edge of a rectangular collimator/spacer cone has prevented X-rays reaching part of the film.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**What is wrong with the film in Figure 29.5?**

The periapical image is geometrically distorted and has been elongated to such a degree that the apices of the lateral incisor and canine are not shown.

**How might this error have been caused?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error</th>
<th>Possible causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elongation</td>
<td>The film has been taken using the bisected angle technique and the X-ray tubehead has been positioned at too shallow an angle with respect to the teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The film could have been bent in the mouth by excessive pressure from the patient’s finger supporting the film packet.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Why not use digital radiography?**

The use of digital radiography has a number of advantages and one of the most important is that the sensors are much more sensitive than film, allowing a lower patient dose. Dose may be reduced by 50–90% depending on the system used. Images can also be assessed immediately for quality and may be manipulated digitally to extract useful diagnostic information from underexposed or overexposed images. Digital radiography is therefore in the patient’s interest, but these advantages carry a financial cost and digital radiography is not yet universal in dentistry in the UK.

**Where are the regulations governing dental radiography and radiology for UK dental practitioners published?**

In the UK the 2001 *Guidance Notes for Dental Practitioners on the Safe Use of X-ray Equipment* is essential reading. This booklet brings together guidelines on good practice and the legislative requirements of the Ionising Radiations Regulations 1999 and the Ionising Radiation (Medical Exposure) Regulations 2000 (IRMER 2000) as they apply to dentistry. These regulations encompass the principles of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) of *Justification, Optimization* and *Limitation*. 

---

*Fig. 29.4 Another bitewing film.*

*Fig. 29.5 Periapical film of upper canine.*
SUMMARY
You seem to be having trouble communicating with the dental laboratory. How will you tackle the problems that have arisen?

First patient

Complaint
Your nurse takes the special trays out of the laboratory package to record secondary impressions for an edentulous patient. They are not as special as you had intended.

History of complaint
You are constructing new complete dentures for a patient who has been a poor complete denture wearer. She has lost her lower denture and does not like the upper, so you have decided to make a new set from first principles rather than use a copy denture technique.

Primary impressions were recorded in stock trays adapted with silicone putty and covered with an alginate wash to record detail. You were going to take both upper and lower secondary impressions in close-fitting trays using zinc oxide and eugenol paste.

Diagnosis
- The lower special trays are shown in Figures 30.1 and 30.2. What is wrong?
  The tray is asymmetric: the extension on the patient’s left is considerably shorter than that on the right. However, when you look at the cast you see that your impression was short in that area and the laboratory has extended the tray as much as possible. They are correct not to have extended it over the land area in this region. The result is that it is underextended on the left buccal shelf. This is important because the lower denture will need to be extended here to gain good support.
  Conversely, the tray has been overextended onto the land area in the floor of mouth and this will need to be altered prior to recording a secondary impression.

- The upper special tray is shown in Figure 30.3. What is wrong?
  This shows a well-constructed special tray, but it has been perforated and spaced for an alginate secondary impression. The tray is properly extended but spaced trays always look slightly overextended on a primary model because it sits lower after the spacer has been removed. Your heart sinks.
  You look at the laboratory request sheet to see what you prescribed and read:
  ‘Please cast upper and lower primary impressions. Construct upper and lower special trays. N.B. lower close fitting for ZoE [zinc oxide and eugenol].’

  Your diagnosis is poor communication. Many dentists and clinical dental technicians (CDTs) use alginate in a spaced tray for upper impressions. You had expected that the lab would assume that if one tray was close-fitting, then the other one would be too. You make a note to be more careful in future.
Solutions

What can you do to save the patient a further appointment and yourself the additional cost of extra trays?

Table 30.1 Upper-tray solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possible solution</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Record the impression as planned with either zinc oxide and eugenol paste or an elastomeric material such as a medium-bodied addition-cured silicone</td>
<td>Will be impossible or certainly very messy to try</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record the impression with alginate</td>
<td>It is difficult to control the thickness of the milled border with alginate. Unless you are using a modern 5-day-stable alginate, impressions need to be cast as soon as possible. Provided you use this material, this would be the best solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block perforations with composition or cold curing acrylic</td>
<td>Time-consuming but the tray would still have the wrong spacing for zinc oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a new tray made</td>
<td>Technically the best solution, but there are time and cost implications for the patient and you</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What you can do about the upper tray?

There are a number of options but all have problems (Table 30.1). The only alternative would be to request a new tray.

What you can do about the lower tray?

This has one laboratory fault (overextension) and one fault resulting from your original impression (underextension). Again, you could take a new primary impression and request new special trays, but the lower tray is more easily dealt with. The best solution is to extend your tray in the buccal shelf using a material such as green or pink stick composition (pink is much easier to use as it flows at mouth temperature). The lingual overextension can be trimmed back with a bur to the correct extension, which is marked as a black line on the undersurface in the upper panel of Figure 30.2.

What else should you check before trying to adapt the trays?

The extension should be checked in the patient’s mouth to ensure other areas are correct. Other common errors in tray construction relate to handle design. You need to check the handles to ensure they are properly constructed. Prosthodontists can become excited about tray handles but there are no absolute rules on what can and cannot be used. However, some tray handle designs could cause you and your patient problems.

Are these handles suitable?

From the appearances in Figures 30.1–30.3 the laboratory has provided well-made and designed handles. Faults to look for are shown in Figure 30.4. Can you identify them and the reasons why they are deficient?

The left and central images shown in Figure 30.4 show the same tray that has been poorly constructed. The handle will almost certainly get in the way of the lower lip, risking displacement during use and distortion of the sulcus. As you are aware, complete dentures develop retention from a correct border seal and this might be more difficult to achieve in the master impression if the tray handle distorts the lips. This fault usually arises because the tray is left sitting on the bench before light curing, during which time the handle sags under the influence of gravity. A stub handle would be better, as seen in Figure 30.1.

The handle also deviates from the midline so that it will be very difficult for the user to centre and seat the tray without the handle as a guide. This would certainly be the case with a spaced perforated upper alginate special tray.

In the image on the right, the handle has fallen off. This is because the light-cured materials were not processed in the
The lower partial denture has wear on the prosthetic teeth and they are no longer in occlusal contact. The patient has an inadequate posterior occlusion (posterior support).

**Solution**

- **What will you prescribe?**
  
  Both dentures must be replaced. Relining the upper denture is pointless if the teeth are worn. The lower partial denture needs replacing because it is not retentive and is worn. The posterior occlusion will have to be reconstructed with two new dentures, as neither is currently correct.

  Replacing the upper denture alone might cure the cosmetic problem. However, lower natural teeth occluding against a complete denture with no lower partial or with worn teeth will accelerate alveolar bone loss and risk formation of a fibrous ridge ('flabby ridge').

  You decide to construct a new metal-based partial denture for the lower arch and a new complete upper denture using a copy denture technique.

- **In what order do you plan to provide this dental care? Why?**
  
  It would be best to start with the metal-based partial denture first. If the copy technique for the upper denture goes to plan, five visits will be required. If the metal-based partial denture goes to plan, even ignoring the altered cast technique, it will require six visits. Some laboratories prefer 3 weeks to make a metal framework and you do not want to be recording the definitive upper impression too early in the treatment schedule.

  The most efficient plan is shown in Table 30.2.

**Treatment**

The metal framework is shown in Figure 30.5. It looks beautiful and fits the model well. Everything seems to be going according to plan until you notice that the lab seems to have omitted the cingulum rest and minor connector for the lower left canine.

Before reaching for the phone to call the lab and complain, you look at the design that you sketched on the prescription card, shown in Figure 30.5.
WHOSE FAULT THIS TIME?

**Fig. 30.5** A The framework back from the laboratory and B your original instructions.

**Table 30.2** Dental care plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visit</th>
<th>Complete upper copy denture</th>
<th>Metal-based lower partial denture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Copy dentures in silicone putty and send to the laboratory</td>
<td>Primary impressions model and design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tooth preparations and secondary impressions</td>
<td>Metal try-in and optional altered cast technique impression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Secondary impressions and jaw registration</td>
<td>Definitive jaw registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Wax try-in</td>
<td>Wax try-in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fit</td>
<td>Fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Review</td>
<td>Review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Is anything wrong with your prescription? If so, what?

There are several errors:

- You have mixed up left and right, producing a mirror-image prescription – easily done if you look at an impression to help with the design.
- You have omitted to draw a cingulum rest and minor connector to the lower left canine.
- No reciprocal has been indicated for the clasp on the lower left second premolar, next to the bounded saddle. Luckily the laboratory has realised this and has put a reciprocal on the framework.

### Will these design faults cause problems? Why?

Yes, probably. The patient is not keen on wearing a lower partial denture and complains of lifting of the free end (distal extension) saddle. The cingulum rest on the canine provides indirect retention (see problem 54) against this movement. As well as causing problems in function, the saddle lifting posteriorly would cause the lingual bar, which lacks support from the anterior teeth, to impinge on the soft tissues. This would cause soreness under the major connector.

### What can you do to rectify these errors?

There are four options:

1. **Ignore the indirect retention** on the basis that there is good direct retention with three clasps. If the framework fits it might be possible to take a chance and go ahead. If this works you will owe the lab thanks for remembering the reciprocal because this contributes significantly to the overall retention by ensuring that the clasp is guided, reciprocated and engaged.

2. **Have a separate rest and connector cast** and ask the lab to try to laser weld that on. This is difficult, carries a cost implication and the fit might not be very satisfactory. It carries a risk of failure.

3. **Make a new framework** on the existing master cast, if it has not yet been disposed of or damaged in any way.

4. **Record a new secondary impression** and make a new framework – the optimum clinical solution, but with cost and time implications.

As the patient is already waiting, you decide at least to try-in the framework. If it does not fit, the option of adding an indirect retainer is not practical.

### How do you decide whether the framework fits?

Check systematically that:

1. the rest seats are fully seated
2. there are no gaps between the soft tissues and the major connector
3. there is not an anterior–posterior or lateral rock
4. clasps and reciprocals are in close contact with the teeth
5. guide planes provide a clear single path of insertion
6. clasp tips engage in undercuts.

Bear in mind that the saddle is not supported with acrylic resin under the mesh and that if you place vertical force on the saddle at this stage the framework will lift anteriorly, even if it fits well.

When you seat the framework it seems to fit well and it appears that you may be able to adapt it. Confidently, you ask the patient how the framework feels. Unfortunately he says it is lifting at the back, and on both sides, not just the side with the distal extension saddle. Closer inspection reveals that the clasp on the lower left second molar is not providing any retention.
A close-up of the clasp is shown in Figure 30.6.

What is wrong?

Lack of retention indicates that the clasp tip is not in an undercut relative to the path of insertion. The fault could lie with the construction of the clasp or the lack of undercut relative to the path of insertion.

There is a design fault in the molar clasp. The clasp arm *starts* below the survey line and is therefore in the undercut relative to the path of insertion. The clasp tip is in contact with the tooth but above the survey line, which lies at the level of gingival margin. In such situations the clasp is usually so inflexible in the first third that it is impossible to seat the frame work in that area.

This cannot be sorted out in the surgery. You warn the patient that a new impression and framework will probably be required.

How will you take this up with the laboratory?

If you have a good dental laboratory, you cannot afford to lose its confidence or support. You will be aware that metal-based dentures have to be designed using a team approach, especially if you are not an expert (see problem 54).

You are responsible for the several prescription errors, which contribute significantly to the failure of the framework. Conversely, if the laboratory had phoned to query the design faults, they might have been rectified.

You should already have a service level agreement with the laboratory, specifying what each party expects and provides. The laboratory will expect you to provide:

- Patient identification
- An accurate legible prescription
- Identification of teeth with poor short- and long-term prognosis
- Dates that stages are required back in the surgery
- Accurate and correctly extended impressions
- Adherence to accepted infection control procedures.

In return you will expect the laboratory to contact you if they have any advice about your design or consider that it could be improved. You would expect them to contact you before changing your design and you must be happy to take calls as often as necessary for minor queries.

The agreement should include what to do in the event of errors and provides a basis for negotiating a fair solution. Errors will arise, but often both parties will need to take some responsibility for them.

Unless you have a surveyor in your practice, you would not have been able to identify that there is no undercut on the distal aspect of the tooth. The dental technician should have pointed out that a better solution would be a circumferential ring clasp, engaging the mesiolingual undercut from the distal aspect. This is exactly the sort of potential problem that is easily solved by good communication.

What is the optimum design for this framework?

Following discussion with the laboratory, and a resolution to improve communication on both sides, the laboratory manager offers to make the framework again free of charge. This is a welcome and significant gesture of good will.

The final framework is shown in Figure 30.7.

The rest on the lower left canine provides indirect retention and the circumferential clasp of the lower left second molar now engages an undercut from above the survey line. This design is likely to be successful, though it is not necessarily the single best solution. All designs are compromises between mechanical properties, patient acceptability, reducing the damaging potential of the prosthesis and the cost.
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SUMMARY
You sustain a substantial percutaneous injury to your foot. What should you do?

What would you do immediately?
Encourage bleeding at the injury site and wash it with soap and water but without scrubbing. Antiseptics should not be used as their effects on the local defence mechanisms are unknown. Free bleeding should be encouraged.

What is the most urgent priority and why?
The most urgent priority is to assess whether there is a significant risk of transmission of HIV infection. Postexposure prophylaxis (PEP) with antiretroviral drugs can significantly reduce the chance of transmission of HIV, but for maximum effectiveness it is recommended that it is administered within 1 hour, and certainly within a few hours. The reduction in risk may be as high as 81%. There is limited evidence that some protection of transmission is still given if the administration of the PEP is delayed, even by as much as 48–72 hours.

How could you obtain postexposure prophylaxis if required?
The Health Act 2006 requires that every NHS employer has a policy on the management of exposure to blood or other bodily fluids. The policy must ensure that advice is available 24 hours a day.

PEP is only available following a formal risk assessment for each individual injury. This involves determining the severity of the injury and the risk that the patient is carrying HIV infection.

The procedure for obtaining a formal risk assessment varies with local circumstances. In hospitals, the infection control consultant(s), hospital casualty or occupational health department will perform the risk assessment and provide the appropriate medication. Those in general practice must contact their local hospital casualty department who will follow their local guidelines. Each dental practitioner should know the contact number and name/position of the appropriate person.

When you phone you will be asked details of the injury and patient. You will then be told whether or not the injury is sufficient to carry a risk of transmission and whether a risk assessment of the patient is required.

What is the risk of developing HIV infection following a sharps injury?
The average risk for transmission of HIV is estimated at 3 infections per 1000 injuries.

What factors affect the risk of transmission?
An increased risk of occupationally acquired HIV infection is associated with:
- A deep injury
- Visible blood on the device that caused the injury
- Injury with a needle that has been in a blood vessel
- A high viral load in the source patient.

The risk from a needlestick injury where the needle has been used to administer a local analgesic is therefore lower as the needle would not be expected to have been placed in a blood vessel if an aspirating syringe was used. PEP is therefore often unnecessary for a needlestick injury from a dental anaesthetic needle.

History
You are extracting a difficult tooth and have used a luxator to loosen the tooth prior to elevation. While transferring the luxator to the bracket table, you drop it. The luxator impales itself in your foot.

What diseases of significance may be transferred by the injury?
Most infectious diseases can be transmitted by a sharps injury but the main concerns are hepatitis B, hepatitis C and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection.

Fig. 31.1 Murphy’s law in action. Nice shoes.
Splashes of infected blood carry a low risk. Splashes on to broken skin or mucous membranes, including the eye, carry a risk of transmission estimated at being less than 0.1%. It is considered that there is no risk of transmission from a splash of blood on to intact skin.

The viral load is a measure of the virus concentration in the blood. It is higher during the primary infection (the so-called window period), reduces with early infection but then rises with symptomatic and late-stage infection (acquired immunodeficiency syndrome: AIDS). It is reduced with effective treatment.

Your injury is a deep injury by a sharp instrument covered with blood and therefore there is a risk of transmission of HIV.

### The patient has returned to the waiting room with your nurse. What will you say and do?

You should explain to the patient exactly what has happened and that there has been an accident involving a surgical instrument and that there is a practice policy, derived from national policy, that should be carried out when this happens. Introducing the HIV assessment of the patient in this way depersonalizes the incident and avoids making difficult judgements, and discriminating against perceived ‘high-risk’ groups for HIV infection. If the policy is written and shown to the patient then this can prevent the patient feeling discriminated against.

The patient should be asked to give informed consent for blood to be taken and tested for HIV, hepatitis B and hepatitis C and for storage of serum. If infection is transmitted, it will be necessary to compare the patient’s sample and the sample of your blood for industrial injury benefit or insurance purposes.

Lengthy pretest counselling is now no longer a requirement prior to testing for HIV. It is only necessary to provide it if the patient requests it or needs it. The benefits of testing to both the dentist and the patient should be stressed. If the patient has an undiagnosed HIV infection then an earlier diagnosis is more likely to lead to effective treatment, and the dentist can have the most effective prophylaxis to prevent transmission. Most patients will be happy to give a sample of their blood under these circumstances. If not, then the reason for the refusal should be explored as sensitively as possible. It may be that patients have an inaccurate idea that they have in some way done something illegal or hold a false belief about the virus itself.

The general population have little knowledge of hepatitis but understand that it is a serious disease and may be aware that it can be transmitted sexually. As a minimum, blood should be obtained to store the serum in case testing is required at a later date.

The dentist will most likely not have the facilities to take the blood and the patient can be asked to go to his or her general medical practitioner with a request or to attend the local Accident and Emergency department. If the dentist does carry out the test then the patient should collect the results from the general medical practitioner.

The possibility that the patient might be HIV-positive will have to be addressed in order to assess the risk of transmission. This must be done in a sensitive manner, preferably in a quiet room and with reassurance about the confidentiality of any answers given. The questions should not be asked by the recipient of the needlestick injury because it is difficult to be objective if you are feeling anxious or distressed. However, in dental practice there may be no other person to handle this issue and you may have to ask the questions yourself. As an alternative you could consider asking the patient to speak on the phone to the local casualty officer responsible for the PEP, sexual health clinic medical staff, a sexual health counsellor or other experienced person.

You should remember that it is not the risk factor that denotes the risk of transmission but how the activity takes place which dictates the relative risk (Table 31.1).

### Table 31.1 Risk factors for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of risk</th>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Relative risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental infection</td>
<td>Transfusion</td>
<td>There is a small risk of infection to recipients of blood transfusion given between the middle 1970s and 1987. Most of those exposed will already have developed the infection and there is a very small risk for those who are not positive. Donor screening since 1987 has reduced this risk to a minimal level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemophilia</td>
<td>Recipients of factor VIII-containing blood products before 1985 had a high risk of infection – almost 80%. Most of those exposed will already have developed the infection and there is a very small risk for those who are not positive. All UK factor VIII sources are now screened</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injecting drug users (IDUs)</td>
<td>The risk depends on whether the needle is shared and how much contamination occurs. Needle exchange programmes have reduced the incidence of HIV in IDUs. Prisoners who are IDUs without access to needle exchange programmes represent a high-risk group for acquiring HIV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needlestick injury</td>
<td>The risk is 0.3%, but depends on the type of injury, volume of blood transmitted and the infectivity of the blood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexually transmitted infection</td>
<td>Vaginal intercourse</td>
<td>A risk to both partners but greater for the female. Properly lubricated condoms offer good protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prostitution</td>
<td>Unprotected intercourse with a prostitute is a high-risk practice, but the risk varies greatly in different parts of the world</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oral sex</td>
<td>Transmission has been documented but the risk is considered lower than for vaginal sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anal intercourse</td>
<td>The highest-risk sexual activity. Condoms reduce risk but failure is common. Prisoners may have consensual or coerced sex and lack of access to condoms means they represent a high-risk group for acquiring HIV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What questions would you ask?

- Are you a regular blood donor in the UK? (Blood donations are screened for hepatitis B and C, and HIV. The rate of new infections among repeat blood donors in 2007 was 1 in 100,000 in the UK.)
- Have you ever had a blood donation refused?
- Have you ever been diagnosed with hepatitis B or C, or HIV?
- Have you ever lived in HIV-high-prevalence areas such as Africa or Asia?
- Have you ever had a blood transfusion or surgery abroad?
- Have you ever had an injury when you have been exposed to someone else's blood?
- Have you ever injected drugs into a vein?
- Have you ever been to prison?
- Do you have sex without using a condom?

A positive answer to any of these questions requires further questioning to understand the degree of risk of acquiring HIV through the activity. In practice, asking these questions does not usually constitute a problem as in almost all cases there will be either no risk or a very low risk. Similarly, most HIV-positive individuals will disclose the information readily in this situation.

What are the risk factors for contracting HIV infection?

In the UK, in 2007, an estimated 55% of persons with a new diagnosis of HIV infection acquired it through heterosexual contact and 41% through men who have sex with men (MSM). The number of diagnoses acquired through injecting drug use and mother-to-child transmission has remained low over the last 5 years. Of the heterosexual-acquired infections, 77% were probably infected abroad with the vast majority from contacts from sub-Saharan Africa. However, of the MSM diagnoses, 82% probably acquired their infection in the UK.

If the patient discloses that he or she is HIV-positive, what information would you like to know? What is the significance of the answers?

Answers and significance are shown in Table 31.2. The answers to these questions would be invaluable to the person making the risk assessment.

If the patient indicates that he or she is not HIV-positive but agrees to an HIV test, can you carry it out?

Yes, UK National Guidelines for HIV Testing 2008 say that it should be within the competence of any trained healthcare worker to obtain consent and conduct an HIV test. If you do not have the facilities to perform the test then you can ask the patient's general medical practitioner or the local on-call health professional who has been designated to carry out risk assessments, advice and provision of PEP. The result of the test should be given back to the patient by a person qualified to answer any initial questions that the patient might have and who has knowledge of the local specialist services for a prompt referral. This is often the patient's general medical practitioner.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 31.2 Information from human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive patients and its significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whether patients are generally well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Their CD4 (1-helper cell) count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Their viral load and when it was last checked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The names of any medications they are taking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whether their medication has changed recently and why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The address of the patient's HIV clinic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is PEP? Why not simply take the drugs regardless of the relative risk?

PEP is preventive treatment started immediately after exposure to an agent that causes infection. The regimes for PEP following HIV infection are complex. New drugs are being developed and knowledge is continually acquired about HIV and the emergence of drug-resistant strains. This, along with the desire to reduce side-effects of PEP to increase compliance, means that the regime for PEP is constantly under review. At the time of writing (2009) the regime includes a combination of tenofovir, emtricitabine, lopinavir and ritonavir. This is continued for 4 weeks.

The side-effects of these drugs include nausea, diarrhoea, dizziness, headache, muscle weakness and skin rash. These effects can be debilitating and automatic prophylaxis for every sharps injury cannot be advocated. Pregnancy is not a contraindication for PEP but the evidence for its safe use is limited.

What if the patient indicates a risk of HIV infection to you but you cannot obtain a formal risk assessment within 1 hour?

You should not delay starting PEP while awaiting either a formal risk assessment or the testing of the patient's sample of blood. PEP is at its most effective within the first few hours, and preferably the first hour.

Do I have to give a blood sample for testing?

You will be asked to give a blood sample for storage of serum. This is because you may need to prove that infection was not present at the time of the injury. If the patient is subsequently shown to have an infection, you will be asked to provide a sample for testing 12 weeks (as a minimum) after the injury, or cessation of PEP if it was prescribed.

What is the risk of transmission of hepatitis B by this injury?

This should be minimal. All members of the dental team should be vaccinated against hepatitis B. Once they have
achieved a satisfactory antibody response of 100 mIU/ml to the vaccine, a single booster is given after 5 years. Non-responders will receive anti-hepatitis B immunoglobulin on an occupational exposure. If recent evidence of the effectiveness of the recipient's vaccination is not available, the recipient should have his or her antibody titre checked.

If the recipient is not immune, the risk of transmission has been estimated at 30% if the patient is e antigen-positive. Infection can follow transmission of as little as 0.1 ml of blood. Hepatitis B is so infectious that the degree of injury is almost immaterial. In the unlikely event that a non-immunized individual receives a sharps injury, specific hepatitis B immunoglobulin provides passive immunity and can give immediate but temporary protection after accidental inoculation or contamination with hepatitis B-infected blood.

**Does this mean I have to give blood even if I know that my hepatitis B vaccination is successful?**

Yes. Even if the patient is of very low risk for having HIV, you must also ensure that your serum is stored, because you may need to show that hepatitis infection was not present at the time of injury.

**How can you determine whether the patient is infectious for hepatitis B?**

Blood must be screened for hepatitis B antigens and antibodies (Table 31.3).

**What is the risk of contracting hepatitis C?**

The risk of contracting hepatitis C through a needlestick injury is 3% if the donor is infected. This risk is therefore higher than for HIV infection but, as for HIV infection, is dependent on the amount of virus present in the blood. The consequences can be severe. As many as 75% of individuals who become infected will become chronic carriers. Of these, 20% will go on to develop cirrhosis, liver cancer or liver failure.

It is estimated that 4 in 1000 individuals in England in the 15–59-years age group is infected with hepatitis C. The majority remain undiagnosed. The prevalence of hepatitis C in intravenous drug users has been estimated to be between 3 and 42%.

**Is prophylaxis against hepatitis C available?**

No PEP is available. However, early treatment of acute hepatitis C infection may prevent chronic hepatitis C infection.

**How may the risk of needlestick or sharps injury in the dental setting be minimized?**

Sharps injuries do not always result from needles. Burs, hand instruments (as you have just found out) and other contaminated sharps all constitute a risk. You should:

- Ensure that all the dental team are trained in the disposal of sharps.
- Identify and dispose of needles and other sharps immediately after use.
- Always pass instruments with the sharp end pointing away from any person.
- Remove burs and ultrasonic tips from handpieces immediately after use.
- Pick up instruments individually.
- Retract the patient’s cheek with a mirror while administering local analgesia.
- Never restheath a needle holding the sheath in a hand: use a one-handed technique (Figure 31.2) or dispose of the needle immediately.
- Never ever place your finger, or your assistant’s finger, in front of a sharp instrument, such as a scalpel or luxator.
- Always use a firm finger rest while scaling.
- Dispose of sharps into a solid container (approved to BS 7320).
- Ensure that sharps are disposed of by incineration and by an authorized person registered to collect such waste.
- Use heavy-duty gloves when cleaning instruments prior to autoclaving.
- Keep your working area well organized and uncluttered with sharps in a separate area. Do not place waste material such as swabs or tissues over instruments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 31.3 Hepatitis B antigens and antibodies and their significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antigen or antibody</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBs (surface) antigen or ‘Australia’ antigen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody to HBs (surface) antigen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBe (envelope) antigen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody to HBe antigen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Always wear appropriate masks, visors or protective eye protection. Modern minimalist designer glasses offer little protection.
- Footwear should cover the top of the foot. You would not have a problem today if you had worn footwear appropriate for the dental surgery.

**What is your last duty before you can turn your back on this unfortunate episode?**

You must remember to fill in an incident report as required by law (the Reporting of Injuries, Disease and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 1995) and submit it to the Health and Safety Executive. This will be important evidence for industrial injury benefit or insurance purposes, together with the records in your notes.

**This injury has ruined your day. This has all proved so complex that next time you might just wash the injury and ignore it. Why not?**

The main reason is the worry that you might contract HIV infection from an unsuspected carrier. The effectiveness of PEP – reducing the risk of transmission by over 80% – cannot be ignored. Also, it would be unethical for a dentist not to follow up the possibility of developing an infection which could jeopardize the wellbeing of his or her patients. There would also be a risk of transmission to the dentist’s sexual partner(s).
A 23-year-old woman presents in your hospital casualty department with a painful swelling of the right side of the face and neck. What is the cause and what treatment would you provide?

Medical history
The patient is otherwise fit and well.

Examination
Extraoral examination
There are palpable tender lymph nodes in the upper deep cervical chain and submandibular triangle. Opening is limited to 15 mm interincisal distance.

There is swelling below and around the lower border and angle of the mandible and extending back towards the neck. The swelling is hot, tender and very firm and a dusky red colour centrally. The swelling is not pointing to the skin. There is a marked halitosis.

Intraoral examination
Trismus hampers examination. The lower right third molar can be seen to be partially erupted, the operculum is swollen and pus exudes from below it on gentle probing. The second and third molars appear caries-free.

The floor of the mouth is very tender and firm on the right side.

What additional examinations or investigations would you perform? Explain why.
It is extremely important to take the patient’s temperature to determine whether the infection is exerting systemic effects.
She has a temperature of 37.8°C (normal temperature 36.8°C) and is, therefore, pyrexic.

There is a need to confirm that pericoronitis is the cause. It would be prudent to exclude the possibility that this is infection from a nonvital molar and tests of vitality should be performed. If there were a suggestion from the examination that a lower molar was nonvital, a radiograph might be indicated, otherwise radiographs would provide little useful information for diagnosis unless another lesion were present.

Diagnosis
What do these findings tell you?
The combination of inflammation (swelling, pain, redness and heat) together with local lymphadenitis and pus seen intraorally indicate an infection. Pericoronitis is present and this appears to be the primary source of the infection. Trismus is an important sign, indicating that the infection or inflammation has spread to involve the muscles of mastication.

The patient is pyrexic and feels unwell. These features indicate that the infection is exerting a systemic effect. Infection appears to be spreading relatively fast because the swelling has appeared overnight and there are already systemic signs.

Which type of infection is this?
It is difficult to tell because the tissues involved are deeply sited. Pus is draining from under the operculum indicating...
abscess formation, but this might extend into a soft tissue space or be limited to the tissues around the unerupted tooth. The rapid spread, firmness and tenderness of the tissues (‘brawny’ swelling) indicate cellulitis. This might continue to spread or develop into an abscess. There is probably a mixed infection with a local pericoronal abscess and a spreading cellulitis.

■ To which tissue spaces may infection spread from a lower third molar? What are the boundaries of these spaces?

Pus from lower third molars may track to many spaces and spread is unpredictable, depending on many factors including the angulation of the tooth, the size of the follicle, relationship to the second molar, degree of bone loss around both teeth and the anatomical relationships between the teeth, bone and muscle attachments in the region. Pus may drain into the mouth from under an operculum, into the buccal or lingual sulcus or into one or more tissue spaces. The routes of spread to tissue spaces are shown in Figure 32.2 and are described in Table 32.1.

■ In what tissue spaces is the present infection tracking and why?

This swelling appears to be in the submandibular space. The main infected tissue is not visible and lies around the submandibular gland deep to the body of the mandible. The swelling just spreads round the lower border of the mandible onto the face. Moderate trismus is typical. It is relatively common for this tissue space to be involved in pericoronitis.

There may well be early sublingual space involvement. Infection readily tracks between the submandibular and sublingual spaces around the submandibular gland and posterior edge of mylohyoid. In addition infection may spread through mylohyoid which is thin, perforated by blood vessels and a poor barrier to spread of infection. There is not yet an established sublingual space infection because this would cause extensive floor of mouth swelling and deflect and limit movement of the tongue. Swelling from sublingual space infection would be readily visible in the lingual sulcus but causes considerable oedema in the loose tissues rather than the firmness and tenderness seen in this patient.

Pus from lower third molars tends to perforate the lingual plate because it is closer and thinner than the buccal plate.

![Fig. 32.2 Paths of spread of infection into tissue spaces from third molars](image)

Table 32.1 Paths of spread of infection from lower third molars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direction of spread</th>
<th>Tissue space</th>
<th>Boundaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medially above the attachment of mylohyoid</td>
<td>Sublingual space, A</td>
<td>Lies between the floor mouth and mylohyoid muscle with the body of the mandible laterally.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medially below attachment of mylohyoid</td>
<td>Submandibular space, A</td>
<td>Lies between mylohyoid muscle and platysma, with the hyoid bone medially and the lower border of the mandible laterally. Contains the submandibular gland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior and medial to mandibular ramus, medial to lateral pterygoid muscle</td>
<td>Parapharyngeal space, B</td>
<td>Lies between superior constrictor muscle and the pterygoid muscles with the pterygoid plates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior and superior, between mandibular ramus and lateral pterygoid muscle</td>
<td>Infratemporal space via C which communicates with the carotid sinus</td>
<td>Base of skull superiorly, laterally sigmoid notch of mandible and temporalis muscle, medially lateral and posterior wall of maxilla.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior and medial to mandibular ramus, lateral to lateral pterygoid muscle</td>
<td>Pterygomandibular space, C (and potentially on into the infratemporal space)</td>
<td>Lies between lateral and medial pterygoid muscles and the ascending ramus of mandible. Extends up to base of skull.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior and lateral to mandibular ramus</td>
<td>Submasseteric space, D</td>
<td>Lies between masseter muscle and the ascending ramus of the mandible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior and superiorly, lateral to buccinator</td>
<td>Buccal space, E</td>
<td>Between the buccinator muscle and skin.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Infection is deflected to either the sublingual or submandibular space by the attachment of the mylohyoid muscle.

■ Is this a potentially life-threatening infection?

No, but it is serious. The patient’s airway will be at risk if the infection continues to spread posteriorly. This would be potentially fatal and dyspnoea may develop unexpectedly and with great rapidity. Vigorous treatment of the infection must be commenced immediately.

■ What is Ludwig’s angina? Is this a risk?

Ludwig’s angina is a bilateral infection involving the submandibular and sublingual spaces. It is frequently caused
by cellulitis when the classical ‘brawny’ (board-like) induration of the neck is seen. Spread of infection involves the epiglottis or parapharyngeal spaces rapidly and causes airway obstruction. Death may also result from septicaemia, disseminated intravascular coagulation or spread in the fascial planes of the neck to the mediastinum. Early diagnosis and prompt surgical intervention combined with definitive airway management are necessary to prevent serious morbidity or mortality.

Is there a risk that the patient might develop this condition?

It would be possible for this patient to progress to Ludwig’s angina but this is not likely to be imminent and treatment will prevent this complication. However, she could also develop airway problems from spread via other routes.

Treatment

The principles of treatment of odontogenic soft tissue infection are described in Case 49. It is necessary to drain pus, remove the cause of the infection if possible and provide antibiotics for selected cases.

Where should this patient be treated?

Admission to hospital will be necessary for this patient because she has systemic effects of infection and there is a risk that infection might impinge on the airway.

Is pus present? If so, how will you drain it?

It is unclear at this early stage of infection whether an accumulation of pus, as well as cellulitis, is present in the submandibular space. Incision may not be helpful. Infection at less important sites might be treated by vigorous antibiotic therapy and removal of the cause, followed by drainage, if required, 1–2 days later. However, because of the proximity of the airway, incision must be performed if there is suspicion of abscess formation. Even within a cellulitis there will be small collections of pus or necrotic tissue. Drainage is the safer option in this case.

The submandibular space must be drained through an extraoral incision, ideally 2 cm below the lower border of the mandible (to avoid damage to the mandibular branch of the facial nerve). In practice this may not be the appropriate site and a soft spot centrally in the hard swelling is the best place to incise. Distortion of the soft tissues makes the position of the mandibular branch difficult to predict. Forceps or the incision must extend up medially to the mandible to drain the submandibular space. A drain will be required.

Drainage of the sublingual space is not indicated in this case and is rarely necessary. It could be achieved via an incision in the floor of the mouth (taking care to avoid damage to the lingual nerve).

Pus should be released from the pericoronal tissue by either an intraoral incision or extraction of the tooth.

How will you remove the cause?

A general anaesthetic will be required to drain the swelling. Fibreoptic-guided intubation may be necessary because of trismus and infection around the airway. In a more advanced case, with airway oedema or infection, intubation of a conscious patient may be required because paralysis for intubation prevents the patient from keeping their airway open voluntarily. Perforation of the pharynx during intubation is possible if it is oedematous or displaced and this might drain pus into the upper airway. Forcing the mouth open under anaesthetic may have the same effect.

It may well be possible to remove the third molar at the same time despite the poor access. This breaches the general surgical principle that surgery is best avoided in an infected field, but with effective antibiotic treatment postoperative complications are rare. Obviously the decision will depend on the difficulty of the extraction. Removal of the opposing third molar could also speed recovery and reduce the chances of another episode of pericoronitis. Removal of the lower third molar may have to await resolution of the infection.

Would you provide antibiotics? If so, which?

Yes, prescription of antibiotics is required for such a case. An initial bolus of intravenous penicillin and metronidazole would be appropriate with an oral regimen for a few days afterwards. There is further discussion of antibiotics for odontogenic infections in Case 49.
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Case 33

First permanent molars

SUMMARY

A 7-year-old girl has pain from a first permanent molar. What is the cause and how might it affect her dental development?

Dental history

The child has been a regular patient since the age of 3. She has required restorations in four primary molars, one requiring local analgesia. Despite intensive preventive advice and diet analysis, new carious lesions have been present at each recall visit.

Examination

You ask the child to point to the painful tooth and she points to an apparently sound upper left primary canine.

The appearance of the upper left quadrant is shown in Figure 33.1. What do you see?

- An amalgam restoration with ditched or raised margins on the palatal aspect of the first primary molar.
- An apparently sound amalgam restoration in the second primary molar.
- Possible caries in an occlusal pit on the second primary molar.
- An erupting first permanent molar with the occlusal surface not fully through the mucosa.
- A small occlusal cavity in the confluence of the mesial fissures of the permanent molar.
- Plaque or food debris in the fissures.

How do you interpret the information so far and what are the likely diagnoses?

The child is probably pointing at the wrong tooth. The canine appears intact and children are often poor historians. They often have difficulty in localizing the source of pain if the pain is not present at the time of examination.

Pulpitis appears likely because the pain appears poorly localized and is relatively intermittent. A history of hot or cold or sweet exacerbating factors would point to this diagnosis. The likely causes are caries beneath a restoration or carious or traumatic pulpal exposure in one of the primary molars. Any primary molar with an unrestored carious cavity or even a clinically sound restoration should be examined closely for signs of pulpal necrosis.

What features might suggest a necrotic pulp?

- Extension of caries or fracture into the pulp
- Discolouration of the crown
- Swelling or tenderness in the buccal sulcus adjacent to the tooth
- Pus draining from a sinus in the mucosa, usually buccally but occasionally lingually or palatally
- Pus draining from the gingival margin
- Facial swelling
- Well-localized pain

None of these symptoms and signs is present. Pulpitis seems likely.

Investigations

What investigations are indicated? Why?

- Bitewing radiographs to check the proximity of restorations to the pulps, the extent of the occlusal caries
in the permanent molar and to detect small proximal surface carious lesions.

- Clinical examination of the other permanent molars for caries.
- Tests of vitality of primary and permanent molars are unlikely to help because the results are unreliable in children.

The left bitewing radiograph is shown in Figure 33.2. What do you see? (Table 33.1)

**Table 33.1** Features seen in Figure 33.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First primary molar</td>
<td>The restoration appears to be in the pulp chamber but misperception of the tooth clinically will remind you that the restoration is on the palatal aspect of the tooth and is only superimposed on the pulp chamber. No proximal surface caries is present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second primary molar</td>
<td>The restoration appears sound, with no radiolucency between the base of the restoration and the pulp to suggest caries. There is sufficient sound dentine (approximately 1–2 mm) between the restoration and the pulp to make pulpal exposure unlikely. No proximal surface caries is present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First permanent molar</td>
<td>There is an extensive dentine radiolucency under the small occlusal cavity indicating caries. The deep aspect of the lesion is ill-defined, indicating rapid progression. The lesion extends close to the distobuccal pulp horn and there may be involvement of the pulp.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Further investigation**

The child has been cooperative for restorative care under local analgesia in the past, so you make an appointment to investigate and, hopefully, restore this tooth. When you open the small occlusal cavity you discover that the underlying coronal dentine has been almost completely destroyed by caries. Caries extends into the pulp.

**Why did the child not experience the severe symptoms of irreversible pulpitis?**

There is no clear correlation between symptoms and pulpal status or histological evidence of inflammation in mature or immature teeth. The concept of irreversible pulpitis is a useful one for making treatment decisions but it is only an average reflection of symptoms. It is not unusual for teeth to become nonvital through caries without any significant symptoms. This is even more likely in multiradicular teeth or teeth with open apices in which the pulp has a better blood supply.

**Can the tooth be saved by root canal treatment?**

Root canal treatment in immature permanent molars is difficult and unpredictable. The canals are wider and generally more accessible than in older patients but it is virtually impossible to create an effective apical seal with gutta percha in the open apices. If endodontics were necessary and the child could cooperate for the several long sessions of treatment required, non-setting calcium hydroxide dressings could be placed to induce calcific barrier formation apically. This would require changing every 4–6 months until an apical barrier is felt on gentle instrumentation and a longer term
filling of calcium hydroxide powder or mineral trioxide aggregate could be placed. However, the chances of success are reduced because of the multiple canals. The tooth would remain compromised for future use, for instance as orthodontic anchorage. The tooth is almost certainly better extracted.

**Treatment planning**

- **What are the effects of extraction of a first permanent molar on the developing permanent dentition?**
  - The spaces created close more by mesial movement of posterior teeth than by distal movement of anterior teeth.
  - There would be only a small effect on any anterior crowding and minimal effect on the position of the midline.
  - Spaces close more rapidly in the upper arch than in the lower. Space closure in the lower arch is frequently incomplete, unless much of the space can be occupied by distal movement of a crowded second premolar.
  - Lower second molars tend to tip mesially and roll lingually producing poor proximal contacts that are prone to food packing and periodontitis in the long term. Upper second molars rotate mesiopalatally as they drift mesially, but produce much better proximal contacts than in the lower arch.
  - More satisfactory space closure and proximal contacts are produced in the lower arch if the first molar is extracted before eruption of the second molar (see below).

- **Do you require an orthodontic opinion?**
  Yes. If at all possible, an orthodontic opinion should be sought prior to the extraction of any permanent tooth in a child. In this particular case the patient may well benefit from compensating or balancing extractions. This is a complex area and will require an orthodontic examination to assess crowding, the occlusion of the buccal segments, overbite and overjet.

- **What are compensating and balancing extractions and what are their benefits?**
  Compensating extractions are those of the opposing molar; balancing extractions are extractions of the contralateral tooth.

Compensating extractions prevent overeruption of the opposing molar to maintain the correct occlusal level. Balancing extractions maintain the symmetry of the arch.

- **How will the orthodontist decide whether to perform compensating or balancing extractions?**
  The decision depends on weighing several factors:
  - The presence of the other permanent teeth including third molars.
  - The condition of the other permanent molars – are they already compromised by caries or large restorations?
  - The presence of crowding in the buccal and labial segments.
  - The acceptability of overbite and overjet.
  - Stage of dental development.
  - The patient’s likely future need and wish for orthodontic treatment and their likely compliance.

- **What is the ideal age to extract first permanent molars?**
  Extraction must be performed at the ideal time to minimize the adverse effects of extraction listed above. The stage of dental development rather than the chronological age is important. The crucial factor is the stage of development of the lower second molar, because this determines how satisfactorily space will close in the lower arch. The range of acceptable development is from crown completion to mineralization of one-third of the root. One authority quotes the appearance of an inter-radicular crescent of bone in the second molar as the optimal stage for first molar extraction (at approximately 9 1/2 years of age). Radiography is required to confirm the stage of development, and ensure that third molars and second premolars are present and normal.

- **Does extraction of first permanent molars complicate orthodontic treatment?**
  It used to be said that extraction of all four first permanent molars doubled orthodontic treatment time and reduced the prognosis. This saying held good only for removable appliance treatment and does not apply to fixed appliance treatment. However, closure of residual lower first molar spaces does pose complex anchorage problems and it will sometimes be preferable to delay the extraction of compromised but symptom-free first molars until the second permanent molars have erupted. This is a further reason why a full orthodontic assessment is required.
Further information

The extracted tooth is shown in Figure 33.3 What do you see?

Part of the crown has been removed to expose the carious cavity. On the left you can see a small periapical granuloma at the apex of the palatal root. The pulp was therefore nonvital despite the lack of symptoms. On the right you can see a large defect extending from the enamel to the pulp. The central occlusal enamel is completely unsupported but has not fractured. The carious dentine is hardly discoloured because it is progressing rapidly.
A sore mouth

SUMMARY
A 55-year-old gentleman presents to you in general practice complaining of a sore mouth. You must make a diagnosis and institute treatment.

History

Complaint
He complains of an extremely sore mouth and the recent appearance of white patches on his cheeks. He thinks he may be allergic to his dentures.

History of complaint
The patient was fitted with a new set of complete dentures 3 weeks ago and since then his mouth has become progressively more sore. In recent days he has noticed the appearance of white patches on his cheeks. He had not noticed these before.

Medical history
One year ago the patient was diagnosed as a non-insulin-dependent diabetic and he has a history of peptic ulceration. Current medications are metformin and ranitidine. He is otherwise fit and well.

Examination

Extraoral examination
The patient appears fit and well. No cervical lymph nodes are palpable.

Intraoral examination
The patient is edentulous and his complete dentures are stable and retentive. The appearance of the right and left buccal mucosa is shown in Figure 34.1. Despite its abnormal appearance the mucosa is freely mobile with no evidence of tethering or scarring. Other parts of the oral mucosa appear healthy and the mouth is well lubricated by saliva.

Describe what you see on the buccal mucosa.

The buccal mucosa is affected bilaterally by poorly defined ulcerated red and white lesions. These extend from the commissural region to the retromolar area, as well as vertically into the upper and lower buccal sulci. The white areas are arranged as diffuse zones but some have reticular keratotic striae within them and around their borders. Irregularly shaped erythematous zones lie around the white areas and some have ulcers centrally. There are two large oval/linear ulcers approximately a centimetre in length on the left and one smaller ulcer on the right. The ulcers have yellow fibrinous sloughs on their surfaces and appear relatively superficial and flat rather than deep or punched out. No bleeding is evident.

Suggest a differential diagnosis.

1. Lichen planus
2. Lichenoid drug reaction
3. Lupus erythematosus.

Justify this differential diagnosis.

The combination of white, red and ulcerated areas alone is highly suggestive of one of these three conditions, though it could also be seen in a number of other mucosal diseases including vesiculobullous diseases. However, the presence of
white striae as well is almost conclusive evidence that the patient is suffering from one of this group of lichen planus-like conditions. The lesions cannot be differentiated by their clinical appearance alone.

**Lichen planus.** From the clinical appearance alone, lichen planus seems the most likely diagnosis. Lichen planus is a chronic condition that predominantly affects middle-aged or elderly patients and is the commonest of the three possible diagnoses. The appearances are typical of the atrophic (‘erosive’) form of the disease in which there are keratotic white areas associated with erythema and shallow ulceration. If this were lichen planus it would be slightly unusual. The lesions are usually less extensive and more prominent on the posterior buccal mucosa. Nevertheless, this could be a more severely affected individual.

**Lichenoid drug reaction.** Lichenoid drug reactions are side-effects of a number of drugs including the oral hypoglycaemic drug taken by the patient. Lichenoid reactions may be local (e.g. in response to restorations) or systemic, in which case they are usually caused by medication. Some features which point to a lichenoid drug reaction rather than lichen planus include acute onset, extensive ulceration, asymmetrical distribution and severe involvement of the dorsum of the tongue. Lesions may also affect sites such as the floor of mouth which are less commonly affected by lichen planus. Lichenoid reactions may be clinically indistinguishable from lichen planus and the appearances of the buccal mucosa are consistent with a lichenoid reaction.

**Lupus erythematosus.** The mouth may be involved in discoid and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and the oral manifestations of both types are indistinguishable. The clinical features resemble those of lichen planus and lichenoid reactions but some features may help in diagnosis. Lesions in lupus erythematosus often have a central ulcer or erythematous area around which the striae tend to radiate rather than follow the random pattern of lichen planus. Lesions are also typically asymmetrical and affect the hard and soft palate, which are rarely involved by lichen planus or lichenoid reactions. Lupus erythematosus is much rarer than either of the other two possibilities and is unlikely to be having a 55-year-old male.

### Table 34.1 Further questions and examinations are appropriate?

**What further questions and examinations are appropriate?**

**Explain why.**

See Table 34.1.

### Investigations

**Is a biopsy indicated? Why?**

Yes. Ideally biopsy should be performed in all cases of lichen planus. In practice asymptomatic lesions composed of striae alone are often not sampled because they can be diagnosed clinically and no treatment is required. However, when there is extensive ulceration or atrophy or when the clinical diagnosis is less clear, other conditions need to be excluded by biopsy. When a lichenoid lesion is suspected but cannot be proved clinically, the biopsy may provide evidence to implicate a drug and this can be helpful when deciding whether or not to stop or adjust the dose of an important medication. Though not present in this case, lichen planus can form plaque-type lesions and these must be sampled to exclude dysplasia. Patients with high alcohol or tobacco consumption should have a biopsy to exclude dysplasia because lichen planus has a very low risk of malignant transformation. For this patient, an incisional biopsy is indicated.

**Which part of the lesion would you remove for biopsy?**

The centre of ulcers must be avoided because inflammation may mask histological features. However a sample of the ulcer margin may be useful and a piece including ulcer margin and red and white areas should be selected. Ideally some normal mucosa is always included in biopsy specimens, but in this case almost all the mucosa is affected. The specimen should be elliptical, about 1 cm long, 5–6 mm wide and an even 3–4 mm in depth. A biopsy specimen was removed from the left buccal mucosa and is shown later in Figure 34.3.

**What other investigations would you perform?**

**Microbiological tests.** When lichen planus or a lichenoid reaction become symptomatic or extensively ulcerated the possibility of additional candidal infection should be

---

**Table 34.1 Further questions and examinations are appropriate?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Questions and reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>About the medication</td>
<td>Date started, dose and any recent dose changes. Previous drug history for the last 5 years. Lichenoid reactions are sometimes dose dependent and may be first noticed as a result of an increase in dosage. A close temporal relationship between starting a drug and developing lesions is good, though circumstantial, evidence of a causal link. Sometimes lichenoid reactions persist for years after the drug was administered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>About skin lesions</td>
<td>Are skin lesions present? Ask about and examine the flexor surface of the wrist and extensor surface of the shins. These are common sites for skin lesions of lichen planus and lichenoid reactions. The typical skin lesions are purplish polygonal papules with faint striae (Wickham’s striae). They are usually very itchy. Severe lichenoid reactions may be accompanied by an extensive erythematous rash. Only a minority of cases with oral lichen planus or lichenoid reaction will have skin lesions on presentation. This is because the skin lesions often resolve spontaneously after a few years or with topical steroid treatment. In contrast, oral lesions may persist for many years and are often resistant to treatment. The skin lesions of lupus erythematosus are distinctive in distribution and appearance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>About the signs and symptoms of lupus erythematosus</td>
<td>Although this is an unlikely diagnosis the patient should be asked some questions to elicit evidence of lupus erythematosus. Questioning and examination should be more thorough if the oral lesions suggest lupus erythematosus by virtue of their appearance, distribution or the young age of the patient. Lupus erythematosus may be confined to the skin and/or oral mucosa (discoid lupus erythematosus). Lesions are well demarcated, round or oval red scaly plaques. The common sites are face, scalp and hands. There may be scarring and sometimes the typical ‘butterfly’ rash on the malar regions. Systemic lupus erythematosus has numerous signs and symptoms including those of discoid skin lesions (above), photosensitivity and hair loss. Vasculitis can affect most organs. There may be glomerulonephritis, arthritis, anaemia and CNS involvement causing infarction and/or psychiatric manifestations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
considered. The thick keratotic epithelium is more prone than normal epithelium to infection. The patient is further predisposed to candidal infection by non-insulin-dependent diabetes. A smear from the surface of the lesions on each side is an ideal investigation. Saliva sampling for candidal counts may also be helpful. This has the advantage that the organism is cultured for complete identification and sensitivity testing to antifungal agents. The disadvantage is that it does not specifically sample the lesion. In this case the patient is a complete denture wearer and is therefore likely to have an elevated salivary candida count. A smear is the better choice in this case and one was taken from the left buccal mucosa. It is shown in Figure 34.2.

Autoantibody screen. If lupus erythematosus is a possibility, an autoantibody screen may provide evidence to support the diagnosis. A serum sample should be sent for antinuclear antibody (ANA) determination. Four-fifths of patients with systemic disease are ANA-positive, often having high titres. A high titre of anti-double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) antibody is almost exclusive to SLE but is positive in only 50% of cases. In discoid lupus erythematosus this is less helpful in diagnosis because only a quarter of patients have antinuclear antibodies. Individuals with lichen planus or lichenoid reaction should have no antinuclear antibody. In this case the autoantibody screen was negative.

The smear is shown in Figure 34.2. What do you see and how do you interpret the features?

The smear is stained with periodic acid–Schiff (PAS), which stains the carbohydrate in fungal cell walls a magenta colour. Gram stain may also be used to detect fungi; Candida stains strongly Gram-positive. A sheet of pale pink-stained buccal epithelial cells is present, together with a few dispersed cells. Numerous dark pink branching fungal hyphae are growing in and around the epithelial cells. There are also several small round blastospores budding from the hyphae. The fungus is dimorphic and branching, and the size and appearance are typical of Candida sp. The patient has candidosis.

The biopsy is shown in Figure 34.3. What do you see?

The low power view shows mucosa with underlying fat. The surface epithelium is slightly thinner than normal buccal epithelium and has a surface layer of keratin. There is a well demarcated inflammatory infiltrate in a band immediately below the epithelium in the superficial connective tissue. The band is denser towards each side of the picture. (At this magnification the cells cannot be definitely identified as inflammatory cells but this is the most likely explanation for the very cellular zone.) There are also several foci of inflammatory cells in the deeper tissues, one particularly large one associated with a vessel near the bottom of the picture. The basement membrane is prominent.

The higher power view shows the interface between the epithelium and connective tissue. The very cellular layer can be seen to be composed of lymphocytes. Lymphocytes have infiltrated into the basal and suprabasal layers of the

![Fig. 34.2 Periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) stained smear from buccal mucosa.](image)

![Fig. 34.3 Buccal biopsy; haematoxylin and eosin. a, Low power view; b, higher power view.](image)
epithelium and caused the basal epithelial cells to undergo apoptosis. Apoptotic cells are visible as shrunken very pink cells with nuclear remnants (arrowed). There is no remaining clearly defined basal layer of small darkly stained cells and the cells lying at the basement membrane have the appearance of prickle cells. The surface is parakeratinized. Buccal epithelium is normally nonkeratinized, though a thinner layer than this may be present along the occlusal line as a result of friction.

How do you interpret the histological findings?
The dense band-like infiltrate of lymphocytes and lymphocytic infiltration of the basal cells with focal basal cell degeneration, apoptosis, loss of basal cells and a thickened basement membrane are typical of lichen planus. The deeper infiltrates of inflammatory cells around blood vessels suggest that this is the result of a systemic process rather than one localized to the epithelial–connective tissue interface. This suggests a lichenoid reaction rather than lichen planus as a cause. However, it is not usually possible to differentiate lichen planus and lichenoid reactions on histological grounds alone. For this reason the biopsy diagnosis is ‘consistent with lichen planus or a lichenoid reaction’. The dentist must ensure that this histological diagnosis is compatible with the clinical features and results of any other investigations before finalizing the diagnosis.

Diagnosis

What is your final diagnosis? Explain why.
Lichenoid drug reaction with superimposed candidosis. The clinical presentation is typical of a lichenoid reaction or a severe atrophic lichen planus, the diagnosis is supported by biopsy and the patient is taking a drug known to cause such reactions. The clinical appearance does not suggest lupus erythematosus and the autoantibody screen was negative.

What drugs can cause lichenoid reactions?
A very large number of drugs may be associated with the development of a lichenoid reaction. Reactions to gold injection may be particularly severe and prolonged. Drugs of the following types cause lichenoid reactions:
- allopurinol
- captopril
- chloroquine antimalarials
- gold
- beta blockers
- methyldopa and related antihypertensives
- nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
- oral hypoglycaemic agents
- penicillamine
- some antidepressants
- occasionally other drugs.

What treatment or advice would you recommend?
Firstly the candidal infection must be treated. Denture hygiene must be checked and night wear ceased if appropriate. In view of the mucosal inflammation and ulceration, an antifungal agent should be prescribed and amphotericin or nystatin would be appropriate. Subsequently, intermittent chlorhexidine mouthwashes may help prevent repeated episodes of candidosis.

Corticosteroid preparations would be helpful for the underlying lichenoid reaction. The mode of corticosteroid delivery is determined by the extent of the lesions, a mouthrinse being more appropriate than a spray or pellets when such a large area of mucosa is affected. The potency of the steroid must be matched to the signs and symptoms. These lesions are not suitable for treatment by the low potency steroids available to dental practitioners in the UK and either the patient’s medical practitioner or a hospital unit will have to prescribe a more potent steroid such as betamethasone or beclometasone. More potent or systemic steroids may be indicated if these prove ineffective. Continued follow up for candidal infection will be required because topical steroid use would be a further predisposing factor to infection.

Would you change the patient’s oral hypoglycaemic drug?
Yes, if possible. First find out whether the causative drug can be withdrawn or reduced in dose. This must be undertaken by the patient’s medical practitioner who will require details of the severity of the reaction and how distressing the patient finds the symptoms. As antifungal treatment may improve the symptoms, this discussion should take place after treatment and a period to assess the reduction in symptoms. Unfortunately changing one medication for another of the same drug family may not prove effective. If the drug is changed it is important to realize that resolution may take place over a period of weeks or months and sometimes years.

The patient complained of being allergic to his dentures. Is this a possible explanation?
Such reactions are possible but statistically the likelihood is very low indeed. The oral mucosa does not generally exhibit contact sensitivity reactions. Two features suggest that this is not an allergic response. First the mucosa would usually be evenly red and sometimes oedematous. Ulceration is possible but striae and keratosis are not features. Second, the palate and alveolar ridges in contact with the denture are not involved. In this case there is no reason to investigate the possibility further, but cutaneous patch tests with the constituents of denture acrylic, in particular methylmethacrylate are possible. Tests should be carried out in a specialist centre because these unpolymerized compounds are irritant and readily give false-positive results.

The patient had had a new denture fitted 3 weeks previously. One possibility which might be considered is that it has been inadequately polymerized and contains excess monomer. However, as in true hypersensitivity, the mucosa would be red and oedematous. Neither the signs nor investigations are consistent with this diagnosis.
A failed bridge

SUMMARY
A 40-year-old man has a missing upper incisor replaced by a spring cantilever bridge. This has become decemented and you must assess options for replacement.

Fig. 35.1 The patient at presentation with the bridge which replaced the upper left central incisor reinserted.

History

Complaint
The patient complains that his anterior bridge has become detached. He would like it recemented or replaced.

History of complaint
The bridge had been satisfactory for many years but detached about 2 years ago. It was recemented and had been firm until yesterday when it fell off the teeth without warning.

Dental history
The upper left central incisor had been lost as a result of a bicycle accident when the patient was aged 16. It was completely avulsed and the adjacent upper right central incisor was fractured. The missing central incisor was initially replaced with a simple spoon denture and then a few years later by a spring cantilever bridge attached to full coverage crowns on the left first and second premolars. The other upper central incisor was root treated and a post crown fitted. The present bridge is a replacement made about 8 years ago after the cantilever spring fractured. The patient has never had an upper left lateral incisor.

Examination

Intraoral examination
The dentition is in good condition with few carious lesions and a small number of restorations. The upper left premolars are the abutment teeth and have relatively conservative crown preparations. There is superficial caries over much of the surface of the first premolar crown and a larger cavity at the distal gingival margin. The mesial surface of the second premolar is also slightly carious. Both abutment teeth are vital. The gingival condition is good except for bleeding on probing between the abutment premolars. Here the probing depth is 4mm. The bridge can be replaced and the appearance with it fully seated is shown in Figure 35.1. The caries in the first premolar is exposed below the crown margin.

What is the prognosis for this bridge? Why?
Hopeless. Figure 35.1 shows that the cosmetic result is not good. The bridge pontic has moved buccally and upwards, probably a combined result of alveolar ridge resorption and distortion of the spring cantilever. It also appears to have moved distally increasing the median diastema. The abutment teeth will both require re-restoration and the first premolar appears to be very carious. In the long term, both abutment teeth are compromised by the risk of further caries and periodontitis.

Why was this method of replacing the central incisor chosen originally?
Although a well-designed partial denture should not compromise the health of the remaining dentition, most patients prefer a fixed prosthesis without palatal coverage for a single tooth replacement.
The spring cantilever design was considered suitable for this case for the following reasons.
• It allows diastemas between adjacent crowns. Diastemas would have been present because the lateral incisor on that side was developmentally absent. A replacement crown which filled the available space would be too wide.
• The upper right central incisor was not a suitable abutment tooth for conventional fixed bridgework, having been traumatized, root-filled and post-crowned using a prefabricated post.

What replacement restorations would you consider? Explain your choices.
A new spring cantilever bridge. For the reasons noted above, the spring cantilever design remains a good choice.
and it has served this patient fairly well. However, the abutment teeth will require restoration and a further spring cantilever bridge may compromise the abutment teeth in the medium to long term.

An adhesive bridge could be supported on the upper left canine with the central incisor replaced by a cantilevered pontic. This would be possible because the lateral incisor is missing but would not normally be practical in this situation. This alternative has the advantage of minimal tooth preparation and allows maintenance of one diastema between the central incisors. However, success would be somewhat unpredictable, depending on the area of enamel available on the palatal aspect of the canine for bonding and the occlusal relationship. This might be considered the ideal medium term or provisional restoration. It also has the advantage that the existing abutment teeth could be restored independently, reinstating their embrasures to help prevent further caries and periodontitis.

A single tooth implant would allow a restoration which was completely independent of the adjacent and abutment teeth. It would permit both mesial and distal diastemas and would therefore provide a cosmetically good restoration, comparable to the appearance achievable by a spring cantilever bridge. However, an implant would entail surgery, a more protracted treatment and much higher costs. A temporary restoration would be required until the completed coronal restoration was placed. One major advantage of the implant is that it should provide a successful long-term restoration. As with the adhesive bridge, the original abutment teeth would have a better long-term prognosis.

After discussing the options, the patient opted to replace the bridge with a single tooth implant.

What are the components of a typical single tooth implant?

1. The implant or fixture that is osseointegrated to the surrounding bone. Anterior single tooth implants are normally between 10 and 15 mm in length and approximately 4 mm in diameter.

2. An abutment that is attached to the implant by an abutment screw. Abutments are provided in various designs (according to use) and lengths. For single tooth restorations it is important to have an anterotational lock which prevents rotation between implant and abutment and crown.

3. The crown, which can be made of porcelain or porcelain bonded to metal. It is normally made on a prefabricated component which fits the abutment precisely. Typically, single tooth implant crowns are cemented to the abutment.

Investigations

What further features require examination or investigation to assess suitability for an implant? How would you assess them and why need they be considered?

See Table 35.1.

Treatment

What precautions must be taken when inserting an implant?

The implant is inserted into a hole which must be made with a drill matched to the implant. The surgical stent mentioned in Table 35.1 is used to ensure the correct angulation and depth so that the implant does not perforate the cortex. Careful sterile technique is essential and particular care must be taken to ensure that the bone is not damaged by overheating. Copious irrigation and slow drill speeds are required. The exact method of insertion and subsequent restoration depends on the type of implant and manufacturer. The implant is normally left in place for 3–6 months to allow osseointegration before loading. A spoon denture would provide a satisfactory temporary replacement during this period.

Figure 35.2 shows the result 3 years after completion and Figure 35.3 the corresponding radiograph. Is the implant osseointegrated and successful? How can you tell?

Osseointegration is the direct structural and functional connection between living bone and the surface of a load-carrying implant. This implant was firm and symptomless and appears successful radiographically. There is bone in close apposition to the implant surface along its whole length. The head of the implant is level with, or only just above, the surrounding bone. Failure would be indicated by mobility, peri-implant radiolucency or progressive marginal bone loss.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edentulous ridge height and width, labial contour of ridge</td>
<td>Visual inspection and palpation</td>
<td>To ensure sufficient alveolar ridge remains for the final restoration to have an acceptable appearance. There must be gingival tissue where the gingival margin of the new restoration will lie.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone height and width</td>
<td>Plain radiography, ideally a periapical view taken using a paralleling technique and sectional or cone-beam tomographs to show bone width</td>
<td>To assess whether sufficient bone is present to accommodate the implant. A minimum height of 10 mm and width of 6 mm is required to place a standard 4 mm diameter implant. Tomographs and palpation detect concavities in the labial plate of bone resulting from resorptive remodelling of the ridge. These would dictate implant angulation because the implant must lie inside the cortical plates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired result from functional and aesthetic point of view</td>
<td>Ideally, mock-up the final result as a provisional restoration or construct a diagnostic wax-up. Check the occlusion. Remember to check the old restoration and copy any desirable features</td>
<td>To assess the appearance of the final result and assess the relationship of the crown position to the implant position. Models are used to construct a surgical stent to facilitate ideal positioning of the implant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What factors are important in achieving and maintaining osseointegration and why?

See Table 35.2.

At each review, how would you determine whether the implant is successful?

- The implant is immobile when tested clinically.
- A periapical radiograph does not reveal any peri-implant radiolucency.
- Radiographs taken at annual visits should reveal a steady crestal bone level after the first year of loading. This can only be assessed using periapical radiographs taken by the paralleling technique.

Table 35.2 Factors important in achieving and maintaining osseointegration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implant biocompatibility and design</td>
<td>Implants of pure titanium osseointegrate successfully. Titanium alloys and hydroxyapatite are also used but may be less effective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implant surface characteristics</td>
<td>A degree of surface roughness is desirable for osseointegration and much research is currently directed at enhancing optimal surface characteristics. Currently used implant surfaces include machined, blasted, etched, plasma-sprayed or hydroxyapatite-coated types. It is unclear whether any of these offer a significant advantage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical technique</td>
<td>It is vital that the bone is not overheated during the preparation process. Elevation of the temperature to 47°C for a short period of time will cause bone cell death, subsequent bone resorption and failure of integration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone quality</td>
<td>It is important that the implant is stable immediately after placement. Mobility induces fibrous tissue encapsulation rather than osseointegration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading of the implant</td>
<td>It is generally recommended that the implant is not loaded for several months after placement. Most protocols advise a period of healing of 3–6 months. With newer types of implant surface this can be reduced to 6 weeks and in some cases immediate restoration and loading are performed. The exact period of healing required depends on bone quality, the type of loading, the implant type and dimensions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusion</td>
<td>Overloading can induce loss of osseointegration or component failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance care</td>
<td>The patient must achieve and maintain a good level of oral hygiene to avoid peri-implant disease. Regular recall visits are recommended.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Case 36

Skateboarding accident?

SUMMARY
A 6-year-old boy with a facial injury attends late one afternoon without an appointment. Assess the child and decide what treatment he needs.

History of complaint
The child’s mother says that he fell off his skateboard and banged his teeth. The injury occurred yesterday evening when he was playing at a friend’s house.

Dental history
The family attend your practice occasionally. The patient had some primary molars extracted under general anaesthesia 6 months ago and has since missed two appointments for review.

Medical history
The patient is otherwise fit and well.

What do you need to know about the accident?
Further details, including the exact time, whether it was witnessed by others and who was responsible for looking after him at the time. Was he knocked out when he fell?
What type of surface did he fall on? Were the abrasions or mouth contaminated with soil or other dirty material? Is the patient’s antitetanus immunization up-to-date?

Extraoral examination
The child looks anxious and withdrawn. Abrasions are present on the tip of the nose and the upper lip, as shown in Figure 36.1. These have a parallel vertical pattern consistent with scraping on a pavement but are not visibly contaminated with debris. When asked if he has injuries elsewhere, he does not respond at first then shows abrasions on his knees and elbows.

On examining his face, you notice faint parallel lines of petechial bruising running horizontally across the left side of his neck (Figure 36.2) and bruising on both the outer and inner surface of the right ear (Figure 36.3). No other injuries are visible on those parts of his arms and legs which are not covered by clothing.

Intraoral examination
The patient’s upper anterior teeth and lip are shown in Figure 36.4.

What do you see?
He is in the early mixed dentition, has poor oral hygiene and no obvious caries. There are abrasions on the vermilion border and inner surface of the upper lip. The upper labial frenum is torn and bruised. The upper left primary central incisor has bleeding around the gingival margin and is displaced palatally.

If you were to examine the patient you would find that both upper primary central incisors are slightly mobile and tender to pressure. The displaced incisor is not causing occlusal interference.

Fig. 36.1 The patient’s face on presentation.

History
Complaint
The child complains of loose front teeth and that his mouth is sore and it hurts to eat.
You should already be suspicious about some aspects of this history. What further information would you ask?

Whether he has already received any medical attention and why they did not seek dental care sooner. Who was looking after the child when he was injured?

You should also ask the child himself about the cause of the injury, particularly allowing him to talk and volunteer information without asking leading questions.

When you ask the patient what happened to him, he looks away and says nothing. When you note the marks on the neck and ear, his mother looks uncomfortable and says, ‘He fell off a wall last week. He’s very accident-prone.’

Investigations

What radiographs would you take and why?

A periapical view of the primary upper incisors should be taken to show the extent of physiological root resorption, any displacement or root fractures and the proximity of the developing permanent incisors. As well as aiding diagnosis the radiograph will act as a baseline for future monitoring.

If a periapical film is too uncomfortable to hold, an occlusal view or occlusal taken with a periapical film or detector may prove more acceptable.

The radiograph is shown in Figure 36.5. What do you see?

All permanent incisors are present and appear to be relatively distant from the primary tooth roots. There is resorption of the upper primary central incisor roots consistent with the patient’s age.

There is increased periodontal ligament space and displacement of the upper left primary central incisor but no other abnormalities.

Diagnosis

What is your diagnosis?

Facial abrasions, intraoral soft-tissue injuries, subluxation of the upper right primary central incisor and lateral luxation of the upper left primary central incisor.

The findings are consistent with the history of an accidental fall from a skateboard but are accompanied by bruises on the neck and ear which give cause for concern about possible physical abuse.
Fig. 36.4 The mouth at presentation.

Fig. 36.5 Radiographic image.

- **What factors in the history make you concerned that this may be child abuse or neglect?**
  - The history is vague and does not fully explain the injuries observed.
  - There was some delay in seeking dental care, without satisfactory explanation.
  - There was possibly a lack of adult supervision to ensure his safety.

- **Why does the history not explain the injuries?**
  - There are injuries to soft tissues not overlying bony prominences: in this case the neck and ear.
  - There are injuries to both sides of the body: the left side of the neck and right ear.
  - The pattern of bruising on his neck is suggestive of a slap mark. There are parallel lines of petechial haemorrhages between the fingers, where blood was forced out of capillaries by the force of the slap.
  - Bruising on both inner and outer aspects of the pinna of the ear suggests injury from pinching or pulling.
  - The neck and ear are rarely injured in accidental falls because they lie in a 'triangle of safety', protected by the shoulder and the parietal area of the skull.

You might also have a sense of unease about the boy’s behaviour and interaction with his mother. The patient seems unwilling to talk about the cause of the injury.

**Table 36.1 Risk factors for child abuse and neglect**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parental</th>
<th>Social</th>
<th>Child</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parents needing additional support to meet child’s needs</td>
<td>Families living in adverse social environments</td>
<td>Children in need of additional help to safeguard their welfare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young parents</td>
<td>Poverty</td>
<td>Babies and toddlers are most vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single parents</td>
<td>Social isolation</td>
<td>Children with disabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents with learning difficulties</td>
<td>Poor housing</td>
<td>Older children, particularly girls, are more vulnerable to sexual abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health problems</td>
<td>Family violence</td>
<td>Children with behavioural problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug and alcohol abuse</td>
<td></td>
<td>Children looked after in foster or residential care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How certain can you be that injuries are not accidental?**

Care must always be exercised when interpreting injuries. It is important to realize that there are no specific injuries that are diagnostic of abuse, even though some patterns of injury are highly suggestive of it. There will almost always be a degree of uncertainty, especially with the type of injuries presenting in a dental setting. In all such cases you are likely to be weighing evidence from a variety of sources and must come to a judgement yourself. There are no easy answers.

A torn labial frenum used to be considered diagnostic of abuse but it is now recognized that it may also result from an accident. In this case, accompanied by other intraoral injuries, it would not, in itself, cause you to suspect abuse. However, if seen in a young child who is not yet walking, and is therefore unlikely to have fallen, it is a sign that should always be taken seriously and discussed with an experienced colleague.

**What general risk factors are recognized for child abuse?**

Recognized risk factors are shown in Table 36.1. Such features may be noted during the appointment or identified from previous knowledge of the family, or be present in your dental records. They are often very helpful when deciding how to interpret your findings and in deciding what to do.

**Treatment**

**What dental treatment is necessary?**

Advising analgesia as required; the drug of choice in this age group is paracetamol suspension. Recommend a soft diet.

Give instruction in oral hygiene, including use of chlorhexidine gel applied twice daily for a week. Advise the mother to return if there is increasing pain or swelling.

Inform the parent of the possibility of damage to developing permanent teeth and the need for follow up. Make the first follow up appointment after 1 week.

Splinting the teeth is unnecessary and would hinder the recommended oral hygiene procedures.

**What else should you do?**

The injuries to the mouth were probably caused in a skateboard accident. They are consistent with this explanation.
and accompanied by injury on the elbows and knees. However, there are unexplained injuries on the neck and ear. You need to decide what must be done about these additional injuries.

It is often helpful to discuss the case with a suitably experienced colleague or advisor, such as a nurse or social worker from the local safeguarding children advisory service. Telephone numbers for advice and referral should be available in the practice. A flowchart guide your actions is shown in Figure 36.6.

It is likely that in this case you would be advised to make an immediate referral to children’s services (alternatively known as social services). A full assessment of the child is needed to determine whether the unexplained injuries have occurred as part of a pattern of sustained and deliberate child abuse or may be the result of momentary loss of control by a frustrated parent (or other individual inside or outside the family). This child may urgently need protection or, alternatively, the family may need advice and support.

**What do you say to the child and parent?**

Explain that you are concerned about the marks on his neck and ear and that you need to arrange for someone else to look at him. Ask consent to phone children’s services to share...
this information. If the parent questions whether this is really necessary you will need to stand your ground and give further explanation. You should explain that your responsibility is not simply to help with the health of the boy’s teeth but you also have a responsibility for his general welfare and safety, and you recognize that his mother will want that too. Research shows that being open and honest from the start results in better outcomes for children.

- Are there any circumstances in which you would not discuss child protection concerns with the parents?

Whilst it is generally considered good practice to explain your concerns to the child and parents, there are certain exceptions:

- Where discussion might put the child at greater risk
- Where discussion would impede a police investigation or social work inquiry
- Where sexual abuse by a family member, or organized or multiple abuse is suspected
- Where fabricated or induced illness (formerly known as Munchausen syndrome by proxy) is suspected
- Where parents or carers are being violent or abusive, and discussion would place you or your staff at risk
- When parents or carers are not present and it is not possible to contact them without causing undue delay in making the referral.

In these circumstances, first seek advice from your dental defence organization or from senior child protection professionals. Reasonable judgement must be made in each case.

- What do you do next?

Phone children's services to make a child protection referral. You will be asked to give the full name of the child, date of birth, address, gender, school and the name of the person(s) with parental responsibility. Discuss your concerns and agree what will happen next. Agree with the social worker what you will tell the parent and child and what will happen next.

- Anything else to remember?

You need to keep comprehensive contemporaneous clinical records including any explanation given by the parent and child in their own words. You should include both a written description and a diagram of the injuries. Differences between fact and your opinion should be clearly stated. You should include a summary of the discussions with the child and parent.

If other members of the dental team have been involved, they also should record their observations.

- What action is required later?

The telephone referral must be followed up in writing within 48 hours. Children’s services should confirm receipt of the referral, decide on the next course of action and give feedback to you.

If you hear nothing, you should telephone again to confirm that your referral has been received and acted upon. You should be prepared to write a report for a child protection case conference if requested to do so. You will also need to ensure that the child receives a follow up dental appointment.

It is important to acknowledge that involvement in child protection cases can be distressing. If necessary, take time to talk through your experiences with a trusted colleague or seek further advice from the safeguarding advisory service.

Research shows that dentists do not always respond effectively when they recognize signs of child abuse and neglect. This is a serious matter: it may be some years before another opportunity arises for someone to take action to protect the child from the misery of ongoing maltreatment. Furthermore, to ignore suspicions about abuse could result in a complaint against you and may put your registration at risk or lead to a professional negligence claim. The General Dental Council’s ethical standards guidance makes it clear that all members of the dental team must ‘follow local child protection procedures if you suspect that a child might be at risk because of abuse or neglect’.

When dentists are asked why they do not follow child protection procedures correctly, they often say that they want to be really certain before taking action. You can be reassured that the responsibility for making a diagnosis of abuse is not something you have to shoulder alone but is always shared by a multi-agency team. Discuss your concerns with the experts and refer early.

Do not fear that the family will suffer drastic action. Children are only removed from their families when there is no safe alternative. Emphasis is given to supporting the family to protect their own children.

You may be concerned about possible litigation if you get it wrong, yet the law places the child’s welfare as paramount and protects health professionals who make child protection referrals in good faith. This is a challenging area of practice but expert help is available.

- Is dental neglect abuse?

Child abuse is defined in four categories: physical abuse, emotional abuse, sexual abuse and neglect. Abuse or neglect may be by inflicting harm or by failing to prevent harm.

Recently there has been increased interest in whether severe untreated dental disease indicates neglect and should prompt a child protection referral.

Under the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989, children have a right to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health and to facilities for the treatment of illness and rehabilitation of health. To enjoy optimal oral health, children need their parents to provide a suitable diet, facilities for and help with oral hygiene, and access to dental treatment when needed. There is no doubt that oral disease can have a significant impact on a child’s general health and can cause pain, loss of sleep, and even poor growth and quality of life. Once dental problems have been explained to parents or carers, and appropriate and acceptable dental treatment has been offered to restore oral health, the following would be of concern:

- Repeated missed appointments
- Failure to complete planned treatment
- Repeatedly returning in pain
SKATEBOARDING ACCIDENT?

- Requiring repeated general anaesthesia for dental extractions.

Preschool children are particularly vulnerable and, in such cases, it is recommended that you should contact the child’s health visitor to discuss how you might work together to support the family to ensure that the child’s needs are met. This contributes to ‘safeguarding’ children, namely, not only protecting children from abuse and neglect, but taking a wider range of measures to promote their health and development and minimize risks of harm. In the vast majority of cases, lack of knowledge and difficulty accessing care account for the apparent neglect. However, if a child is already suffering significant harm from untreated dental disease, it will be necessary to make a child protection referral without delay.
Case 37
An adverse reaction

SUMMARY
A 38-year-old lady becomes unwell during routine dental treatment in your general dental practice. What would you do? What is the cause?

History

Complaint
The patient is to have a crown preparation performed on her lower second molar and a very small amalgam placed in an upper premolar on the same side. You have given an infiltration of 1.0 ml lidocaine (lignocaine) 2% with adrenaline (epinephrine) 1:80 000 (12.5 μg/ml) and used a further 2-ml cartridge to give an inferior dental and lingual nerve block. Having finished injecting you turn away to prepare some instruments.

Almost immediately the patient says she feels ill. She is clearly apprehensive and is holding her chest complaining of palpitations.

Dental treatment history
The patient is in the middle of her first course of treatment for many years. She has been scared about visiting a dentist for some years. You have started a course of treatment and carried out several simple restorative procedures. You saw her only 2 days ago to insert several amalgams, using three cartridges of local anaesthetic. These restorations and one infiltration of 1.0 ml lidocaine (lignocaine) 2% with adrenaline (epinephrine) 1:80 000.

Medical history
The patient is apparently fit and well. The medical history records no allergies.

What would you do?

- Reassure the patient; encourage regular breathing
- Lie her supine or slightly head down
- Feel skin and take pulse
- Prepare oxygen in case it is needed.

This applies unless the patient were pregnant or obese, in which case lying flat on her side would be more appropriate.

One minute later the patient feels no improvement. What would you do?

- Take blood pressure and monitor pulse
- Check for pallor
- Check for rash or urticaria
- Wait and observe for dyspnoea while considering possible causes.

What causes would you consider?

The local anaesthetic appears to be the most likely cause of her symptoms because they started immediately after the inferior dental block. However, vasovagal attacks are very common and a medical problem unrelated to dentistry cannot be excluded. Thus, possible causes include:

- vasovagal attack
- adverse reaction to local anaesthetic
- hypersensitivity reaction
- myocardial infarct or anginal attack.

Is this a vasovagal attack? Explain why?

The features of a vasovagal attack are pallor, apprehension, restlessness, nausea, bradycardia, weak slow pulse and loss of consciousness (faint). The loss of consciousness may be immediate. In more severe attacks there may be clonic muscle contractions or rigidity as a result of cerebral hypoxia. None of these symptoms is seen in this patient. In addition, vasovagal attacks are usually caused by fear or anxiety and so may precede injection. Patients will usually be able to explain that they feel faint, either before or after the attack.

Is this myocardial infarct?

No. The symptoms and signs of myocardial infarct are crushing central chest pain, sometimes radiating to arm or neck, dyspnoea and possibly vomiting which may be followed by cardiac arrest. There is usually, though not always, a history of angina, coronary artery disease or hypertension.

Further information on cardiac arrest will be found in Case 13.

What are the unwanted effects of local anaesthesia with lidocaine (lignocaine) and adrenaline (epinephrine)? What are their causes and signs and symptoms?

See Table 37.1.

While these possibilities run through your mind, the patient remains conscious but nervous and agitated. She gradually calms and says that the palpitations are reducing. She takes a few breaths of oxygen but refuses more after a few minutes and says that she feels better. Her pulse is 105 beats/min and her blood pressure is 140/90.

Differential diagnosis

What is your differential diagnosis and why?

Intravascular injection is the most likely diagnosis, the patient’s symptoms being caused by the vasoconstrictor
### Table 37.1 Unwanted effects of local anaesthesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of reaction</th>
<th>Unwanted effect</th>
<th>Signs and symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>Neuralgic pain from needle penetrating nerve.</td>
<td>Electric shock pain on injection, sometimes followed by prolonged anaesthesia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vasomotor effect of intravascular injection of vasoconstrictor.</td>
<td>Tachycardia without hypertension, in overdose arrhythmias. Occasionally, skin blanching on face or neck in the event of arterial injection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facial paralysis from intraparotid injection.</td>
<td>Paralysis of one or more branches of facial nerve; may mimic Bell’s palsy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anaphylaxis due to hypersensitivity to anaesthetic solution.</td>
<td>Anaphylactic shock, local or systemic oedema, urticaria, asthma, hypotension, pulmonary oedema, tachycardia, breathlessness and circulatory collapse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drug interaction.</td>
<td>Though theoretically many are possible none is a practical possibility at normal dosage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central nervous system stimulation or depression caused by overdose of lidocaine (lignocaine).</td>
<td>Only seen in large overdose. Sometimes initial apprehension, excitability or confusion or muscle spasm followed by respiratory and cardiac depression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rare complications such as needle breakage or infection.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>Trismus or local trauma from injection, haematoma formation or damage to analgesic tissue caused by the patient.</td>
<td>Vary with effect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transmission of infection.</td>
<td>Vary with infection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Component of the local anaesthetic. The solution contains 1:80 000 adrenaline (epinephrine) which causes tachycardia felt by the patient as palpitations. Intravascular injection is most common after inferior dental blocks and posterior superior dental blocks because of the high vascularity of the injection site.

**Anxiety** can itself produce a significant level of adrenaline but levels rise more slowly and the patient would have to be very nervous, positively phobic, to generate endogenous adrenaline to the levels found in intravascular injection of local anaesthetic. This patient is nervous but has recently accepted routine dental treatment without problems. A vasovagal attack would be a much more likely effect of marked anxiety.

**Could the local anaesthetic given 2 days ago contribute to this reaction?**

No, the adrenaline (epinephrine) will have been rapidly removed into the circulation from the site of injection in spite of its intrinsic vasoconstrictor effect. Its action is then terminated quickly by reuptake into noradrenergic fibres and other cells and tissues. Metabolism takes place within these at various sites throughout the body by the action of the enzyme catechol-O-methyl transferase and to a much lesser degree by monoamine oxidase in the liver before undergoing renal excretion.

As far as the local anaesthetic component is concerned, the symptoms experienced by this patient are not typical of an overdose and, in any event, the half-life of lidocaine (lignocaine) is only of the order of 90 minutes. We can therefore safely rule out any question of this event being related to either the local anaesthetic or vasoconstrictor given at the previous appointment.

**Is an overdose possible? What are the maximum recommended doses of local anaesthetic solutions used in dentistry?**

Although theoretically possible, it is actually quite difficult to administer an overdose of local anaesthetic in dentistry. The nature of the cartridge syringe and needle system used means that doses can be accurately counted and monitored and the need to change a cartridge affords ‘thinking time’ for the operator. This is in contrast to the other areas of the body where large volumes of drug can be administered into body spaces more easily.

However, we cannot be complacent. In recent years the recommendations for maximum safe doses of local anaesthetics in the head and neck area have been reviewed. Because of the vascularity of the region and recognition that vasoconstrictors do not hold the drug in place for as long as was previously thought, the recommendations have been rationalized and maximum recommended doses reduced.

It is important to realize that advice based on a recommended number of cartridges or fixed dose does not take into account different formulations. Some cartridges contain only 1.8 ml solution whilst others contain 2.2 ml and therefore 22% more drug. Thus four cartridges of the larger volume will contain almost the same dose of local anaesthetic and vasoconstrictor as five of the 1.8 ml cartridges. The concentration of drug also varies from preparation to preparation. Importantly, patients come in different shapes and sizes and fixed dose recommendations are based on the safety limit for the elusive ‘fit 70-kg man’?

Another way to consider the safe total dose is to relate it to body weight and the amount in a dental local anaesthetic cartridge (which varies between drugs).

Thus, 2% lidocaine in a 2.2 ml cartridge is equivalent to 44 mg of drug. With a maximum safe dose of 4.4 mg/kg, a single cartridge could be administered for every 10 kg of body weight. A 70 kg male would have a maximum safe dose of 7 cartridges and a 20 kg child a maximum safe dose of 2 cartridges.

Current recommendations are expressed in the form of the maximum safe dose per body weight given over a period of treatment of 1 hour. Thus the maximum recommended doses are as shown in Table 37.2.

These limits apply to all preparations of local anaesthetic irrespective of the presence of or type of vasoconstrictor. It is no longer considered that larger doses can be given in one treatment session if the preparation contains vasoconstrictor.
Table 37.2 Maximum recommended doses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Maximum dose</th>
<th>Equivalent cartridges for a 70 kg male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lidocaine (lignocaine) and mepivacaine</td>
<td>4.4 mg/kg</td>
<td>For 2% solutions about eight 1.8-ml or six-and-a-half 2.2-ml cartridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For 3% mepivacaine five-and-a-half 1.8-ml or four-and-a-half 2.2-ml cartridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prilocaine</td>
<td>6 mg/kg</td>
<td>For 3% prilocaine about seven 1.8-ml or six 2.2-ml cartridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For 4% prilocaine about five-and-a-half 1.8-ml and four-and-a-half 2.2-ml cartridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Articaine</td>
<td>7 mg/kg</td>
<td>For 4% articaine about six-and-a-half 1.7-ml and five-and-a-half 2.2-ml cartridges</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Immediately after calming down, the patient claims that she must be allergic to the local anaesthetic. Is this possible?**

It is possible but is excessively rare. Only a handful of cases of genuine lidocaine (lignocaine) hypersensitivity are recorded. A minority of older patients give a convincing history of local anaesthetic allergy, in some cases backed up by hospital investigations. This is because older preparations contained preservatives such as benzaldehydes which hypersensitivity was possible. The worst offending preservatives are no longer used, though very occasionally a reaction to sodium metabisulphite preservative is recorded. Patients can be tested for hypersensitivity to anaesthetic agents but this is only worthwhile when a typical allergic reaction is suspected.

Hypersensitivity is unlikely to follow repeated administration of lidocaine (lignocaine) for dental anaesthesia. A much more potent cause is repeated application of lidocaine (lignocaine) creams to the skin. Local anaesthetic pastes and solutions should be handled with care; the dentist is more at risk than the patient.

**Would a switch to prilocaine with felypressin in future be prudent?**

No, lidocaine (lignocaine) with adrenaline (epinephrine) has been used successfully in the past for this patient and there is no evidence of an idiosyncratic or allergic response to the preparation itself. Prilocaine produces a shorter period of analgesia and the patient should not suffer suboptimal pain relief because of the remote possibility of another intravascular injection. There is no evidence that prilocaine, with or without felypressin, is safer.

Such a switch would reinforce the patient's perception that she is allergic to local anaesthetic. A spurious history of allergy might compromise the patient's general health. Lidocaine (lignocaine) is used in the emergency treatment of myocardial infarct and in many other medical situations.

**How can the risk of intravascular injection be minimized?**

Good injection technique is the key to reducing the risk of intravascular injection because it ensures that the minimum amount of anaesthetic solution is used. The solution should be injected slowly, reducing the risk of a bolus injection into a vessel. An aspirating technique should always be used even though it does not always guarantee success; the narrow needle diameters used in dentistry aspirate relatively poorly.

It is impossible to completely avoid the tip of the needle entering a vessel. Indeed, in some very vascular areas penetration of a vessel is not the cause because the solution can be absorbed into the blood almost as rapidly as it can be injected. Nothing can guarantee the prevention of intravascular injection.

**Another possibility**

**If the patient had had a genuine anaphylaxis, what causative agents would you consider?**

Anaphylaxis to other agents is considerably commoner than hypersensitivity to local anaesthetics. A number of other agents in the dental surgery should be suspected before the local anaesthetic.

Latex hypersensitivity is increasing in prevalence and is commoner in atopic patients and those who have come into contact with latex repeatedly, such as health care workers, those with spina bifida or those who are subjected to multiple surgical procedures. Rubber dam, gloves and even traces of rubber from local anaesthetic cartridges or drug vials can trigger reactions. Other less obvious items in the dental surgery which may contain latex are face masks with elastic components, amalgam carriers, plastic syringes, aspirator tubes, orthodontic elastics and emergency equipment such as ventilating bags and pharyngomonometer cuffs. These usually cause type 1 reactions such as urticaria, asthma or anaphylactic shock. Glove powder is a particularly potent method of disseminating latex allergen into the atmosphere and powdered gloves should no longer be used to reduce the risk of allergy developing among the dental team.

In addition to latex, staff and more rarely patients may develop hypersensitivity to acrylics, composite resins, dentine bonding agents, eugenol, cleaning and disinfection solutions and metal alloys. Almost all materials may be allergenic to some individuals. The worst offending agents usually have their formulations changed and the most notorious examples, particularly some synthetic impression materials and self-curing acrylics are no longer available in their original form. It is worth remembering that many of these agents are irritant as well as allergenic and rashes may not be true hypersensitivity reactions.
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SUMMARY
A 56-year-old man has severe periodontitis. Diagnose and plan treatment for his condition.

History

Complaint
The patient complains of loose back teeth, particularly the last tooth on the lower right.

History of complaint
He has recently moved to your area and has been a regular dental attender. His previous dental practitioner diagnosed periodontal disease several years ago and organized repeated courses of oral hygiene instruction and scaling. Despite this, several teeth are loose though he has suffered no pain.

Three years ago the remaining upper right molar teeth became very loose and were extracted when abscesses developed. Subsequently two implant fixtures were placed because he could not tolerate partial dentures. An implant-retained bridge was planned but the implants remain unused.

Medical history
The patient is fit and well and no illness is revealed by his medical history questionnaire.

What questions will you ask the patient? Explain why.
Ask about his tooth cleaning regime, because it is clearly failing. The patient tells you that he cleans his teeth three times a day using a modified Bass technique and changes his toothbrush at monthly intervals. He uses floss on his anterior teeth every day and occasionally on his molars and premolars where access is difficult.

Whether he smokes. Smoking is a risk factor for periodontitis.

Whether he is diabetic or has any other susceptibility to infection. This is relatively severe periodontitis and there is a history of multiple abscesses. These features do not necessarily indicate an underlying condition but it would be worthwhile to exclude diabetes. Other features which might suggest diabetes are a period of rapid tooth destruction in middle age, suggesting late-onset diabetes.

Examination

Extraoral examination
No cervical lymphadenopathy is present. The temporomandibular joint and mandibular movements appear normal.

Intraoral examination
The mucosa and soft tissues of the mouth are normal. The teeth present are:

```
4321 1234 78
564321 1234567
```

Most molars contain small- to medium-sized amalgam restorations. No caries is detected.

The patient's oral hygiene is fair but the lower second molar teeth and upper left molars are mobile. The plaque control around the anterior teeth is good with minimal deposits of plaque or calculus. There is bleeding on probing around most posterior teeth and increased probing depths of 7–8 mm around the molars. No recession is present.

How will you assess the patient's periodontal health and oral hygiene?
They will be assessed by a combination of measurements and indices.

The measurements are:
- recession
- probing depths
- attachment loss.

Recession and probing depth measurements are made at six points around the circumference of a tooth: mesially, at the midpoint and distally on the buccal and palatal surfaces. The distance from the cementoenamel junction to the gingival margin records the amount of recession. Probing depths are measured from the gingival margin to the base of the periodontal pocket. The sum of recession and probing depth gives the length of attachment loss.

The indices are described in Table 38.1.

The results of these examinations are shown in Figure 38.1. The lower right second molar is mobile to grade 3. All other molars are mobile to grade 2 and there is bleeding on probing from most pockets. The anterior teeth have only 2–3 mm probing depths and no bleeding on probing or gross attachment loss.

Investigations

What further examinations or investigations would you perform?
Vitality tests are indicated for all teeth with marked attachment loss or furcation involvement. This would include all molars.
Table 38.1 Indices of oral health and periodontal hygiene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral hygiene</th>
<th>Index score</th>
<th>Significance of score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Degree 0</td>
<td>No plaque or debris</td>
<td>Reflection of effectiveness of cleaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree 1</td>
<td>Looks clean but material can be removed from the gingival third with a probe.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree 2</td>
<td>Visible plaque</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree 3</td>
<td>Tooth surface covered with abundant plaque.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bleeding on probing</th>
<th>Index score</th>
<th>Significance of score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Degree 0</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Healthy or inactive disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree 1</td>
<td>Bleeding</td>
<td>Active disease. N.B. in smokers bleeding may be less than expected for the disease activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth mobility</th>
<th>Degree 1</th>
<th>Movement of the crown of the tooth between 0.2–1 mm in a horizontal direction.</th>
<th>Minor movement, possibly physiological. If periodontal disease present, treat conservatively.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Degree 2</td>
<td>Movement of the crown of the tooth exceeding 1 mm in a horizontal direction.</td>
<td>Caused by loss of attachment. The degree of mobility depends on remaining periodontal support and the shape of the roots. Conical roots on molars are more likely to develop mobility than divergent roots on teeth with a similar degree of attachment loss.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree 3</td>
<td>Movement of the crown of the tooth in a vertical and horizontal direction.</td>
<td>Indicates bone loss below the apex and little or no bony support. Usually indicates a need for extraction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Furcation involvement</th>
<th>Degree 1</th>
<th>Horizontal loss of supporting tissues not exceeding 1/3 of the width of the tooth.</th>
<th>Early furcation involvement, can be treated conservatively; predisposes to further and more rapid attachment loss if untreated.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Degree 2</td>
<td>Horizontal loss of supporting tissue exceeding 1/3 but not a ‘through and through’ lesion</td>
<td>Much more difficult to keep clean. Unlikely to respond to conservative treatment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree 3</td>
<td>‘A through and through’ lesion</td>
<td>May be easier to clean depending on soft tissue contour. The prognosis for the tooth would depend upon the remaining amount of periodontal attachment and the length and shape of the roots. Indicates susceptibility to furcation caries and risk of loss of vitality.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 38.1 The probing depths (in mm) at six points, bleeding sites (ringed) and mobility (grade), recorded for each tooth. No recession is present.

**What radiographs would you take? Why?**

See Table 38.2.

**The dental panoramic radiograph is shown in Figure 38.2. What do you see?**

The panoramic film is of poor quality. The patient’s head was not correctly positioned and this has produced a number of distortions. The lower border of the mandible appears bowed down and the lower anterior teeth are foreshortened. Spinal shadows are also accentuated by poor positioning and the teeth in the midline appear out of focus because of superimposition. The head was also twisted, enlarging one side of the film. This can be seen most easily by looking at the molar crowns which are wider on the right than on the left. The patient’s postoperative film in Figure 38.3 (p. 179) shows what the film should have looked like.

The radiograph shows extensive bone loss around the lower right and left second molars. The lower left second molar has bone loss and caries in the furcation. Furcation involvement was also evident on both lower first molars which were not as mobile.

**Where are the implants? How might you localize them more accurately?**

The panoramic view is insufficient to localize the implants accurately. They appear in focus but the focal trough in the molar region is quite wide so that this gives no clue as to their buccolingual position. Their position and angulation will be critical in determining whether they can be used to support restorations.

If the end of the implant cannot be identified in the mouth, the implants could only be accurately localized using a tomographic technique, either CT scanning or multidirectional tomography. Conventional CT scanning is expensive and requires special software to prevent ‘star artefact’ shadows on the film. Multidirectional (spiral or
Epicyclic) tomography performed in machines such as the Scanora or Tomax produces cross-sectional images of any part of the jaws much more easily and this or cone beam CT would be the best method. If the implants were misplaced, the film might be taken with radiopaque markers on the ridge to aid localization. Alternatively it could be assumed that the implants are in an appropriate position and a flap could be raised.

- **Are any other investigations necessary?**

Yes, a urine glucose test to exclude diabetes would be prudent. This was negative.

### Diagnosis

- **What is your diagnosis?**

Chronic adult periodontitis, at a relatively advanced stage with considerable bone destruction around the molar teeth. There is grade 3 involvement of furcations on all lower molars and grade 2 involvement of the upper molars.

- **This patient has problems with furcation involvement. What are the possible sequelae?**

- Devitalization of the tooth
- Further and more rapid periodontal destruction
- Periodontal abscess
- Root caries.

**This patient has already had courses of periodontal treatment. Why have they failed?**

Conservative periodontal treatment comprising oral hygiene instruction and scaling/root planing has been effective anteriorly. Posteriorly, where there are much deeper pockets and inaccessible furcations, further intervention is required to halt progression. Oral hygiene must improve around the posterior teeth, particularly interdental cleaning. The patient is flossing too infrequently and in any case wide interdental spaces are more effectively cleaned with tape, brush floss or miniature bottle brushes.

### Treatment

There is a need for immediate extraction of those teeth with a hopeless prognosis. A further period of improved cleaning and assessment is required before a definitive treatment plan can be made. There is root caries in one furcation and a caries prevention regime is also required.

- **Which teeth would you extract immediately?**

Both lower second molars require extraction. The right molar has no bone support and is almost certainly nonvital. Bone...
loss around the mesial root is compromising the first molar. The left molar is less severely involved but has extensive caries in the furcation which renders the tooth unrestorable.

All the remaining molars have a poor prognosis and extraction must be considered. The upper molars have furcation involvement and the fused roots will make conservative treatment difficult. The lower first molars also have a poor prognosis and may require extraction later. However, all these molars are grade 2 mobile at maximum and are in occlusion and functional. They could be preserved in the short or medium term. The patient has shown some ability to control his disease around the anterior teeth and a definitive decision on extraction could be delayed until an attempt has been made to stabilize the periodontitis. If these extractions are carried out, the patient will either have to accept a premolar-to-premolar occlusion or a prosthesis.

The patient did not wish to lose more teeth than absolutely necessary and accepted a plan to retain a completely natural dentition for as long as possible. The remaining molars will be retained for the time being. There is a risk of excessive bone loss if abscesses develop or disease progresses. Close follow up will be required.

**In the long term, what are the broad treatment options?**

The broad options are presented in Table 38.3.

**What is the appropriate solution for this patient?**

This will depend largely on the patient, who has expressed a wish to retain a natural dentition for as long as possible making plans C and D preferable. Some recommendations can be made:

- The implants should be retained for use later. These have been placed at some expense and provide an insurance policy for the future. If a molar occlusion can be retained, they are not required in the short term.
- A premolar-to-premolar occlusion provides acceptable function, though the appearance may be unacceptable depending on the visibility of the molar spaces.
- The upper molars provide useful occlusion and, though compromised, will probably last many years with conservative treatment. If the upper molars are to be retained, the lower first molar should be preserved if at all possible.
- Preservation of the lower right first molar would provide occlusion against the upper second premolar and possibly against an implant-supported prosthesis in the longer term. However, complex treatment may be required and saving it might be regarded as a less essential element of treatment. The main reason for preserving it is that all the left molars may eventually be lost and it opposes the implants.
- Conservative treatment will take a long time and its success will depend primarily on the patient’s ability to clean the teeth.

---

**What are the treatment options for the lower first molars? Under what circumstances are these possible and practical?**

See Table 38.4.

The patient quickly develops a more effective cleaning regime and root planing is performed. Over a period of 3 months the bleeding is eliminated and the mobility of all posterior teeth improves. There is still slight bleeding from the furcations of the lower first molars but the gingival condition is good. Conservative management appears to have been successful and more complex treatment options can be considered.

The following treatment is provided and the results are shown in Figure 38.3. Root resection is performed on the lower left molar and hemisection on the right. This eliminates the furcation and enables cleaning. The inflammation around the roots resolves.

**Do the hemisection or root-resected teeth require restoration?**

Ideally, yes. The large area of exposed dentine and risk of fracture of the overhanging crown after root resection really

---

Fig. 38.3 Dental panoramic radiograph showing the result of treatment.
Plan E. Investigation of the first molar teeth.

Conservative management with surgical treatment with an implant-supported bridge.

Plan F. Extract molars. Leave the implants buried, accepting the existing premolar-to-gum molars occlusion.

Plan G. Extract molars. Maintain the edentulous space on the left side and replace the missing teeth on the right with an implant-supported bridge.

Table 38.4 Treatment options for the lower first molars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Indications and contraindications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eliminate tooth</td>
<td>Extraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retain furcation</td>
<td>Root planing alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Root planing with surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tunnel preapically repositioned flaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliminate furcation</td>
<td>Hemisection or root resection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 38.3 Broad treatment options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment plan</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower arch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan A. Extract all lower molar teeth</td>
<td>Simple approach with minimal need to treat the periodontal disease.</td>
<td>Transition to artificial dentition will be difficult especially with free end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and either leave or replace with an acrylic or cobalt–chrome based partial denture.</td>
<td></td>
<td>saddles in the mandible. Initially, acrylic dentures would be indicated in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>both jaws which may predispose to further deterioration of the periodontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tissues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan B. Extraction of all lower molar</td>
<td>The prognosis of the implants would be good and would</td>
<td>This is a costly procedure but probably the most effective way to retain a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>teeth, provisional denture, and</td>
<td>eliminate the need for dentures.</td>
<td>molar occlusion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>replacement of the teeth with implant-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>supported fixed or removable prosthesis.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan C. Conservative management with</td>
<td>The only choice if the teeth are to be kept. The first molars are usually</td>
<td>There is a cost implication of surgery and follow up treatment, and the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surgical investigation of the first</td>
<td>relatively easily cleaned and the root morphology makes preservation possible.</td>
<td>procedure is uncomfortable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molars.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upper arch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment plan</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plan D. Retain the upper left molar and</td>
<td>Retains natural dentition, inexpensive.</td>
<td>Accepting teeth with a poor prognosis means that dentures or bridges must</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treat conservatively. Leave the implants</td>
<td>Retains natural occlusion for lower first molar. If these teeth can be</td>
<td>be designed with their future loss in mind.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buried for future use.</td>
<td>retained, no prosthesis will be needed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan E. Extract molars. Leave the</td>
<td>A simple and inexpensive procedure.</td>
<td>The implants would not be used. The discomfort and expense associated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implants buried, accepting the existing</td>
<td></td>
<td>with their placement would be needless.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>premolar-to-gum molars occlusion.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan F. Extract molars. Use the implants</td>
<td>The restoration would be relatively simple and have good retention and</td>
<td>An expensive route because of the need for precision attachments within the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to retain a removable partial denture</td>
<td>stability.</td>
<td>denture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>replacing all the missing teeth.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan G. Extract molars. Maintain the</td>
<td>The implants would be used to retain a bridge. Eating would be easier and</td>
<td>The loss of teeth on the contralateral side may become a problem. The</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edentulous space on the left side and</td>
<td>teeth provided on one side.</td>
<td>position of the implants may mean that a bridge is not possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>replace the missing teeth on the right</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with an implant-supported bridge.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 38.4 Treatment options for the lower first molars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eliminate tooth Extraction Very mobile; poor oral hygiene or compliance; patient wishes; canes in furcation or elsewhere rendering tooth unrestorable; insufficient bone support on either root to conserve. This option has already been discussed and rejected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retain furcation Root planing alone Effective only for grade 1 involvement. Inappropriate in this case where furcations cannot be debrided without raising a flap. Still difficult; possible for lower first molars only. The chances of success are improved if the furcation contour is changed (‘Europlasty’).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root planing with surgery Opgin furcation for cleaning but also risks canes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunnel preapically repositioned flaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliminate furcation Hemisection or root resection Difficult procedure; expensive; hemisection tooth loses contact on one side; full coverage restoration may be required. Only suitable for teeth with bone far enough below the furcation to allow surgical access.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Demand full coverage restorations. However, complex and expensive treatment is often avoided because hemisected and root-resected teeth are compromised. Hemisected or root-resected teeth which have proved themselves stable over a period of months or years are best restored. In this case the hemisected molar root was linked to the premolar with a fixed movable bridge. Care must be taken that the design of the bridge does not overload the periodontal support of the root. Both teeth remain in function and are excellent semipermanent solutions to this patient’s problem. Restoration, root treatment and surgery add up to a huge investment in time and money spent on one very compromised tooth root. If a definite need for a bridge or denture had been identified at the outset, an implant would have provided the support required at lower cost and with a better long-term prognosis.

How do you assess the potential usefulness of the implants?

The position of the implants is not favourable. Even on the panoramic view it can be seen that the fixtures are not parallel, making them unsuitable for a fixed prosthesis. The fixtures are small, of different types and partially integrated. The mesial implant would appear to have less bone supporting it, and it is unclear whether it could support significant occlusal load.
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Case 39

Fractured incisors

SUMMARY
A 38-year-old man presents to you in your local hospital accident and emergency department. He has fractured his front teeth. You must manage the injury and outline a treatment plan for restoration.

Medical history
Prior to the accident the patient was fit and healthy, with only allergy to penicillins and erythromycin noted on his medical history questionnaire.

Examination

Extraoral examination

- How will you assess the possibility of a mandibular fracture?
  
  Fracture is suggested by:
  - pain, swelling and tenderness at the fracture site
  - bleeding, bruising or haematoma at fracture site
  - displacement, step deformity
  - change in occlusion
  - mobility of fragments or of teeth
  - difficulty opening the mouth or in lateral excursion
  - paraesthesia or anaesthesia in the distribution of nerves involved in the fracture.

- How will you assess the possibility of a fracture of the zygomatic arch or facial skeleton?
  
  In addition to the features noted above, fracture at these sites may produce:
  - facial asymmetry and flattening of facial contour (may be masked by swelling for a few days)
  - step deformity along infraorbital margin
  - anaesthesia or paraesthesia of cheek, nose, upper lip and teeth
  - unilateral epistaxis
  - subconjunctival haemorrhage with no definable posterior limit
  - restricted eye movements and diplopia.

On extraoral examination you cannot identify a mandibular or facial fracture. The lower lip is swollen and lacerated to the left of the midline. There is no restriction or pain on opening, nor swelling associated with the temporomandibular joint.

Intraoral examination

- The anterior teeth are shown in Figure 39.1. What do you see?
  
  The swollen lower lip is just visible. The upper right lateral and both central incisors have been fractured. The upper left lateral incisor appears to be missing. The upper left canine is not fractured but has caries buccally and is mesially inclined. This inclination could predate the injury, in which case the lateral incisor may have been buccally positioned, or it could be a result of injury.

  The oral hygiene is poor. This does not appear to be a result of injury because there are large accumulations of plaque,
staining of the teeth, inflammation of the gingiva and caries buccally on teeth in the upper arch.

What investigations would you ensure had been carried out at the patient's first attendance? Explain why.

A posterior–anterior radiograph of the chest should have been taken to determine whether the lost tooth or any fragments were inhaled.

A soft tissue radiograph of the lower lip in the region of the laceration should have been taken before suturing. This would exclude the possibility that small tooth fragments have been embedded in the lip. Two views at right angles would be required to localize small fragments and surgical removal can be facilitated if radiopaque markers are taped to the skin before radiography. These markers may be used to localize the fragment at removal. Larger fragments of tooth in the lip are usually obvious on examination and debridement of the wound. Such fragments may cause infection or become embedded in scar tissue, sometimes deforming the lip.

Facial radiographs should have been taken to assess the possibility of an undisplaced facial fracture of the maxilla, zygoma or mandible. A suitable selection of films for this purpose would be a 10° and 30° ocipitomental view, posterior–anterior jaws and a dental panoramic radiograph. These films may have been overlooked at first examination if the fractured teeth were not recognized or if casualty staff concentrated on excluding more serious injuries. It is obviously undesirable for the patient to undergo radiography again.

You should ask the patient whether he has the lost tooth or any tooth fragments. These can be matched to the fractures to see whether other pieces are missing and to see whether a root fragment remains in the upper left lateral incisor socket.

What features of the anterior teeth are important at examination? How would you examine them?

Mobility of the teeth. The teeth should be tested for mobility in a buccopalatal direction, using a hard instrument, such as a mirror handle, and not the fingers which are too soft to detect small increases in movement. The degree of movement, if any, and the position of the fulcrum of movement should be noted. All upper and lower anterior teeth should be checked. In this case, all three fractured incisor teeth were mobile 1–2 mm, apparently about a fulcrum close to their apices. When the upper left central incisor was assessed for mobility, all three incisors moved together and caused pain.

The occlusion should be assessed to determine whether all teeth make contact in a stable intercuspal occlusion and that no pain is elicited on closing or in excursive movements. The patient noted no pain on closing into an intercuspal position, but in protrusion and lateral excursion he reported severe pain in the upper anterior region.

The degree of fracture of the teeth should be assessed by inspection. Though it is not visible in Figure 39.1, the pulps of all three fractured teeth were involved in the fracture line (class III fracture). The pulpal exposures were relatively large.

Percussion sounds of the teeth to detect any tenderness and to assess the sound. The percussion sounds of the incisors were dull compared with the upper right canine and premolars, and that of the upper left canine was higher pitched. All upper and lower anterior teeth should be checked.

Test the vitality of the anterior teeth. As for mobility and percussion, all upper and lower anterior teeth should be checked. Following trauma there may be a period of apparent loss of vitality on testing with hot and cold stimuli or electric pulp tester (conclusion). Nevertheless, the readings serve as a baseline against which subsequent tests may be compared. None of the incisors nor the upper left canine gave a positive response to ethyl chloride or electric pulp tester.

How do you interpret these findings?

The mobility of the incisors about a point close to their apex suggests luxation injury rather than root fracture, in which the fulcrum of movement is more coronally placed. This finding is consistent with the coronal fractures as, unless injury is severe, crown fracture is not usually accompanied by root fracture because the energy of the blow is absorbed by the crown. The fractured teeth have exposed pulps that will require extirpation regardless of vitality. The remaining teeth are probably concussion but this can only be diagnosed retrospectively as they start to respond to testing. The dull percussion sounds indicate a widened periodontal space, probably through oedema.

The mobility of the incisors as a group suggests an alveolar fracture. This is not displaced as the intercuspal position is painless and apparently normal. However, in lateral excursion and protrusion the patient felt pain as he occluded on the incisor crowns and moved the fragment. An alveolar fracture would also account for the dull percussion sounds (though periodontal ligament oedema could also cause it). The mesial inclination and high percussion sound of the left canine could indicate a lateral luxation injury or intrusion with impaction of the root in bone.

Investigations

What investigations would you perform?

Intraoral radiographs are required and should include an upper standard occlusal and periapical radiographs of all the upper and lower anterior teeth. The occlusal view and periapicals of the upper teeth are shown in Figure 39.2.

What do the radiographs show?

The occlusal radiograph shows that the upper left lateral incisor is fractured and intruded rather than avulsed. There is a curved alveolar fracture line running across the premaxilla, extending from the upper right to upper left lateral incisor. It is most obvious where it crosses the roots of the central incisors just below their apices. No root fractures are evident. The upper left canine has suffered a lateral luxation injury; the outline of the original socket can be seen most clearly on the mesial side of the apex. There is also caries distally in the canine.
What emergency treatment would you provide?

The fractured alveolar process must be immobilized to alleviate pain and promote healing, and this is most easily achieved with an orthodontic wire and composite splint. The splint used in this case is shown in Figure 39.3. No bony displacement was present and so no reduction was required. The laterally luxated upper left canine requires repositioning before splinting either by manual manipulation or surgically. Manual manipulation was not possible and forceps were used to move the root past a bony obstruction and back into its correct position.

If it is accessible without disturbing the fracture, the upper left lateral incisor root should be surgically extracted as soon as possible.

The upper left canine has a closed apex and either the luxation injury itself or the surgical repositioning will almost certainly cause loss of pulp vitality. Therefore, the pulp must be extirpated from this tooth as soon as possible and a calcium hydroxide dressing placed. Calcium hydroxide has the potential to reduce the risk of root resorption. In addition, the pulps must also be removed from the fractured incisors. These also have closed apices, the pulps have been exposed to infection for 24 hours and the exposures are large. In addition, infection in the fracture line must be avoided. Again, the root canals can be dressed with calcium hydroxide paste and the teeth restored temporarily with composite, carefully checking the occlusion so as not to precipitate further trauma.

A chlorhexidine mouthwash should be prescribed for use until the tissues have healed sufficiently to allow oral hygiene.
procedures. There is no indication to prescribe antibiotics unless the lip wound was contaminated.

- **How long should the splint remain in place? What should be done in this period?**

The composite splint should remain in place for about 4 weeks. This splinting period should be adequate for both healing of the alveolar fracture and stabilization of the luxation injury. Some authorities suggest that lateral luxation injuries should be splinted for slightly longer. This can be achieved by selectively separating individual teeth from the splint with a burh. The canine should not be left unspinted if there is mobility or pain. It is desirable to remove the teeth from splinting as soon as is practicable because it is difficult to isolate them with a rubber dam for root filling. Permanent endodontics must wait until the splint is removed. If still in place, the upper left lateral incisor root may now be surgically extracted without disturbing the fracture and replaced with a prosthesis for 6 months to allow alveolar bone remodelling.

Throughout this period, oral hygiene instruction and dietary advice should be given. Success of treatment during this period will determine the long-term options for restoration.

- **What types of temporary replacement would you consider for the upper lateral incisor? What are their advantages and disadvantages?**

  See Table 39.1.

In this case a temporary fixed–fixed conventional bridge was chosen, and this is usually the restoration of choice when several teeth are badly fractured. The upper right central and lateral incisor were also crowned, primarily because of the better appearance, although composite res-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of prosthesis</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partial acrylic denture of Every type</td>
<td>Minimal gingival coverage, easy to make and cheap. Does not interfere with orthograde root fillings of adjacent teeth.</td>
<td>Patients dislike removable prostheses and they may be difficult for some patients to tolerate. Will require relining following alveolar remodelling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite or denture tooth bonded to adjacent teeth with composite</td>
<td>Fixed replacement with no gingival coverage. No laboratory stage; simple chamfer technique. Allows orthograde root filling of adjacent teeth.</td>
<td>Bond may fail because enamel had already been bonded for the etch-retained splint. Difficult to mask space formed beneath pontic following resorption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochette-type adhesive bridge</td>
<td>Fixed replacement with no gingival coverage. Simple cantilever design possible, easily removed by dentist for permanent restoration.</td>
<td>Thickness of wing required may conflict with deep overbite causing occlusal trauma in already compromised teeth. Bond may fail because enamel has already been bonded for the etch-retained splint. Difficult to mask space formed beneath pontic following resorption. Orthograde root filling of abutment tooth not possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesive bridge cemented with an adhesive cement (e.g. Panavia 21)</td>
<td>Fixed replacement with no gingival coverage. Simple cantilever design possible. Metal wing thinner than Rochette.</td>
<td>Bond may fail because enamel has already been bonded for the etch-retained splint. Difficult to mask space formed beneath pontic following resorption. More difficult to remove, may require ultrasonics. Orthograde root filling of abutment tooth not possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat-cured acrylic conventional type bridge</td>
<td>Fixed replacement avoiding gingival margins of teeth other than the abutments. Greater range of designs possible including simple cantilever or fixed – fixed design. Best appearance and restores the coronal fractures of abutment tooth/teeth.</td>
<td>Difficult to mask space formed beneath pontic following resorption but bridge is easier to remove and modify with composite and replace. Requires tooth preparation and commits patient to a permanent conventional bridge. More destructive than adhesive designs though teeth are already badly fractured. Slightly more difficult to isolate with rubber dam for orthograde root filling of abutment teeth; bridge might need to be removed for treatment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 39.1 Types of temporary replacement to be considered**

![Fig. 39.4](image) The final restorations in place.

**Fig. 39.4** The final restorations in place.

torations would have been possible and might have been preferred if the oral hygiene had not improved markedly. The appearance of the final restorations is shown in Figure 39.4.

- **What complications of the injury require follow up?**

  In the short to medium term the other anterior teeth should be monitored for late loss of vitality. The main long-term problem is resorption, either of inflammatory type (following unsuccessful root treatment or persistent inflammation on lateral canals) or replacement resorption (without inflammation) which can lead to ankylosis. These processes start on the outer surfaces of root-filled teeth and must be excluded by occasional radiographs. The risk of resorption can be reduced by removing the splinted teeth as soon as possible to encourage early physiological tooth movement. Further features of resorption are covered in Cases 17 and 26.
SUMMARY
A 23-year-old student is referred to you for removal of her wisdom teeth. She is very anxious at the prospect of minor oral surgery and has been told that you specialize in treatment under general anaesthesia. Assess the treatment options and their suitability for this case.

History

Complaint
She has no complaint at present.

History of complaint
The patient has had several episodes of pain, swelling and bad taste related to both lower wisdom teeth during the last year. Her general dental practitioner has diagnosed pericoronitis and prescribed local treatments, but the episodes are increasing in frequency and severity and the last required systemic antibiotics.

Medical history
The patient has moderately well controlled epilepsy and suffered her last fit approximately 4 months ago. She is treated with phenytoin 300 mg daily. She also reports allergy to penicillin and co-trimoxazole (Septrin), both of which have caused rashes.

Dental history
The patient has had only a few restorations placed since the age of 10 years. Her general dental practitioner has provided intensive preventive treatment because she is so nervous. She can tolerate regular check-ups but has required no active treatment for many years. Her last amalgam restoration had to be abandoned on two occasions because of acute anxiety and fainting.

Investigation and diagnosis

The patient has had episodes of pericoronitis and requires extraction of at least her lower third molars. Further details of the indications for removal of lower third molars and their radiographic and clinical assessment are found in Case 25.

The patient has mesioangularly impacted but relatively superficial third molars and you assess them as being relatively easy surgical extractions that will require minor bone removal but not tooth sectioning.

Anxiety management

What options are available for controlling patients’ anxiety? What are their advantages and disadvantages?
See Table 40.1.

After discussing the options the patient elects to have her extractions performed under intravenous sedation.

What constraints are placed on the use of general anaesthesia for dentistry?

The current General Dental Council guidance on the use of general anaesthesia is contained in the Council’s document Standards for Dental Professionals (June 2005). Failure to comply would render a dentist liable to a charge of serious misconduct.

The guidance states that:

- Dental treatment under general anaesthesia should:
  - only be carried out when it is judged to be the most clinically appropriate method of anaesthesia; and
  - only take place in a hospital setting (see the note below) that has critical-care facilities.

- General anaesthesia may only be given by someone who is:
  - on the specialist register of the General Medical Council as an anaesthetist;
  - a trainee working under supervision as part of a Royal College of Anaesthetists’ approved training programme; or
  - a non-consultant career-grade anaesthetist with an NHS appointment under the supervision of a named consultant anaesthetist, who must be a member of the same NHS anaesthetic department where the non-consultant career-grade anaesthetist is employed.

- The anaesthetist should be supported by someone who is specifically trained and experienced in the necessary skills to help monitor the patient’s condition and to help in any emergency.

- The General Dental Council support the recommendations set out in the Department of Health (England) publication ‘A Conscious Decision – a review of the use of general anaesthesia and conscious sedation in primary dental care’ (July 2000) and associated letters of advice from Chief Dental Officers in England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales.

- We also support the guidance set out in ‘Conscious Sedation in the provision of dental care’ (November 2003), a Standing Dental Advisory Committee report of an expert group on sedation for dentistry, which the Department of Health asked for. We expect dental professionals to follow this guidance.

- The publication ‘A Conscious Decision’ defines ‘Hospital setting’ as ‘any institution for the reception and treatment of persons suffering illness or any injury or disability requiring medical or dental treatment, which has critical care facilities on the same site and includes clinics and outpatient departments maintained in connection with any such institution.’
The choice of anaesthesia for extraction of third molars is discussed in Case 25.

The patient had originally wanted to be completely unconscious for her dental treatment. Following discussion about the risks and alternative options, she decided to try sedation.

- **Is epilepsy a contraindication to the use of sedation?**

No. Benzodiazepines (e.g. midazolam) are the drugs of choice and have anti-convulsant properties. In any case, this patient’s epilepsy is well controlled. An epileptic fit under sedation is most unlikely.

- **How would you assess the patient’s fitness for intravenous sedation?**

The American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) has a classification which is useful when assessing fitness for sedation or general anaesthesia:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASA group</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASA I</td>
<td>Normal healthy patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA II</td>
<td>Patient with mild systemic disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA III</td>
<td>Patient with severe disease that is limiting but not incapacitating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA IV</td>
<td>Patient with incapacitating disease that is a constant threat to life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA V</td>
<td>Patient not expected to live more than 24 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Which groups would normally be considered suitable for treatment in an outpatient setting?**

ASA groups I and II.

- **Does this mean that ASA group III-IV patients should never be treated under sedation?**

No. Many ASA group III and IV patients may benefit from sedation because it reduces the patient’s anxiety and, as a result, their endogenous catecholamine secretion. However, such patients should be treated in a hospital or specialist centre.

- **What medical investigation would you perform?**

The systemic arterial blood pressure must be checked. ‘Normal’ blood pressure is 120/80 mmHg but small variations are common and the systolic blood pressure is often raised in anxious subjects. Hypertension which is well controlled is not a contraindication to sedation. However, patients with a diastolic blood pressure that is consistently above 110 mmHg should be investigated before sedation is given.

When you take the patient’s blood pressure it is 140/90, consistent with her anxious demeanour.

- **Is this patient suitable for treatment under local anaesthetic with intravenous sedation?**

Intravenous sedation would appear to be an ideal adjunct to local anaesthetic. The patient has practically no experience of dental procedures, has a history of failed treatment under local anaesthetic alone and is anxious about the extractions.

### Treatment

- **What is the drug of choice for intravenous sedation?**

Midazolam is a benzodiazepine well suited to dental sedation. It is soluble in water and presented in a 2-ml ampoule in a concentration of 5 mg/ml or in a 5-ml ampoule in a concentration of 2 mg/ml. Both presentations contain the same quantity of midazolam but the 5-ml (2 mg/ml) solution, being less concentrated, is easier to titrate.

- **Are there any contraindications to the use of midazolam?**

Allergy to benzodiazepines is an absolute contraindication but is extremely rare. Some drugs interact with midazolam but careful administration of the sedative drug will minimize any difficulties. Drug abusers are notoriously difficult to sedate and treatment should only be carried out by very experienced practitioners.
What is meant by titration of the dose? Suggest a suitable titration regimen for a fit (ASA group I) adult patient being sedated with midazolam.

Titration is administration of a drug in small quantities whilst observing the patient's response. Sedation is judged to be adequate when the patient looks relaxed, and displays a slight delay in response to questioning of commands (such as 'raise your arm'). There is often a degree of slurring of speech.

A suitable regimen would be 2 mg of midazolam injected intravenously over a period of 30 seconds followed by a pause of 90 seconds during which the patient's response is observed. If sedation is inadequate further increments of 1 mg should be administered every 30 seconds until sedation is sufficient. Local anaesthetic can then be administered and treatment carried out in the normal way.

What are the undesirable side-effects of intravenous midazolam?

Intravenous sedation with midazolam is an extremely safe procedure when the drug is administered according to the above guidelines. However, all drugs have side-effects and the major worry with midazolam sedation is respiratory depression. There is a dose-related decrease in both respiratory rate and tidal volume which is most pronounced in the first 10 minutes of sedation.

How should a patient be protected from this potentially dangerous side-effect?

Clinical monitoring by observing the patient must be carried out by both the dentist and a suitably trained and experienced dental nurse. The use of a pulse oximeter to monitor the arterial oxygen saturation and heart rate is mandatory for intravenous sedation.

All suitable pulse oximeters have 'alarm limits'. The minimum acceptable arterial oxygen saturation is 90%. If the alarm sounds the patient should be encouraged to take deep breaths. If this is not successful the airway must be opened (by tilting the head and lifting the chin) and the patient ventilated with the aid of a ventilator bag or mask. If breathing is still inadequate as judged by arterial oxygen saturation you should consider abandoning the dental procedure and administering the benzodiazepine antagonist flumazenil (Anexate).

Why must a ‘second appropriate person’ such as a dental nurse always be present during sedation and recovery?

To help monitor the patient’s condition, assist with any emergency and act as a chaperone in case the patient experiences a benzodiazepine-induced sexual fantasy which might result in charges being brought against the dentist (or another member of the dental team).

What postoperative care is required?

At the end of the procedure, the patient is slowly returned to the upright position over a period of 3–5 minutes and helped to a supervised rest area. The patient must not be discharged until sufficiently recovered so as to be able to stand and walk without assistance.

The patient should be discharged into the care of an escort who must also be given written and verbal instructions.

What instructions would you give this patient and their escort following treatment?

- Do not travel alone: travel home with your escort, by car if possible.
- For the next 8 hours:
  - Do not drive or ride a bicycle
  - Do not operate machinery
  - Do not drink alcohol
  - Do not return to work or sign legal documents.

Are benzodiazepine antagonists used routinely to hasten recovery after dental sedation?

At present antagonists such as flumazenil are only recommended for emergency procedures such as countering benzodiazepine overdose and should not be used to hasten recovery. However, elective reversal of benzodiazepines may be helpful for some patients such as those who must travel some distance home on public transport. In such cases it is imperative that the usual postoperative instructions for intravenous sedation are given and adhered to.

Prognosis

Is the patient likely to require intravenous sedation for all future dental treatment?

Not necessarily. Sedation will have ensured that the extractions were performed as pleasantly as possible and any existing dental phobia should not have been reinforced. The amnesic effect of benzodiazepines is likely to reduce the patient’s memory of the whole procedure. During future visits for dental care anxiety-reducing methods should be used, so that eventually dental care can be provided routinely.
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SUMMARY
A 58-year-old lady patient of your general dental practice complains of a sore mouth with blisters. Identify the cause and outline appropriate management.

History
Complaint
The patient complains of a very sore mouth. She describes blisters which last a few hours before bursting to release a clear fluid, or sometimes blood. The palate is particularly affected though lesions may develop anywhere in the mouth and often follow minor trauma. Each blister heals very slowly and the area is painful until healing is complete. She often finds she cannot brush her teeth.

History of complaint
The symptoms started about 1 year ago and are worsening.

Medical history
She has had hypertension for many years and her elderly medical practitioner has been treating her with methyldopa.

Examination
Extraoral examination
A fit-looking woman with a blood pressure of 140/90 when sitting. Visible skin and nails appear normal.

Intraoral examination
The appearance of the buccal mucosa and gingivae is presented in Figure 41.1. What do you see?

The buccal mucosa has an extensive area of red atrophic mucosa posteriorly, possibly with small ulcers towards the anterior edge. The red area has an irregular margin. A small blister, a few millimetres across, lies near the centre of the buccal mucosa, just above the buccal cusp of the second premolar in the photograph.

The gingivae are also red but no blisters are present. The red area extends from the gingival margin across the mucogingival junction to involve the adjacent alveolar mucosa. The margin is poorly defined. The gingivae around all visible teeth are involved and the distribution of inflammation is not consistent with plaque accumulation as the cause.

Differential diagnosis
Which conditions cause oral blisters?
- Mucous membrane pemphigoid
- Pemphigus vulgaris
- Lichen planus
- Erythema multiforme
- Angina bullosa haemorrhagica
- Epidermolysis bullosa
- Dermatitis herpetiformis
- Viral infections
- Trauma.
**What name is given to the gingival lesions?**

A band of red atrophic or eroded mucosa affecting the attached gingiva is known as desquamative gingivitis. Unlike plaque-induced inflammation it is a dusky red colour and extends beyond the marginal gingiva, often to the full width of the attached gingiva and sometimes onto the alveolar mucosa. Some reserve the term for cases where the epithelium blisters or peels while others use it whenever the characteristic red appearance is present.

**What are the main causes of desquamative gingivitis?**

- Lichen planus
- Mucous membrane pemphigoid
- Pemphigus.

**Which of these conditions would you include in your initial differential diagnosis? Explain why.**

Either pemphigoid or pemphigus is the most likely diagnosis whenever there is a good history of blister formation. The most frequent cause of oral blisters is mucous membrane (‘cicatricial’) pemphigoid. This typically affects middle-aged and elderly women causing relatively long-lived vesicles and bullae (bullae are blisters greater than 10 mm in diameter) in areas of friction. Pemphigus vulgaris is less common and predominantly affects women in early middle age. In pemphigus it is unusual to notice long-lived vesicles or bullae, because the loss of keratinocyte adhesion which causes the disease makes the blister roof extremely fragile. Both diseases cause desquamative gingivitis. In this case, the history is more suggestive of pemphigoid than pemphigus. However, pemphigus must be specifically excluded by investigation because it can progress to extensive skin lesions and be difficult to treat.

These conditions would also need to be considered whenever a patient presents with chronic ulceration or erosion of the oral mucosa. This is because the vesicles and bullae often break down so rapidly that patients may be unaware of the blistering phase. Ragged tags of epithelium around ulcer margins would suggest that the ulcer was derived from a pre-existing bulla.

**Lichen planus** is the commonest condition in the differential diagnosis and also affects the middle-aged. However, it rarely produces well-defined blisters and when it does they are usually on the gingivae. Some refer to this situation as bullous lichen planus. However, this is not a specific form of lichen planus. Bulla formation merely reflects separation of the epithelium as a result of inflammatory destruction of its basal cells by the underlying disease process. In this case lichen planus seems an unlikely cause. Blisters are a prominent feature and no white striae are present on the buccal mucosa or the affected gingivae.

**What diagnoses have you excluded? Explain why.**

**Erythema multiforme** is an unlikely possibility. Although erythema multiforme does cause blistering it is distinctive clinically. The pattern of recurrent episodes of acute blistering and ulceration, particularly affecting the anterior mouth and lips of young males, is characteristic. Occasionally patients, including the middle-aged, have a more chronic form of erythema multiforme and the diagnosis might be considered when other more common blistering conditions have been excluded. Erythema multiforme does not cause typical desquamative gingivitis.

**Angina bullosa haemorrhagica** is the name given to recurrent oral blood blisters. The blisters usually affect the palate or oropharynx and are often long lived to the extent that patients burst them for symptomatic relief. The condition is diagnosed on the basis of exclusion of other conditions and the typical presentation, particularly the constant presence of blood as the blister fluid. In this case blood was present in only a minority of the lesions and the sites involved would not be typical. Angina bullosa haemorrhagica does not cause desquamative gingivitis.

**Drug reactions** may occasionally lead to pemphigus and pemphigoid-like presentations, lichenoid reactions and erythema multiforme. This patient is taking methyldopa which is commonly implicated in lichenoid reactions but these are lichen planus-like rather than vesiculobullous. Of these conditions, only a lichenoid reaction might cause desquamative gingivitis.

**A number of less common vesiculobullous conditions** may affect the mouth, but can be effectively excluded at this stage. Dermatitis herpetiformis may be associated with coeliac disease and is usually accompanied by skin lesions and usually affects the soft palate. The various forms of epidermolysis bullosa are inherited and most are accompanied by skin lesions from a young age. Unlike the skin, direct trauma is almost never a cause of oral vesicles or bullae. Viral conditions cause acute single attacks of vesicles rather than bullae and are usually accompanied by systemic signs of infection.

**What additional questions would you ask in the history? Why?**

**Do you have any blisters on your skin?** This is the most important question. Skin lesions, or a clear history of them, would confirm the presence of a systemic disease, may aid diagnosis and provide further lesions for investigation.

Approximately half of pemphigus patients have oral lesions alone during the first year but develop skin lesions later. Lichen planus may be accompanied by a rash on the wrists, shins or back. Though the rash may resolve long before the oral lesions, it should be specifically sought in the history if lichen planus is a possibility. Erythema multiforme may be accompanied by the typical target lesions, though these usually signify typical severe oral erythema multiforme (Stevens–Johnson syndrome), which is unlike this patient’s presentation. A history of onset following specific triggers (e.g. cold sore) would also suggest erythema multiforme.

**Do you have any lesions anywhere else?** Mucous membrane pemphigoid may be accompanied by ocular and vaginal lesions, the former leading to scarring and, if untreated, sometimes blindness. Eye and vaginal symptoms should be sought by questioning and, if appropriate, by examination.

If you were able to examine the patient you would find that she has no skin lesions and gives no history of a rash.
**Histological Tests**

**Nikolsky’s sign**

Some clinicians attempt to elicit Nikolsky’s sign, in which gentle lateral pressure on apparently unaffected mucosa or skin (not rubbing the surface) raises a bulla. This is positive in vesiculobullous diseases but is somewhat unpredictable. In pemphigus the epithelium tends to disintegrate rather than form a bulla. If no lesions are present on examination it may be a useful way of demonstrating reduced epithelial adhesion, but it is often not necessary for diagnosis. Unsurprisingly, it is also unpopular with patients who are left with a large new ulcer which may take weeks to heal.

**Biopsy**

An incisional biopsy is indicated and it will almost certainly need to be investigated by immunofluorescence to differentiate the autoimmune blistering conditions. An incisional specimen removed from a vesicle or bulla margin or from apparently normal perilesional mucosa is best. Skin may also be sampled if involved. The biopsy must include epithelium and may be difficult to perform because the mucosa may disintegrate on slight trauma. The specimen should be either taken fresh to the laboratory immediately, frozen in liquid nitrogen at the chairside or placed in a special transport medium. Tissue fixed in formalin is useless for immunofluorescence.

**Serum autoantibody determination**

A sample of clotted blood should also be sent for indirect immunofluorescence to detect circulating pemphigus or pemphigoid autoantibody.

### Investigations

- **What special tests would you perform and what is their significance? Are any special procedures required?**

  See Table 41.1.

- **The biopsy specimen is shown in Figure 41.2. What do you see?**

  The epithelium has separated cleanly from the underlying connective tissue in the plane of the basement membrane. A few erythrocytes lie in the cleft between the two. No cause for the separation is evident. The epithelium appears almost normal and there are only a few inflammatory cells in the lamina propria.

- **The immunofluorescence stain for complement C3 is shown in Figure 41.3. What do you see?**

  The immunofluorescence staining has been carried out on a separate part of the specimen in which there is no epithelial separation. A bright line of fluorescence runs along the basement membrane, outlining the rete processes of the epithelium. Immunofluorescence for IgG gave an identical result.

- **How do you interpret these histological features?**

  Separation of the full thickness of the epithelium at the level of the basement membrane, without epithelial damage, almost certainly signifies pemphigoid. Pemphigus is excluded by the lack of acantholysis and the level of separation. Lichen planus is excluded by the lack of basal cell degeneration and lymphocytic infiltration of the epithelium. The direct immunofluorescence indicates binding of IgG at the basement membrane and activation of complement. This indicates pemphigoid in which IgG autoantibody binds and fixes complement. Taken together, these features indicate pemphigoid.

### Diagnosis

The patient has pemphigoid. There are different variants of pemphigoid but, as there is no skin involvement, mucous membrane pemphigoid is almost certainly the diagnosis. Bullous pemphigoid, linear IgA disease and epidermolysis bullosa acquisita are pemphigoid variants which very rarely affect the mouth.

### Treatment

- **How should this patient be managed?**

  The patient should preferably be treated in a hospital environment, at least initially. This will probably be necessary in order to perform the immunofluorescence tests. Treatment of pemphigoid requires more potent steroids than are usually considered appropriate in a general dental practice setting. However, there is no reason why routine dental treatment should be transferred to hospital.
The patient should be referred to an ophthalmologist to identify and manage any ocular lesions that may be present. Lesions limited to the mouth and of relatively minor severity can be treated with topical steroids. Potent steroids are required, such as betamethasone 0.5 mg qds used as a mouthwash. Patients must be warned not to swallow such potent steroids and must be regularly checked for adverse effects. However, if oral lesions are widespread from the outset, if there are eye signs or if topical steroids fail, dapsone is the drug of choice. If this proves ineffective, systemic steroids, sometimes with azathioprine, are required. After the disease is brought under control, topical steroids may be sufficient for maintenance. All patients using systemic or topical steroids are predisposed to oral candidal infection and this should be monitored at subsequent visits.
Case 42

Will you see my son?

SUMMARY
The mother of a 6-year-old child brings him to your practice to ask for an appointment. She cannot find another dentist to see him.

History

Complaint
The mother reports that she has asked several dentists to see her autistic son, but they all find reasons not to.

History of complaint
No one has ever been able to perform a proper check-up on her son. A recent attempt by another dentist ended in failure. Recently he has been putting objects into his mouth and biting his clothes. His mother is worried that he may be in pain but is unable to tell her.

Medical history
The patient was diagnosed with autism at age 3 years. He has no other medical conditions and takes melatonin to help him sleep. His mother thinks that he may be allergic to wheat and dairy produce and consequently tries to exclude these from his diet.

Examination

Extraoral examination

- The patient is shown in Figure 42.1.

What do you see?
In a still photograph the patient appears essentially normal, as most children with autism do. However, he fails to make eye contact (or look at the camera) and has a relatively large head, a feature seen in some young children.

While you speak to the mother in the waiting room the child is flapping his hands and rocking backwards and forwards. He does not seem to be aware of his surroundings.

It appears that you will not be able to perform an examination easily.

What is autism?
Autism is a developmental disorder, more accurately described as autistic spectrum disorder (ASD) because it includes a range of conditions. All are characterized by three key diagnostic features:

1. Impairment of social interaction
2. Impairment of communication
3. Repetitive, stereotypical patterns of behaviour.

Autism has a wide range of expression. Some individuals have normal or near-normal intelligence, though three-quarters have some degree of learning disability. Males are four times more frequently affected than females. The mildest form, Asperger's syndrome, is compatible with a near-normal life.

What is the cause of autism?
Autism is considered to be primarily genetic in origin. It is not unusual for siblings to be affected, though they may not be recognized if signs are limited to subtle lack of social skills or failure of language development. Autism appears to be a complex multifactorial condition and several genes have been identified that may contribute, on both autosomes and sex chromosomes. It appears that there are changes in brain structure in autism, but these remain to be defined.

What features of autism will affect your management?
Verbal communication is a major problem. Many children never develop functional speech and are reliant on communication aids. Some develop the ability to repeat back what is said to them (echolalia), seemingly understanding, but usually not. One positive aspect of this behaviour is that copying the sound 'ah' may allow you to see inside the mouth.
Lack of nonverbal communication may prevent you from using alternative strategies. There is a lack of eye contact, making it difficult to gain and maintain attention, and an inability to interpret nonverbal signals or emotions from facial expression or tone of voice.

Aversion to physical contact makes examination, treatment and the usual means of physical reassurance ineffective.

Hypersensitivity to sights, sounds, smells and touch may be a feature and present problems with tooth brushing and dental treatment.

Idiosyncratic behaviours, such as highly specific insistence on the colour or consistency of food, are frequent. This may make dietary control difficult.

Resistance to change. Autism is associated with a strong need for routine. Individuals will like events to be predictable and new experiences may unbalance the whole day.

Unusual diets are frequent because many parents exclude wheat, dairy products or yeast in an attempt to improve the condition. In combination with the patient’s own dietary demands, this may make dietary prevention very difficult.

You may also need to consider that the parents themselves may suffer a mild form of the disorder and their communication and social interaction may appear unusual.

■ Are other significant medical conditions associated with autism?

Yes, the behavioural pattern of autism can have several causes and 10% of individuals will have other conditions such as Rett's syndrome, fragile X syndrome, tuberous sclerosis or phenylketonuria.

Epilepsy is a common association and, if not present in childhood, often manifests as in adolescence. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder is sometimes present and patients may take methylphenidate (Ritalin) to help address this behaviour.

■ Should this patient be referred for hospital or specialist care?

Given time and careful planning you would probably be able to examine and carry out simple treatment for the patient. If you consider that there is severe pain, infection or other acute condition, then immediate referral to a specialist care centre where general anaesthesia is available would be appropriate.

However, you still need to examine him to explore alternatives. There is no reason why patients at the more able end of the spectrum cannot be treated in general practice for routine preventive and even simple restorative care.

■ What will you do next?

It appears that the patient may be in pain. You will wish to determine the cause quickly, but without a careful plan of action you will probably fail. Before you can proceed you will need some information from the mother.

■ What information will help you plan treatment?

The following information would be helpful:

- Patient’s likes and dislikes – useful in establishing a rapport with the child
- Any communication aids that are used (see below)
- Possible associated behavioural conditions such as attention deficit hyperactivity disorder
- Possible associated medical conditions such as medication and epilepsy
- Therapies being used to help the condition
- Whether tooth brushing is managed and whether toothpaste is tolerated
- Whether the child is able to give a degree of cooperation and accept physical contact. Experiences such as having a haircut are often good indicators of this.

If you see many patients with special care requirements you would probably have a special questionnaire to collect this information, but this is an emergency situation.

■ Might treatment for autism affect or aid dental care?

Drug treatments include antiepileptics, methylphenidate (Ritalin), selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, anti-gastric reflux drugs and melatonin. While these have some oral adverse effects, such as dry mouth, they should not compromise treatment. Some medication addresses anxiety and aggressive behaviour.

Behavioural therapy is the most effective treatment but is very labour-intensive, costly and of limited availability. Applied behavioural analysis (ABA) breaks down learning into tiny chunks, using imitation and reinforcement to encourage autistic children to communicate, then speak and follow commands, before moving on to more advanced skills. Positive responses are rewarded by reinforcers such as food, social interactions, games or toys. Given more time, visits to the dentist could be rehearsed with the patient’s ABA teacher. If, as here, this cannot be undertaken, at least knowing the rewards used may be very helpful in reinforcing good behaviour at this, and future, visits.

Complementary treatments are often sought by parents. Some parents consider that fluorides, amalgam or foods worsen or cause autism. Some negotiation and compromise may be required on both sides to allow successful treatment.

■ What is your plan to examine the child?

As the child may be in pain, you need to make some attempt to examine the mouth. If you are not successful, you need to ensure that the visit does not become a negative experience. You will need to reinforce all positive behaviour and regard this as the first of, perhaps several, short experience visits. These may achieve little more than ‘saying hello’ and allowing the child to see you, your staff and the surgery and experience its smells. Autistic children are highly anxious in new situations but repeated exposure helps.

Invite the mother and child into the surgery. Reassure the mother that her child’s behaviour does not worry you or your staff and try to appear confident. Make sure there is a quiet calm atmosphere, without distractions such as telephones ringing. Observe the child’s behaviour and remember that the most likely cause of poor behaviour will be anxiety.

Don’t expect him to sit in a dental chair. Try engaging him at a sink, playing with running water. Try a toothbrush, if acceptable. This may allow you to view the child’s mouth.
How can you communicate with the patient?

There are some basic rules that will stand you in good stead. Keep the language very simple and limit yourself to a few concepts.

- Use the child’s name at the beginning of every sentence to get his attention.
- Always look at the child when you are talking to him.
- Speak slowly.
- Omit unnecessary words, especially social language – ‘please’ and ‘thank you’ will only be understood by mildly affected individuals.
- Avoid idiomatic expressions. ‘Take a seat’ will be taken literally.
- Humour has no effect and will not be understood.
- Be patient.

Some individuals may use pictorial communication aids such as Makaton or the Pictorial Exchange Communication System (PECS). Makaton uses iconic symbols and line drawings to convey the meaning of words. The more user-friendly PECS system teaches nonspeaking children to exchange pictures of things that they want for the item, using their visual rather than verbal skills. An example is shown in Figure 42.2.

Parents or teachers can produce a series of pictures or photographs to make a ‘social storyline’ that will help prepare the child for the next dental visit and reduce anxiety. An example is shown in Figure 42.3. You will need to investigate the child’s own communication strengths.

Try to engage the child. Knowing what he likes and dislikes is most important. Try to identify something in the

surgery, perhaps moving the dental chair, playing with the light or a toy, that can be used to reinforce good behaviour.

If the patient is in pain, what causes would be likely?

Without having set up the visit in the way described above, you may only achieve a glimpse of the teeth so you will need to know likely causes in advance. For this patient, these are:

- Caries, especially if the diet or behavioural reinforcers are cariogenic
- Trauma from a nonvital deciduous incisor, because children with autism with epilepsy are prone to trauma
- Self-mutilation is sometimes seen: patients may pick at their gingivae causing ulceration or inflammation
- Mobile lower incisors resulting from physiological loss in a child aged 6 years
- Discomfort or pericoronitis associated with erupting first permanent molars.

The history of mouthing and chewing objects would be suggestive of either of the last two causes.

Using the strategies described above you manage to get the child to let you look at his teeth while his mother brushes them. No caries is obvious, but both lower central deciduous incisors are very mobile. These appear to be the cause of the discomfort and the mother can be reassured. No intervention is likely to be helpful or possible. This is a self-limiting problem.

If caries had been noted, there would probably have been no option but to refer the patient for treatment under general anaesthetic. This would have the benefit of allowing a complete examination and radiographs, which might otherwise take months to achieve. If the first permanent molars were erupted, fissure sealing could also be performed under anaesthetic.

You ask the mother to bring the child back for a subsequent visit. There will be time for the child to be properly prepared using pictures, as described above, and possibly one or more trips to your surgery waiting room. The mother should bring the patient’s own toothbrush and paste. These form a conceptual link for the patient between visiting the dentist and his teeth and also allow you to capitalize on

Fig. 42.2 A pictorial exchange picture.

Fig. 42.3 Example of social storyline preparing for a visit to the dentist.
will only eat food of one colour. Sugar-free confectionery may have to be considered.

Establishing toothbrushing habits is essential for autistic children. Not only does it maintain gingival health but it will also deliver fluoride toothpaste. As for other children with disability, an adult toothpaste with a high fluoride dose is appropriate given the importance of preventing caries. If toothbrushing habits are established, a toothbrush is usually the best way to entice children with learning difficulty to open their mouth.

The dental treatment of children with autism in a general practice can be a challenge. The dental visit can be a very positive experience for some families with children who are mildly affected. However, the degree of learning disability and communication in the majority of patients usually requires referral to a specialist.

Dietary analysis is critical, given the unusual diets noted above. Safe reinforcers and snacks may need some imaginative thought since wheat and dairy products are unacceptable, chronic diarrhoea rules out fruit and the child
A 28-year-old woman presents to you in your general dental practice with an edentulous premolar space on the upper left. She would like this space filled. What are the options?

History of complaint
The patient had all four first premolars extracted for orthodontic treatment in her early teens. After treatment with fixed appliances the premolar space was closed and the result had been stable. However, she then lost the upper left second premolar because of a combination of caries and root fracture following root canal treatment. This was about 2 years ago and she has had no replacement since.

Dental history
The patient first came to your practice 18 months ago, shortly after having had the second premolar extracted. You have made her dentally fit and instituted preventive treatment which appears to have been successful. No caries is present in any teeth and the gingival condition is good. The patient consumes a low sugar diet and has good oral hygiene.

Medical history
The patient is fit and well with no medical problems.

Examination

Extraoral examination
No abnormalities are present on extraoral examination. The premolar space is visible during speech.

Intraoral examination
The patient has an almost complete and well restored dentition with small- or medium-sized amalgam restorations. Although two premolars are missing, the gap is only a single premolar-sized unit of space because of the orthodontic treatment. This is her only missing tooth.

There is a mesio-occlusal restoration in the upper left first molar tooth. The first molar and the incisor teeth are in class I occlusion, with canine guidance in left lateral excursion. The orthodontic treatment has left the canine and molar vertically aligned and there has been no significant mesial drift of the first molar in the 2 years since extraction. The features are shown in Figure 43.1.

What alternatives are there for replacing the missing tooth and what are their relative advantages and disadvantages?

The options are shown in Table 43.1.

What specific features of importance with regard to restoration would you examine? Explain why.

The degree of bone loss of the edentulous alveolar ridge is important. If this is extensively resorbed, an elongate pontic would be necessary to hide the bone loss. This might well be unacceptable if the pontic is easily seen during talking or smiling. This problem can be overcome with ridge augmentation prior to placement of the bridge, but this would prolong the treatment and make it considerably more complex. A diagnostic wax-up may help the patient visualize the potential result if resorption is a problem or the appearance is critical.

Size of existing restorations in potential abutment tooth.
This is the most important consideration for minimal

Fig. 43.1 The premolar space on presentation.
preparation bridges which require either no restorations or only small restorations in abutment teeth. Extensively restored teeth leave little natural tooth tissue to supply retention for conventional bridges. The quality of existing restorations must be known if they are to be used to prepare a core.

**Inclination of the potential abutment teeth.** A degree of vertical alignment is necessary to eliminate undercuts and allow the bridge to be made in the laboratory. Provided the teeth are fairly parallel this can be provided by preparation. If the teeth are not parallel, a fixed–movable design is useful because it allows the restoration on each tooth to have a different path of withdrawal.

**Reduced length of clinical crown** on either potential abutment tooth. Toothwear or repeated restoration may reduce the length of the clinical crown. There may be insufficient crown length to guarantee a retentive preparation. In extreme cases additional retention such as a post may need to be considered. However, post crowns do not make very good bridge retainers. They fail relatively frequently and should be avoided wherever possible.

**Increased length of clinical crown** on either potential abutment tooth. Recession makes crown preparation more difficult because it is difficult to prevent undercuts in long preparations. It may be necessary to place the crown margin some distance from the gingival margin and this might compromise the appearance if the margin were visible.

**The width of the alveolar ridge** is also important if implants are to be considered. For standard implants a minimum of 7 mm mesiodistal space between the adjacent teeth and 7 mm interocclusal space is needed. Particular attention should be given to the buccal contour of the edentulous ridge as a concavity would make implant placement difficult.

**What investigations would you carry out? Explain why.**

**Tests of vitality of the potential abutment teeth,** in this case the upper left first molar and canine.

If teeth are nonvital, any bridge design would need to take this into account. If required, endodontic treatment would have to be performed before bridge construction. The bridge should not be made until root filling is proved successful. Also, it would be a pity to have to weaken the bridge by making access to the root canals after placement.

**Periapical radiographs of the potential abutment teeth** are required. These are to exclude unsuspected caries, periodontal bone loss and other pathological lesions. They may also be required to assess the quality of pre-existing root fillings or for root treatment if either tooth proves to be nonvital.

**Study models** are useful in some cases. They can be used to make a diagnostic wax-up to show the patient the likely shape of the proposed bridges and to mould a former to make a provisional restoration. They also allow the clinician to plan treatment, including abutment preparation and pontic size outside the mouth. Articulated models mounted using a facebow could be used to analyse the occlusion.

### Diagnosis

**What type of replacement appears ideal?**

The patient has indicated a preference for a fixed prosthesis and there seems no clinical reason to suggest any other option. A minimal preparation bridge is the most conservative option. A conventional bridge in this region would mean considerable destruction of the unrestored abutment teeth. Minimal preparation bridges carry the risk of failure sooner than conventional bridges, but a lifespan of about 5 years can be expected and a conventional bridge or implants could be considered then. There would be no advantage in providing a metal-based partial denture. The costs would be similar to those of a bridge.

The possibility of leaving the gap unfilled should also be considered. The adjacent teeth might drift into the gap or the opposing teeth might overerupt. These changes could be kept under review using study casts. However, even if the teeth did move, a prosthesis remains only advisable and not essential. This is a decision based on appearance and the final decision must rest with the patient.
What factors might make you suggest a removable prosthesis instead?

The cost is probably the most common reason for choosing a denture rather than a bridge. However, a number of specific reasons might favour the removable prosthesis:

- Missing teeth requiring replacement on both sides of the arch.
- Mobility or significant periodontal bone loss or inflammation around either abutment tooth.
- If the patient is likely to lose further teeth in the short term, replacements would be more easily added to a partial denture.
- A high smile line with marked resorption of the edentulous alveolar bone. This is most satisfactorily hidden by an acrylic flange.
- Poor oral hygiene or a high caries rate would make it unwise to expensively restore the abutments and provide a fixed prosthesis which is difficult to clean. A denture could compromise a larger number of teeth, and neither replacement is ideal in this instance. However, a carefully designed partial denture is the better option.

If the patient opted for a removable prosthesis, what designs would you consider?

In this bounded saddle situation a metal-based tooth-supported design is ideal. Both abutment teeth would require a rest seat preparation and one abutment tooth would require a clasp. A palatal connector would be required but need not cover the whole palate, provided sufficient rigidity can be achieved to prevent distortion (which usually occurs out of the mouth). A second clasp on the opposite side would provide sufficient retention.

A mucosa-supported acrylic denture with minimal coverage of the palatal gingival tissues is possible. However, this would be difficult to design. There are no other edentulous spaces and an Every-type design would not be possible.

Should the study models be mounted on an articulator to make the bridge?

Properly articulated models mounted with the use of a facebow are essential when a bridge:

- involves many teeth
- changes the anterior guidance
- includes occlusal surfaces involved in guidance
- increases the vertical dimension.

In general, an articulator can be helpful when planning and making posterior crowns or bridges on patients who have a class II division 1 incisal relationship and anterior crowns and bridges on patients with a class II division 2 incisal relationship. The choice of articulator will depend on the clinician’s preference, but in most cases with straightforward restorations a semiadjustable articulator is satisfactory. For simple crowns and bridges where the guidance is straightforward, such as the present case, either hand-held models or a simple hinge articulator are satisfactory.

What is the ideal design of minimal preparation bridge?

The ideal design of bridge varies with the site of the edentulous space. Various possibilities are shown in Figure 43.2. In the upper anterior region a simple cantilever design lasts longest. In the lower anterior region a fixed–fixed design is usually more dependable because the surface area of enamel on lower incisors is insufficient to support a simple cantilever design. In this situation, a simple cantilever using the molar as a retainer or fixed–fixed or fixed–movable designs are possible.

In this case a simple cantilever design was selected. The completed bridge is shown in Figure 43.3 and 43.4. To maximize the rigidity of the retainer and increase the surface area of enamel available for bonding, the existing amalgam restoration in the molar was removed and the cavity incorporated into the design. A minimum of 1 mm retainer

Fig. 43.2  Possible bridge designs. The gap can be left and provided overeruption of the opposing teeth does not occur, the existing situation would be stable (A). A possible fixed–movable design (B) would be a minor retainer covering part of the canine and secured to the tooth with an adhesive cement and a conventionally prepared full coverage retainer on the molar. The molar can be conventionally prepared for a full coverage restoration, either for a simple cantilever (C), or in addition the canine can be prepared producing a fixed–fixed design (D). A minimal preparation bridge is also possible. The existing restoration can be partly removed to secure the retainer, and the canine can be either included in a fixed–movable design (E) or avoided to produce a simple cantilever design (F).
thickness is required for rigidity, and including the existing cavity into the thickness provides a significant increase in rigidity, reducing flexion and reducing the risk of failure of the bond.

The retainer should cover as much tooth tissue as possible to maximize surface area for bonding. Metal should be wrapped around the abutment tooth as far as possible without encroaching on the contact point. The prepared area should be either within enamel or just into dentine. Modern luting cements bond to dentine and placing part of the preparation in dentine reduces the reliance on the enamel bond. Including the existing cavity also helps, by providing a dentine surface for bonding. The pontic is usually made of porcelain bonded to the metal.

What would you do if the bridge fails through debonding?

If the bridge decements shortly after placement, it is acceptable to recement the bridge and ensure that there is no occlusal interference. If the problem persists, a conventional bridge would then be indicated, probably using the same abutment teeth for conventional crowns.
A 60-year-old man presents to you in your general dental practice requiring a dental extraction. He is taking oral anticoagulants. How will you deal with his extraction?

**History**

**Complaint**
The patient has a broken-down upper first molar that is tender on biting. The patient points directly at the tooth and requests extraction.

**History of complaint**
The tooth has been root-filled and crowned, but is tender to percussion. There have been several episodes of similar pain in the past year. The crown has been lost from the tooth.

**Medical history**
The patient reports that he had rheumatic fever as a child and as a result of cardiac valve damage he received a prosthetic heart valve 7 years ago. He is taking warfarin (9 mg daily) and co-amilofruse (amiloride/furosemide diuretic combination; 2 tablets daily). The patient carries an anticoagulation card from his local clinic showing that his international normalized ratio (INR) prothrombin time is usually between 3.5 and 4.5 (Figure 44.1). It was last checked 10 days ago, when it was 3.9.

How does warfarin work and how is anticoagulation monitored?

Warfarin is a vitamin K antagonist. It prevents the liver from utilizing vitamin K to make clotting factors II, VII, IX and X. The patient is usually under the care of an anticoagulation clinic, although some patients are monitored by their GP. Blood tests are performed regularly and the results and drug doses are recorded in a yellow book that the patient should always carry.

What is the INR test, what is its normal therapeutic range and how should the result be interpreted?

The INR is a standardized method of presenting the result of a prothrombin time test. The result is the ratio of the patient’s prothrombin time to that of a standardized control and measures the effectiveness of the extrinsic and common pathways of blood coagulation, those most affected by warfarin. The therapeutic range for patients who have had deep-vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism is 2.5–3.5. For patients with a prosthetic heart valve it is 3.5–4.5, depending on valve type, at the top of the therapeutic range.

In theory the INR is a standardized test using an internationally accepted standard. Unfortunately, in practice accurate standardization of the INR is often not reproducible, and small changes in the decimal places of the result cannot be relied upon to reflect small changes in anticoagulation. The test should be regarded as an estimate of anticoagulation rather than an accurate measure.

Is this patient at risk of infective endocarditis?

Yes, all patients with the following conditions are considered at risk and a prosthetic heart valve is a relatively high risk factor that carries a very high risk of fatal outcome if endocarditis develops.

---

**Fig. 44.1** Oral anticoagulant record book.
Examined

Extraoral examination

No lymphadenopathy is present and the extraoral examination reveals no abnormalities. However, a large bruise is apparent on the patient’s right forearm, consistent with the degree of anticoagulation.

Intraoral examination

The patient has a number of teeth with large restorations and several crowned teeth. His periodontal condition and oral hygiene are relatively good with only small amounts of detectable interdental plaque.

The upper first molar tooth is broken down. Root-filling material can be seen in the open pulp chamber and much of the root surface is carious. The tooth is tender to percussion. The second premolar is not tender to percussion but produces a dull percussive note. No sinus is present. The periodontal condition seems reasonable and there is no significant bone loss around the tooth on probing.

There is caries around the distal margin of a crown on the first premolar.

Investigations

What investigations are required and why?

The premolars and molars should be checked for vitality. The first premolar is vital but both second premolar and first molar do not respond to testing with an electric pulp tester. A radiograph is required in order to decide whether the molar is restorable, that is, to gauge the extent of caries and determine the success of the root filling. If extraction turns out to be required, a radiograph will be necessary to assess the difficulty of the extraction. This is particularly important in a patient who may suffer prolonged bleeding. A periapical view is the ideal view.

The periapical view is shown in Figure 44.2. What does it show?

The first permanent molar is extensively carious. A root filling is present but only one gutta percha or silver point is visible, in the palatal canal. It extends beyond the apex by approximately 2 mm. The buccal roots are not clearly visible but appear to contain no root filling. The overextended root filling lies close to the antrum and the antrum extends down between the roots of the first molar and second premolar.

There is no apical radiolucency. The second premolar is also

Examination

Fig. 44.2 Periapical radiograph of the upper first molar.

root-filled. The root filling appears to stop just short of the anatomical apex at an appropriate point but a small apical radiolucency is present, surrounded by the lamina dura of the tooth socket. The caries below the crown on the first premolar is visible and the second molar contains a large pinned amalgam.

Diagnosis

What is your diagnosis? Explain your diagnosis.

The patient’s pain is caused by periapical periodontitis of the first permanent molar.

The patient points clearly to this tooth as the cause of his pain. This and the tenderness on percussion indicate inflammation of the periodontal ligament and the overfilled root canal provides a likely cause. No radiolucency is shown on the radiograph. However, none is required for diagnosis in the presence of typical signs and symptoms. There may be either only a small apical lesion or one on the apices of the buccal roots or in the trifurcation, both of which are superimposed on the film.

The second premolar has an unsuccessful root filling and small periapical radiolucency, almost certainly a periapical granuloma. However, it is not tender to percussion and is not felt to be the cause of the pain by the patient. The first premolar is vital and the caries would produce pain of pulpitis type without tenderness on biting or percussion.

Treatment

What treatment would you recommend?

The primary consideration should be that the patient is at risk of infective endocarditis and potential sources of infection should be eradicated. The first molar cannot be restored without another root filling and extensive preparation. The patient prefers extraction and this is the appropriate course of action. The first premolar is more problematic. It appears to be symptom-free and the apical granuloma has probably been present for some time. This lesion is a further potential source of infection and it must be eliminated, either by
extraction or another root filling. The success of the new root filling must be monitored to ensure that it is successful and if not, either apicectomy or extraction will need to be considered. Treatment may be complicated by the anticoagulation, so there must be a comprehensive treatment plan that takes the rest of the dentition into account.

**Is the extraction of the first molar likely to be straightforward?**

No. The tooth is broken down and root-filled and there is little or no bone loss from periodontitis. It will be difficult to grasp with forceps and may be brittle. The roots extend close to the antrum and there is a risk of creating a surgical oroantral fistula.

A simple forceps extraction might turn out to be possible, but extraction may well require a mucoperiosteal flap and bone removal. A simple forceps extraction would be preferable in an anticoagulated patient because bleeding is more easily controlled when it is limited to a socket and surrounding gingiva. A surgical extraction would be less traumatic overall and separating the roots and elevating them singly would reduce the chances of creating an oroantral fistula.

**The patient is at risk of endocarditis. Is antibiotic cover required?**

No. Before 2008 antibiotic cover would have been routine and the patient would have been administered oral amoxicillin or an alternative antibiotic regime. Since 2008 antibiotic cover is no longer recommended for dental procedures, even for the highest-risk patients.

**Why have the recommendations changed so dramatically?**

In the past, antibiotic prophylaxis was based on the precautionary principle. It seemed logical because bacterial endocarditis had a high mortality rate, oral bacteria were sometimes implicated and antibiotics could prevent bacteraemia. However, much of the presumed benefit was based on animal models of the disease that could not be extrapolated to humans. There were cases where prophylaxis was correctly given but failed. More recent evidence from case control studies suggests that prophylaxis is hardly effective, and is possibly ineffective.

Although dentistry has frequently been blamed for bacterial endocarditis, there is little or no evidence to suggest that dental procedures, as opposed to oral bacteria, were to blame. Low-grade bacteraemias caused by eating and tooth brushing are common enough to be considered normal. There is no evidence to link the level of bacteraemia with the risk of endocarditis and these normal bacteraemias would appear to be as dangerous as those following dental procedures.

If there is little benefit from antibiotic prophylaxis, there is significant risk. Adverse effects of antibiotics range from fatal anaphylaxis to the development of resistant strains. It has been suggested that death from anaphylaxis is five times more likely than death from endocarditis. Though this is difficult to ascertain (and anaphylaxis is both avoidable and treatable) it sheds a rather different light on the value of antibiotic cover. A further factor is cost; resources spent on antibiotic prophylaxis are probably wasted and may cause harm.

**How should endocarditis be avoided?**

The emphasis should be on prevention and patients at risk of endocarditis must achieve a high standard of oral and dental health. They will require effective preventive regimes for caries and periodontal disease and must have infection identified and treated promptly and effectively. Patients need to be aware of the signs and symptoms of endocarditis and told to return or seek other expert advice immediately if they develop. Unnecessary medical and dental interventions should be avoided and patients need to understand infection risks from nonmedical sources as well. Chlorhexidine mouthwash or other topical agents should not be offered as prophylaxis against infective endocarditis. They are not effective.

**If the patient requested antibiotic prophylaxis, what would you do?**

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence guidance states that practitioners must be able to give clear and consistent information about endocarditis and explain the risks and benefit of antibiotic prophylaxis. However, patients have previously been told that antibiotic prophylaxis is a life-saving precaution and may be unwilling to stop. It may be helpful to note that cover is no longer recommended for many other medical interventions and that dentistry is only falling into line with other medical specialties. If a patient insists on receiving antibiotic cover, support from the patient's medical practitioner or cardiologist may assist. A disagreement over antibiotic cover cannot be allowed to delay treatment of infection in a patient at risk of endocarditis. This sudden change of guidance could place you in a difficult situation: you would be responsible if a patient developed an adverse reaction to antibiotics administered for no better reason than the patient's request. If either the patient or cardiologist consider antibiotic cover to be necessary despite national guidance, it would prudent to arrange for the cardiologist to determine the antibiotic regimen.

**Would you expect this patient to suffer prolonged bleeding after a dental extraction?**

Potentially yes and, if untreated, such bleeding could require hospital admission. The mouth is a vascular site and saliva has fibrinolytic activity. Untreated prolonged haemorrhage could be fatal, though the risk is low.

**Does the patient require reduction of the INR to enable minor oral surgery?**

Until recently it was accepted that the INR had to be reduced for procedures such as intramuscular injections, minor surgery and dental extraction. The INR was reduced to 2.5 or below for inferior dental (ID) nerve block, simple extractions and soft-tissue surgery. However, it has recently been demonstrated that the incidence of bleeding following dental extraction without reducing or stopping warfarin is less than has been thought, and that any bleeding may be relatively easily controlled. Current guidelines from the British Committee for Standards in Haematology, British Dental Association and National Patient Safety Agency recommend that oral anticoagulants should not be stopped for outpatient oral surgery, including extraction, provided the degree of
anticoagulation is stable with an INR between 2 and 4. Additional procedures to reduce the risk of bleeding, such as suturing sockets with an oxidized cellulose (Surgicel) dressing and use of tranexamic acid mouthwashes, are recommended. As these guidelines are relatively new, some patients’ haematologists, cardiologists or surgeons may still request reduction of warfarin dose on the basis that a short reduction is without complication. It may be necessary to consult with the patient’s physician in this interim period.

- **Why did these guidelines change?**
  For two reasons. Firstly, clinical research has shown that it is safe to extract teeth without reducing or stopping warfarin if the INR is below 4.0 and when the sockets have been packed with a haemostatic agent and sutured. Secondly, it has been realized that stopping anticoagulants places patients at risk of thrombosis, embolism or both. Clearly these complications are of major importance and potentially fatal. The risk of thromboembolism following withdrawal of anticoagulants for a day or two is debated, but it is clear that significant complications can arise when anticoagulants are stopped for a few days. To ensure patient safety, the decision has been made to maintain the level of anticoagulation if at all possible.

- **Suppose more extensive surgery were planned?**
  For more major surgery the risk of haemorrhage would rise significantly. The patient would then have to be treated in a hospital setting because he would need to be switched from warfarin to heparin. Heparin is given as a daily dose using new low-molecular-weight heparin preparations such as enoxaparin (Clexane), avoiding heparin infusion. These have a half-life of only a few hours, allowing anticoagulation to be readily reversed in an emergency using antagonist drugs. Anticoagulation with heparin is monitored by the activated partial thromboplastin time and is usually kept at 1.5–2.5 times normal.

- **Do you still need to check the INR? How recently should the last INR test have been done?**
  Yes, you still need to ensure that the INR is 4.0 or less. The more recent the test, the better. In the past it was suggested that a result less than 24 hours old was required to adjust the warfarin dose.

  Now that the warfarin is not adjusted, a test result up to 72 hours old may be accepted. However, if the INR result on the patient’s anticoagulation record card fluctuates without change in dose, only a test performed on the day of treatment should be accepted. If there has been no recent test, one should be requested, or alternatively the appointment may be postponed until the next test result is available.

- **The patient says he tests his own INR. Is that acceptable?**
  Coagulation testing can now be performed using small hand-held battery-operated devices, allowing coagulation testing to be performed by medical practitioners or patients (point of care testing or near-patient testing). Results from a medical practice can be considered reliable as machines are checked and calibrated regularly. Some patients now test their own INR at home and some evidence suggests their anticoagulation is managed very well. Selected patients are trained to interpret their own results and are able to adjust their own doses. Provided the patient’s coagulometer is subject to a quality assurance scheme, the results can be relied on. However, patients cannot take responsibility for adjusting their dose for a medical procedure, only for routine dosing. With approximately 1 million UK patients taking warfarin, and the total rising, this type of testing is likely to be encountered more frequently.

- **How can warfarin anticoagulation be reversed in an emergency?**
  Warfarin is a vitamin K antagonist and administering vitamin K intravenously can reverse its effects (oral dosing is effective but much slower in action). Vitamin K takes some time to become effective as the liver must synthesize the necessary clotting factors. For a more rapid effect the missing clotting factors can be replaced immediately by transfusing fresh frozen plasma.

- **What additional precautions might you take to ensure haemostasis?**
  In general, warfarin is associated with oozing of blood from soft tissues rather than bleeding from bone. Following current guidelines with no reduced warfarin dose, a single interrupted or mattress suture across the mouth of the socket and an oxidized cellulose (Surgicel) pack is recommended for all extractions. Haemostasis must be achieved, usually by gentle pressure on the gingiva. Tranexamic acid is an antifibrinolytic agent that inhibits conversion of plasminogen to plasmin, stabilizing clots once formed. It can be administered as a 5% mouthwash and must be used four times a day for several days after extraction.

  If there is severe periodontitis, then treating this first, even if only around a few teeth, much reduces postoperative bleeding.

  In this case a further test was required and the INR had fallen slightly to 3.7. The tooth was extracted and the socket sutured. Haemostasis was achieved after a slightly prolonged period.

- **What postoperative instructions are necessary for the bleeding tendency?**
  No specific instructions are necessary. The patient should be warned not to eat or drink or rinse the mouth for a few hours after extraction. A little blood in saliva is to be expected but should vanish over a few hours. If there is bleeding from the socket or fresh blood in saliva, then biting on a damp gauze swab (provided on discharge for the purpose) should stop bleeding. Pressure must be maintained for at least 5 minutes at a time to stop bleeding. If this fails, the patient should not hesitate to contact your practice or a local hospital Accident and Emergency department. The patient may rinse with hot salt mouthwashes on the following day.

- **What postoperative instructions are necessary for the risk of endocarditis?**
  All patients at risk of endocarditis must be warned to be alert for signs and symptoms of endocarditis. Unfortunately these
are relatively nonspecific in the early stages. They may be of acute onset and progress rapidly or persist for weeks or months before cardiac signs develop. Endocarditis caused by oral streptococci is usually of this latter subacute type. Patients with endocarditis of prosthetic valves progress to heart failure more rapidly. Low-grade endocarditis is often a diagnostic challenge in the early stages and patients and dentists need to have a high index of suspicion. Patients should seek advice if they develop:
- Influenza-like symptoms of malaise and fatigue
- Fever or night sweats
- Weight loss and loss of appetite
- Rash
- Vague and poorly localized pains
- Splinter haemorrhages beneath the nails
- Painful red nodules in finger tips (Osler’s nodes)
- Haematuria
- Joint pains
- Signs of heart failure
- Change in heart murmur
- Transient ischaemic attacks and strokes.

Postoperative

■ The patient returns 3 hours later indicating that bleeding has continued throughout most of this period. Why has bleeding restarted?

Bleeding in the immediate postoperative period is stopped by platelet plugs forming in the vessels. This mechanism is unaffected by warfarin, which inhibits only coagulation. After the initial haemostasis, coagulation fails to consolidate the platelet plugs. When the vasoconstrictor in the local anaesthetic wears off, there is a period of hyperaemia as a result of inflammation and bleeding may start again.

■ How would you manage this postextractions bleeding?

Initially, check that the socket is only oozing as rapid bleeding would necessitate immediate measures. Then take a history and assess the degree of blood loss which, to the patient (and sometimes the dentist), always seems worse than it actually is. Examine the patient using a good light and suction to remove the old socket dressing and poorly formed blood clots and identify the bleeding area. If only the soft tissue is bleeding, pressure and a new dressing will probably be all that is required. However, bleeding after a pack and suturing may be arising from the bone. If a bleeding point can be identified it can be crushed with a hand instrument. Electrocautery should not be used on or near bone. Bone wax should only be used if all else fails because it delays healing in the longer term. Replace the Surgicel pack, or place a Whitehead’s varnish pack, resuture the socket over the pack to provide pressure to the gingival margins and to keep the pack firmly in the socket. Bleeding from the surgical incision may require additional sutures and deeper sutures to compress the sides of the incision on to the underlying tissues.
Take the blood pressure and pulse to assess whether there has been serious blood loss.

Reassure the patient, who is often very worried and well aware of the problems of the anticoagulation treatment. Observe for 15–30 minutes and reinforce normal postoperative instructions. It is emphasized that the patient must not rinse until the next day. It is unlikely that these measures will fail.

■ What would you do if there were tachycardia and lowered blood pressure?

This would indicate significant blood loss and, as above, the patient should be speedily admitted to hospital for intravenous fluids to prevent circulatory collapse.

■ What would you do if these steps did fail?

In a practice setting the patient should be transferred to a hospital casualty or specialist unit, or the anticoagulation clinic. This should be arranged speedily and the patient will require an escort. There the INR and platelet count would be checked. Antifibrinolytics, such as aprotinin and tranexamic acid, can be used in conjunction with packing and suturing. If the bleeding persists or if the INR is above the therapeutic range, the patient will need to be admitted for reversal of the anticoagulation by infusion of fresh frozen plasma or prothrombin complex concentrates (a more effective preparation of concentrated dried factors II, VII, IX and X) and vitamin K. The vitamin K injections will not reverse the action of the warfarin for 12 hours and so are not effective in such emergency situations, but aid stabilization of anticoagulation after the bleeding is stopped. A major bleed is likely to necessitate a few days in hospital.

■ Warfarin interacts with a variety of other drugs. Which drugs that might be prescribed in dental practice can affect warfarin anticoagulation?

In all drug interactions with warfarin there is increased anticoagulation and a risk of bleeding. Aspirin and related drugs also increase the risk of bleeding, not by interaction but through their separate antiplatelet activity. Discussion with the patient’s anticoagulation clinic would be prudent if any of these drugs are required:
- Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
- Antibiotics, including erythromycin, metronidazole, tetracyclines and penicillins
- Fluconazole, ketoconazole and miconazole (including topical preparations).

■ How can I keep up with all these changes in guidance?

This case demonstrates how rapidly accepted practice can change. Only a year or two ago the patient would have had antibiotic cover and adjustment of the warfarin dose before treatment. Guidance can, unfortunately, come from a wide variety of sources and it is not always clear whether it is generally accepted, recommended or mandatory. Guidelines from the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence apply to the NHS in England and Wales, but not in Scotland, where a separate institution, the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network, plays the equivalent role. Guidance or references to it may also be provided by Royal Colleges, the British Dental Association, the General Dental Council and professional indemnity organizations. Guidelines, evidence
and other clinical best practice are available online at the NHS National Library for Health (http://www.library.nhs.uk). Guidance on medications in the British National Formulary or Dental Practitioners Formulary is regularly updated and usually considered definitive. Almost all guidelines first appear in peer-reviewed scientific or professional journals, though it may take some time for them to become accepted and be given an official seal of approval. This demonstrates the importance of continuing professional development to ensure all dentists remain up to date. In the UK, the General Dental Councils ethics guidance Standards for Dental Professionals has six key principles and maintaining your professional knowledge and competence is one.
SUMMARY
A 52-year-old woman has a white patch on her tongue. Make a diagnosis and decide on appropriate treatment.

Medical history
The patient is otherwise fit and well. She smokes 4 cigarettes a day and drinks 4–8 units of alcohol each week.

Examination
Extraoral examination
No lymph nodes are palpable in the neck and there are no abnormal findings on extraoral examination.

Intraoral examination
Apart from this lesion, the remainder of the oral mucosa is normal.

The appearance of the lesion is shown in Figure 45.1. What do you see?
There is a flat and homogeneous white patch on the left lateral border and ventral tongue mucosa. It is well defined and varies slightly in whiteness.

If you were able to feel the lesion you would find that it is soft and feels no different from the surrounding mucosa.

Differential diagnosis

What are the common or important white patches in the mouth? How are they caused?

Almost all oral white patches are caused by increased keratinization of the epithelium. Keratin absorbs water and appears white, brighter white where it is thicker. The exception is a chemical burn where the white surface layer is caused by necrosis or ulceration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of lesion</th>
<th>White lesion(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal mucosal variants</td>
<td>Leukoedema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fordyce spots/granules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inherited epithelial disorders</td>
<td>White sponge naevus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pachyonychia congenita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic lesions</td>
<td>Frictional keratosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chemical burn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cheek and tongue biting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infections</td>
<td>Thrush (acute hyperplastic candidosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic hyperplastic candidosis (candidal leukoplakia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic mucocutaneous candidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hairy leukoplakia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Syphilitic leukoplakia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichen planus and similar conditions</td>
<td>Lichen planus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lichenoid reaction (topical and systemic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lupus erythematosus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown or smoking-related</td>
<td>Idiopathic keratosis (leukoedema), including:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Homogeneous leukoplakia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Verrucous/nodular leukoplakia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sublingual keratosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smoker’s keratosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speckled leukoplakia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stomatitis nicotina (smoker’s palate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoplastic</td>
<td>Squamous cell carcinoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

History
Complaint
The patient has no complaint.

History of complaint
You have just noticed the lesion in a patient attending for the first time for several years. There is no written record of the white patch in her notes. The patient had noticed the lesion but has ignored it. She thinks it has probably been there for several years.

Fig. 45.1 The patient’s tongue.
Which lesions would you include in the differential diagnosis for the current lesion?

Likely diagnoses:
- Idiopathic white patch (leukoplakia)
- Sublingual keratosis
- Smoker's keratosis
- Frictional keratosis.

Less likely diagnoses:
- Chronic hyperplastic candidosis
- Lichen planus or lichenoid reaction
- Tongue biting
- Squamous cell carcinoma.

What is a leukoplakia?
The literal meaning of leukoplakia is white patch. The term is correctly defined as a white patch which cannot be characterized as any other lesion. This term can only be used correctly after all possible known causes have been eliminated, using whatever investigations are required. Unfortunately the term leukoplakia is often used very loosely in a clinical context, either for a white patch of any cause or for the small minority of white patches which have a risk of malignant transformation. This has led to great confusion. Now that the term has also been incorporated into the names of several lesions for which the cause is known (such as candidal leukoplakia, hairy leukoplakia and syphilitic leukoplakia) the term has become so inconsistently used as to be unhelpful.

Justify your differential diagnosis.

Idiopathic white patch. Although many causes of well-defined white patches are known, the largest single group is that for which no cause can be identified. This is therefore a likely diagnosis and there are no clinical features which suggest a specific cause for the present lesion. The group of idiopathic white patches includes some more specific terms which might be applied to this lesion.

- Sublingual keratosis is a white patch affecting the floor of the mouth or ventral tongue and lesions here are considered to have a high risk of malignant transformation. The typical lesion is bilateral and may be extensive in the floor of the mouth, often with a wrinkled surface of ‘rippling tide’ parallel corrugations. However, sublingual keratosis is defined only by its site and any white patch affecting the ventral tongue or floor of mouth could be termed a sublingual keratosis. The present lesion only just extends to the ventral tongue mucosa.

- Smoker’s keratosis is a white patch in the mouth of a smoker for which no other cause can be found. The type of lesion usually called smoker’s keratosis is a flat homogeneous white patch, sometimes with a finely wrinkled surface, on nonkeratinized mucosa. The smoking is assumed to be the cause, though there is rarely any evidence to support this unless the lesion arises where a pipe or cigarette is habitually held. This patient’s lesion could be called a smoker’s keratosis but this is not a particularly useful label and does not imply that it should be treated any differently from an idiopathic white patch. Smoker’s palate (stomatitis nicotina) is a separate condition and is discussed below.

Frictional keratosis is common along the occlusal line, on edentulous alveolar ridges and the lateral tongue. It may be associated with sharp teeth or restoration(s) and be unilateral or bilateral. Frictional keratosis usually merges gradually with the surrounding normal mucosa and is not as sharply defined as the present lesion. Tongue biting also causes keratosis but the surface is often shredded and there may be similar lesions on the buccal mucosa, usually just behind the commissure. Unless lesions are associated with clear evidence of habitual biting, sharp teeth, or resolve on removing a cause, it can be very difficult to identify them from their clinical appearance. Frictional keratosis should be included in the differential diagnosis.

Chronic hyperplastic candidosis causes white plaques, sometimes called candidal leukoplakia. These arise most commonly on the postcommissural buccal mucosa and dorsal tongue and may be associated with red areas. This lesion is more common in smokers. Unless the site is typical it is almost impossible to make the diagnosis clinically. Biopsy or resolution on antifungal treatment are the most useful investigations. The present lesion is not typical, but this cause cannot be confidently excluded on clinical grounds.

Lichen planus and lichenoid reactions may cause homogeneous white patches. This more unusual presentation seems to be more common in smokers and it is not at all clear whether these so-called plaque-type lichen planus lesions are a genuine presentation of lichen planus or are smoking induced. To be sure of the diagnosis it is desirable to find evidence of more typical lichen planus elsewhere, either on the skin, the buccal mucosa or in the form of desquamative gingivitis. There is no evidence to suggest that the current lesion is caused by lichen planus but the plaque type cannot be completely excluded on clinical grounds alone.

Squamous cell carcinoma. This diagnosis must be included for any white patch in the mouth without an identified cause. The chances of this particular lesion being malignant appear low. Although it is in a high risk site, it is a flat homogeneous lesion without ulceration, red areas, speckling or induration. The patient is a light smoker and is in the risk age group for squamous carcinoma. Though very unlikely, this just might be a carcinoma.

Which white lesions have you excluded? Explain why.

- Leukoedema and patches of Fordyce spots (sebaceous glands) are normal mucosal variants that affect primarily the buccal mucosa. Leukoedema causes a milky white appearance and is usually seen in those of African descent. Fordyce spots are sebaceous glands. They occasionally form clusters which resemble plaques but have a slightly yellow appearance and individual glands are usually visible within the lesion. Both are present from childhood and neither affects the lateral tongue.

- White sponge naevus and pachyonychia congenita are examples of rare inherited conditions which cause
diffuse keratosis or multiple discrete white patches on the mucosa. These possibilities are excluded by the localized extent of the lesion, age of onset and the absence of other skin or nail abnormalities and family history.

- An aspirin burn or other form of chemical trauma is unlikely. This results from application of aspirin directly to the mucosa, usually in response to toothache. Lesions are mostly in the buccal sulcus and affect mucosa on both sides of the site where the tablet was placed. This is an unlikely possibility and may be readily excluded by direct questioning.
- Thrush affects larger areas of the mucosa, at least parts of the lesion may be wiped off and the underlying mucosa is inflamed. Chronic rather than acute candidosis could cause the present lesion and is discussed above.
- Hairy leukoplakia usually forms bilateral white lesions along the lateral border of the tongue in immunosuppressed patients. While the possibility of an undiagnosed immunosuppression, particularly from HIV infection, cannot be excluded, the appearances are not typical of hairy leukoplakia. Lesions remain limited to the lateral tongue in almost all cases.
- Syphilitic leukoplakia may also be readily excluded, being now of only historical interest. The patient must have tertiary syphilis and the site of the white patches is the dorsum of the tongue.
- Smoker’s palate (stomatitis nicotina) may be excluded because it affects only the hard palate of pipe, cigar and heavy cigarette smokers.

Biopsy of the following mucosal lesions would not be appropriate in general dental practice, though they might be performed by specialists.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesion</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any lesion that shows clinical features suggesting malignancy</td>
<td>These should be sampled by the person or team who will manage the patient’s care. If you perform a biopsy you are taking upon yourself the responsibility of telling the patient the diagnosis. Refer the patient to a hospital for urgent opinion and biopsy. See also Case 46.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions that will require hospital care or treatment once the diagnosis is made</td>
<td>Comprehensive care for the patient is improved when investigation, diagnosis and treatment are carried out in an integrated fashion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemangiomas and other vascular lesions</td>
<td>These may bleed excessively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions on the posterior soft palate or fauces</td>
<td>Tearing of the thin mucosa and retching may cause wound closure difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaccessible lesions</td>
<td>If you cannot guarantee to remove an ideal specimen, refer the patient. Inadequate specimens provide no benefit for the patient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swellings of possible minor salivary gland origin other than mucocoeles</td>
<td>There is a significant risk that these lesions may be neoplasms and about half will be malignant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only the first two reasons might apply to this lesion and, as noted above, the lesion has no features to suggest malignancy, is readily accessible and easily anaesthetized. It is representative of the large majority of white patches found in the population, which have a very low risk of malignant change and are ideal for biopsy in general practice.

- What features of a white patch might indicate malignancy and contraindicate biopsy in practice?
  - Associated red areas
  - Speckled areas
  - Ulceration, especially if chronic
  - Induration
  - Enlarged lymph nodes draining the site
  - Lesion in a high risk site

- Is a biopsy really necessary? Are there no less invasive tests that could help identify risk lesions?
  To those not experienced in oral white lesions, it may seem that an immediate biopsy is an excessive reaction. After all, benign lesions are so much commoner than potentially malignant causes. To a degree this is true as almost 5% of the population over 50 years of age have white lesions of some type. Less invasive screening tests have therefore been sought to identify the lesions that require biopsy. A number of techniques have been proposed.

Exfoliative cytology and brush biopsy A sample of epithelial cells can be removed from the lesion by vigorous brushing with a stiff bristle brush. The cells are made into a smear and examined by a variety of techniques including computer assisted cytopathological analysis. These techniques have not proved to have sufficient predictive value to date,
but other analyses that can be performed on this type of sample are under investigation.

**Toluidine blue staining** Toluidine blue (Toluidinium chloride) staining was described decades ago but has recently been revived in new commercial test kits. The dye can be applied to the lesion by dabbing or in a mouth rinse and lesions that retain the blue stain are claimed to have a higher risk of dysplasia or carcinoma than those that do not. The predictive value of the test remains unclear, with better results in high risk populations. False positive reactions are common because the dye binds to ulcer slough, staining ulcers, lichen planus and other benign lesions.

**Tests based on optical properties**

**Autofluorescence** Oral mucosa contains a large number of autofluorescent molecules such as collagen and keratin. It is claimed that this normal fluorescence is lost in dysplasia and carcinoma. Hand held blue light illuminators with filters to detect the green fluorescence are available for screening.

**Chemiluminescent light examination** This technique illuminates the mucosa with white light, with or without an initial dilute acetic acid rinse and/or toluidinium chloride stain. It is claimed that dysplastic and malignant lesions are more readily seen.

Both these illumination techniques remain to be proven in well-controlled studies.

All of these tests are screening tests and none is of sufficient accuracy to be used as a diagnostic test for carcinoma or dysplasia. Until such techniques are validated, a biopsy remains the safest and only justifiable course of action. Studies show that patients do not find biopsy a traumatic procedure and that healing is rarely problematic. It is an investigation that any dental surgeon should be able to perform and it should not be delayed.

**How much would you remove and from where?**

The ideal biopsy sample of mucosa to assess a white patch or mucosal condition is approximately 10 mm long and 4–5 mm wide. The sample should extend to muscle and provide sufficient deep tissue to support the epithelial sample and for the pathologist to inspect microscopically. In the lateral tongue this is only 2–3 mm depth because the muscle lies near the surface. In buccal mucosa the ideal depth is slightly more, up to 5 mm. If a lesion is suspected to extend deeply then a thicker sample will be required. This size of sample is readily removed, the wound easily closed, and healing is quick and without significant symptoms.

The site sampled should comprise mostly the lesion but with a part of the margin and some adjacent normal mucosa. In a higher risk lesion any suspicious areas (see list above) would be included. If several such areas were present, multiple samples might be required.

**The biopsy specimen is required to assess dysplasia. What is meant by dysplasia?**

Dysplasia means abnormal growth and can be used in different senses. Conditions termed dysplastic include developmental disturbances (such as ectodermal dysplasia), benign self-limiting overgrowths (such as fibrous dysplasia) and potentially malignant epithelial lesions. When applied to oral white or red patches, dysplasia means the microscopic changes which indicate a risk of malignant transformation.

**What are the features of epithelial dysplasia?**

Dysplasia is recognized by combinations of the following histological features:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth abnormality</th>
<th>Detected by presence of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Failure to form an organized epithelial layer</td>
<td>No well-defined basal cell, prickle and squamous cell layers (and keratin layer if present)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased number of layers of basal cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drop-shaped reticular processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss of polarity of the cells: vertically orientated rather than flattening towards the surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss of cohesion between cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disordered maturation and differentiation of single cells</td>
<td>Change in keratin pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Keratinization of single cells or clusters of cells deep in the epithelium rather than at the surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cells of bizarre shape (cytoplasmic pleomorphism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormalities of cell nuclei</td>
<td>Darkly staining nuclei (hyperchromatism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nuclei of varying sizes (anisonucleosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cells with bizarre nuclei (nuclear pleomorphism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal growth regulation</td>
<td>Increased numbers of mitoses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mitoses in suprabasal cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal mitoses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How do these changes differ from the histological changes seen in squamous carcinoma?**

Many, if not all, of these features are usually seen in squamous carcinomas. Carcinoma differs from dysplasia because it invades the underlying tissues, usually as separate islands and strands of epithelium, and may metastasize to distant sites.

**How is dysplasia assessed?**

The scoring of dysplasia is extremely difficult, not very reproducible and requires experience. Most pathologists divide dysplastic lesions into categories of mild, moderate and severe. Severe dysplasia is sometimes called carcinoma in situ.

With increasing severity of dysplasia there is increasing risk of malignant transformation. Although dysplasia is the best indicator of the risk of development of malignancy, the relationship between the two is complex. Severe dysplasia nearly always indicates a relatively high risk, but carcinoma will occasionally develop in a white lesion which shows minimal dysplasia.

**Are there more accurate predictors of transformation that can be applied to the biopsy?**

No. It has been proposed that measuring the total DNA content of the epithelial cells (ploidy analysis) is helpful and there are molecular genetic tests used for research. None of these techniques yet has sufficient evidence base for routine use.
Fig. 45.2 The histological appearances of the biopsy sample.

Does dysplasia always progress?

No. Dysplasia does not always progress and in some cases it may regress. Dysplasia indicates increased potential to become malignant but does not necessarily mean that any particular lesion will eventually do so.

The histological features of the lesion are shown in Figure 45.2. What do you see and how do you interpret the changes?

The surface is covered by a regular orthokeratinized stratified squamous epithelium. The basement membrane is almost flat with a few short dermal papillae extending up into the epithelium. The epithelium is abnormal for either lateral border or ventral tongue, neither of which shows even orthokeratosis.

There are minimal signs of dysplasia. The epithelium shows good stratification with well-organized basal, prickle cell, granular cell and keratin layers, each composed of cells at the same stage of maturation. The basal cell layer is slightly disorganized. Instead of a well-defined single layer of small dark cells there is a slightly irregular layer of cells whose nuclei vary a little in size and staining intensity. Near the centre there is one darkly staining cell in a suprabasal position (arrowed). No candida was found in a section stained with PAS stain.

The changes of abnormal keratinization and slight basal cell irregularity are not very marked. The epithelial cells form a well-organized epithelium; there are only occasional abnormal single cells, minimal nuclear abnormalities and no evidence of increased growth. These signs might be graded as either nondysplastic or mildly dysplastic depending on the pathologist. The final diagnosis given in this case was keratosis with mild dysplasia.

Diagnosis

What is the final diagnosis? Is this a risk lesion for malignant transformation?

The diagnosis is idiopathic white patch (or keratosis) with mild dysplasia. This is a risk lesion for malignant transformation.

Treatment

What treatment is indicated?

The following principles of treatment apply to all idiopathic keratoses. The patient should stop smoking and moderate their alcohol intake. If candidal infection had been detected it should be treated and checked for recurrence periodically. In this case, and others in which dysplasia is mild or absent, it is appropriate to monitor the lesion closely for changes in appearance, initially at 3-monthly intervals and extending to annual review provided the lesion does not change significantly. The aim is to detect any change in the severity of dysplasia or malignant transformation and this may require biopsy from time to time, depending on the changes noted. Photographic or digital images aid recognition of changes and are a valuable adjunct to the long-term review of white lesions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Risk of malignant transformation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dysplasia</td>
<td>The degree of dysplasia is the best predictor and it may change, either progressing or regressing, with time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>White lesions in the floor of mouth, posterior and lateral tongue and retromolar area carry the highest risk. Those on the hard palate and dorsum of tongue carry no significant risk. This distribution matches the distribution of oral squamous cell carcinoma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>Development of red areas carries a high risk and is usually associated with severe dysplasia histologically.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>Development of verrucous or nodular areas indicates high risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco use</td>
<td>Smoking indicates increased risk. However, smoking also causes many white patches with no dysplasia and so, statistically, patches in nonsmokers carry the higher risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>The risk of malignant transformation rises with age.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female patients are at higher risk (despite the fact that oral carcinoma is commoner in men).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Larger lesions have a higher risk of malignant transformation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Patches present for a longer time have a higher risk of malignant transformation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of carcinoma in upper aerodigestive tract</td>
<td>Indicates increased risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidal infection in presence of dysplasia</td>
<td>Indicates a small increase in risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in clinical appearance</td>
<td>Changes apart from colour, such as size, nodularity or development of a verrucous surface, indicate a higher risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlying conditions</td>
<td>Conditions which predispose to oral carcinoma, such as submucous fibrosis, raise the relative risk of malignant transformation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lesions with moderate or severe dysplasia may be excised, ablated by laser or treated with topical chemotherapeutic agents such as bleomycin. Occasionally patches are too large to treat in these ways and the only option is to monitor to detect malignant transformation as early as possible.

In addition it is important to remember that dysplasia probably affects all mucosa exposed to tobacco smoke and alcohol. There is a risk of carcinoma arising in the pharynx and respiratory tract and symptoms from these areas indicate a need for endoscopy.

**Prognosis**

- **What features would indicate that a white patch might become malignant over the coming years?**

  See Table on p. 213.

  In this case the lesion remained unchanged and the patient was reviewed at 3-monthly intervals for 1 year, 6-monthly intervals for 2 years and she continues under annual review. Four years after presentation a second biopsy was performed and the degree of dysplasia was still mild. Excision has been considered because the lesion is relatively accessible and in a high risk site for carcinoma, but has not been carried out because the dysplasia remains mild and the patient prefers not to have surgery. She keeps her patch under close observation, returning for an earlier appointment if she feels it has changed.
Case 46

Another white patch on the tongue

SUMMARY
A 39-year-old woman has a white patch on the lateral margin of her tongue. What is the cause and what are the treatment options?

Examination

Extraoral examination
She seems a healthy woman with no obvious skin, nail or eye lesions present on visible skin. No lymph nodes are palpable in the neck.

Intraoral examination
The oral mucosa appears normal, except for the tongue which is shown in Figure 46.1.

Describe the appearance of the tongue lesion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Right lateral border of tongue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>1 x 3 cm approximately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape</td>
<td>Ill defined ellipse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>Mixture of white and red components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>Appears nodular or irregular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Palpation reveals the lesion to be firmer than the adjacent mucosa. The white component of the area cannot be rubbed away. The tongue is freely mobile.

Differential diagnosis

What are the causes of mixed red and white patches in the mouth?

The causes of white patches are discussed more fully in Case 45. Several may also be associated with red areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Red and white lesion(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>Chemical burn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheek biting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Thrush (acute hyperplastic candidosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic hyperplastic candidosis (candidal ‘leukoplakia’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichen planus and similar</td>
<td>Lichen planus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conditions</td>
<td>Lichenoid reaction (topical and systemic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lupus erythematosus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic or smoking</td>
<td>Idiopathic keratosis (leukoplakia) including:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sublingual keratosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smoker’s keratosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speckled leukoplakia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stomatitis nicotina (smoker’s palate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoplasia</td>
<td>Squamous cell carcinoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Which of the above lesions would you include in the differential diagnosis for this particular lesion?
1. Squamous cell carcinoma
2. Idiopathic white patch with or without dysplasia including speckled leukoplakia
3. Chronic hyperplastic candidosis
4. Lichenoid reaction.

Justify this differential diagnosis.

The most important consideration in differential diagnosis for all oral white patches is that squamous carcinoma or a

History

Complaint
The patient has no symptoms.

History of complaint
The patient is an infrequent dental attender and has not been to the dentist for at least 5 years. Following an oral cancer awareness week she inspected her mouth and became nervous about her tongue. She would like it checked.

Medical history
She has had cervical dysplasia treated in the previous year by cone biopsy and this has left her very worried about cancer. She is otherwise fit and well.

She has smoked 40 cigarettes daily since the age of 18 years and drinks 14 units of alcohol per week as wine.

Fig. 46.1 The patient’s tongue.
premalignant lesion may be the cause. This is especially so when lesions are red and white or speckled.

**Squamous carcinoma** is a likely diagnosis and the most significant diagnosis. Although this patient is young for a squamous carcinoma, cases are seen in the fourth decade of life and the incidence in younger patients appears to be increasing both in the UK and elsewhere. The patient drinks and smokes heavily and these are the main risk factors for oral squamous cell carcinoma. She drinks 14 units of alcohol per week (maximum recommended intake 14 units female, 21 units male). These maximum intakes are considered ‘safe’ in terms of liver and cardiovascular disease risk but no safe limit is recognized for cancer. There is no safe intake for tobacco and the combined relative risk for this patient to develop carcinoma is at least 5–10 times higher than for a nonsmoker or occasional drinker. The presence of the lesion in a high-risk site, its speckled appearance and association with smoking are very worrying regardless of the patient’s age. This lesion should be considered a carcinoma until proved otherwise.

A premalignant lesion would be the next most likely diagnosis. Option 2 in the differential diagnosis covers all white patches of unknown aetiology, some of which carry a risk of malignant transformation and show dysplasia on microscopic examination. The risk of malignant transformation is higher in those with a red component which may be either a speckled area or in a separate, usually adjacent, site. The risk factors are the same as those for carcinoma, and if this lesion is not a carcinoma it is almost certainly premalignant.

**Which lesions are less likely possibilities? Explain why.**

**Candidal infection** should always be considered as a cause of white patches, particularly when red areas are associated. It is very common. The combination of red and white is most likely to signify thrush (acute hyperplastic candidosis). However, lesions of thrush are usually more widespread than in the present case and at least some of the white plaques may be removed by rubbing. Chronic hyperplastic candidosis (candidal leukoplakia) forms a discrete white plaque that is sometimes associated with red areas. Although it is normally found on the buccal mucosa and dorsal surface of the tongue, it is a possible diagnosis for the current lesion. It should also be remembered that almost any white patch in the mouth may be susceptible to infection by candida simply because of the increased thickness of keratin on the surface of the epithelium. Thus the presence of candidal infection does not preclude an underlying carcinoma, dysplasia or a lichen planus like condition.

**Lichen planus and similar conditions** are relatively common causes of intraoral white lesions. Lichen planus, lichenoid reactions and lupus erythematosus are usually readily identifiable by virtue of a presence of lacy white striae, association with atrophic areas and/or desquamative gingivitis and their symmetrical bilateral distribution. In smokers, both lichen planus and lichenoid reactions may present as discrete white plaques but these plaque-type lesions are not usually associated with red areas. Localized single white lesions may also result from topically induced lichenoid reactions such as those to dental restorative materials. However, these are all most unlikely to be responsible for the current lesion because their clinical appearance and distribution are distinct.

**What features might indicate that this lesion is already malignant? Which are early and which are late signs?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Early</th>
<th>Late</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red or speckled areas</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonhealing ulceration</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolled everted ulcer margin</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induration of surrounding tissues</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding from the surface</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixation of the tissues</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destruction of adjacent bone</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enlarged hard lymph nodes</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Small carcinomas are probably those which have been diagnosed early but there is great variation in rate of growth and this is only an assumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Unpredictable, often absent, sometimes the presenting complaint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Investigations**

**What special investigations are indicated?**

A biopsy is generally considered mandatory for any oral white lesion. This is especially important if no cause is apparent. When malignancy or significant dysplasia is suspected, as in the present case, the biopsy should be performed as soon as possible because early diagnosis is a major factor for successful treatment of oral squamous carcinoma.

**Would you perform this biopsy in general practice?**

No, definitely not. Although removing a sample of the tissue is well within the capability of the general dental practitioner, it would be unwise to do so. The patient will return for the result and dental practitioners are not usually the appropriate person to break the news of malignant disease. There is also a theoretical risk that biopsy of the wrong site or removal of the whole of a small lesion might compromise subsequent treatment but this is a largely theoretical problem. In a practice environment the patient should be referred urgently, preferably the same day, to the centre where definitive treatment is likely to be provided. This will allow the most appropriate biopsy to be performed. No other special investigations are indicated at this stage.

UK treatment centres operate a ‘two week wait’ referral pathway for suspected malignancy. To use this fast-track process the dentist must usually FAX a specific referral form direct to a central office at their local Cancer Network treatment centre.

**Which part of the lesion should be removed for biopsy?**

The specimen should include those areas most likely to be malignant, the red and speckled parts. Some normal tissue should also be included and the sample should be about
1 cm long, 4–5 mm wide, and an even depth including underlying muscle. Larger malignancies are often friable and if the specimen is too small it may disintegrate on removal. No attempt should be made to excise the whole lesion until a diagnosis is obtained.

**The biopsy specimen is shown in Figure 46.2. What are the microscopic features and how do you interpret them?**

The lower power view (Fig. 46.2a) shows tongue mucosa with underlying muscle. The overlying epithelium is very irregular and instead of being an even and well organized layer it forms an irregular series of rete processes which penetrate deeply into the underlying tissue. The deepest epithelium is breaking off into apparently separate islands and strands and these extend deeply between muscle bundles. The higher power (Fig. 46.2b) view is taken from the deep surface and shows the deepest epithelium invading muscle. The epithelium is disorganized, with keratin forming in the centre of islands and an irregular darkly stained basal cell layer around the edge. This epithelium has lost its ordered maturation and stratification and is invading the underlying muscle. Invasion indicates malignancy and the malignant epithelium shows squamous differentiation.

**Diagnosis**

**What is the diagnosis?**

The patient has a squamous cell carcinoma. It is only superficially invasive and probably an early lesion.

**Treatment**

**What types of treatment are possible and what is the prognosis?**

The lesion appears to have been diagnosed at a much earlier stage than most oral carcinomas. Treatment may be by radiotherapy (implant or external beam), by surgery or both in combination. The final decision will depend on the results of investigations to stage the carcinoma (determine its size and extent of metastases to lymph nodes and distant sites). In the absence of metastasis, treatment is likely to be surgery alone and a 5-year survival rate of 85% or better can be achieved. If the lesion were larger, implant radiotherapy might well be suggested. If the patient survives 10 years she is likely to be cured. However, 10% of oral carcinoma patients develop a second primary lesion in the mouth or upper aerodigestive tract. The chances of developing a second lesion are assumed to be reduced by stopping smoking and the patient should be encouraged to do so. Smoking-associated cardiovascular disease, if severe, may also compromise treatment.

Further details of the treatment of oral carcinoma are given in Case 57.
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Molar endodontic treatment

SUMMARY
A 52-year-old man presents with pain from a tooth in the lower left quadrant. What is the cause and how will you treat him?

History

Complaint
This patient complains of pain from a tooth in the lower left quadrant, but is unsure which tooth is the cause.

History of complaint

What specific questions would you ask with regard to the history of pain?

Relevant questions are shown below, together with the patient’s answers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Patient’s answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When did the pain start?</td>
<td>About 8 months ago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did anything start or provoke the pain?</td>
<td>Initially hot and cold food and drink brought the pain on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How long did the pain last at that time?</td>
<td>Only for a few seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is the character of the pain?</td>
<td>Initially sharp but now dull and throbbing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other than the change in the intensity of the pain, has it changed in any other way?</td>
<td>The pain is still brought on by hot and cold foods and now lasts for hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the pain start spontaneously? Does it wake you up or keep you awake at night?</td>
<td>Initially no, but as the pain intensity has increased over the last 2–3 days it has woken me at night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does anything make the pain better?</td>
<td>Paracetamol has a mild relieving effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the tooth painful to bite on?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dental history
The patient is new to your practice but has always attended the dentist regularly.

Medical history
The patient is fit and well.

On the basis of what you know already, what is the likely diagnosis?
The pain is almost certainly pulpitis. It is brought on by hot and cold and is poorly localized. It has progressed from intermittent pain, suggesting reversible pulpitis, to a severe pain that lasts hours. The severity and duration now suggest that pulpitis might be irreversible.

What is irreversible pulpitis?
Irreversible pulpitis is a concept rather than a well-defined clinical condition. Pulpitis is usually chronic and progresses intermittently and unpredictably with or without acute phases. At some stage the pulp must be irretrievably damaged, probably because pressure from inflammation compresses the pulpal veins and arteries. This causes loss of vitality. The ability to predict that a pulp will die would be useful when deciding possible treatments. Unfortunately, the clinical symptoms that accompany pulpitis are very variable, especially in multirotted teeth, and pain is a subjective sensation. Severe pain of long duration, spontaneous pain and waking at night are usually taken to indicate the irreversible stage of pulpitis but predicting the future is never reliable and making this diagnosis involves an element of uncertainty.

Examination

Extraoral examination
The temporomandibular joints appear normal and there are no submental, submandibular or cervical lymph nodes palpable.

Intraoral examination
On examination you discover poor oral hygiene with gingivitis around posterior teeth. The dentition is heavily restored, with multiple crowns, but only the third molars are missing.

In the lower left quadrant there are two likely causes for the pain. The first molar has a metal ceramic crown with a defective margin and caries distally. The second molar tooth has a disto-occlusal composite restoration with poor occlusal contour and a large distal ledge. The premolars appear sound.

What parts of the examination would be most useful? Why?

Teeth should be percussed to establish whether any are tender. Adjacent, apparently healthy control teeth should be percussed first for comparison. Tenderness indicates inflammation in the periodontal ligament and causes would include very late stage irreversible pulpitis or a necrotic pulp.

Palpation of the mucosa overlying the tooth apices with a fingertip. Tenderness indicates extension of inflammation from the periodontal ligament to the surrounding bone and the activity of any inflammation present. Compare with apparently normal teeth. Lower molar apices are close to the lingual mandibular cortex and must be palpated lingually as well as buccally.
Mobility should be assessed. Mobility is increased slightly if there is inflammation of the periodontal ligament. If a periapical abscess or acute inflammation is present, the tooth may be raised in the socket. You must exclude increased mobility caused by periodontal disease, root fracture, recent trauma, and premature occlusal contact.

Periodontal probing to detect loss of attachment or exposed dentine. Dentine hypersensitivity would not cause such severe, long-lasting pain. However, pocketing or previous periodontal treatment could have exposed a lateral canal or canal in the furcation, allowing bacteria access to the pulp.

Search for a sinus. This would indicate periapical infection. Sinuses may heal and present as a small fibrous nodule indicating past or intermittent infection. The search must include lingual alveolar mucosa because the apices of lower molars lie closer to the lingual than buccal cortex.

On examining the patient you find that no teeth are mobile, tender to percussion or have apical tenderness. There is no detectable sinus. Inflammation appears limited to the pulp. No periodontitis is present.

Investigations

What investigations would you perform?

Tests of pulp vitality are required to increase confidence in your assessment of the status of the pulp. Electric, and hot and cold thermal testing are available and a stimulus that usually provokes the pain is recommended. If tests give equivocal responses, a test cavity without local analgesia should prove conclusive.

A periapical radiograph of the first and second molar teeth is required.

What are the limitations of tests of vitality?

Tests of vitality do not measure pulp vitality but test for a continuous sensory nerve pathway from the pulp to the brain. For this reason they are sometimes referred to as sensitivity tests.

It is assumed that pulp without sensory innervation is devitalized but this is not necessarily so. Inflammation can alter sensation and sensation may be incorrectly localized (see Case 1). Conversely, a positive response does not guarantee the health of the pulp.

Tests sometimes indicate a hypersensitive pulp and this is a more useful piece of information because detection of hypersensitivity is not prone to false results.

What are the causes of misleading electric pulp test results?

Both false positive and false negative sensitivity responses can occur. Causes are listed in the table at the bottom of the page, together with precautions that minimize the risk of a spurious result.

On performing these tests you discover that the lower second molar gives a hypersensitive response to hot gutta percha. The pain lasted until a local anaesthetic was given.

The periapical radiograph is shown in Figure 47.1. What do you see?

The lower premolar teeth are unrestored and there is no caries. The molar teeth show several changes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of error</th>
<th>Possible precautions to minimise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>False positive response</td>
<td>Test control teeth first, several times if unsure, raise tester setting slowly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient's anticipation of pain/sensitivity</td>
<td>Test at several sites, over each root, on exposed dentine if possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multirotted tooth with one or more canals containing necrotic pulp tissue, the remainder vital tissue</td>
<td>Ensure teeth are dry, keep electrode away from amalgams that extend subgingivally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensation originates in the gingiva because the tooth is not electrically isolated</td>
<td>Keep electrode away from amalgams that contact adjacent teeth, dry teeth, isolate teeth with a small piece of rubber dam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C nerve fibres within the pulp tissue can still function for some time after loss of their blood supply</td>
<td>None possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False negative response</td>
<td>Ensure good contact surface area and use conductive jelly to bridge surfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor contact between electrode and tooth</td>
<td>None possible unless restoration is to be replaced, access can be cut in crown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate electrical access to tooth tissue because of insulating nonmetallic coverage</td>
<td>None possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced age. Pulp insulated by thick physiological secondary dentine</td>
<td>Place electrode close to root canal rather than occlusally if this is suspected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavily restored or worn tooth with a pulp insulated by thick reactionary, tertiary, dentine</td>
<td>None possible, not usually a problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High pain/sensation threshold</td>
<td>None possible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The lower second molar gives a hypersensitive response to hot gutta percha. The pain lasted until a local anaesthetic was given.

The periapical radiograph is shown in Figure 47.1. What do you see?

The lower premolar teeth are unrestored and there is no caries. The molar teeth show several changes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of error</th>
<th>Possible precautions to minimise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>False positive response</td>
<td>Test control teeth first, several times if unsure, raise tester setting slowly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient's anticipation of pain/sensitivity</td>
<td>Test at several sites, over each root, on exposed dentine if possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multirotted tooth with one or more canals containing necrotic pulp tissue, the remainder vital tissue</td>
<td>Ensure teeth are dry, keep electrode away from amalgams that extend subgingivally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensation originates in the gingiva because the tooth is not electrically isolated</td>
<td>Keep electrode away from amalgams that contact adjacent teeth, dry teeth, isolate teeth with a small piece of rubber dam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C nerve fibres within the pulp tissue can still function for some time after loss of their blood supply</td>
<td>None possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False negative response</td>
<td>Ensure good contact surface area and use conductive jelly to bridge surfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor contact between electrode and tooth</td>
<td>None possible unless restoration is to be replaced, access can be cut in crown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate electrical access to tooth tissue because of insulating nonmetallic coverage</td>
<td>None possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced age. Pulp insulated by thick physiological secondary dentine</td>
<td>Place electrode close to root canal rather than occlusally if this is suspected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavily restored or worn tooth with a pulp insulated by thick reactionary, tertiary, dentine</td>
<td>None possible, not usually a problem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Diagnosis

What is the most likely diagnosis?

Irreversible pulpitis in the lower left second molar tooth caused by the large mesial carious lesion. When the pain started 8 months ago the carious lesion would have been smaller and the pulpitis reversible.

Treatment

What emergency treatment would you provide at the first appointment?

The first molar is unrestorable because caries involves the furcation. This tooth will require extraction but this is not an immediate problem. The periapical lesion is chronic and painless and the second molar, as the cause of the pain, is the first priority.

Loss of the first molar makes it desirable to conserve the second if possible. Caries is extensive in the second molar and extension down the mesial root is a potential problem. Definitive restoration will require either a deep subgingival restoration or a crown lengthening procedure. The possibilities will become clearer after excavating the caries, but it is possible that extraction will be required or requested by the patient.

Assuming the tooth is to be conserved, the priority is relief of pain. Having assessed the pulpitis as irreversible, extirpation of the pulp is the only appropriate treatment and this will require cleaning and shaping of the root canals to ensure no remnants remain. Extirpation with barbed broach alone risks leaving fragments of inflamed vital pulp that could cause pain after treatment. A root canal dressing of calcium hydroxide will inhibit bacterial growth. This must be sealed from the mouth with a suitable temporary dressing material to prevent bacterial ingress pending definitive treatment.

Pulpotomy, with removal of the coronal pulp, or partial pulpectomy, with removal of pulp from the widest canal, is sometimes advocated in multirooted teeth but should be avoided. The apical extent of inflammation in the pulp is unknown and pain relief cannot be guaranteed.

What definitive treatment does the patient require for the second molar?

The patient should return for obturation of the root canals and a definitive coronal restoration. A cuspal coverage indirect restoration should be considered to give protection against occlusal forces, either an onlay or core with a full coverage crown. Post crowns in molar teeth are to be avoided because of the incidence of perforations and root fractures. Retention should be sufficient from the undercut shape of the pulp chamber and the remaining coronal tooth structure (see Case 1 and Case 9).

After discussing the possibility of restoring or extracting the second molar, the patient opts for root canal treatment. You carry out the first stage of treatment successfully. However, the patient fails to return to complete the treatment for 6 months. When he returns you discover that the tooth has remained asymptomatic but the coronal temporary restoration has been lost and the lingual cusp has fractured off. The pulp chamber is open to the oral cavity but the tooth is still restorable.

What effect will this have on the long-term prognosis for this tooth?

Loss of the coronal seal will have allowed microbial invasion of the root canals and dentinal tubules. Bacteria and their metabolic and breakdown products are major irritants and will penetrate apically and along lateral canals to induce or maintain periapical inflammation. In addition, the flora in the canal will change and a more mixed oral flora with anaerobes will become established in the canal. This may be more difficult to eradicate and be more likely to penetrate through the apex and induce an acute abscess. Fracture of the lingual cusp further weakens the tooth and complicates building up a core to support a definitive restoration.

What should be the next stage of treatment?

Root canal treatment must be recommenced as soon as possible. The tooth should be isolated with rubber dam and the root canals cleaned and shaped, using appropriate files and copious irrigation with sodium hypochlorite. Sodium hypochlorite is antibacterial but some bacteria may survive in lateral canals and dentinal tubules that are blocked by the smear layer produced by instrumentation. The smear layer must be removed by occasionally irrigating with either citric acid or EDTA solution. Hypochlorite will then be able to penetrate the dentine and lateral canals. It is important that coronal root canal preparation is carried out first to reduce the bacterial load and improve access to the apical portion of the root canal. The working length can then be determined and confirmed with a working length radiograph (Fig. 47.2).

The working length radiograph is shown in Figure 47.2. What do you see and what does it mean?

There is a large periapical radiolucency that was not present in Figure 47.1; the lesion has developed as a result of the canals being left open. This is almost certainly a
granuloma rather than a radicular cyst, as cysts take some time to develop.

The working length files have been placed beyond the root apices. This should be avoided as debris, irritants, medicaments or bacteria can be introduced into the periradicular tissues, delaying the healing process.

A further film is required to confirm the working length using larger ISO-size files. Determining the working length has clearly been a problem and an apex locator may help locate the apical constriction, which is 1–2 mm short of the radiographic apex and often not visible on a periapical film.

A further dressing of nonsetting calcium hydroxide paste for 1 week is required to kill any bacteria remaining within the root canal system. If the tooth remains asymptomatic, obturation can be carried out at the following visit. The definitive restoration should be placed without delay to prevent coronal leakage and to avoid further fracture of tooth structure. The final appearance after obturation is shown in Figure 47.3.

How long should this tooth be reviewed after completion of root canal treatment? What are the criteria for success?

Root canal treatment should be reviewed for at least 4 years because complete healing may require considerable time.

Outcome must be evaluated clinically and radiographically. The radiographic assessment must be made by comparing the appearances with previous films, taken under as near standardized conditions as possible.

Criteria for success and failure are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Success</th>
<th>No symptoms</th>
<th>No tenderness on percussion or increased mobility</th>
<th>No sinus</th>
<th>Width and contour of periodontal ligament normal</th>
<th>Slight radiolucency around excess filling material allowable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>No symptoms</td>
<td>No tenderness on percussion or increased mobility</td>
<td>No sinus</td>
<td>Residual radiolucency at 4 years that is smaller than seen on completion of root canal filling. Some authorities suggest that under these circumstances a further period of 3 years’ healing time should be given</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Tenderness on percussion or increased mobility</td>
<td>Sinus</td>
<td>Unchanged or enlarged periradicular radiolucency</td>
<td>Development of new radiolucency at another site on the tooth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUMMARY

A 60-year-old female patient has pain from a root-treated tooth. What will you do?

The lower left second permanent molar was originally treated endodontically because the patient developed acute pulpitis. Two attempts at root canal treatment were carried out before the intense pain subsided, with the root canal filling being placed at a third appointment. An endodontic instrument fractured in one of the root canals at the second appointment and the patient was informed of this by the dentist. A temporary restoration was placed to allow a period to assess resolution of symptoms but the patient preferred not to return to the same dentist, feeling that the treatment had gone wrong.

How do you assess the case so far?

Several features of the history are significant.

Pain on biting and well-localized pain indicate periapical periodontitis and the cause is almost certainly failure of the root canal treatment.

There was a fractured instrument. This probably means that no apical seal would have been achieved in that canal, reducing the chances of successful treatment.

The provisional restoration was lost 4 months ago. This indicates a complete loss of coronal seal to the root canal system that would allow microleakage of bacteria and their toxins along the length of the root filling. The extent to which this will have occurred is time-dependent. As a general rule, if the root canal filling has been exposed to the oral cavity for 3 months or more, retreatment should be considered even in the absence of clinical signs or symptoms.

Examination

Extraoral examination

There is no facial swelling or tenderness associated with the tooth.

Intraoral examination

You examine the patient following the procedure outlined in problem 47. The lower second molar has a large cavity distally with obvious caries and exposed gutta percha root filling in the pulp chamber. It has tilted mesially and is tender to percussion. The third molar has a large, poorly contoured amalgam restoration.

Investigations

A radiograph is necessary and a periapical view of the teeth in the lower left quadrant is the most appropriate.

What information do you wish to obtain from the radiograph?

- What is the nature and quality of the previous endodontic treatment?
- Are any root canals detectable? Failure of treatment may be caused by failure to detect or fill all canals. Any remaining canals in teeth that have large carious lesions, restorations, marked toothwear or suffered trauma may be sclerotic as a result of tertiary dentine formation.
- Is there periapical radiolucency? This would indicate persistence of infection or inflammation.
**AN ENDOdontIC PROBLEM**

- **What is the estimated working length?** This can only be estimated from a periapical radiograph, which is always slightly magnified even when taken with a paralleling technique.

- **What is the root morphology?** The number of roots and their orientation can be identified. Root curvatures and diameters can also be observed; however, it is important to remember that radiographic images are two-dimensional representations of three-dimensional structures. Canals are often much wider buccolingually than can be appreciated in a conventional radiograph.

**The preoperative radiograph is shown in Figure 48.1. What do you see?**

The canine and premolars are restored and no caries is present, though there is significant toothwear anteriorly. The tilted second molar is root-treated and the fractured instrument is visible in one of the mesial canals.

The film is not of adequate diagnostic quality. The packet or sensor has not been placed far enough posteriorly to include the third molar and the periapical tissues are not visible. The collimator has coned off the distal part of the film because the tube head has been positioned too far anteriorly. Using a paralleling technique with a film holder has produced a true parallel projection, but this has superimposed the mesial canals. It is not possible to determine which of the two canals contains the fractured instrument and it will be necessary to determine exactly where the instrument is, if an attempt is to be made to remove it.

**How will you locate the fractured instrument?**

By using the parallax technique. A second radiograph must be taken at the same vertical angulation, but at a different horizontal angle, usually from the mesial.

**The original and second parallax view are shown in Figure 48.2. What do you see and where is the instrument?**

The film on the left in Figure 48.2 is the original film and that on the right is taken from the mesial. The second film is better positioned and shows clearly the presence of a fractured instrument and that there is no periapical radiolucency. The poorly contoured amalgam in the third molar can now be assessed.

Using the MBD (mesial, buccal, distal) rule, when the X-ray beam moves to the mesial, the buccal canal will appear to move to the distal. The broken instrument appears to move mesially and so it must be in the mesiolingual root canal.

**What endodontic instruments are prone to fracture and what has fractured in this tooth?**

The broken instrument is a spiral root canal filler, recognized by its tapering helical shape and broad pitch.

Instruments prone to fracture are shown in Figure 48.3.

**How can instrument fracture be prevented?**

In the past, reuse and heat sterilization of instruments weakened them but the current guidelines recommending single-use instruments should largely overcome this problem. Instruments that jam in a root canal during use may become distorted, weakening them, and should be immediately discarded. All files should be inspected throughout use for signs of distortion, as shown in Figure 48.4.

Otherwise, the most important factors are correct use of instruments and correct access cavity design. Rotary nickel titanium files in particular must be used in an electric torque-controlled motor at the correct setting and with minimal apical pressure.

---

**Fig. 48.2.** The original film, top left and parallax view, taken from the mesial, top right. The black line across both films shows the level of the cross-section shown below from the occlusal aspect. On the left the beam angle superimposes both mesial molar root canals. On the right, the angled beam produces separate images of the two canals.
Correct access cavity preparation underpins the success of root canal treatment. The cavity should allow straight-line access for instruments to the root canals. If not, instruments will be overstressed in use and develop weak points. Figure 48.5 outlines the ideal access cavity preparation for all tooth types.

Some instruments prone to fracture can simply be avoided. Gates–Glidden burs can lead to overpreparation and nickel titanium orifice shapers are a better option. Spiral root fillers are mainly used to place nonsetting calcium hydroxide paste between appointments. However, this is largely unnecessary because alternative applicators are available, as shown in Figure 48.6.

**Treatment**

- **How will you remove the fractured spiral filler?**

  Magnification is an essential aid to treatment, both to locate canals and to identify the fragment.

  Initially, try simple techniques. Sometimes an ultrasonic scaler tip applied to the fractured instrument can transmit sufficient energy through the instrument to break down the dentine jammed against it, loosening it. The main disadvantages are the potential for excessive root dentine removal and that often the end of the fractured instrument disintegrates. Where there is space alongside the instrument, it may be...
possible to bypass it using a small Hedstrom file. On the outward stroke the flutes may engage with the instrument and pull it out.

Alternatively, specially designed instruments are available. Instrument Removal System (IRS, Dentsply) and Masseran kit both adopt the same principle. Figure 48.7 shows how the IRS system is used. It consists of two components. The outer part is a colour-coded hollow tube, made in a series of internal and external diameters to accommodate different sizes of root canal and fractured instrument. Inside the tube is a rapidly tapered titanium wedge that locks to the outer tube with a screw thread. The end of the hollow tube is bevelled and has a window cut on one side. The tube is slipped over
the head of the fractured instrument and the internal tapered wedge is screwed down until it grips and wedges the fractured instrument against the tube, so that it can then be rotated and pulled out. A gutter of root dentine may need to be removed from around the head of the fractured instrument to allow the tube to slip over it.

**How easy will removal be?**

Removal is always unpredictable but the following factors may indicate the likely outcome.

**Location** within the root canal, coronal, middle or apical third. If the instrument is present in the coronal third and visible using magnification there is a much greater likelihood that it can be removed. Instruments that have fractured apically in curved canals present the greatest challenge. Obtaining access to them in a straight line is often impossible without removing excessive dentine and there is a risk of perforating the root. It is usually advisable to leave such fragments in situ.

**Type of instrument.** Most stainless-steel files can be removed if they are accessible. These are now commonly used to create an initial guide path prior to nickel titanium instrumentation and if used correctly should not have excessively engaged the root canal dentine. Instruments that are designed to cut the dentine are more likely to be embedded into it if used incorrectly. For this reason, nickel titanium files and Gates–Glidden burs are usually difficult or impossible to remove.

**Width and length** of fragment. Wider and more rigid instruments are more difficult to remove, as are longer segments of instruments. Both are more likely to have a large surface area in contact with the dentine and bind the dentine with greater friction.

**Anatomy of canal.** It is easier to bypass and remove instruments from an oval canal than a round one.

**What options are available if a broken instrument cannot be removed?**

This partly depends on when the instrument broke. If this happened in the early stages of canal preparation, it is likely that the canal will remain infected and treatment may be compromised. Fracture during the finishing stages of preparation, after adequate irrigation with sodium hypochlorite, may have reduced the numbers of bacteria sufficiently to allow obturation to the level of the instrument with a successful outcome.

**Fig. 48.8** Postoperative radiograph of the completed root canal treatment, prior to final restoration.

Otherwise, retreatment of the remaining canals and the canal up to the fractured instrument may be sufficient to eliminate residual bacteria from the root canal system and create an adequate seal coronally and throughout the root canal system. Alternatively, or if retreatment fails on that root alone, root resection or hemisection will allow the affected root to be removed. This is only possible if the roots are not fused and so would not be possible in the present case. Periradicular surgery may also be a possibility but would be difficult in a lower molar tooth because of the density of the buccal bone and proximity of the inferior dental nerve canal.

Unfortunately, extraction may be the only possible option.

In this case, the spiral root canal filler was easily removed because it was possible to bypass the instrument and remove it with a Hedstrom file. Subsequent root canal preparation with nickel titanium rotary instruments produced a more tapered root canal preparation allowing excellent shaping, debridement, irrigation and obturation. The retreatment is shown in Figure 48.8. The tooth was finally restored with a full-coverage gold crown to ensure a good coronal seal and provide cusp reinforcement and a good contact point with the adjacent tooth.
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**Case 49**

**A swollen face**

**SUMMARY**

A 30-year-old man is referred to your dental hospital by his general practitioner with a painful swelling of the right side of the face. What is the cause and what treatment would you provide?

**Medical history**

The patient is otherwise fit and well.

**Examination**

**Extraoral examination**

The patient is shown in Figure 49.1. The swelling is hot, tender and firm centrally but peripherally it is almost painless and softer. It extends from the nose to the anterior border of the masseter and the lower eyelid is very oedematous and contains blood pigment as if bruised.

The swelling is not pointing extraorally. There are palpable tender lymph nodes in the upper deep cervical chain.

**Intraoral examination**

The patient has slight limitation of opening which does not significantly hamper examination. The sulcus adjacent to the upper first molar and both premolars is tender and slightly reduced in depth by a firm swelling. The upper first and second premolars and first molar have large amalgam restorations. However the patient indicates clearly that the second premolar is the cause of the pain and this tooth is slightly mobile and raised in its socket. It is very tender on percussion and nonvital on testing with ethyl chloride. The first molar and first premolar appear vital.

**Investigations**

- **Which additional investigation is critically important? Why?**

  Taking the patient’s temperature. This gives a good indication of the systemic effects of the infection and reflects the amount of pus in abscesses and/or the tendency of the infection to spread. The patient has a temperature of 37.2°C.

- **Would you take a radiograph?**

  In this case a radiograph is not a useful investigation. Tests of vitality are much more likely to identify the causative tooth and, in any case, there appears to be no doubt about the diagnosis.

  However there are good reasons why taking a radiograph may not help or even be counterproductive:

  1. It takes up to 3 weeks for radiographic changes to develop at the root apex after pulp necrosis.
  2. The radiographic features may mislead if you attempt to use them to diagnose loss of vitality. When root apices are radiographed with the maxillary antrum superimposed, the normal periodontal ligament appears wider. This may be confused with early apical changes of infection.

  Both these problems are appreciated in Figure 49.2, the periapical radiograph of this patient, which is completely normal.
**Diagnosis**

- **What do these findings tell you?**
  
  The combination of inflammation, the nonvital tooth and adjacent probable abscess indicate an odontogenic soft tissue infection. The history of severe toothache which suddenly resolved suggests pulpitis subsequently relieved by necrosis of the pulp. The subsequent pain of a different character with a tender tooth suggests an apical abscess. The patient points clearly to the second premolar and this is almost certainly the cause of the pain because pain involving the periodontal ligament is well localized.

  Trismus is an important sign, indicating that infection or inflammation has spread to involve muscles of mastication. However, trismus is not severe and probably results from inflammation and oedema of the buccinator and the anterior fibres of the masseter which lie at the posterior border of the swelling.

  The infection has induced minimal systemic effects and the patient is not significantly pyrexial. Luckily, the infection appears to be localized. The firm centre to the swelling and the swelling in the sulcus will contain pus.

- **What types of soft tissue infection arising from teeth cause facial swelling? How may they be distinguished and what is the relevance of doing so?**

  Facial swelling may be the result of oedema, abscess formation, cellulitis or their combination.

  It is important to determine which of these types of infection are present because the treatment and sequelae are different. Abscesses require drainage. Cellulitis requires aggressive treatment, usually including antibiotics, and oedema requires no direct treatment but resolves when the causative tooth is removed or the pulp treated.

  Despite the fact that these terms are convenient, in practice most odontogenic soft tissue infections are caused by a mixed microbial flora and do not fall neatly into one category or another. It is not unusual to find an abscess with a surrounding zone of cellulitis and a degree of oedema is always present. Which type of infection develops is determined by the virulence of the pathogens (and synergy between species in the mixed flora), the resistance of the host and the anatomical constraints on the infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of swelling</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oedema</td>
<td>Soft, not very red or hot, not tender on palpation and not painful. Compressible with slow continuous pressure. Often accounts for much of the facial swelling in children with odontogenic infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abscess</td>
<td>Localized collection of pus which feels hard if small, tense or covered by a thick layer of tissues. If large it may be softer and exhibit fluctuance. Pointing to the skin or mucosa indicates abscess formation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulitis</td>
<td>Brawny, poorly localized swelling with marked tenderness and dusky redness. May contain small collections of pus but no large localized abscesses. Spreads, sometimes rapidly, through tissues. Usually associated with systemic symptoms, pyrexia, malaise, leukocytosis and lymphadenitis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **If infections are not easily characterized, what are the important features on which treatment must be based?**

  The critical factors which must be determined are whether:
  
  - an abscess cavity is present (palpation, eliciting fluctuation)
  - there is evidence of systemic effects (malaise, pyrexia, a toxic-shocked appearance)
  - the infection is spreading rapidly (judged by the history and observation during treatment)
  - the patient is predisposed to infection (from the medical history).

- **Which type of infection is this?**

  This appears to be primarily an abscess with surrounding oedema.

- **In what tissue space(s) is the infection tracking/localizing? What are the boundaries of this space?**

  This abscess appears to be in the upper part of the buccal space. This is a potential space between the buccinator muscle and the facial muscles and parotid fascia, filled normally with loose connective tissue. Posteriorly it communicates with the masseter muscle and around the front of the ramus to the pterygoid space. Oedema spreads beyond the buccal space to involve the lower eyelid and anterior cheek in the canine fossa. The abscess is not yet pointing to the skin.

- **Why has the infection localized here? Will it remain localized here?**

  Abscesses arising from the canine, premolar and molar teeth which perforate the buccal plate of alveolar bone will spill out into the soft tissues either above or below the attachment of the buccinator. The attachment of the buccinator usually runs below the apices of the upper teeth so that infection is likely to pass superficially to the buccinator and into the cheek. If it passes below the attachment, an alveolar abscess or sinus will develop. Paths of spread of infection from an upper premolar are shown in Figure 49.3.
pterygoid plexus of veins which connect directly to the cavernous sinus via the foramen ovale. The local features are seen on one side at first but the signs become bilateral as the thrombus grows. The features are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local effects</th>
<th>Systemic effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marked oedema of the eyelids</td>
<td>Rapid pulse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulsating exophthalmos caused by venous obstruction</td>
<td>Marked pyrexia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A dilated facial vein</td>
<td>Severe malaise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibition of movement of the eye</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papilloedema and retinal haemorrhage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to treatment for the infection, thrombosis requires anticoagulation. The mortality rate is high.

**Treatment**

- **What are the general principles of treatment for all odontogenic infections of the soft tissues?**

  Treatment should be started rapidly. Infection may spread quickly and in some cases progress to a life-threatening situation with great rapidity. Identify patients with a risk of significant complications. Those at risk of airway obstruction, cavernous sinus thrombosis or showing toxæmia, suffering malaise or with a high temperature should be treated immediately, possibly even with parenteral antibiotics pending definitive diagnosis, and admitted to hospital for treatment.

  Pus must be drained as soon as possible. With most infections causing swollen faces, effective drainage of pus and removal of the cause are the only treatment required. To ensure success, a drain may need to be placed in the incision.

  Remove the cause as soon as possible. Removal of the causative tooth both prevents continuing infection and also drains the intraosseous abscess. The exception to this rule is when the cause is pericoronitis. In this case the soft tissue rather than the tooth is the cause and extraction can be detrimental (see Case 32).

  Provide antibiotic treatment if necessary. Antibiotics provide little benefit over drainage and removing the cause, but are often used and occasionally required. Pus should be collected on drainage and submitted to microbiology for culture and sensitivity investigation, in case a change of antibiotic is required subsequently.

  Provide supportive measures. Ensure that the patient can eat, maintain a good fluid intake and rests. Consider admission to hospital until recovery has started and provide appropriate analgesia.

  Review progress regularly. Daily review is appropriate for those treated on an outpatient basis. Those whose infection is serious enough to merit admission require more frequent review, between every hour and 6 hours depending on their status. If signs and symptoms do not improve progressively, further investigation and treatment is probably required.

 Despite being a thin muscle, the buccinator is a significant barrier to the spread of infection. It is unlikely that the infection would be able to perforate the muscle and develop a sinus into the mouth. Several sequelae are possible. Pus would be most likely to gravitate and spread through the whole buccal space down to the lower border of the mandible; it could point and then drain to the skin or spread laterally around the buccinator to involve other areas of the face, tissue around the masseter muscle or the pterygoid space. Its future course cannot be predicted.

- **Is this a potentially life-threatening infection? If so, why?**

  Not yet. Infection appears localized, the spread is not particularly rapid and there are no significant systemic symptoms. If a more spreading infection developed, the situation would change.

  Involvement of the tissues around the eyelid is worrying. At present the swelling here is caused by oedema, but if infection were to spread to the upper lid or medial canthus of the eye the patient would be at risk of cavernous sinus thrombosis. This is a very rare but potentially fatal complication.

  It would also be possible for the infection to spread posteriorly into the pterygomandibular space and infratemporal fossa. From here the infection could spread via veins to the cavernous sinus or middle cranial fossa.

- **What is cavernous sinus thrombosis and what are its features?**

  Thrombosis of the cavernous sinus follows spread of odontogenic infection along two main venous pathways. Bacteria and infected emboli travel posteriorly from the upper lip and face via the anterior facial vein. This connects via the ophthalmalic veins to the cavernous sinus without valves which might otherwise prevent this retrograde flow. Alternatively, infection may spread from the pterygoid space via the

Fig. 49.3  Coronal section showing the paths of spread of infection from upper molars and premolars. Infection may pass buccally below the buccinator muscle into the sulcus or cheek intraorally (A), above the buccinator into the buccal space (B), into the sinus (C) or into the palate (D).
\textbf{What are the principles of obtaining drainage? How will you drain the pus in this case?}

The principles of incision for drainage are:

- Take the anatomy into account and avoid incising near important structures.
- Incise only when pus has localized, unless rapidly increasing swelling is threatening the airway, in which case it should be drained as quickly as possible.
- Incise where the abscess is pointing or at the point of maximum fluctuation.
- Incise along the most direct route to the pus.
- If incision does not release pus, deepen the incision using blunt forceps, not a scalpel. Open the forceps blades to break open the abscess wall (Hilton's method).
- After incision explore, identify and open all locules of pus.
- Provide dependent drainage if possible.
- Place a drain if the abscess is large, deep or if the incision might close before the abscess resolves (e.g. if the incision penetrates layers of muscle, fascia or skin that can move independently). Leave the drain in place for at least 12–24 hours, or until drainage stops.
- If possible, drain introrally to prevent facial scarring and skin contraction.
- On the skin incise along Langer's lines or in a skin crease.
- On the skin, try to incise healthy skin. It will scar less.

In this case the pus lies between the buccinator muscle and skin. It is not pointing and is palpable in the upper buccal sulcus. Incision at this site under ethyl chloride spray anaesthesia is perfectly appropriate. However, the incision must extend through the buccinator to be effective, and some might prefer to obtain drainage with the patient under a short general anaesthetic.

\textbf{Should drainage ever be delayed?}

Occasionally drainage must be delayed until pus is properly localized into an abscess. If no pus can be identified on examination, incision will be futile. Waiting a day and providing antibiotics may induce pus to localize. Such a decision must be carefully considered.

\textbf{Will a drain be required?}

Quite probably. The abscess is not very deep but the incision must pass through a muscle. On the other hand, drainage will be dependent: the incision is being made below the pus and gravity will favour drainage. It may not be possible to decide in advance. If pus drains freely on incision and a cavity in the tissues is present, it would be sensible to place a drain, preferably a strip of corrugated rubber or, as a second choice, gauze. This should be sutured to the edge of the incision to prevent displacement. In this case a drain would be as easily placed under local as general anaesthetic.

\textbf{Can the tooth be conserved after soft tissue infection? How might you remove it?}

A soft tissue infection does not mean that the tooth has to be extracted and almost all could, in theory, be preserved. However, most such cases arise through neglect; the tooth is often badly broken down and is best extracted. Occasionally, when infection is spreading rapidly or if the airway is compromised the tooth is extracted to avoid delaying treatment, but even these severe complications do not require that the tooth be extracted. The critical factor is that drainage is obtained.

If the tooth is to be conserved, it must be opened and drainage effected through the pulp chamber in addition to draining pus by incision. Ideally the pulp chamber can be closed again fairly quickly. As soon as drainage ceases, the pulp chamber can be cleaned and a dressing placed. If pus continues to drain for some time, the chamber may be left open for up to 24 hours. After this period there is a risk that the oral flora may enter the tissues, reducing the chances of subsequent successful root treatment. Many clinicians will prescribe antibiotics because they consider drainage to be less effective when the tooth is retained.

Extraction is the more usual treatment. If local anaesthesia can be obtained and trismus is not severe, the tooth may be extracted at once. Infiltration anaesthesia is often difficult to achieve because of the low pH of inflamed tissues. Injection into infected tissue also carries the risk of spreading the bacteria more widely. Block anaesthesia is required.

A general anaesthetic may be necessary. If so, it will be convenient to admit the patient to hospital and complete all the surgical treatment at the same time. An anaesthetic may take some time to organize and in the meantime it would be appropriate to try to extract the tooth under local anaesthetic. A general anaesthetic should not be used in an attempt to overcome trismus. Forcing the jaws open will spread the infection.

If a surgical extraction is required, it may be delayed. As a general principle, surgery should be avoided if the surgical field is infected. However, this rather old rule is often not followed now, because of the availability of very effective antibiotic treatment. Some operators will perform a surgical extraction immediately, and the risk of spreading infection or inducing osteomyelitis seems to be extremely small.

In this case anaesthesia could not be obtained and so drainage and extraction were performed under a general anaesthetic. A short corrugated rubber drain was inserted.

\textbf{When should antibiotics be prescribed for odontogenic soft tissue infection?}

The attitude to antibiotic treatment varies between different centres. Antibiotics are unnecessary for the treatment of the majority of localized soft tissue abscesses and this is particularly so when pus collects superficially in the buccal sulcus or on the palate. Drainage and removal of the cause are much more important. However, in practice, many patients who require incision and drainage tend to be given antibiotics by clinicians, without a clear rationale.

Antibiotics should be prescribed if:

- the patient is prone to infection, for instance is diabetic or immunosuppressed
- there is spreading infection (cellulitis)
- the airway is compromised
- there is significant malaise, pyrexia or toxemia
• the tooth is to be preserved rather than extracted (the cause is not immediately eliminated)
• cavernous sinus thrombosis is possible
Antibiotics prescribed for spreading infection may cause pus to localize, and drainage of abscesses may be possible a day or so later.
Antibiotics should never be provided as an alternative to draining pus.

■ What microorganisms cause odontogenic soft tissue infections?

Odontogenic soft tissue infections are mixed infections. The microbial flora usually contains about 25 species derived from the oral flora, of which about half are cultivable. Anaerobes outnumber aerobes by 10 or 100 to 1 and commonly isolated species are Porphyromonas sp., Prevotella sp., Peptostreptococcus sp. and Fusobacterium sp.; however, facultative anaerobes are usually present, often members of the Streptococcus milleri group. Although numerically a minor component of the flora, these organisms are important when selecting antibiotics.

■ If you decided to do so, which antibiotic would you prescribe initially? Explain why.

Almost all the organisms in odontogenic soft tissue infections are sensitive to penicillins. There is a small but increasing proportion of resistant strains but these do not seem to contraindicate penicillins. It is not necessary to prescribe penicillinase-resistant drugs just because one member of the microbial flora shows resistance and they are of no proven benefit in odontogenic infection. Penicillin V or G is sufficient provided drainage can be achieved.

Metronidazole is effective against the anaerobic species and is often prescribed. However, metronidazole should be used as an adjunct to a penicillin and never alone. It will kill the anaerobes but leave facultative anaerobes such as the Streptococcus milleri group unscathed. These organisms are capable of causing a spreading soft tissue infection as a monoculture. Removing their anaerobic microbial competitors with metronidazole risks turning a relatively well-localized, mixed infection into a spreading streptococcal infection. In the wrong site this could be fatal.

In this case the patient received a single dose of 500 mg amoxicillin and 400 mg metronidazole intravenously during the anaesthetic. The same doses were prescribed orally three times a day for 5 days afterwards and this is an appropriate regimen for most odontogenic soft tissue infections. However, as noted above, it may not have contributed greatly to the patient’s recovery.

■ Why bother to take a specimen for culture and sensitivity testing?

As noted above, empirical treatment with penicillin with or without metronidazole is almost always effective. However, in some cases the infection stabilizes but fails to resolve. This may be due to inadequate drainage but a change of antibiotic may be considered a sensible precaution. The result of sensitivity testing may be helpful in selecting another antibiotic and identifying any unusual pathogens present. As culture and sensitivity testing takes about 3 days it must be requested as soon as a sample of pus can be obtained and before antibiotics are administered.

In order to be useful, the sample obtained for culture must be taken in such a way as to favour the growth of anaerobes and fastidious organisms. Ideally it should be taken directly from the abscess through a needle or through a sterile skin incision and transported anaerobically to the laboratory. Samples on swabs contaminated with oral flora are unlikely to be useful and may even provide a misleading result.

When interpreting the results of culture and sensitivity tests obtained from a simple swab of pus it must be remembered that the organisms isolated are unlikely to be representative of the flora. Routine culture methods in most hospitals will detect only a few species, probably not the main component of the flora. Unless a change to a different antibiotic is clearly justified, it would be better to consider changing the dose and route of administration.

■ How quickly should the swelling resolve?

Patients may often feel much better within a few hours and a noticeable reduction in swelling, trismus, pain and pyrexia should be observed within 24 hours. By this time drains do not usually show pus and are removed and dressings placed over the site if extraoral. If there has been no resolution, the diagnosis, antibiotic treatment and effectiveness of drainage must be reviewed. Almost complete resolution should follow in 3–6 days, as in the present case.
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**Case 50**

**Missing upper lateral incisors**

**SUMMARY**

A 15-year-old boy presents to you in general dental practice requesting closure of the spaces between his upper front teeth. What is the cause and how can a better appearance be achieved?

**Medical history**

The patient is fit and well.

**Family history**

The patient’s mother had a number of teeth missing. They had been replaced with a partial denture at an early age.

**Examination**

**Extraoral examination**

The patient has a skeletal class I appearance without facial asymmetry. There is a slight deviation of the mandible to the patient’s left-hand side on opening, but no limitation of opening, temporomandibular joint clicks or crepitus or masticatory muscle tenderness.

**Intraoral examination**

The patient’s soft tissues are healthy and his oral hygiene is good, with no calculus deposits, gingival inflammation or bleeding on probing. The teeth appear sound, with the exception of a buccal amalgam restoration in the lower left first molar.

Study models taken for treatment planning are shown in Figure 50.1.

**What features relevant to treatment do the study models show?**

Both upper lateral incisors are absent. From the front the upper central incisors are upright and separated by a large midline diastema. There is a mild class III incisor relationship, with a normal overjet but a reduced and complete overbite. The upper canines are mesially inclined and mesiolabially rotated, that on the left being more prominent. The lower right canine is labially placed, slightly distally inclined and in crossbite with the upper canine. There is mild lower labial crowding. The posterior teeth are well aligned and the first molars on the right-hand side are in a class I relationship and on the left-hand side in a half a unit class II relationship.

**What are the possible causes for the absent lateral incisors? What is the cause in this case?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Developmentally absent, possibly associated with cleft lip or palate or other craniofacial syndrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extracted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avulsed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure to erupt</td>
<td>Dilaceration and/or displacement as a result of trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scar tissue preventing eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supernumerary tooth preventing eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insufficient space as a result of crowding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pathological lesion (e.g. cyst or odontogenic tumour) preventing eruption</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this case the most likely cause for the missing lateral incisors is genetic absence. Genetic absence of some teeth is found in 3–7% of the population. The teeth most commonly missing are, in descending order of frequency, third molars, maxillary lateral incisors and second premolars. The absence of maxillary lateral incisors is a hereditary trait in about 1–2%
of the population. The fact that the patient’s mother wore a denture to replace missing teeth from an early age suggests a possible familial aetiology. Trauma or extraction and their related sequelae are readily excluded by questioning. The other causes are discussed in Case 5.

**Investigations**

- **What investigations are required? Explain why for each.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigation</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tests of vitality of the upper anterior teeth</td>
<td>To exclude incidental loss of vitality, to ensure that endodontic treatment is not required and that unsuspected loss of vitality does not compromise the subsequent treatment plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographs</td>
<td>To determine whether the lateral incisors are present and unerupted and to exclude underlying lesions such as supernumerary teeth or cysts. Examination for this case should include a panoramic radiograph to provide a survey, exclude significant periodontal bone loss and confirm the presence or absence of third molars. In addition periapical views or an upper standard occlusal view are required for detailed analysis of the incisor region which suffers from superimposition in the panoramic view. Further films may be required to define the caries status.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The study models should be mounted on an articulator</td>
<td>To assess the occlusion and produce a diagnostic wax-up if required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this case all the upper anterior teeth responded to tests of vitality by ethyl chloride and an electric pulp tester.

- **The panoramic radiograph is displayed in Figure 50.2. What does it show?**

The dental panoramic radiograph shows that the upper lateral incisors are missing with no evidence of supernumerary teeth or other lesions in this region. All other teeth are present including the unerupted third molars. This confirms the diagnosis that the upper lateral incisors are developmentally absent.

## Treatment

- **What are the main treatment options? What are their advantages and disadvantages?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Advantages and disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space closure with adhesive restorations</td>
<td>Composite restorations added to the approximal surfaces of the central incisors and canines could reduce the spaces. This is the most conservative option, technically straightforward and might be acceptable as a provisional solution. However, complete closure could not be achieved with such wide diastemas and each tooth would look unacceptably wide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthodontic space closure</td>
<td>This would bring the canine into the position of the lateral incisor requiring the shape of the canine to be modified by selective grinding of the tip and placement of composite to disguise it as a lateral incisor. However, the darker colour of the canine would be difficult to conceal, as would the gingival contour because of the canine eminence. The palatal cusp of the first premolar tooth is frequently visible and compromises the appearance. When the difficulty of complete space closure is taken into account, it is clear that this option is rarely ideal. It frequently produces a poor result despite being a time-consuming and costly procedure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create space for lateral incisors</td>
<td>Space creation by orthodontic treatment followed by provision of lateral incisors with a prosthesis involves a protracted phase of orthodontics and is costly. However it would produce the best appearance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **The patient’s main concern is his appearance. How would you demonstrate the possible results to him?**

The patient is considering committing himself to a long and complex treatment so the result of each of the treatment plans should be assessed with study models and diagnostic wax-ups. The possibility of the orthodontic treatment can be

---

**Fig. 50.2** Panoramic radiograph.
visualized by cutting the teeth off duplicate study models and fixing them in an orthodontically achievable position, the so-called Kessling set-up. Patient and dentist can then see what might be achieved by each treatment option.

Following discussion, the patient opts for the third treatment plan.

**How would you carry out the orthodontic treatment?**

The tooth movement demands fixed appliance treatment. Tooth tilting using a removable appliance would result in a poor appearance in the midline and produce spaces which are difficult to fill with a prosthetic replacement. If a fixed appliance is used the incisors may be more accurately positioned and derotation of the canines is possible. The orthodontic result for this patient can be seen in Figure 50.3.

**How would you now replace the missing lateral incisors?**

Prosthetic treatment should be as conservative as possible because the upper anterior teeth are vital and sound, and the patient is young. The teeth can be replaced with fixed or removable prostheses but the treatment of choice would be a minimum preparation bridge or bridges. Possible designs are shown in Figure 50.4.

Normally a fixed–fixed design in a minimum preparation bridge should be avoided. This is because debonding of one retainer will create an area of stagnation below it and risk caries. A typical minimum preparation bridge to replace a lateral incisor would be a cantilever design retained on the canine or central incisor.

However canine abutments (option A) would have a major disadvantage in this case. The canines were originally mesiolabially rotated and the orthodontic result is potentially unstable. Relapse would result in the pontics swinging out labially. An alternative might appear to be a cantilever design retained on a central incisor (option B) which has the advantage of a greater enamel area for bonding. However, two separate cantilever bridges retained on the central incisors would also enable the orthodontic result to relapse and the midline diastema to reappear. Linking the central incisors together (option C) would prevent this but could not prevent the canines from relapsing to their original position.

A degree of orthodontic retention must be designed into the prosthesis and only a fixed–fixed bridge extending from canine to canine is suitable (option D). The potentially unstable orthodontic result may in itself favour debonding of one of more of the wings. Regular recall will be essential to detect this early. If debonding is a repeated problem, replacement with a conventional bridge may have to be considered. The need for orthodontic retention is the main reason that an implant retained solution is not appropriate.

The final bridge design and appearance are shown in Figure 50.5. Note how the orthodontic treatment plan must take into account the occlusal clearance required to cover the palatal surfaces of the canines.
What else has been done to improve the appearance of the final result? Look closely and compare Figure 50.5b with Figure 50.1.

The lower arch has been treated orthodontically. One lower incisor has been extracted and the space gained has been used to align the lower incisors and the lower right canine, which was in crossbite. This has made a significant contribution to the final appearance.
Case • 51

Anterior crossbite

SUMMARY
An 8-year-old girl is referred to you for an orthodontic opinion. She has an anterior crossbite. What is the cause and how would you treat it?

History of complaint
The incisors erupted into their present positions and there is no history of trauma.

Medical history
The patient is fit and healthy.

Examination

Extraoral examination
There is no facial asymmetry and no clicks, locking or crepitation are present on examination of the temporomandibular joints.

Intraoral examination

The appearance of the teeth on presentation is shown in Figure 51.1. What do you see?

The patient is in the early mixed dentition stage and the teeth present are:
6 EDC 1 | 12 BCDE6
6 EDC21 | 12 CDE6

The upper and lower incisors are crowded and the upper left central and lateral incisors are in crossbite. The lower left central incisor is labially placed and there is gingival recession and loss of attached gingiva to the mucogingival junction on its labial aspect. The oral hygiene is reasonable though mild interdental gingivitis is present around the poorly aligned incisors. The dental health is good.

What specific feature would you check in your examination? Explain why for each.
See Table 51.1.

Diagnosis

What is your diagnosis?
The diagnosis of crossbite has already been made by the patient’s mother. The incisor crowding, gingival recession and anterior displacement of the mandible are the other significant factors requiring recognition.

Table 51.1 Features to be examined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Can the patient achieve an edge-to-edge incisor relationship when closing on hinge axis?</td>
<td>On closing in a retruded position the patient makes initial contact on the lower left central incisor. If left untreated this could result in continued excessive occlusal loading on this tooth, causing further loss of support. The ability to achieve incisal contact is regarded as favourable because it indicates that minimal tooth movement should be required to correct the crossbite.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If so, is there an associated forward displacement of the mandible?</td>
<td>The initial contact on the central incisors displaces her mandible forwards into the intercuspal position shown in Figure 51.1. Early correction of the displacement activity may prevent possible temporomandibular joint dysfunction in later life. There is not yet significant wear faceting on the incisors. However, if they are left untreated, considerable attritional wear may develop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How mobile is the lower left central incisor? Are probing depths increased?</td>
<td>Mobility is limited (grade 1) and probing depths are less than 2 mm. This would suggest that the prognosis for the tooth is good. If there were significant mobility or periodontal destruction, extraction of the incisor might have to be considered as part of an orthodontic treatment plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How might space be provided to relieve the incisor crowding?</td>
<td>At the present stage of dental development, sufficient space would be provided by the extraction of deciduous teeth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How would you assess the long-term prognosis for the lower left central incisor?

At this early stage the recession may be reversible. The crossbite and premature contact are producing movement of the central incisor, which is in danger of being pushed beyond the alveolus and losing its labial bone. The soft tissue defect is difficult to assess in the presence of slight inflammation. Some attached gingiva is almost certainly present labially and the recession seems to stop just short of the alveolar mucosal reflection. This patient has only a narrow band of attached gingiva as can be seen on the opposite side which is normal. If the oral hygiene is improved and the crossbite corrected, the recession may also improve considerably. No additional attached gingiva will develop but further damage will be prevented. Further discussion of gingival recession around the lower anterior teeth will be found in Case 4.

What is the cause of the crossbite?

Ectopic eruption of the upper incisors in association with crowding.

Investigations

What investigations would you require? Explain why.

Radiographs are the most useful investigation for any orthodontic assessment. The following radiographic views are indicated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>View</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dental panoramic radiograph</td>
<td>As a general survey. Primarily to assess the presence or absence of permanent successors and any supernumerary teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper standard occlusal</td>
<td>When considering active movement of the incisors, an upper standard occlusal may be useful in order to give more detail of the area around the incisor roots. When incisors are misaligned this view may reveal supernumerary teeth or odontomes or dilaceration as rare causes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periapical view</td>
<td>If you are concerned about the prognosis of the lower left central incisor a periapical film may help. This should be taken using a paralleling technique to assess bone loss. However, as noted in Case 4, labial bone loss will not be visible in this view.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dental panoramic radiograph is shown in Figure 51.2. What does it show?

The radiograph shows a normal dentition. The developmental age matches the patient’s chronological age. All permanent successors are present and appear to be in favourable positions. There is not yet any evidence of third molar development, as is normal at this age. Though the panoramic view is not suitable for detailed diagnosis, there seems to be some mesial bone loss on the lower left central incisor.

Treatment

What treatment plan would you propose?

The incisor crossbite should be treated immediately to prevent further damage to the periodontium and attrition. Some authorities consider that early treatment will also reduce the possibility of temporomandibular joint pain dysfunction (myofascial pain) syndrome in later life. However, the evidence to support this contention is by no means conclusive.

To provide space for relief of crowding and to allow the active tooth movements required to correct the crossbite, all deciduous canines and the deciduous upper left lateral incisor should be extracted. An upper removable appliance can then be fitted to correct the incisor crossbite.

Design a suitable removable appliance to correct the crossbite.

Only simple tilting tooth movements are required and these can be achieved most easily with a removable appliance. There would be no advantages to the use of a fixed appliance in such a case.

A suitable removable appliance is shown in Figure 51.3. It consists of:

- cribs on both upper Ds (0.6-mm wire)
- cribs on both upper first permanent molars (0.7-mm wire)
- T springs on the upper left central and lateral incisors (0.5-mm wire).

Fig. 51.2 Dental panoramic radiograph of the patient.
Treatment should take no more than 3–4 months as the amount of tooth movement required to correct the crossbite is minimal.

**Why is no posterior capping included on the appliance?**

Posterior capping would normally be considered beneficial when correcting a crossbite. Unless the teeth are held apart during treatment, the upper incisors cannot easily cross over the incisal edges of the lower incisors. Either an anterior bite plane or posterior capping would allow this.

In this particular case it was decided not to incorporate posterior capping as there is a reduced overbite and therefore minimal occlusal interference to the tooth movements. An anterior bite plane is contraindicated in this case because eruption of the molars would further reduce or even eliminate the already small overbite.

**Figure 51.4 shows the patient at the end of active treatment. What do you see?**

There has been an improvement in the incisor alignment and the crossbite has been eliminated. If you were able to examine the patient you would find that the mandibular displacement has disappeared.

The patient’s oral hygiene has improved and the swollen rounded gingival contour seen in Figure 51.1 has resolved. There is still some slight gingival inflammation in the area of recession. It is difficult to judge whether there is a band of attached gingiva around the lower left central incisor. However, at this age the incisor is not fully erupted and enamel rather than root is exposed. The lower incisor has suffered premature gingival regression rather than recession. Follow up is required to check that sufficient attached gingiva remains until the patient is mature. It appears that no permanent damage has been suffered.

**What determines the stability of the orthodontic result?**

A positive overbite is necessary to maintain the corrected upper incisor positions in the short term. In the longer term, stability of the incisor position is dependent on the mandibular growth pattern which will determine the final overbite and overjet.
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Case 52

Refractory periodontitis?

SUMMARY
A 30-year-old male patient is referred for periodontitis that has not responded to treatment. What is the cause and how would you proceed?

Complaint
The patient complains that he has been undergoing intensive treatment for gum disease, but with little success. His upper right first molar is slightly loose and his dentist wishes to extract it. He would like a second opinion.

History of complaint
The gum disease was noted 9 months ago. He has never had pain or discomfort from the tooth.

Dental history
The patient has attended for dental treatment and prevention regularly. He has no dental restorations, no caries and no other oral problems. The previous dental practitioner has undertaken intensive oral hygiene instruction, scaling and root planing, with regular hygienist review. The patient flosses his teeth daily and brushes twice a day with a fluoride toothpaste, and occasionally uses a disclosing agent.

Medical history
The patient is fit and well.

What are your initial thoughts?
Periodontal disease is often difficult to treat. Many patients are not able to maintain a sufficiently effective oral hygiene regime. However, this patient appears to be doing all the correct things. It remains possible that he is not cleaning effectively despite his efforts and this must be assessed. There may be local predisposing causes such as subgingival calculus to account for localized disease. There has been sufficient time for improved cleaning to have had an effect.

Examination
Extraoral examination
The extraoral examination is normal. No lymph nodes are palpable.

Intraoral examination
One lower third molar is partially erupted; the upper third molars are unopposed and distally inclined. There is no dental caries and no restorations are present.

The oral hygiene is very good. Only a few flecks of interdental plaque are present. The gingivae in most areas are healthy in appearance and do not bleed on probing.

However, there are three areas of concern:

1. The upper right first molar is mobile about 1 mm in the buccopalatal direction. It is not depressible in the socket. A 9 mm probing depth is present distally and the furcation can be probed, though not from the buccal aspect. The gingivae appear loose but they are not oedematous and there is only slight bleeding on probing. Inflammation appears diffuse rather than related to the gingival margin or pocket. The gingiva lifts easily from the tooth.

Fig. 52.1 Periapical radiographs sent on referral.
2. The lower right second premolar has a 5 mm probing depth distolingually and 4 mm mesiolingually. The tooth is not mobile. The gingivae are dusky red, not oedematous and relatively firm, though there is slight bleeding on probing.

3. There is a 6 mm pocket on the mesial of the lower left second molar with no bleeding on probing.

**Investigations**

- **What do you see in the two periapical views that the patient has brought?**

  The top film (Figure 52.1) shows extensive bone loss distally to the first molar. There is loss of lamina dura around the whole of the distal root, into the furcation and around the apex. No calculus is visible.

  The lower film shows a curved area of alveolar bone loss associated with the first and second premolars. The lamina dura and alveolar crest appear intact on both teeth. The bone loss would be consistent with the probing depth. No calculus is visible.

- **What further investigations are required?**

  The vitality of the upper first molar must be determined. Bone loss involves the furcation and there is a significant chance of loss of vitality. The apical lamina dura is not clearly defined, giving rise to further suspicion.

  A radiograph is required to show the bone levels around the lower left molars, and possibly other teeth. However, before selecting a suitable view, some further thinking is required.

  In the meantime you check the vitality of the upper right first molar. It is vital and not hypersensitive to cold and electric pulp testing.

**Differential diagnosis**

- **What are your thoughts now?**

  This is odd. The patient is motivated and the oral hygiene is good but there are three areas of localized periodontitis and these cannot be accounted for by local factors such as overhanging restorations, calculus or plaque traps.

  Perhaps the patient has a form of aggressive periodontitis. This is defined by rapid attachment and bone loss, sometimes with a familial pattern. The sites of lesions, on two first molars and one premolar, the age of the patient and failure to respond to treatment suggest the localized juvenile periodontitis pattern of aggressive periodontitis, though premolars are not usually affected unless several other molars and incisors are. Perhaps some adjunctive treatment with antibiotics might help?

  Perhaps the patient has some systemic predisposition to periodontitis. The most likely would be diabetes or smoking. However, diabetes would have to be undiagnosed or poorly controlled to contribute to this severity of disease. Both diabetes and smoking exacerbate periodontitis in a generalized plaque-associated distribution, and do not predispose to localized disease.

  Other medical predisposing conditions include neutropenia, Down's syndrome and rare developmental neutrophil defects. These become obvious in childhood and can be excluded.

- **Is this refractory periodontitis?**

  No, this term was removed from the classification of periodontal diseases in the 1999 International Workshop for the Classification of Periodontal Diseases. Prior to this it had been thought a separate entity but it was realized that there were many factors that could cause treatment to fail, including furcation involvement, smoking, microbiological and host factors. If disease is refractory to treatment, a search for such factors should be made.

  You decide to take a panoramic radiograph because you are suspicious that there may be more areas affected and because the pattern of disease is unusual. The result is shown in Figure 52.2.

- **What additional information do you gain from the panoramic radiograph?**

  The lower left third molar is missing. The lower second molars have a conical root morphology.

  There is a shallow angular bone defect mesial to the lower left second molar. This certainly reinforces the suspicion of aggressive periodontitis in the localized juvenile periodontitis pattern.

**Fig. 52.2** The panoramic radiograph.
Have you missed something? Go back and look again at the periapical and panoramic radiographs and reassess the history.

**What radiographic features are inconsistent or suggest alternative causes?**

The upper periapical shows a large area of destruction of bone and it is poorly localized, with the furcation appearing fuzzy. Bone around the apex is not clearly defined, but the tooth is vital. If this were periodontitis you would expect more obvious infection and symptoms. The same area of the panoramic radiograph is much more worrying. You have been concentrating on the periodontal condition and had not noticed that the maxillary antrum above the tooth is indistinct and that the floor of the antrum is eroded over the first and second molars. Compare with the contralateral floor of antrum. There is a radiolucency above the molar roots on the right with a poorly defined upper border. The lower periapical is also unusual. The smooth rounded shape of the bone loss is not typical of periodontitis. The lamina dura and alveolar crest are visible between the premolars. Therefore, the bone loss is not interdental, but limited to the lingual cortex and extends across two teeth. The lingual cortex is thicker than the buccal: an infrabony pocket caused by periodontitis could cause such a defect but more interdental bone loss would be expected, because periodontitis is usually initiated interdentally. Now that you have recognized the extent of the upper molar lesion, your suspicions are confirmed. This is not periodontitis.

**What conditions, diseases or lesions may mimic plaque-induced gingivitis and periodontitis?**

There are many; some mimic gingivitis, others periodontitis. The most significant are shown in Table 52.1 together with their characteristic features and useful diagnostic tests.

**What is your differential diagnosis on the basis of what you know so far? Justify each possible cause.**

The cause must explain multiple lesions, a predilection for the gingiva and a relatively destructive poorly defined lesion in a relatively young adult. From Table 52.1, few causes are likely:

- **Langerhans’ cell histiocytosis** is the most likely condition and meets all these criteria. It is rare, but the most common amongst rarities.
- **Squamous odontogenic tumour** also meets all criteria but is even rarer.
- **Metastatic malignant neoplasm** must be considered. A primary malignancy at a remote site is possible but unlikely at this age. Multiple lesions, particularly in this pattern, would be unlikely.

### Further investigations

**What will you do next?**

A biopsy is required and it should provide the correct diagnosis for any of these diseases. The gingiva is readily sampled under local anaesthetic and the best site would be soft tissue from the margin of either of the larger lesions, the lower premolar or upper right molar. A buccal interdental papilla would be ideal. It would probably be best to extract the affected upper molar tooth. It has lost most of its supporting bone and obtaining the correct diagnosis is a greater priority. Its prognosis is poor and it would allow good access to the deeper tissue to obtain the biopsy sample. The socket could be curetted to obtain additional material from the alveolar bone (noting the risk of accidentally forming an oroantral communication).

**The biopsy is shown in Figure 52.3, What do you see?**

The left-hand panel shows the gingival margin. No epithelium is present over the pocket wall and this ulceration is not compatible with periodontitis. There is a cellular infiltrate in the pocket wall. The second panel shows the infiltrate at higher power. This is not the dense lymphocyte and plasma cell infiltrate of periodontitis. The background cells have prominent pink cytoplasm and large pale lobulated nuclei (cells labelled L). These are typical Langerhans’ cells. Bright red eosinophils are also present (labelled E).

---

Fig. 52.3 The histological appearance of the biopsy. E, eosinophils; L, lobulated nuclei.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Typical features</th>
<th>Diagnostic tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conditions that mimic gingivitis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposition diseases and inborn errors of metabolism</td>
<td>Presents in newborn or children. Gingiva enlarged by deposition of various metabolic end products such as abnormal glycoprotein or mucopolysaccharides.</td>
<td>Biopsy, biochemical analysis, family history.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulomatous gingivitis (caused by orofacial granulomatosis, oral Crohn’s disease, Melkersson–Rosenthal syndrome and sarcoidosis)</td>
<td>Presents from childhood to middle age. Lumpy granular gingivitis, more marked in areas of plaque retention. Tags and flaps of redundant gingiva may develop. Shrinks slightly but does not respond completely to plaque control. Tissue contains granulomas. Sarcoidosis may be associated with raised serum calcium, raised serum angiotenin-converting enzyme and lymphadenopathy, especially hilar. Bowel disease may be present in those with orofacial granulomatosis or Crohn’s disease.</td>
<td>Biopsy. Evidence of bowel, lung or other sites involved. Investigations depend on any associated conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wegener’s granulomatosis</td>
<td>Presents in middle age. The classical gingival presentation is unusual, a rare presentation but striking. Overgrowth of granular or red and white speckled ‘strawberry gums’. May grow to cover teeth in a few weeks. Remal involvement may be fatal rapidly.</td>
<td>May be evidence of disease in nose, sinuses or elsewhere, especially kidney. Circulating antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukaemia</td>
<td>Usually presents in children with acute myelomonocytic or monocytic leukaemia or in elderly patients with chronic myeloid leukaemia. May be a lumpy gingivitis with few suspicious features, a very inflamed maroon or greenish gingiva, or one or more ulcerated growths from the gingival margin.</td>
<td>Biopsy, blood film, history.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign-body gingivitis</td>
<td>May present at any age. Usually caused by impaction of abrasive particles from prophylaxis paste into the gingiva. Tends to occur in those with very frequent or overzealous professional cleaning. The particles elicit a foreign-body reaction and the gingiva looks dusky inflamed but plaque control has no effect. May be painful.</td>
<td>Biopsy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungal infection</td>
<td>South American Blastomycosis (paracoccidioidomycosis) causes lumpy granular inflamed gingival swellings but this is an endemic disease and is not seen in the UK. May extend beyond the gingiva.</td>
<td>Biopsy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conditions that mimic periodontitis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontogenic tumours</td>
<td>Occasionally odontogenic tumours form in the gingiva rather than in bone. Most cause a small mass or erode superficial bone and are easily excised without problems. The commonest odontogenic tumour to occur extraxessively is the calcifying odontogenic cyst. Squamous odontogenic tumour merits special mention because it sometimes arises in the periodontal ligament or gingiva and mimics periodontitis or even localized juvenile periodontitis.</td>
<td>Biopsy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langerhans’ cell histiocytosis</td>
<td>Solitary or multifocal destructive lesion that often affects the skull and jaws. May arise at almost any age and often destroys bone around teeth. In late disease the teeth appear to be floating in air radiologically as no bone remains.</td>
<td>Biopsy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous carcinoma of gingiva</td>
<td>Gingiva is a very rare site for carcinoma and it usually presents in those aged more than 50 years. Destroys soft tissue and bone.</td>
<td>Biopsy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic malignancy</td>
<td>Most metastatic malignant neoplasms of the jaws grow in the medullary space of the posterior mandible. However, metastases may also seed to the gingiva to produce solitary or multiple masses, often ulcerated.</td>
<td>Biopsy; history.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypophosphatia</td>
<td>Teeth esculate with forming roots almost immediately after eruption. No cementum present. Caused by mutation of the tissue nonspecific alkaline phosphatase enzyme required for bone mineralization.</td>
<td>Serum alkaline phosphatase, family history.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The appearances are typical of Langerhans’ cell histiocytosis. Sheets of neoplastic Langerhans’ cells infiltrate the tissues and attract eosinophils, which tend to cluster together and degranulate externally. Bright red eosinophil granules can be seen lying between cells on the right-hand side of the second panel.

Although the diagnosis is clear, it is necessary to identify the Langerhans’ cells specifically. Macrophages can also look similar. In the past this has been done by electron microscopy to show the characteristic Birbeck granules in the cell cytoplasm, tennis racket-shaped vesicular organelles. Immunocytochemical staining for the cell surface molecules CD1a or langerin is easier and faster. The third panel shows a low-power view in which brown stain labels CD1a on the Langerhans’ cells. At high power in the fourth panel, the stain can be seen to localize around the cell, forming a dark ring at the cell membrane.

**Diagnosis**

The diagnosis is Langerhans’ cell histiocytosis.

**What is a Langerhans’ cell?**

A dendritic cell found in epithelium. They develop in the bone marrow from the monocyte lineage, migrate to the epithelium of skin and mucosa and reside there to present external antigens to the immune system.

**What is Langerhans’ cell histiocytosis?**

A clonal proliferation of Langerhans’ cells that presents as a spectrum of disease severity (Table 52.2). All types have a predilection for the skull bones and jaws. Lesions may be painful or asymptomatic and may be accompanied by soft-tissue swelling. This patient has multifocal single-organ system disease because only bone is affected.
Table 52.2  Langerhans’ cell histiocytosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Typical features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multifocal multiorgan disease (acute form)</td>
<td>A malignant neoplasm of Langerhans’ cells affecting infants and young children below 3 years of age. Affects skin, liver, spleen, lymph nodes and bones and requires aggressive treatment with chemotherapy. Bone marrow is involved. Half of patients die before 5 years of age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifocal single-organ disease (chronic multifocal form)</td>
<td>Usually causes multiple bone lesions in the skull and jaws. When the pituitary is involved diabetes insipidus develops and this, with neoplasms and bone lesions, is called Hand–Schuller–Christian triad or syndrome. Occasionally fatal but usually treatable by curettage of lesions, sometimes with chemotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unifocal disease (chronic unifocal form)</td>
<td>The commonest type, usually involves bone. Solitary lesions with a relatively benign course. Curettage is usually curative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Medical examination and a detailed history are required in case of soft-tissue involvement.

Lesions in chronic multifocal disease and solitary eosinophilic granulomas usually respond to curettage. This will require removal of the upper right first and second molar to gain access and ensure complete removal. All involved soft tissue must be excised. There will be a risk of oroantral communication.

The lower right premolar lesion may be curedtted without tooth loss but the surrounding presumed affected soft tissue must be removed and this may necessitate tooth loss. A more conservative approach may be possible if the patient accepts a risk of recurrence. Any recurrence could be re-curetted.

The lower left molar lesion could be due to periodontitis and requires a biopsy for treatment planning.

Long-term follow-up is required. Lesions may recur and new lesions may develop over a period of many years.

Treatment

What treatment would you recommend?

A radiographic survey of the skull is required, together with a bone scan or skeletal survey to identify any lesions elsewhere.
Case 53

Unexpected findings

SUMMARY
A 14-year-old boy presents with toothache and a slightly swollen left cheek. What is the diagnosis and how will you treat him?

![Image](83x323 to 273x475)

Fig. 53.1 The patient’s appearance on presentation.

History

Complaint
The patient complains of intermittent toothache on the left side of his face, which he feels is coming from an upper tooth.

History of complaint
He has been aware of intermittent pain and discomfort from an upper back tooth when eating, especially anything very hot or cold, for several months. The pain is gradually getting worse.

Medical history
The patient is otherwise fit and well.

Dental history
He has never been to a dentist before.

Examination

Extraoral examination
The patient is a fit and healthy looking boy. His left cheek appears slightly swollen but there is little extraoral asymmetry. The cheek is not tender or inflamed and both the patient and his parents say that he has always looked like this. No lymph nodes are palpable and his temporomandibular joints appear normal.

Intraoral examination
The upper left first molar has heavily stained fissures and the whole crown is discoloured. The other teeth appear sound. The alveolus in the upper left quadrant is enlarged, with reduction of depth of the buccal sulcus. The swelling affects the buccal and palatal aspects, is smooth, uninflamed and is not tender to palpation.

In addition, the upper left second premolar appears missing and there is a small space between the first premolar and molar tooth. Several supernumerary teeth are evident.

How do you interpret the history and examination so far?

There could be several explanations for the presentation. The history of the pain, exacerbated by hot and cold, and poorly localized almost certainly indicates pulpitis. The obvious cause would appear to be caries in the upper first molar. The whole crown is discoloured and there may be extensive caries despite the intact occlusal surface.

The enlargement of the alveolus has expanded into the buccal sulcus and could account for the slight extraoral swelling. The commonest cause of smooth uninflamed expansion of the alveolus is an odontogenic cyst. Further investigation is required.

The absent second premolar may be unerupted or absent. Missing premolar teeth is a relatively common developmental anomaly but the patient also has supernumerary teeth and it would be unusual to have missing and supernumerary teeth in the same patient. In addition, if the premolar had never developed, the space between the first premolar and molar would have been likely to have closed completely. The tooth is probably unerupted and relatively superficial in the alveolus, holding the teeth apart.

Investigations

What investigations would you now undertake and why?

Vitality tests. The vitality of the upper first molar needs to be determined and on testing it you discover that it appears vital, as are the adjacent teeth.

Radiographs. Right and left bitewings for caries assessment and a panoramic radiograph to assess the overall dentition are indicated. These views should provide sufficient information to explain the missing upper left second premolar, assess any further unerupted supernumerary teeth and to investigate the swelling of the left maxilla. If required, further views including periapicals, oblique upper occlusal
The panoramic radiograph is shown in Figure 53.2. Look carefully. What do you see?

The panoramic radiograph shows:
- a large carious cavity in upper first molar
- right and left supplemental maxillary canines
- peg-shaped supernumerary overlying upper right lateral incisor and right canine
- upper left second premolar present, unerupted and inverted
- developing third molars in all four quadrants
- increased opacity in the region of the left maxillary sinus.

What terms are used to describe extra teeth? What do they mean?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supernumerary tooth</td>
<td>Any tooth over and above the normal complement of teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental tooth</td>
<td>Supernumerary tooth with the morphology of a normal tooth, usually an additional tooth in a series, for instance additional lateral incisor, third premolar or fourth molar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesiodens</td>
<td>Supernumerary tooth in the upper midline, may be conical (forms early and rarely interferes with eruption of incisors) or tuberculate (with a wide crown with cusps, forms late and often interferes with eruption). See Case 5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the cause of supernumerary teeth?

The cause is unknown but some clues are available. Supernumerary teeth can be caused by mutations in single genes, for instance the CBFA-1 gene. Mutations of this gene cause cleidocranial dysplasia, in which multiple supernumeraries are a prominent feature. More is known about congenitally missing teeth and only a single gene mutation is sufficient to cause teeth to be absent. For instance, mutations in the skeletal patterning gene MSX-1 are associated with missing second premolars and third molars and mutations in PAX-9 are associated with missing molars and lower incisors. It seems likely that supernumerary teeth in normal patients will turn out to have similar relatively simple genetic causes.

The section of the panoramic radiograph showing the left maxilla is enlarged in Figure 53.3. What else does it show?

There is loss of the thin radiopaque (white) line of the maxillary cortex forming the bony floor of the maxillary antrum. This is clearly visible on the patient’s right side in Figure 53.2. A domed relatively radiopaque lesion occupies most of the maxilla and antrum. It has a very thin radiopaque margin at its upper limit.

The panoramic radiograph is shown again in Figure 53.4 with the features including the supernumerary teeth and the margin of the lesion in the left maxilla indicated.

Differential diagnosis

What is the cause of the patient’s pain?

Pulpitis. As noted above, the symptoms fit pulpitis. The first permanent molar is the most likely source; it is vital and has extensive caries. Periapical periodontitis can be eliminated as a cause because the pain would be well localized and because there are no nonvital teeth in the quadrant.
Give a differential diagnosis for the lesion in the left maxilla. Explain which cause is most likely and why.

The unilocular dome-shaped lesion with a thin bony margin of expanded periosteal new bone and the overall round shape are highly suggestive of a cyst. The commonest cysts in the alveolus and maxilla are odontogenic. There are no radiological features of malignancy.

Dentigerous cyst. Relatively common and found in children. Dentigerous cysts arise around the crown of an unerupted tooth and the cyst lining is attached to the tooth at the amelocemental junction. This cyst is certainly closely associated with the crown of the unerupted second premolar but the radiographic views are not clear enough to see whether the edge of the cyst joins the tooth at the amelocemental junction. This would have been almost conclusive but even without this information, this is the most likely diagnosis.

Odontogenic tumour. A less likely possibility. The lesion is not multilocular to suggest ameloblastoma but in theory an odontogenic tumour is possible. The odontogenic tumours to consider in children are usually ameloblastic fibroma and ameloblastoma. However, these are solid, less radiolucent and cause more expansion.

The adenomatoid odontogenic tumour can present as a dentigerous cyst and might be considered if mineralization was detected in the cyst on radiographs. This lesion usually arises on upper lateral incisors in females but, while unlikely, is a possibility.

Radicular cyst. The commonest odontogenic cyst but it would have to arise at the apex of a nonvital tooth. The first molar tooth with caries and all other teeth in the quadrant are vital, excluding this diagnosis. This will only turn out to be the diagnosis if the vitality test result is incorrect.

Antral cyst. These cysts arise in the antral mucous glands (antral inclusion or retention cysts) but would be unlikely to cause such marked expansion. Also, they would not have a bony margin because they arise within the antral mucosa rather than in bone and so do not expand the alveolus as they enlarge.

Do you need to make a definitive diagnosis before treatment?

No, a dentigerous cyst is almost certainly the cause. Dentigerous cysts and the alternatives can be treated in the
same way. Therefore treatment can be planned on this basis and the final diagnosis confirmed later.

**Treatment**

- **What treatment would you recommend for the pain?**

  The caries in the first molar should be removed and a sedative temporary dressing placed. The details of the final restoration will depend on the findings after excavation of the caries and the effectiveness of the dressing in reducing pain.

- **What types of treatment are available for cysts?**

  Cysts are usually enucleated, i.e. the bony cavity is opened, the cyst lining separated from its inner bony surface, removed and the cavity allowed to fill with blood clot and reorganize. Alternatively the cyst may be decompressed and marsupialized. Decompression involves opening the cyst to the exterior to relieve the internal pressure. Radicular, dentigerous and many other cyst types enlarge through hydrostatic pressure and so decompression prevents further enlargement. Marsupialization is the method by which decompression is ensured. The cyst is opened and the lining sutured to the overlying mucosa to convert the cyst into a pouch communicating with the mouth (or sometimes the nose or antrum). Without its internal pressure to cause enlargement, the cavity slowly shrinks and reorganizes from its periphery.

  Procedures are slightly different for odontogenic keratocysts because of their risk of recurrence. The lining is thin and easily torn on removal making enucleation difficult. Access to the cavity needs to be good to ensure all the lining is removed and the lining is sometimes treated with a fixative, Carnoy’s solution. This can be dabbed onto the lining to make it tougher and also to kill the epithelium, so that any small fragments left behind cannot seed recurrences.

- **What are the advantages and disadvantages of decompression and marsupialization in the treatment of cysts?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgical procedure</th>
<th>Advantages and disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enucleation and primary closure</td>
<td>Complete treatment in one episode. In very large cysts the clot may break down and become infected, though this is largely a theoretical disadvantage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decompression and marsupialization</td>
<td>The cyst cavity reduces in size only slowly, months of follow up may be required. It is difficult to keep the cavity clean – it requires regular washing. The opening will also shrink, making access difficult. Complete resolution is unlikely. A period of shrinkage may allow enucleation without damage to adjacent structures such as the inferior dental nerve. Shrinkage may allow more teeth to be preserved. Usually ineffective for odontogenic keratocysts because they enlarge by growth of the lining, not hydrostatic pressure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because marsupialization has several disadvantages it is usually used only for a short period for specific reasons. The cyst can be enucleated when it has shrunk to a more manageable size or away from important structures.

- **What treatment would you recommend for this particular cyst?**

  The inverted second premolar cannot erupt and needs to be removed. The cyst extends around the apices of adjacent teeth and marsupialization would have the advantage that their vitality could be preserved. However, washing out the cavity after marsupialization would be difficult and in a child it would be better to perform enucleation and complete the treatment in one episode. If adjacent teeth were devitalized they would require root treatment, unless orthodontic assessment for the crowding suggested gaining space by extraction. In practice it will probably prove possible to enucleate without devitalizing the adjacent teeth.

  A further possibility when enucleating the cyst is to break down the bony wall separating the cyst from the antrum, remove the cyst lining and extract the unerupted tooth. This effectively reforms the antrum immediately. A nasal antrostomy (opening from the sinus through the lower part of the lateral wall of the nose) would be required to ensure drainage from the sinus until the antral healing is complete. Whichever procedure is carried out, a sample, preferably all, of the cyst lining should be taken for histological examination to confirm the diagnosis.

**Further investigations**

- **Figure 53.5 shows the histological appearances of the cyst after enucleation. What do you see and how do you interpret the appearances?**

  The left-hand figure shows a length of fibrous cyst wall (W) lined on its inner aspect by epithelium of regular thickness (E). In the bottom right-hand corner there is a focus of inflammation, seen as dark nuclei of inflammatory cells (I), and above it a large pink mural nodule of cholesterol clefts in loose tissue (C) protruding into the lumen. The cholesterol elicits a foreign body giant cell reaction and, although they are not clear at this magnification, the very dark angulate areas among the cholesterol crystals are foreign body giant cells. Haemorrhage (H) is present in and around the mural nodule, visible most easily as red cells on the left of the nodule between it and the epithelial lining. The cholesterol is derived from breakdown of cell membranes of erythrocytes and inflammatory cells that die in the cyst. Two less inflamed areas are shown on the right. The rest of the cyst was lined by similar nonkeratinizing epithelium, often very thin and without rete processes.

  Taken together with the radiological features the appearances indicate dentigerous cyst. Dentigerous cysts are lined by nonkeratinizing stratified epithelium, though this is not in itself a diagnostic feature. In the early stages the epithelium is characteristically only two cells thick because it is reduced enamel epithelium that has separated from the tooth crown.
diagnosis such as odontogenic keratocyst or ameloblastoma. These alternatives have characteristic histological appearances that are not present here.

The definitive diagnostic criterion is that the epithelial lining and cyst wall join the unerupted tooth at the amelocemental junction. This might be seen only by the surgeon at operation.

As the cyst enlarges and impinges on mucosa or the antrum it becomes inflamed. The inflammation causes the epithelium to undergo hyperplasia, thicken and develop rete processes. Inflammation causes haemorrhage and release of cholesterol to form crystals in the wall, which ulcerate into the lumen to form the mural nodules. The appearances can then be very like a radicular cyst and the histopathology is not diagnostic. Therefore, the main reason for submitting the surgical specimen for examination is to exclude an unexpected
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A gap between the front teeth

SUMMARY
A 35-year-old man has noticed a gap appearing between two incisor teeth. What is the cause and how can you treat him?

EXAMINATION

Extraoral examination
There is no lymphadenopathy and no temporomandibular joint signs. The two crowns, although prominent and rather light in shade, are under control of the lower lip associated with a competent lip seal. The patient has a broad smile and moderately high lip line.

Intraoral examination
The oral mucosa is healthy, there is no caries and only a few amalgam restorations but there is focal marginal inflammation and plaque lying interdentally. The metal ceramic crowns on the two upper central incisors have good margins but there is 3 mm recession palatally and both teeth are grade 2 mobile. A further diastema is present in the opposing arch between the lower right canine and first premolar (Fig. 54.2) and the adjacent lateral incisor has grade 3 mobility.

History
Complaint
The patient is concerned about the gap between the crowned upper right central incisor tooth and lateral incisor.

History of complaint
He noticed the gap about 9 months ago and feels that it has enlarged, that the teeth have drifted forwards and that the crowns are now loose. He has had no symptoms from these or any other teeth.

Medical history
He has mild asthma controlled with an inhaled steroid and salbutamol. He does not smoke.

Dental history
The patient is new to your practice. He attended the dentist regularly, previously going every 6 months but now less frequently. The crowns are approximately 6 years old and were fitted to replace some unsightly fillings.

The patient's anterior teeth are shown in Figure 54.1. What do you see and how do you interpret the appearances?

The upper right lateral incisor is rotated mesiolabially and the central incisor distolabially. There is recession on both central and lateral incisors. The gingival tissues have some rounding of the margin and no obvious gingivitis, though there is loss of stippling and contour. The appearances suggest labial drifting as a result of loss of periodontal support. Pocketing is probably present even though it is not obvious.

Fig. 54.1 The patient's anterior teeth on presentation.

Fig. 54.2 Further views showing the appearances on presentation.
The gap between the upper central incisor and the lateral incisor is at least 3 mm and on gently probing the area there is profuse bleeding. The gingival tissue in that area is red, inflamed and has lost any contouring or stippling. There are deep probing depths on several teeth and mobility. Probing depths and gingival bleeding are shown in Figure 54.3. For details of indices and periodontal examination see Case 38.

The crowns of the upper incisors are rather bulky palatally, probably as a result of inadequate tooth preparation. On closing to intercuspal position the lower teeth occlude on the crowns in premature contact and displace the teeth labially. In both instances the entire tooth is loose, not just the crown.

Investigations

All teeth are vital.

The choice of radiographs for periodontal diagnosis is reviewed in Case 38. Periapical radiographs for this patient are shown in Figure 54.4.

Diagnosis

What is your diagnosis?

The patient has chronic gingivitis and localized periodontitis. The periodontitis has reduced the bony support for the upper and lower right anterior teeth and they have drifted labially. Bone loss extends close to their apices and they would have drifted further had they not been retained by the high lower lip line and competent lips. Recession is also the result of the periodontitis (see Case 4).

However, the pattern of periodontitis is unusual. The patient is only 35 years old but has severe localized attachment loss.

What causes severe localized periodontitis?

The causes are usually local factors affecting the distribution of chronic periodontitis, such as:

- food packing and diastemas
- overhanging and poorly contoured restorations
- subgingival calculus
- destructive habits and self-inflicted injury
- peri-endo lesions
- root fractures
- high fraenal attachment
- localized aggressive periodontitis.

Can any of these explain this patient’s pattern of disease?

Primarily the incisors and first molars are involved. The pattern of localized destruction and the shape of the deep infrabony pockets suggests localized aggressive periodontitis. This is the currently accepted diagnostic term for this presentation following the International Workshop for the Classification of Periodontal Disease in 1999. Previously this pattern would have been labelled localized juvenile periodontitis and in an adult might have been referred to as postjuvenile periodontitis.

The new name has been chosen to reflect the fact that both adults and adolescents may be affected.

Although the crowns have good margins they are in traumatic occlusion and the jiggling forces on closing would increase the mobility of the teeth.

Treatment

Is this patient suitable for treatment in general practice?

The severity of the complaint and the age of the patient indicate that there is a predisposition to periodontitis and so...
it may progress despite treatment. Initial phases of treatment could be carried out in general dental practice but some teeth already have a very poor prognosis. This is a complex case and unless you have a special interest or are a specialist in periodontology, the case might be best referred for treatment.

**What would you include in your letter of referral?**

- Patient’s name, title, age and address including full postcode.
- Short dental history including pattern of attendance and past treatment needs.
- Relevant medical history.
- Your clinical findings including any special test results or study models you have.
- Anything else that you feel is relevant or will save time or a misunderstanding at the time of consultation.
- Whether you are referring for advice, a single aspect of treatment or comprehensive treatment to be undertaken.
- The radiographs or digital images should be sent with the letter so that repeat radiographs and the consequent radiation dose can be avoided.

**What treatment plan would be appropriate?**

The prognosis for the three worst affected upper anterior teeth is hopeless as a result of loss of bony support, drifting and poor appearance. Additionally, if the teeth were to be saved, they would require new crowns. The upper right first molar has furcation involvement and bone loss to the apex and must also be extracted. The anterior teeth are symptomless and do not require immediate extraction but should be extracted in the near future to preserve what alveolar bone remains. An immediate replacement denture is the treatment of choice because no definitive restorations can be planned until the periodontitis is brought under control and the longer term prognosis for the other teeth is clear.

In the lower arch the left lateral incisor has bone loss to the apex and probably requires extraction. The long-term prognosis for the lower right canine remains to be determined but, at least initially, it is worth taking a conservative approach and assessing the response to root planing under local analgesia.

The ideal treatment plan would include:

- patient education
- instruction in plaque control, tooth brushing and interdental cleaning
- subgingival scaling
- extraction of both upper central, right upper lateral and lower left lateral incisors and the upper right first molar
- immediate replacement prosthesis
- root planing all severely affected teeth under local analgesia, particularly the lower right canine
- a maintenance phase to assess the response
- continued care of the remaining dentition including caries prevention
- extraction of further teeth as required
- assessment for permanent prosthetic replacement.

The details must be discussed with the patient including the time commitment and cost of treatment. The patient must be given a written treatment plan to consider and agree.

The patient indicates that he would rather attempt to preserve the lower right canine than have it extracted, even though there is no guarantee of success.

**What are the options for an immediate replacement prosthesis in the upper arch?**

**Immediate insertion removable acrylic denture** using wrought stainless steel clasps for direct retention. The denture must be kept clear of the gingival margins as much as possible so as not to compromise the periodontal health. The patient already has inadequate oral hygiene and has never experienced a denture before. Once the anterior ridge remoulds, the denture will not fit well in this region and a chairside reline will be necessary. Acrylic has the advantage that further teeth can be added should the periodontitis progress.

**Immediate insertion minimal preparation bridge.** This is much more complex and success is less predictable. The span is long and the occlusion unfavourable.

**Implant solutions.** Again, success would be unpredictable with the complex techniques required. There is little residual bone and implants would require autogenous bone grafts or guided regeneration techniques with membranes. Immediate insertion implant techniques have a lower chance of success that delayed placement and would not be recommended.

**What are the options for an immediate replacement prosthesis in the lower arch?**

**Immediate insertion removable acrylic denture.** In the lower arch this is a less advantageous option. A simple partial denture will be relatively bulky and could not be designed to avoid gingival margins. It would therefore be much more likely than an upper denture to compromise plaque control and risks being poorly tolerated.

**Immediate insertion minimal preparation bridge.** This could comprise a cantilever pontic retained on the central incisor or the canine. The canine is the obvious choice because of its more favourable crown/root ratio but you will need maximum wrap-around to maximize retention. The quality of laboratory work will be critical because the restoration cannot be temporized if the fit is not satisfactory; if the immediate replacement does not fit after the extraction, the patient will be left with an unsightly gap. In the immediate insertion option, moisture control and haemostasis will be of critical importance if the bond is to be sufficiently strong. The design of the pontic must be optimized to favour plaque control in view of the patient’s susceptibility to periodontitis. Probably the best option if a replacement is required.

**No replacement.** In the short term it is quite possible that the patient might accept the appearance of the gap. This would be the best option for the periodontal treatment and the situation could be reassessed when the prognosis of the lower teeth becomes clearer.

The patient opts for an upper immediate insertion removable acrylic denture and extraction of the lower left lateral
incisor and conservative periodontal treatment for the lower canine. He completes the first eight items of your treatment plan and no further extractions are necessary. After 6 months the patient has responded very well to plaque control and scaling/root planing. There has been marked gingival shrinkage around the lower canine and there is no bleeding on probing and a pocket depth of 4 mm. The excellent response to treatment is, of course, largely down to the effort of your hygienist who has motivated and educated the patient and performed the treatment.

The patient is ‘managing’ with the denture but dislikes it. It is now becoming less retentive and the anterior teeth have dropped on a couple of occasions. He would very much like to discuss options for a more permanent replacement. The lower arch is now stable following periodontal treatment.

The appearances of the patient’s mouth after this initial treatment are shown in Figure 54.5. Bone levels around the remaining teeth are more or less as they were on presentation in Figure 54.4.

What are the options for definitive treatment?

A bridge. This would be possible but there are some difficulties. The extensive bone loss from periodontitis has resulted in fairly marked shrinkage of the ridge after extraction. This would require the pontic to be placed more palatally than is ideal and, in combination with the high lip line and reduced lip support, could make the appearance of a bridge less than ideal. This problem would be overcome by a removable prosthesis with a labial flange.

The span is quite long and one of the abutment teeth is a lateral incisor. It is unrestored but lateral incisors are never abutments of choice because of their small root, thin coronal dentine and angulation. In addition Figure 54.4 shows bone loss of half the root length. Although this tooth is currently stable there must remain doubts about long-term maintenance and it is not suitable to take the load required of a bridge abutment, even if the adjacent canine were prepared as well. If a bridge were to be provided it would be wiser to extract the compromised upper left lateral incisor and construct a fixed-fixed bridge using both canines as abutments. This would allow better control of the appearance. Had the tooth been less compromised it could have been included in a double abutment with the canine. A bridge would also involve considerable expense.

Implants. An implant-retained bridge is possible. The periodontitis would need to be fully treated and maintained and bone graft would be necessary for ideal pontic placement.

A new permanent removable acrylic prosthesis. If the patient was not managing to control the periodontitis or the prognosis of other teeth was questionable, a replacement denture with the major connector constructed from acrylic resin could be considered. This prosthesis would derive some support from rests on the cingula of the canine teeth and by covering the vault of the palate. Teeth with uncertain prognosis could be added later and, in the meantime, would not be clasped for direct retention. Clasps of either wrought stainless steel or gold could be used on teeth with a favourable prognosis.

Removable prosthesis with chrome–cobalt framework. This is probably the best option. It is less expensive than a bridge or implants, much simpler and can be designed to favour oral hygiene procedures and for addition of further teeth in the future.

The patient is very happy with the appearance of the acrylic partial denture and found it well retained until recently. After discussing the options the patient opts for a new cobalt–chromium-based removable prosthesis. An additional factor not visible in the pictures is that the lower incisors have overerupted as a result of the drifting of the upper teeth. The occlusion makes the option of a bridge untenable without adjustment of the lower incisors.

Who should design the prosthesis, you or the laboratory? Why?

The design of any denture has to be a team effort between you and the laboratory, with you as the dentist taking the final responsibility for the restoration. In an ideal environment the dentist should have access to a model surveyor and use the skills learnt in dental school surveying and designing regularly. However, with increasing emphasis on the role of the team, it should be possible to devolve the detailed design to your laboratory technician. As a minimum you will need to discuss which teeth need to be replaced and identify any teeth with doubtful prognosis.

What are the general principles to consider when designing any partial denture?

Decide the teeth to be replaced and outline the saddles.

Plan support for the denture. Is the denture going to be tooth supported, tooth and mucosa supported or just mucosa supported?

Plan the path of insertion on a model surveyor, identify guide planes and consider their preparation. As this patient has lost anterior teeth and has a high lip line, the acrylic flange has to engage the labial soft tissue undercut so the model will have a tilt to the posterior teeth on the surveyor table.

Plan direct retention normally provided with clasps. Consider engaging 0.25 mm undercuts with cast cobalt–chromium occlusal approaching clasps and 0.5 mm with gingival approaching clasps.

Is there adequate direct retention or will you have to provide indirect retention?
### Table 54.1 Major and minor connectors – advantages and disadvantages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connector</th>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Key to clinical success</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular lingual plate</td>
<td>Commonly used For use where the lingual sulcus is shallow</td>
<td>Teeth can be relatively easily added With prepared rest seats can provide excellent indirect retention</td>
<td>Covers much gingival tissue Has to be fenestrated if diastemas are present</td>
<td>Has to be well adapted to the lingual aspects of the incisor teeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular lingual bar</td>
<td>The other most commonly used mandibular connector</td>
<td>Minimal tissue coverage</td>
<td>Teeth cannot be added subsequently Requires minor connectors to connect to rests for support and indirect retention in Kennedy class I and class II situations</td>
<td>Half pear shape in profile Needs at least 8 mm clearance between the gingival margin and the raised floor of the mouth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular sublingual bar</td>
<td>Where a bar connector is required but the lingual sulcus is shallow</td>
<td>Minimal tissue coverage</td>
<td>Can be bulky due to profile, projects out towards the tongue and risks being poorly tolerated</td>
<td>Very accurate functional impression of the raised floor of the mouth is required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular continuous bar</td>
<td>Where a bar connector is required but the lingual sulcus is shallow</td>
<td>Minimal tissue coverage with short bilateral lingual bar</td>
<td>Needs to be bulky on the cingula of the teeth for rigidity Poor aesthetics if diastemas are present</td>
<td>Needs to be well supported and needs good adaptation to the teeth Can be used with a lingual bar (Kennedy connector) and both components can be made with reduced dimensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular labial bar</td>
<td>Rarely used. Bar is placed in the labial sulcus when the lingual aspect is undercuts</td>
<td>Highly retroclined incisor and premolar teeth precluding a lingual connector</td>
<td>As it is placed in the labial sulcus, this is a long connector and has to be quite substantial to provide rigidity Possibly poorly tolerated by patient</td>
<td>Cannot be used if there are labial soft tissue undercuts in canine and premolar region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete palatal coverage</td>
<td>Commonly used maxillary connector with bilateral free end saddles and large unilateral free end saddles</td>
<td>Support can be derived from the palate where there is lack of tooth support</td>
<td>Difficult to get well adapted to a high palatal vault Patients usually prefer the palate to remain uncovered</td>
<td>Ensure that there is no anteroposterior rock on the major connector before accepting the fit of the casting Ensure good adaptation to posterior border</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal strap connector</td>
<td>For use with short bilateral bounded saddles</td>
<td>Reported to be well tolerated by patients, some palate uncovered</td>
<td>No specific disadvantages</td>
<td>Has to include biplanar curves in the palate to ensure rigidity Must be at least 8 mm wide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anteroposterior palatal bar connectors</td>
<td>Commonly used connector, ideal if there is good tooth support Often loosely called a skeletal pattern connector</td>
<td>Reduced palatal coverage, versatile connector system that can avoid gingival margins Palate uncovered to sense texture, flavour and temperature of food</td>
<td>The connector has a very long circumference (inside and outside the loop), all of which needs to be well adapted to the mucosa Technically more difficult to make than full coverage where only the posterior margin has to fit closely</td>
<td>Ensure good soft tissue adaptation prior to accepting fit of casting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary U-shaped connector</td>
<td>Not commonly used</td>
<td>Useful if there is a large palatal torus that would impinge on a plate or a bar, otherwise to be avoided</td>
<td>Can be flexible posteriorly if insufficient anterior and roof of palate is not engaged</td>
<td>Ensure good soft tissue adaptation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Consider major and minor connectors. These together with proper base extension will help to provide stability against lateral and anteroposterior displacing forces. Advantages of different connectors are given in Table 54.1.**

**Review design for three aspects: simplicity, biological acceptability and appearance. Is as much gingival tissue as possible left uncovered? Are there unsightly anterior clasps or prominent occlusal rests that are really unnecessary?**

**What tooth preparation is required? This should include rest seat preparation either cutting into the tooth or adding composite resin, guide planes and altering the height of survey lines to allow correctly constructed clasps.**

---

**The final design is shown in Figure 54.6. What are the design features in this particular case?**

- The path of insertion was determined by the soft tissue profile of the anterior edentulous saddle. When a large labial undercut is present the model must be tilted down posteriorly during surveying to ensure that the flange fits well and has the best possible appearance.

- Support was derived from rests placed on the upper right canine, second premolar and first molar and left lateral incisor, canine and first molar. This is probably more than necessary but the design has not become overcomplicated. The rest on the left canine is necessary in case the compromised lateral incisor is extracted in future. Without it the denture would have no left anterior support after the extraction. This demonstrates the importance of planning for possible failure and also good communication with the laboratory technician. This rest on the canine might not have been included otherwise.

- Direct retention was provided by cast cobalt–chromium occlusal approaching clasps placed on posterior teeth because of the patient’s high smile line. The clasps face into the main saddle. However, the recurved clasp on the upper right premolar is still visible (see Fig. 54.7). The clasp tips are placed in undercuts relative to the planned
provide soft tissue support so that a fully tooth-supported design is necessary. If the denture had included a posterior saddle as well, an element of tissue support would have been necessary and full palatal coverage would have been required.

- Minor connectors have been placed to avoid the interdental gingival tissues, the areas most susceptible to chronic periodontitis. This has been achieved with mid-unit minor connectors on the posterior teeth.
- The pontic design chosen for the upper right molar was a metal sanitary pontic. The tilting of the second molar had reduced the space. Using a sanitary pontic has avoided placing any components in the periodontally vulnerable interdental area.

What is indirect retention and why is none required for this denture?

If a partial denture has two clasps the denture will tend to rotate away from the soft tissues about an axis between the two clasps. Indirect retention is provided by a rigid component placed on the teeth opposite to the saddle so that the saddle cannot fall away from the ridge. Indirect retention is usually required for free end saddles (distal extension) and in Kennedy class IV situations where direct retention from anterior clasps at each end of the saddle would be unsightly. In a single free end saddle situation the denture will rotate around the distal clasps and a rest must be placed on a tooth opposite the saddle and as far away from the axis of rotation as possible. In the present case direct retention from clasps is sufficient.

The appearances of the finished denture are shown in Figure 54.7.

The final result, a restoration that the patient was delighted with and that you should be pleased with. One posterior clasp is visible but the overall result is excellent. This case effectively illustrates how a relatively simple treatment plan produces the most predictable result.
Case 55
A lump in the palate

SUMMARY
A 32-year-old lady is referred to your hospital oral and maxillofacial surgery department by her general dental practitioner because of a swelling in her palate. What is the cause and what treatment is appropriate?

Examination

Extraoral examination
She is a fit and well-looking woman. No cervical lymph nodes are palpable and the temporomandibular joints appear normal. There is no facial asymmetry.

Intraoral examination
The appearance of the palate is shown in Figure 55.1. There is a swelling of the right side of the palate and maxillary alveolus. There is no caries and only a few relatively small amalgam restorations.

What are the features of the swelling?
The swelling has the following characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Molar to central incisor region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>2 x 3 cm approximately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape</td>
<td>Oval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>No ulceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>Overlying mucosa normal. Has a slight blue tinge No evidence of inflammation, not pointing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contour</td>
<td>Regular, rounded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you were able to palpate the lesion and the patient’s neck you would discover the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesion consistency</th>
<th>Firm, not fluctuant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lesion mobility</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical lymph nodes</td>
<td>No submandibular or cervical lymph nodes palpable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Differential diagnosis

On the basis of what you know so far, what types of lesion would you include in your differential diagnosis?

1. Benign neoplasm of palatal salivary gland, most probably a pleomorphic adenoma
2. Malignant salivary tumour, and if so:  
   — most probably a mucoepidermoid carcinoma, or  
   — polymorphous low grade adenocarcinoma,  
   — but possibly an adenoid cystic carcinoma
3. Odontogenic causes:  
   — either an abscess  
   — or an odontogenic cyst, probably a radicular cyst
4. Mucoous retention cyst
5. Antral or nasal lesion bulging into the mouth (e.g. a carcinoma)
6. Miscellaneous other possibilities including lymphoma

Which of these possibilities are the most likely? Explain why.

Benign salivary gland neoplasm. The site of the swelling is compatible with a palatal salivary gland origin though it is slightly more anterior than is typical. Salivary glands are more numerous in the posterior hard palate or soft palate and a swelling level with or behind the molars would be more characteristic of a salivary gland origin. If the lesion is arising
in a gland, then a neoplasm is the most likely cause of a long standing painless swelling. About half of minor gland neoplasms are benign and half malignant. By far the commonest single benign tumour is the pleomorphic adenoma and this is a very likely cause. Pleomorphic adenomas are commonest in middle and old age but have a wide age distribution and the young age of the patient need not exclude this possibility.

Malignant salivary gland neoplasms are equally common in minor glands, as noted above. If the tumour is malignant, then a mucoepidermoid carcinoma or polymorphous low grade adenocarcinoma would be the most likely causes because they are the most prevalent. Adenoid cystic carcinoma is rarer, but a very significant possibility because it requires more extensive surgery and carries a poorer prognosis. The lack of ulceration and young age favour a benign neoplasm over a malignant one, but a malignant tumour is a definite possibility.

Dental causes must be considered because they are so common. The site would be very typical for an abscess arising from a nonvital lateral incisor or the palatal root of an upper first molar, but there are no symptoms or signs of acute infection. The slow and painless growth might suggest a cyst as a likely cause. However, the swelling appears to arise in the palate rather than the alveolus which is not expanded. Dental causes seem less likely than salivary gland causes, but as they are common it would be prudent to exclude them.

which of these possibilities are unlikely?

Explain why.

A mucous retention cyst is the most likely non-neoplastic salivary gland cause and the bluish tinge to the lesion suggests a cyst. However, this lump is firm and appears to be of long duration. A mucous retention cyst would be expected to burst or fluctuate in size if present over a long period. This lesion is rather large for a mucocoele on the palate.

Antral or nasal causes must be borne in mind but are also unlikely. Any lesion that had eroded through the palate or sinus wall would be expected to be ulcerated or inflamed.

Investigations

What investigations would you perform?

Tests of tooth vitality of all the teeth in the upper right quadrant are required to exclude the possibility that a nonvital tooth is present and the cause of an abscess or cyst. Radiographs are required for these and other reasons.

Which radiographic view(s) would you select? Explain why.

A selection from the radiographic views shown in Table 55.1 is indicated for the reasons given.

A panoramic radiograph revealed no abnormality in the right palatal or alveolus.

Is a biopsy appropriate? What types of biopsy might be used and what are their advantages and disadvantages for this case?

Yes. Only a biopsy will provide the definitive diagnosis. However, deciding whether or not to biopsy a salivary gland swelling is not always a simple choice. Inappropriate biopsy could do the patient a disservice. There are a number of possibilities, as presented in Table 55.2.

Either an incisional biopsy or fine needle aspiration should be performed, and the choice will depend on the availability of cytology services in the clinic and the surgeon’s preference.

A fine needle aspiration of the lesion was performed and the appearances of the aspirate are shown in Figure 55.2. What do you see?

The cells are stained with the Papanicolaou stain which stains nuclei blue and cytoplasm green, or orange if keratinized. Figure 55.2a shows a sheet of uniform cells with moderate amounts of cytoplasm. Their cytoplasm, polygonal shape and cohesive growth indicate that these are epithelial cells and their uniform nuclei suggest they are benign. The second field, shown in Figure 55.2b, shows smaller numbers of spindle cells without significant cytoplasm in a myxoid matrix. Taken together, these appearances are characteristic of pleomorphic adenoma.

Diagnosis

The diagnosis is pleomorphic adenoma.

Treatment

How should this lesion be treated?

The appropriate treatment is excision with a small margin, at least a few millimetres. The pleomorphic adenoma is benign.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 55.1 Selection of appropriate radiographic views</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introral views of alveolus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oblique occlusal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panoramic radiograph or occipitoaxial view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panoramic radiograph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computed tomography (CT scan) or cone beam CT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


but often incompletely encapsulated and simple enucleation would result in recurrence. The defect could be closed with either a temporary acrylic plate or local surgical flaps depending on the surgeon’s preference. Radiotherapy is ineffective as a primary treatment and would not be indicated for a benign neoplasm. It is sometimes used for widespread recurrences of parotid pleomorphic adenomas.

- **Would you like further investigations before carrying out treatment?**

Although the pleomorphic adenoma is benign it can resorb bone by pressure. Before excising the lesion it is important to know whether the palate has been perforated because any postsurgical oronasal or oroantral fistula would have to be repaired. This lesion is relatively small and this step might be omitted, but with a larger tumour it would be an appropriate reason for carrying out a CT or cone-beam CT scan.

- **The histological appearances of the excision specimen are shown in Figure 55.3. What do you see?**

Very different appearances are seen in different areas of the tumour (it is pleomorphic). Figure 55.3a shows sheets of epithelial cells in which there are small ducts containing eosinophilic material. At the edges of the sheets, cells separate and progressively merge with more dispersed cells in a myxoid stroma. These sheets and dispersed cells are the same cell types as those seen in the smear made from the fine needle aspirate shown in Figure 55.2. Here they are seen in section, in their correct relationship to each other, whereas the smear is of whole cells spread onto a glass slide, hence their different morphology.

Figure 55.3b shows numerous small duct-like clusters of cells separated by a hyaline fibrous stroma. Many of the ducts have a bilayered structure with a partial outer layer of cells with clear cytoplasm.

---

**Table 55.2 Possible biopsy types**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biopsy type</th>
<th>Advantages and disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine needle aspiration cytology (FNA/FNAC)</td>
<td>Quick and accurate in most cases though a minority of such lesions will not be amenable to diagnosis by cytology. Nevertheless, usually accurate enough to give the definitive diagnosis and can be performed in conjunction with other biopsy types if necessary. Result usually available in 1 day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trucut or wide needle biopsy</td>
<td>These provide a small tissue sample. Wide needle biopsy is now little used because FNA is easier and more accurate. There is a risk of damaging important structures, though this is more of a problem in the parotid gland than in the palate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incisional biopsy</td>
<td>As a general rule, incisional biopsy risks spreading salivary neoplasms into the tissues. Pleomorphic adenomas, the commonest benign neoplasms, are often mucinous in texture and can spread into the fascial planes of the neck and up to the skull base or down to the mediastinum when excised for biopsy. However, this is only a problem in submandibular or parotid glands. In these sites incisional biopsy should not be performed unless the lesion is thought to be malignant. Only then will the diagnosis influence treatment. In the present lesion, spread is not a particular concern. It is thought to be malignant. However, this is only a problem in submandibular or parotid glands. In these sites incisional biopsy should not be performed unless the lesion is thought to be malignant. Only then will the diagnosis influence treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excisional biopsy</td>
<td>If the lesion were smaller then an excisional biopsy might be considered appropriate. A small margin of normal tissue could be excised on the assumption that the lesion is a pleomorphic adenoma. This would not be ideal. FNA or incisional biopsy are readily performed and it would be better to determine whether the lesion is benign or malignant before excision, to ensure that an appropriate margin is taken.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 55.2a, b** The appearances of the smear of cells taken by fine needle aspiration.
Other fields show incomplete encapsulation but a well-demarcated periphery. These features are typical and diagnostic of pleomorphic adenoma. If the lesion appears excised histologically, cure is expected.

Prognosis

Are there any significant complications of pleomorphic adenoma? Are you concerned for this patient?

Yes, carcinoma may arise in a long-standing pleomorphic adenoma and these carcinomas ex pleomorphic adenoma carry a very poor prognosis. However, this process takes many years, usually 10 or more, and is therefore seen mostly in elderly patients. This is an unlikely risk in this young patient with a short history and complete removal will prevent this complication. There was nothing in the history or examination to suggest malignancy and fine needle aspiration or biopsy and examination of the excised specimen has excluded it.

Fig. 55.3 a, b The histological appearances of the excision specimen.
Rapid breakdown of first permanent molars

SUMMARY

A 7-year-old boy presents with first permanent molar teeth which his parents say have decayed rapidly, starting immediately on eruption. Identify the cause and discuss the treatment options.

History

Complaint

The child complains of pain from his back teeth on both sides. The pain is worse with sweet foods and cold liquids and persists for several minutes after stimuli. Toothbrushing with cold water is also painful but the teeth do not cause pain on biting.

History of complaint

The pain has been present for a few months and has increased in severity over the last month. The child now reports that one of his back teeth feels broken. The first permanent molars erupted on time and his mother noticed that some of them appeared to crumble as soon as they emerged through the gum. She has read in magazines that fluoride can damage teeth and has switched to a toothpaste without fluoride on the assumption that this is the cause.

Medical history

The patient is a healthy child, the only history of note being neonatal jaundice.

Dental history

The child has no experience of operative dental care. A diet history reveals a reasonably well-balanced diet, with limited consumption of refined carbohydrates and carbonated beverages. Toothbrushing has been performed with adult-formula fluoride-containing toothpaste, starting at approximately 1 year and continuing until 7 years of age.

Examination

Extraoral examination

The child has no facial swelling or asymmetry and no lymph nodes are palpable.

Intraoral examination

He is in the early mixed dentition stage. All four first permanent molars have areas of brown, rough, irregular enamel. The severity varies between the teeth and the worst affected are the maxillary molars whose enamel appears to be completely absent in some areas. These teeth have soft dentine exposed occlusally. The lower right first permanent molar is the least severely affected with only a small localized brown enamel defect on the buccal aspect. This is hard on probing. In addition, there are areas of white enamel opacity in the incisal third of the labial surface of all permanent central and lateral incisors, which are most pronounced in the maxillary central incisors. The remaining primary dentition is caries free, and appears normal in structure and morphology. Oral hygiene appears good. The appearances of the dentition are shown in Figures 56.1 and 56.2.

On the basis of what you know already, what do you suspect?

The defects appear to be hypoplasia or hypomineralization of the enamel which has either become carious or taken up

Fig. 56.1 The upper left first permanent molar.

Fig. 56.2 The central incisors.
extrinsic stains. The molars are so severely affected that diagnosis is difficult, but the opaque white zones on the central incisors are characteristic of enamel hypoplasia. Hypoplasia is the result of reduced ameloblast numbers or activity. Enamel is missing so that the surface contour is abnormal. A hypomineralized enamel usually has normal contour initially but is softer than normal and may be worn away.

Do the enamel defects follow a chronological pattern, and if so, at what time was the affected enamel formed?

Almost, the incisal and occlusal parts of the permanent central incisors and first molars form at about the same time, starting to mineralize just before birth. The affected enamel would have been formed after birth and during the first 1–2 years of life. This may be seen by consulting Figure 56.3. This distribution of hypoplasia is often referred to as molar-incisor hypoplasia. There is some evidence that molar-incisor hypoplasia is not completely chronological. The occlusal and buccal surfaces tend to be worst affected. Canines and premolars are unaffected despite mineralizing at the same time. Defects may be asymmetrical and enamel loss may be a secondary phenomenon.

What additional questions would you ask, and why?

A chronological pattern suggests systemic illness which may be identifiable in the history. Defining a possible cause may allow others such as fluorosis to be excluded. You need to ask further details about the prenatal and perinatal medical history. The following conditions may be relevant and should be specifically sought:

- Preterm birth or low birth-weight baby
- Rhesus incompatibility
- Intubation as neonate
- Maternal vitamin D deficiency.

These disturbances may manifest as enamel defects distributed along the enamel formed around birth. You should also enquire about all severe systemic disturbances in the first 2 years of life, for example meningitis, encephalitis, severe measles or pneumonia. Even relatively minor fevers or infections, such as ear infections, are known to be associated with similar enamel defects.

Differential diagnosis

What is the likely cause of the child’s pain?

The hot and cold sensitivity is characteristic of pain mediated by a vital pulp. It could be a result of caries in the dentine or exposed occlusal dentine. Molar-incisor hypoplasia is frequently associated with pain and sensitivity.

What is your initial differential diagnosis for the enamel hypoplasia?

Dental caries is the commonest cause of destruction of first permanent molars and should be considered, even though the appearances would be very unusual. Enamel hypoplasia is more likely and developmental, acquired, generalized and localized forms are recognized. The most likely cause is enamel hypoplasia due to neonatal illness. Other causes which might be considered are amelogenesis imperfecta, fluorosis and cytotoxic chemotherapy for malignant disease. In many cases no cause is found and the term idiopathic enamel hypoplasia is used.

Justify this differential diagnosis.

Dental caries is the commonest cause of destruction of the dental hard tissues. Newly erupted teeth are particularly prone to dental caries until their enamel maturation is completed in the oral environment. First permanent molars are also prone to early caries because of their deep fissures. However the possibility of caries seems unlikely. Although there is no guarantee that the diet history elicited is truly representative of the child’s actual diet, there are no restorations or caries in the deciduous dentition. The molars have discoloured or absent enamel over a wide area. This is not typical of dental caries unless carbohydrate intake is excessive or the teeth have some other predisposing factor such as enamel hypoplasia. The soft dentine indicates that some caries is present but the pattern of destruction suggests that this caries is secondary. The zones of opacity on the incisors look like early ‘white spot’ demineralization but are at a site that is almost never affected by caries.

Enamel hypomineralization and/or hypoplasia is the most common developmental disorder observed in teeth.

---

Fig. 56.3 Time of mineralization of the permanent dentition.
This child has a generalized defect with a chronological pattern. The history of neonatal jaundice deserves some consideration. It is capable of affecting amelogenesis but rarely causes clinically evident hypoplasia and then only in very severely affected individuals. Neonatal jaundice is a very common condition and in almost all cases can be excluded as a cause of enamel hypoplasia. In this child the position of the enamel defects is inconsistent with a short period of jaundice at birth.

**Fluorosis** where it is endemic is a common cause of enamel opacities and enamel hypoplasia. The deciduous dentition is usually much more mildly affected. The level of fluoride required to cause enamel defects depends on concentration, period and age of ingestion.

Fluorosis is almost certainly not the cause of the current problem. The defects in the molars would result from only very high fluoride concentrations, in excess of 25 parts per million (p.p.m.). Such levels do not occur in the UK. In addition, fluorosis of this severity is endemic and should not follow a chronological pattern.

Mild fluorosis may be seen as a result of supplementation and this is presumably what the parent has read. In such cases there are usually fine opaque white lines following the perikymata and small irregular enamel opacities or flecks with or without staining. Such mild defects are also common in normal teeth and increase in frequency when the fluoride level is lower than 0.7 p.p.m. Although the severity of the defects and distribution are incompatible with the diagnosis of fluorosis, it needs to be considered because the child has been using adult-formula fluoride-containing toothpaste from an early age. Ingestion of adult-formula fluoride toothpaste would be the most likely cause of mild fluorosis in the UK.

**Amelogenesis imperfecta** must be considered even though it is rare. Amelogenesis imperfecta can cause enamel hypoplasia, hypocalcification or hypomaturation and either of the first two conditions could lead to the appearances seen in the molar teeth. However, several factors indicate that this is not amelogenesis imperfecta. There appears to be no family history, the pattern appears chronological rather than affecting all surfaces of all teeth equally and the deciduous dentition is unaffected. While these features are not conclusive because of the wide range of clinical presentations seen in the many different types of this disease, they do make the diagnosis most unlikely.

**Idiopathic molar–incisor hypoplasia** is a convenient term used to describe cases of enamel hypoplasia with this distribution for which no cause can be ascertained.

### Investigations

**What investigations are indicated and why?**

Intraoral radiographs are indicated to assess the proximity of the coronal defects to the dental pulp. A panoramic tomograph is indicated, to ascertain the presence and stage of development of the remaining permanent dentition, in view of the possibly poor long-term prognosis of some of the first permanent molars. The panoramic tomograph is shown in Figure 56.4.

![Panoramic tomograph.](image)

If there were extensive softening of the occlusal dentine of the molars or if radiographs indicated deep caries, tests of vitality would be required.

**What does the panoramic tomograph show?**

All permanent teeth with the exception of the third permanent molars are present and the dental age is consistent with the patient’s chronological age. The gross structural defect in the first permanent molars is reflected in their radiographic appearance. The worst affected teeth – the maxillary molars – have irregular enamel outlines and there is reactionary dentine in the distal pulp horns. The view is unsuitable for detailed examination of the teeth, but no large carious lesions are evident and the unerupted permanent second molars appear to be of normal shape and to have a normal enamel structure.

### Diagnosis

**What is your diagnosis?**

The patient has enamel hypoplasia that does not follow a completely chronological pattern, and in the absence of a known insult to account for the defects, idiopathic molar–incisor hypoplasia/hypomineralization is the most appropriate working diagnosis. The diagnosis may need to be reviewed if evidence of early illness can be obtained from the general medical practitioner or if more teeth erupt with similar defects. The diagnosis is sufficiently accurate to embark on treatment.

### Treatment

**What treatment options are available for the molars?**

The appropriate treatment for grossly hypoplastic first permanent molar teeth is extraction, particularly when, as here, caries is also present. Preservation of these molars through adulthood would require provision of full-coverage crowns. These have a finite lifespan and their intermittent replacement, the risks of undetected leakage, caries and pulpal involvement, localized periodontitis and the expense and inconvenience would amount to significant morbidity in the lifetime of the patient.

**When should the molar teeth be extracted?**

Timing of the extractions is important and several factors must be taken into consideration, as shown in Table 56.1.
Table 56.1 Factors in the timing of extractions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage of dental development</td>
<td>Second permanent molars are most likely to erupt passively into a favourable position when there is radiographic evidence of calcification of a small crescent of interradicular dentine (mineralizing of the furcation). This is the ideal time to extract the first molar and is generally between $8\frac{1}{2}$ and $10\frac{1}{2}$ years of age.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of third molars</td>
<td>This must be assessed radiographically. Hard tissue formation should be visible at age $9–10$ years. The crypt may be visible as early as $7$ years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthodontic analysis</td>
<td>A complete assessment must be made. The space gained might be utilized for active orthodontic treatment. Extraction of first permanent molars is rarely ideal for orthodontic purposes and treatment may be complex. If no third molars are present, the need for orthodontic treatment may be critical in deciding whether or not to extract the first molars.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 56.2 Possible restorative options for the incisors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Composite veneers</td>
<td>Not destructive of tooth tissue, reversible and generally well tolerated even in anxious children. Excellent cosmetic result possible and easy to maintain.</td>
<td>Discour with time. Tendency to fracture if placed at incisal edge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enamel microabrasion</td>
<td>Minimal destruction of enamel if carefully performed. Technique well tolerated.</td>
<td>Unpredictable. Teeth may suffer postoperative sensitivity. Accidental exposure of dentine is possible where enamel is very thin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localized composite restoration</td>
<td>Enamel destruction limited to defect and full thickness need not be removed if opaque composites used. Good cosmetic result possible.</td>
<td>Inversible, weakens tooth structure and large areas of dentine may be uncovered. Colour change and marginal discoloration with time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porcelain veneers</td>
<td>Good appearance</td>
<td>Contraindicated in this age group as gingival contour not mature and stable tooth position not yet established.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full crown restoration</td>
<td>Good appearance</td>
<td>Inappropriate until late second decade because immature pulp horns may be exposed. Gingival contour not mature and stable tooth position not yet established.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How can the molar teeth be preserved until the patient is at the optimal age for their extraction?

Preformed stainless steel crowns are a durable, cheap and relatively simple restoration. Laboratory made nickel–chrome onlays have been advocated as a less destructive option, should it be decided that the teeth are to be retained for full coronal coverage restorations in later life. A preventive resin restoration can be provided for the less severely affected molar in the lower right quadrant.

Molars with molar–incisor hypoplasia are often considered highly sensitive to a variety of stimuli and have a reputation for being difficult to anaesthetise.

What treatment options are available for the incisor teeth?

The areas of white enamel on the labial surface of the incisor teeth do not require treatment at this age and they may become less obvious with time. Should an interim cosmetic improvement be required in the patient’s teenage years, composite veneers applied by hand, with no tooth preparation, provide a simple and effective solution. The advantages and disadvantages of the possible restorative solutions are listed in Table 56.2.

What advice would you give the mother with regard to fluoride?

The misconception about fluorosis should be dispelled: fluorosis can only develop while the teeth are forming. Provided the child can rinse and spit out effectively it would be appropriate for him to use an adult formulation fluoride toothpaste. This would provide a significant caries benefit (and the mother would also gain from using it). No fluoride supplement is indicated for this child who, at least on the basis of a preliminary analysis, eats little sugar and has no caries. There might be a particular advantage in using fluoride toothpaste during adolescence and early adulthood when the diet often changes markedly.
SUMMARY

A 72-year-old man with squamous cell carcinoma is referred to your cancer treatment centre. How should he be managed?

Examination

Extraoral examination

The examination of the neck is normal apart from a possible mass just below the right angle of the jaw. It is difficult to ascertain whether or not the mass is hard. It is deeply situated and approximately 15 mm in diameter.

Intraoral examination

Fig. 57.1 The patient’s appearance on presentation

What do you see?

There is a large fungating ulcer arising on the right lateral border of the tongue. It is approximately 30 mm in size and has raised everted borders. Towards the dorsal surface the mucosa bulges, as if there is a mass beneath the intact epithelium, and there is a white patch affecting the mucosa just dorsal to the ulcer margin.

If you could palpate this ulcer you would find that it is indurated (firm or hard on palpation) and extends down towards the floor of the mouth and up beneath the dorsal mucosa towards the midline. The lesion is clearly much larger than it looks. The patient has reduced tongue mobility.

Diagnosis

In this case the diagnosis has already been made at the referring hospital. The patient has squamous cell carcinoma and the appearance and presentation are typical. The patient knows the diagnosis.

Why might the patient have earache?

Ear pain is thought to result from malignant infiltration (or other damage) of nerves that pass through or supply the ear on their way to the periphery. Thus in the tongue or pharynx, pain may be generated by involvement of the lingual nerve, which contains fibres from the chorda tympani from the ear and the auriculotemporal nerve (branch of the mandibular division of the trigeminal nerve), which also supplies the external auditory meatus. Similar referred pain may result from involvement of the glossopharyngeal nerve.

Principles of treatment

What are the principles of treatment for oral squamous cell carcinoma?

Patients with oral carcinoma often present too late for cure and some may not benefit from treatment. Three treatment options are possible:

1. attempted cure
2. active palliative care
3. supportive care only pending death.

If cure is attempted, the highest chances of success are given by multimodality treatment – a combination of surgery, radiotherapy and, more rarely, chemotherapy. The most...
aggressive treatment that the patient is able to withstand will be recommended because, if the first round of treatment fails, the chances of survival are much reduced.

**Further investigations**

**How might you predict the chance of successful treatment?**

By using staging and grading.

The chances of successful treatment depend on how large the carcinoma has grown and whether it has already spread to other sites in the body. Staging is a standardized method for assessing these parameters and the stage is used to predict survival and also to plan treatment. Accurate staging is essential.

All malignant neoplasms are staged by determining the tumour size (T), the lymph node status (N) and the presence of distant metastasis (M). These parameters are then combined to give the TNM stage. Details for staging oral carcinoma are shown below.

| T | T1 | Carcinoma less than 2 cm in maximum diameter |
| T2 | Carcinoma 2–4 cm in maximum diameter |
| T3 | Carcinoma greater than 4 cm in maximum diameter |
| T4 | Carcinoma extends to involve other structures such as mandible or skin |

| N | N0 | No lymph node metastasis |
| N1 | Metastasis in a single ipsilateral lymph node less than 3 cm diameter |
| N2a | Metastasis in a single ipsilateral lymph node 3–6 cm in diameter |
| N2b | Metastasis in multiple ipsilateral nodes, none larger than 6 cm |
| N2c | Metastasis in bilateral or contralateral nodes, none larger than 6 cm |
| N3 | Lymph node metastasis greater than 6 cm in diameter |

| M | M0 | No distant metastasis |
| M1 | Distant metastasis |

The stage is then determined by the combination of TNM scores. Survival falls with increasing stage. Note how important cervical metastasis and large carcinomas are in determining stage, and therefore survival.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Combinations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1</td>
<td>T1, N0, M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2</td>
<td>T2, N0, M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3</td>
<td>T3, N0, M0 or T1–3, N1, M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4</td>
<td>Any tumour that is: T4 N2 or N3 M1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staging depends on the fact that carcinomas tend to progress in a predictable way. First they enlarge by invading the surrounding tissues, then cells break away and travel via the lymphatics to seed metastases in lymph nodes. Bloodborne metastases to distant sites develop last. Although the sequence is usually predictable, the speed at which any individual carcinoma progresses through these stages varies widely.

Grading is a histological method to determine how aggressive a carcinoma is and how quickly it is likely to progress (or may already have progressed) from stage to stage. It is a measure of how differentiated the carcinoma epithelium is, that is, how much it resembles its tissue of origin. Well differentiated carcinomas grow in the tissues as large islands and form keratin. They usually grow slowly and metastasize late. Poorly differentiated carcinomas have more cytological pleomorphism, no keratin and invade more aggressively as small clusters of cells or even as single cells. They are more likely to produce metastases early in their course.

Staging is more important than grading, because the size and extent determine whether surgery is possible. Grading is useful in deciding which treatments are appropriate and how radical they should be.

**How will you determine the disease stage?**

**Size (T)** can be determined by clinical examination. This is not very accurate and, if the carcinoma is posteriorly placed, may require an examination under general anaesthetic. Radiographs must be taken to assess involvement of bone as bone invasion automatically indicates T4 size. Imaging provides a more accurate estimate of size and extent than clinical examination.

**Presence of lymph node metastasis (N)** can be judged by clinical examination. Large, hard, fixed nodes will be obvious but lymph nodes nonspecifically enlarged as a result of ulceration or infection may also be present. Enlarged lymph nodes may require fine needle aspiration to confirm whether or not carcinoma is present (see Case 20). Imaging is very useful to detect small lymph node metastases and metastases in deeply sited nodes. The patient should have computerised tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or positron emission tomography (PET) scans to detect involved lymph nodes. Which is used will depend on local circumstances.

**Distant metastases (M)** should be sought by clinical examination and imaging. The imaging modalities noted above may all be used together with serological tests such as liver function tests (reflecting liver metastases).

After staging investigations this patient’s carcinoma is staged as T2, N0, M0 making it a stage 2 lesion. The size of the primary is at the upper limit of the T2 category. Fine-needle aspiration of the palpable submandibular lymph nodes detects no carcinoma and it has to be assumed that they are enlarged as an inflammatory/immune reaction to the ulcer rather than by metastasis. No other enlarged lymph nodes are evident on imaging. The chest radiograph and abdominal ultrasound appear to exclude distant metastasis and liver function tests are consistent with the patient’s alcohol intake. An anaesthetist declares the patient fit for the long general anaesthetic that would be required for surgery. The patient could opt for any treatment that is recommended.
Choosing What factors determine the appropriate treatment? and compromised by a lifetime of heavy smoking and excessive alcohol intake or are elderly and infirm and cannot accept aggressive treatment. The survival rates for oral carcinoma of all sites are shown in Figure 57.2. These are Kaplan–Meier survival curves and each step in the line represents one of the study population dying of carcinoma at the time indicated on the x axis. The graphs show the disease-specific survival, on the left broken down by stage and on the right broken down by presence of lymph node metastases. Note how important metastasis is in determining survival.

In practice some patients die sooner than this, but of other illnesses, often smoking-induced. The proportion of patients predicted to survive 5 years after diagnosis of tongue carcinoma is 75% for stage 1, 60% for stage 2, 45% for stage 3 and only 25% for stage 4. Thus this patient has only a 60% chance of surviving 5 years.

### Treatment planning

**What factors determine the appropriate treatment?**

Choosing the appropriate treatment is sometimes difficult and many factors need to be taken into consideration.

- **The patient's wishes.** The patient must decide whether they wish to undergo treatment and which treatment modality they would prefer.
- **Medical history.** Major head and neck surgery carries a risk of perioperative death of approximately 2–3%. Many patients have coexisting disease secondary to smoking and drinking alcohol: emphysema, chronic bronchitis, angina, cirrhosis of the liver and a history of myocardial infarction are not unusual. However, untreated oral carcinoma will be fatal and many patients are prepared to take the risk of general anaesthesia and surgery.

**Extent of carcinoma.** The primary lesion may be unresectable because of extension to the base of skull, infratemporal fossa, cavernous sinus or across the midline in the pharynx. Metastatic disease in the neck may be unresectable if the carcinoma has invaded beyond the lymph node capsule (extracapsular spread) to involve vital structures such as the carotid arteries. Even if the cancer is theoretically resectable, it may be difficult or impossible to reconstruct the defect.

- **Surgery** causes cosmetic and functional defects that may not be acceptable to the patient. Resection of the tongue base causes complete loss of ability to swallow and predisposes to aspiration of food. Carcinoma in the maxilla may require loss of the eye and speech is lost after laryngectomy.

- **Home circumstances.** After surgery and during radiotherapy patients need good support at home. Family, nursing or social services may provide this. Alternatively some patients may need to be admitted for a longer period or move to a nursing home.

The availability of treatment may also need to be considered. In the UK, surgical and radiotherapy expertise are available throughout the country but brachytherapy is not offered in all centres. In some developing countries radiotherapy is the primary treatment modality.

### How is the ideal treatment decided?

In the UK the patient should be under the care of a multidisciplinary team that will usually include surgeon, radiotherapist and medical oncologist, pathologist, specialist nurses, speech therapist, dietitian, dentist and hygienist. Each patient should have an individual treatment plan defined at a multidisciplinary team meeting during which all the relevant information is presented. Treatment options are recommended on the basis of evidence-based protocols but the final choice rests with the patient.

### What are the roles of surgery and radiotherapy?

The aim of surgery is to excise the carcinoma with the largest possible margin. At other body sites it is usual to attempt to excise a malignant neoplasm by about 3 cm. This is rarely possible in the head and neck and margins of only a few
millimetres are usual if the lesion is large. Larger margins may be possible but only at a cost of reduced function and deformity. The head and neck contain many important structures and although many can be sacrificed and/or reconstructed, the resulting quality of life may be unacceptable. In some cases surgery is palliative, designed to remove the bulk of the lesion in order to reduce the symptoms arising from it.

Radiotherapy may be undertaken as a primary treatment, an adjunct to surgery or as a palliative measure. Radiation causes cell death through DNA damage, to which malignant cells are more susceptible than normal cells. Cells are most susceptible when they are dividing and so carcinomas with high rates of cell division, usually the poorly differentiated ones, are most susceptible. The radiation dose is measured in Gray (Gy) and the maximum dose is usually 60–70 Gy given over a 6-week period. Radiotherapy has adverse effects. It causes significant unpleasant symptoms and should not be seen as a soft option in comparison with surgery.

Chemotherapy may be offered in conjunction with radiotherapy for patients who are relatively fit and have large or late stage disease. In suitable cases this may improve survival at 5 years by about 10%.

The advantages and disadvantages of surgery and radiotherapy are shown above. However, it must be remembered that many patients will have both.

Factors affecting the choice of surgery and radiotherapy are shown in Table 57.1.

1. **Should the patient have his cervical lymph nodes treated?**

Although no metastasis has been detected in cervical lymph nodes, a neck dissection to remove them surgically or radiotherapy to the neck may still be required. This is because malignant cells continually separate from the carcinoma and pass in small numbers into the lymphatics and bloodstream. The majority of these cells die. However, some could have reached the cervical lymph nodes and be lying dormant or growing slowly, only to become evident clinically in the future. If such micrometastases are already present they would probably become detectable clinically in the 2 years after treatment but in the meantime they could themselves seed further metastases to distant sites. A decision has to be made whether to treat the cervical lymph nodes based on the statistical chances of micrometastases being present.

Neck dissection performed to prevent future metastases rather than to remove existing metastases is called a **prophylactic neck dissection**.

The following factors are associated with increased risk of metastasis:

- Large primary tumour
- Long duration
- Poorly differentiated histological grade
- Aggressive pattern of invasion seen histologically
- Depth of invasion
- Vascular invasion at primary site

Whether to treat an apparently normal neck when a patient has a small carcinoma is contentious because neck dissection carries morbidity. However, developing metastases later reduce chances of survival. For this reason, a neck dissection or radiotherapy is often performed. A neck dissection may also be required for surgical access and to aid reconstruction.

Metastasis from tongue carcinoma is recognised to be unpredictable. When the carcinoma has invaded to a depth of 8 mm, the risk of cervical node metastasis has been estimated at 50%. This patient’s chance of metastasis thus exceeds 50% and may be much higher because of the aggressive infiltration pattern.

**Can neck dissection not be advised on better evidence?**

Yes, the technique of sentinel node biopsy has been developed to predict lymph node metastasis accurately.

In this investigation a radioactive tracer is injected into and around the carcinoma on the day before surgery. Tracer drains along the same lymphatic routes to the lymph nodes that carcinoma cells would travel. At operation the neck is opened and a radioactivity detecting probe is used to identify the nodes that have concentrated the radioactive tracer. These lymph nodes are removed and examined on the basis that they are the ones most likely to contain metastasis. The lymph nodes may be examined by rapid frozen section, which gives an answer while the patient is under anaesthetic, or the next day. If the nodes contain no metastasis, no neck dissection is performed. If they contain metastasis, a neck dissection is indicated to remove the rest of the cervical nodes as other metastases are probably present. The technique is not yet proven for head and neck carcinoma but shows promise and is widely used in breast cancer surgery.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>Single episode of treatment</td>
<td>Surgical risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Excision of lesion can be confirmed</td>
<td>Anaesthetic risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subsequent radiotherapy still possible</td>
<td>Altered appearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adverse effects at the donor site of flaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiotherapy</td>
<td>No local destruction of tissue</td>
<td>Long duration of treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Function largely unaffected</td>
<td>Scarring and reduced vascularity of treated tissue makes subsequent surgery more difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Short-term effects: mucositis, candidiasis (thrush), difficulty in swallowing, xerostomia, skin pigmentation, mild skin radiation burns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Long-term effects: fibrosis of tissues, osteoradionecrosis, caries resulting from xerostomia, poor skin healing, low risk of radiation-induced malignancy 10 years later</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 57.1: Advantages and Disadvantages of Surgery and Radiotherapy**
Palliative care. Although many patients are anxious to attempt curative treatment whatever the cost, palliative treatment may be the appropriate choice for a good quality of remaining life.

Patients with T1 and small T2 carcinomas whose surgical defect could be closed without the need to open the neck to place a reconstructive flap can avoid neck dissection if a sentinel node biopsy is negative. However, this patient is not suitable for a sentinel node biopsy because his carcinoma is a large T2 lesion and the primary site requires reconstruction with a flap.

**What tissues are removed in a block dissection of the neck? Why?**

In the classical radical neck dissection the following are removed: the entire sternomastoid muscle, internal and external jugular veins, and the contents of the submandibular and submental triangles, including the submandibular gland and all the lymph nodes along the internal jugular vein from the base of the skull to the clavicle. Removing all this tissue in one block ensures complete removal of the carcinoma because the lymph nodes are removed covered by fat or other tissues. Excision of the sternomastoid muscle sacrifices the spinal accessory nerve, which passes through it. This causes a postoperative drop of the shoulder because it supplies trapezius as well as sternomastoid. For this and cosmetic reasons prophylactic neck dissections are more conservative and either part or all of sternomastoid and the internal jugular vein may be left in situ.

**Table 57.1 Choice of surgery and radiotherapy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment modality</th>
<th>Indications and contraindications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excision and primary closure</td>
<td>This is usually reserved for small carcinomas where there is some adjacent tissue that can be mobilized to close the surgical defect. This usually limits primary closure to the lip, tongue or cheek. The advantage of not having to operate on another site to obtain tissue for reconstruction is offset by the resulting distortion of the tissues, risking scarring and poor function. Skin grafting is now rarely used in the mouth. Sometimes the surgical site can be left to granulate. This is usually only the preferred treatment after maxillectomy, when an obturator is placed in the cavity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excision and reconstruction</td>
<td>For larger carcinomas and those excised in continuity with a neck dissection, some form of reconstruction is needed. Reconstruction seals the mouth from the tissue planes of the neck and replaces the lost oral tissues to restore function, reduce scarring and improve the cosmetic result. Reconstruction utilizes flaps of tissue grafted into the mouth. The flap of tissue may be local (e.g. nasolabial or temporalis flaps), distant pedicled flaps of muscle from the trunk (e.g. pectoralis major or latissimus dorsi flaps) or microvascular grafts (e.g. radial forearm, deep circumflex iliac artery (DCIA) or fibula flaps). Local flaps provide little tissue. Pedicled flaps are reliable but the pedicle that provides blood and venous drainage has to reach from the chest to the surgical defect. Microvascular flaps (also known as free flaps or free vascularized flaps) are a great advance. Blocks of muscle and skin are excised with the arteries and veins that supply them and the vessels are anastomosed to vessels at the surgical site to provide a blood supply and venous drainage. Unfortunately anastomosis of the vascular supply brings a risk of local thrombosis and vasospasm, which can cause the flap to undergo necrosis. All types of flap may have complications at the donor tissue site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External beam radiotherapy (teletherapy)</td>
<td>External beam radiotherapy is the most frequently used type of radiotherapy for head and neck malignancy. High energy X-rays are produced by X-ray generators (energy 100 kV) or linear accelerators (energy 20 MeV). These more penetrating high energy techniques have largely replaced the older systems based on gamma rays emitted from radionuclides such as Cobalt-60, which carried a higher risk of inducing osteoradionecrosis. In some centres particular radiation using electrons or neutrons may also be available. All types of oral carcinoma may be treated by teletherapy as a primary treatment but carcinomas that have invaded into bone are usually not because the bone absorbs radiation, shielding the carcinoma, and is at risk of osteoradionecrosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implant radiotherapy (brachytherapy)</td>
<td>Small, localized carcinomas can be treated with implantable radiation sources. These emit gamma rays from needles or pellets of radioactive indium-111 or gold-198 that are placed into the lesion under general anaesthetic and removed after 6 or 7 days. This type of treatment is useful for T1 or T2 carcinomas of the tongue or cheek. An advantage is that there is a lower dose to external tissues and a very high dose within the lesion. A disadvantage is that a maximal dose of radiation is given and can no dose can be held in reserve in case of recurrence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy</td>
<td>Combination chemotherapy and radiotherapy is used for larger carcinomas. Additional chemotherapy may provide a further advantage over radiotherapy/surgery alone but the patient must be fit and able to withstand the combined adverse effects of both treatments. Various combinations of drugs are used: cisplatinum and 5-fluorouracil being one regimen in use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palliative care</td>
<td>Although many patients are anxious to attempt curative treatment whatever the cost, palliative treatment may be the appropriate choice for a good quality of remaining life.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Treatment**

The recommended treatment for this case is to excise the carcinoma at the primary site and perform a prophylactic neck dissection en-bloc (in one continuous specimen). Postoperative (adjuvant) radiotherapy is planned. The defect in the mouth will be reconstructed with a radial forearm flap. The patient opts for this treatment and makes a good recovery following surgery.

**Pictures from the pathological examination of the resection specimen are shown in Figure 57.3. What do you see?**

The surgical specimen is shown on the left. At the top is a hemiglossectomy (HG), below it the contents of the submandibular triangle (SMT) and submental tissue (SM). The sternomastoid muscle has been preserved and the fat surrounding the jugular vein and cervical lymph nodes is on the left (F). The omohyoid muscle extends across the back of the specimen (O). In the centre the hemiglossectomy is shown at higher power. You can see the ulcer with its everted margin on the lateral border of tongue and extending into the floor of mouth. On the right is a vertical coronal section across the tongue with the midline on the left. The specimen has lost its colour after fixation in formalin. The ulcer (U) and the mass visible clinically towards the dorsum (M) are marked. Carcinoma appears white (because it contains keratin). Note
how deeply the carcinoma has penetrated, to within a few millimetres of the midline excision margin (arrowed). Luckily, carcinoma extends upwards and medially rather than down into the floor of the mouth, where excision would have been more difficult.

■ The microscopic appearances are shown in Figure 57.4. What do you see?

On the left, at medium power, is typical squamous carcinoma comprising islands of epithelium invading around and between muscle fibres. The carcinoma is forming keratin and, in this area, is well or moderately well differentiated. The next panel shows a more poorly differentiated area at high power. Pleomorphic cells with irregular nuclei (anisonucleosis) and darkly staining nuclei (hyperchromatism) are infiltrating along a pink muscle fibre running from top left to bottom right (MF). There is invasion as single cells (S). The third panel, at high power, shows a small vein (V) filled by carcinoma and inset is a cluster of carcinoma cells in a lymphatic. Invasion as single cells and lymphatic and vascular permeation are poor prognostic factors and indicate a high risk of metastasis. Two of the lymph nodes from the neck dissection are shown on the right with a scale in millimetres. Metastases (MT) are seen as round pink areas because of the keratin in them. There is a compressed rim of residual blue lymph node tissue at the periphery (L).

The surgical result is reviewed at a meeting of the multidisciplinary team. The closest margin of excision was 5 mm and metastatic carcinoma was found in 2 of 28 lymph nodes found in the neck dissection. The patient is doing well postoperatively.

■ Are these excision margins adequate?

A margin of 10 mm of normal tissue would be ideal but in many cases the excision margins are only a few millimetres. If no radiotherapy had been planned, a margin less than 5 mm would be an indication for postoperative radiotherapy and the treatment plan would be changed. This patient has a poorly differentiated carcinoma, intravascular spread and metastases. Postoperative radiotherapy can compensate for close or incomplete excision and so a full course of postoperative radiotherapy to the primary site and neck is recommended.
What is the procedure for radiotherapy?

Radiotherapy must start within 6 weeks of surgery for best results. Once the patient has recovered from the operation and swelling has settled, the preparation for radiotherapy can begin. The radiation will be given in many small doses or fractions to reduce side-effects. To ensure that the patient is reproducibly positioned in the X-ray beams, a close fitting acrylic mask including alignment markers is made for the patient’s face, on a model cast from an impression.

The radiotherapy treatment plan is made on a computer, calculating the doses absorbed by the tissues in and around the tumour site. Radiation is delivered by one or more beams of radiation and metal wedges shield sensitive tissues such as the eyes. In the UK radiation is usually given at a rate of 1 fraction per weekday. Recently, hyperfractionation protocols (2 fractions per day) have been shown to be equally effective and have fewer side-effects. This patient will receive 66 Gy over 6.5 weeks.

After completing treatment, what follow up is required and why?

The patient must be reviewed regularly for years. The greatest risk of recurrence is in the first 3 years and initially he will be seen every few weeks for a year, gradually extending review periods to a few months. As well as checking for recurrence and metastasis it must be remembered that all oral carcinoma patients have a 1 in 10 risk of developing a second primary carcinoma somewhere in the upper aerodigestive tract.

What is the role of the dentist in care?

The dentist in the hospital cancer team will have examined the patient at diagnosis and arranged for extraction of teeth of poor prognosis and restoration of caries. Teeth in the radiotherapy field used to be routinely extracted. They are now often conserved because advances in radiotherapy have reduced the risk of osteoradionecrosis and because the teeth are often restorable by modern techniques. There may also be a need for obturator or facial prosthesis postoperatively. Ideally the cancer team dentist would continue to care for the patient for a period after treatment.

General dental practitioners will care for patients in the longer term and need to provide intensive preventive advice, ensure oral health and prevent infection, particularly around teeth in irradiated bone. There is a need for continued surveillance of the mucosa for recurrence and new lesions and also to provide reassurance and support for patients and carers. Support for smoking cessation is important.

After 21 months the patient develops a recurrence in the mouth. What can be done?

If the recurrence is small and accessible it can be resected. It may be possible to provide radiotherapy but only if the site has not already been given a full course.

If no curative treatment can be provided, the patient will require palliative care. Palliative care is the control of symptoms, allowing the patient to end their life with dignity. The patient may be cared for at home by family, district or Macmillan nurses and the local hospice may coordinate treatment and organize short admissions to give some respite to carers. Pain is controlled with strong analgesics such as morphine, and if the carcinoma fungates and starts to smell it may be dressed with topical metronidazole. Low dose palliative radiotherapy or chemotherapy may be possible. The hospice and Macmillan services help the patient with their spiritual and physical needs as well as giving advice on putting their affairs in order.
A complicated extraction

SUMMARY
A 35-year-old man attends your general dental practice surgery requesting extraction of a tooth.

Fig. 58.1 The appearance of the patient’s palate.

Histories
Complaint
He points to the lower left second premolar and says that the tooth is very tender to touch.

History of complaint
The tooth has been tender for some months and root canal treatment at another surgery was initially successful but has proved ineffective in the longer term.

Medical history
The patient’s medical questionnaire indicates no relevant medical conditions.

Examination

Extraoral examination
The extraoral examination is normal except for a few palpable but normal-sized lymph nodes in his right and left cervical chain.

Intraoral examination
You immediately notice that the patient’s oral mucosa is not normal. The appearance of the palate is shown in Figure 58.1.

The lower left second premolar has a large amalgam restoration and is tender to percussion. A sinus is present over the apex and the tooth does not respond to a test for vitality.

What do you see in the patient’s mouth?

- The palate appears bruised with two purple-coloured lesions, one on each side of the palate extending from the gingival margin up the sides of the vault. Neither lesion appears to be raised above the surface.
- There is a discrete sharply defined slightly red patch in the anterior palate, just to the left of the midline.
- There are a few scattered red spots on the soft palate.

What do these changes tell you?

Individually none of these lesions can be diagnosed on the basis of the appearance alone. However in combination the appearances are almost diagnostic. The purple lesions appear vascular and could be haemangiomas or another blood vessel lesion including Kaposi sarcomas. The red patch has the characteristic appearance of erythematous/chronic atrophic candidosis. Both lesions are associated with immunosuppression, and you should immediately suspect HIV infection because Kaposi sarcoma is extremely rare with other causes of immunosuppression. In this clinical setting the palpable lymph nodes also support this diagnosis. It is almost certain that the patient is HIV-positive.

What do you need to know? What would you say to the patient?

You need to identify whether the patient is aware of his HIV infection but has chosen not to tell you the full medical history, or whether he is completely unaware of it. Almost all patients who know that they are HIV-positive will tell their dentist provided they are asked in an appropriate manner. Sometimes patients withhold the information because of previous insensitive management, a dentist having refused to provide treatment or because they are worried that practice confidentiality cannot be relied on. However, your questions need to be phrased to take account of the fact that the patient may be unaware of his HIV infection. It is very important that you approach this matter with sensitivity. You could adopt the following line of questioning.

- Tell the patient that he has some unusual signs in his mouth which you cannot easily explain. Ask whether he has noticed them.
A Complicated Extraction

- These changes may be infections. Enquire whether he knows of any reason why he might be particularly prone to infection.
- Point out that there are several possible reasons for being prone to infection and that it would be worthwhile investigating further to find the cause. Proffer examples such as anaemia, immunosuppression as a result of steroid therapy or viral infection. Patients who know that they are at risk of HIV infection may often use the prompt of a viral infection to discuss the possibility.
- If the patient indicates that they are HIV positive, ask whether you might have their clinic address so that if necessary you may make contact for medical advice relating to dental treatment.
- If the patient gives no indication that they are HIV positive, they should be referred to their general medical practitioner or to a specialist oral medicine or oral surgery unit for further investigation.

It is inappropriate to ask questions about lifestyle or sexuality. Even pointing out that HIV infection is one potential cause of the oral signs may not be well received in a dental setting. It would be reasonable to check the medical history questionnaire, including whether the patient has recorded coming into contact with someone with HIV infection or AIDS.

If the patient discloses HIV infection you should respond positively and acknowledge that you will respect the confidentiality of this sensitive information.

What other oral signs may be associated with HIV infection?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseases strongly associated with HIV infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Candidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythematous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomembranous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hairy leukoplakia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodontal disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear gingival erythema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrotizing ulcerative periodontitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaposi sarcoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesions less commonly associated with HIV infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mycobacterial infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanotic pigmentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrotizing (ulcerative) stomatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic salivary gland disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenic purpura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonspecific ulceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral infections including Herpes simplex, Herpes zoster and human papilloma virus infection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition a wide variety of unusual infections may be found more rarely.

Which of these signs are specific for HIV infection?

None. All may be seen in other types of immunosuppression and many can be found in normal patients, albeit very rarely.

Diagnosis

The patient readily informs you that he is HIV-positive and has only recently been diagnosed. He is aware of the Kaposi sarcomas, which were the presenting sign of HIV infection. He has just started on therapy with a combination of three antiretroviral drugs.

The lower left second premolar has a periapical abscess draining via a sinus.

Treatment

Extraction of the tooth is indicated.

Is it appropriate that the tooth should be taken out in a general practice surgery?

The General Dental Council (GDC) advocates that patients with HIV should be treated in general practice. Denying this patient treatment on the grounds of having HIV infection alone would put you in breach of the GDC and British Dental Association (BDA) guidelines and might lay you open to a legal case under the Disability Discrimination Act 1995.

However, when a patient has late or symptomatic HIV infection, their medical history may become so complicated that a referral to a specialist dental clinic is appropriate. Referral may not be possible in an emergency situation and treatment, including extraction, may need to be carried out in a general practice setting.

You will need medical advice. How will you obtain it?

People with HIV usually attend outpatient hospital clinics. The patient’s general medical practitioner may not have the most up-to-date test results but the clinics are usually extremely helpful if telephoned for information. However the patient’s right to confidentiality must be respected at all times. Firstly, the patient’s permission must be obtained to telephone and secondly, it is enough to explain to the clinic what you propose to do and to request the results without mentioning the patient’s disease status or irrelevant information.

What additional information would you require from the patient’s HIV management clinic? Why?

See Table 58.1.

CD4 T lymphocyte counts and the viral load, a measure of HIV viral RNA in the blood, are often known to the patient or may be given by the clinic. These indicate the level of immunosuppression and infectivity, respectively, but are not directly helpful in predicting whether a patient is likely to bleed or be at risk of infection after an extraction.

Should the tooth be taken out without this extra information?

No, in a general practice situation that would be unwise.

Would any special infection control precautions be required for the extraction?

No, the patient should be treated normally. It is assumed that all patients have the potential to carry an infectious disease and dental practices should have one level of infection.
control (universal precautions) for all patients. Additional precautions are required for surgical procedures and not for routine dentistry.

**What antiretroviral treatment might the patient be taking?**

There are three main classes of antiretroviral drugs: Nucleoside/Nucleotide (NRTIs), Non-nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NNRTIs) and Protease Inhibitors. Recently, there have been developments in entry, integration and maturation inhibitors. These are mainly confined to those patients who have a high degree of resistance to the mainstream antiretroviral drugs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 58.1</th>
<th>Further information needed from HIV clinic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information</strong></td>
<td><strong>Reason</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the patient prone to infections?</td>
<td>Antibiotics may be prescribed in immunosuppression when they would not normally be considered necessary, but should be reserved for patients with known susceptibility to infections. This is because the risk of adverse effects such as candidosis and diarrhea resulting from disturbance of the normal flora is increased in HIV infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil count</td>
<td>Patients may be neutropenic. Neutrophils provide the first line of defence against infection and if the circulating count is less than 1–1.5 × 10⁹/l, postoperative antibiotics may be appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count</td>
<td>Bone marrow suppression in late HIV infection causes thrombocytopenia. The normal number of platelets is 150–400 × 10⁹/l, but they do not usually fall to a low enough level to cause bleeding problems until late disease. However, this patient has signs of late disease (Kaposi sarcoma) and the red spots on the palate are probably petechial haemorrhages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the patient have co-infection with hepatitis B or C?</td>
<td>These infections are not uncommon in HIV-positive individuals. Liver damage may cause a coagulation defect that could complicate the extraction. It also disturbs drug metabolism.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The names of the drugs that he is taking</td>
<td>Some of the retroviral drugs have significant interactions with other classes of drugs (see below). The patient is taking efavirenz, tenofvir and emtricitabine.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 58.2</th>
<th>Interactions with antiretroviral drugs and drugs commonly used in dental practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drug</strong></td>
<td><strong>Antiretroviral drug</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>Atazanavir, duranavir, lopinavir, tipranavir, ritonavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>Ritonavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>Darunavir, fosamprenavir, indinavir, lopinavir, saquinavir, tipranavir, ritonavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazepam, midazolam</td>
<td>Protease inhibitors, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidocaine (lignocaine)</td>
<td>Protease inhibitors, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
<td>Tipranavir, nevirapine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micronazole oral gel</td>
<td>Protease inhibitors, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A useful online tool for assessing the potential for interactions is: http://www.hiv-druginteractions.org/ |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NNRTIs</th>
<th>delavirdine, efavirenz, etravirine, nevirapine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Integrase inhibitor</td>
<td>raltegravir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protease inhibitors</td>
<td>amprenavir, atazanavir, darunavir, indinavir, lopinavir, nefavir, saquinavir, tipranavir, ritonavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturation inhibitor</td>
<td>bevirimat (unlicensed)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Do these drugs interact with any likely to be prescribed in general dental practice?**

Yes, and the interactions may be significant (Table 58.2). See also the notes on prescribing antibiotics in the table above on additional medical information.
Antiretroviral combination therapy is usually very effective and his oral signs are likely to disappear with time and platelets rise in number. Antiretroviral drugs and HIV-associated salivary gland disease may cause dry mouth and this is a risk factor for caries. People with HIV are also more prone to periodontal attachment loss with gingival recession. The preventive aspects of dental disease will need to be emphasised and if there were evidence of continuing attachment loss or of excessively high levels of decay then a referral to a specialist centre would be appropriate. Otherwise, it would be appropriate to reduce the interval between recalls if there are risk factors for dental disease.
Case 59

Difficulty in opening the mouth

SUMMARY
A 40-year-old Indian man presents to you in your general dental practice with limitation of mouth opening. You must identify the cause and institute appropriate follow up.

History
Complaint
The patient complains of difficulty in eating. He cannot open his mouth widely enough to place a proper mouthful of food inside and also has difficulty chewing.

History of complaint
He has noticed the reduction of his mouth opening over a period of several years but has never sought advice. It has not been painful though he has felt a burning sensation from his oral mucosa on eating during the same period. This varies in intensity.

Medical history
The patient is otherwise fit and well.

What are the causes of limitation of mouth opening and how may they be classified?

Limitation of opening is most frequently caused by trismus. By definition, trismus is spasm of the muscles of mastication, though the term is often used loosely when opening is prevented by oedema or inflammation of the muscles or joint. Trismus is usually temporary.

Permanent limitation of opening may be caused by scarring of the soft tissues around the joint or mandible or by fusion of the condyle to the glenoid fossa (ankylosis). The causes may be divided as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trismus</th>
<th>Inflammation in and around the temporomandibular joint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trauma (fractures and/or soft tissue injury)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tetanus and tetany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temporomandibular joint (myofascial) pain dysfunction syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soft tissue infection around the jaws or joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(usually dental in origin)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Permanent limitation of opening  | A. Extra-articular causes                              |
|                                  | Fibrosis due to burns or irradiation                   |
|                                  | Oral submucous fibrosis                                |
|                                  | Mucosal scarring (e.g. in epidermolysis bullosa)       |
| B. Intra-articular causes        | Congenital ankylosis                                   |
|                                  | Traumatic ankylosis                                    |
|                                  | Ankylosis following pyogenic arthritis                 |
|                                  | Ankylosis following juvenile arthritis                 |
|                                  | Neoplasms and other causes of enlargement of the condyle |

What questions would you ask?

The patient should be asked whether there has been trauma or irradiation to the skull, temporomandibular joint or face and whether there have been any episodes of swelling of the face or around the joint. He should also be asked whether he uses betel quid (pan or paan).

The patient gives no history of trauma, irradiation, inflammation or infection. However, he has been a betel quid chewer for more than 20 years.
Examination

Extraoral examination

The patient looks mildly anaemic. There is no facial asymmetry or evidence of scars or inflammation around the joint. No tenderness can be elicited from the muscles of mastication. There are no clicks or crepitus or tenderness associated with the temporomandibular joint and no mandibular deviation on opening the mouth.

What measurement would you take?

The maximum voluntary mouth opening. The normal interincisal opening in an adult is approximately 30–40 mm. Measurement will provide a baseline reading against which to judge treatment or progression. It will also indicate the feasibility of dental and other intraoral treatment.

This patient can achieve an interincisal opening of 17 mm.

Intraoral examination

The oral mucosa is shown in Figures 59.1 and 59.2. What do you see?

The buccal and soft palate mucosae are paler than normal though some mucosal pigmentation consistent with the patient’s skin colour makes this less obvious. When the mouth is opened fully, thin white hard bands run vertically just below the buccal mucosa. These are just visible in the picture and are much more readily felt as hard ridges. Some of the less pale areas are red and atrophic.

The same changes are found on the labial mucosa where bands of hard pal e scar-like tissue are visible below the epithelium when the lip is everted. The gingival mucosa has lost its stippled appearance and there is oedema and rounding of the gingival contour consistent with gingivitis or periodontitis. There is some dark red/brown betel quid stain on the teeth.

If you were able to examine the patient you would find that he also has some reduction in mobility of the tongue and cannot protrude it very far. Most of the mucosa feels firm.

Diagnosis

What is your diagnosis?

The presentation and features are characteristic of oral submucous fibrosis. There has been gradual limitation of mouth opening and restriction of tongue movements in a betel quid user who shows typical mucosal blanching and fibrosis as bands down the buccal mucosa. None of the alternative causes would produce these signs and symptoms.

What other features of oral submucous fibrosis might be seen?

In the early stages some patients complain of vesiculation of the mucosa. In severe cases with extensive tongue involvement the filiform papillae are lost.

What is betel quid chewing?

A habit practised by many people in the Indian subcontinent, much of south-east Asia and some parts of Africa. The basic quid comprises pieces or paste of areca nut and slaked lime, wrapped and tied into a packet in a vine leaf. A variety of other components is usually added; the combination varies between regions, but tobacco is almost always included together with flavouring agents such as cinnamon, cloves and ginger. The areca nut contains alkaloids which have a psychoactive effect and make the habit addictive. The quid is not chewed continuously but placed in the buccal sulcus and occasionally chewed. Many users have a quid in their mouth all day and some sleep with a quid. It has been estimated that 10% of the world’s population use betel quid and chewing is a major health problem in many countries.

What are the possible effects of betel quid chewing?

- Oral submucous fibrosis
- Oral and pharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma
- Periodontitis, recession and root erosion at the site of use
- Tooth staining
- Decreased taste sensation
- Possible worsening of asthma
- Possible association with diabetes and malignancy.

What is the significance of the diagnosis? What else would you look for?

Oral submucous fibrosis is a premalignant condition. Tobacco and other carcinogenic agents in the quid make quid chewing one of the highest known risk factors for oral carcinoma.

The patient’s oral mucosa must be carefully examined for carcinoma and premalignant lesions. The features of early carcinoma and potentially malignant lesions are discussed in Case 46. Approximately one-third of patients with submucous fibrosis have oral white patches and dysplasia is present in the mucosa of up to 16%. Malignant transformation to squamous cell carcinoma occurs in between 5 and 8% of cases.

The second significant feature is the restricted opening. This is often progressive and responds poorly to treatment. In the late stages of disease the patient may be unable to open the mouth at all and incisor extractions may be required to allow feeding. Limited opening is a major handicap for diagnosis and treatment of malignancy and premalignant lesions. It makes examination, detection and treatment extremely difficult and the prognosis for oral carcinoma in a patient with submucous fibrosis is very poor, mostly as a result of late diagnosis.

Investigations

What investigations are required? Explain why.

Biopsy is required to assess dysplasia. If there are lesions suspicious of malignancy, red or white patches or areas of otherwise abnormal mucosa they should be sampled for
A biopsy from the quid site is shown in Figure 59.3. 
What do you see and how do you interpret the findings?

The mucosa is covered by epithelium which is atrophic and parakeratinised. The thickness of the normal buccal mucosa is about twice the thickness from the surface to the dermal papillae in this specimen. It is normally non-keratinised except for a thin layer near the occlusal line. The epithelium is largely well organized and stratification and maturation are not particularly disordered; the epithelial layers are easily differentiated. There is an expanded basaloid cell layer comprising rather disorganized small and darkly staining cells which show anisonucleosis (nuclei of different sizes) and irregularly shaped, often angular, nuclei. Towards the centre of the epithelium there is a cluster of prickle cells between two dermal papillae showing early and single cell keratinization. These features amount to mild dysplasia. Below the epithelium there is even fibrosis of the connective tissue and scattered lymphocytes.

The deeper tissue shows the fibrosis of the connective tissue more prominently. All the tissue between the epithelium and underlying muscle is replaced by relatively acellular dense fibrous tissue. The superficial muscle is atrophic and is being replaced by fibrous tissue. Occasional residual muscle fibres lie in the fibrosis. This deeper tissue is uninnflamed.

The fibrosis involving deep muscle is consistent with the diagnosis of submucous fibrosis and the overlying epithelium shows mild dysplasia.

Treatment

What questions would you ask the patient about his betel quid habit?

You need to know whether the patient includes tobacco in his quid, how many he uses each day and whether he sleeps with one in place. In addition you should check other smoking habits, because most quid users smoke as well, and some smoke traditional coarse unfiltered Indian cigarettes.
consisting of a rolled uncut tobacco leaf (bidi or beedi). Some users also practise a form of snuff dipping, placing ground quid constituents (pan masala) loose in the sulcus.

### What are the available treatments or treatment options?

**What is the potential value of each?**

See Table 59.1.

No treatment regime is satisfactory and the aim is maintenance and prevention of complications. If patients are committed to opening exercises, they may be spared the worst effects of limited opening. However, if disease is advanced at diagnosis, progressive limitation is likely. The best results are seen in those who have relatively localized disease at diagnosis, perhaps limited to the site of quid placement.

The aims are best achieved by helping the patient to cease the habit and by detecting malignancy or dysplasia as early as possible. If suspicious lesions develop they may be treated using the same modalities of treatment as in other patients, trismus allowing. All abnormal mucosa must be regarded with the utmost suspicion and a biopsy performed.

### What is the role of the general dental practitioner in such a case?

Dental practitioners have an important role in the prevention of betel quid chewing just as in the prevention of smoking, primarily to prevent oral carcinoma rather than submucous fibrosis (a rarer effect of betel quid chewing). As noted above, most chewers also smoke and health workers need to address both tobacco habits.

The majority of those who regularly chew betel quid are from low socioeconomic groups and, at least in the UK, are often poorly informed of the health risks. Health education for chewers is most important and may need to be extended to other family members. The difficulty of convincing patients to give up should not be underestimated. The habit is addictive and is embedded in the cultural, social and religious customs of many ethnic groups. Many justify chewing on the basis of supposed health benefits that are accepted in their culture. The general dental practitioner can help the specialist centre to modify the risk behaviour, reinforcing the message to remove tobacco from the quid and encouraging patients to reduce the areca content and chew less frequently. The importance of prompt referral and regular mucosal examination for dysplastic lesions and carcinoma has already been stressed.

In the small number of patients who develop submucous fibrosis, dental treatment involves difficult choices and would be best carried out in a specialist centre. Initially treatment is not a problem and could be readily performed in general practice. However, some restorative treatment will be rendered impossible as restriction of opening progresses, and a thorough regime of effective preventive treatment must be adopted as soon as possible. Extraction of teeth with even small carious lesions or moderate periodontitis may become necessary, though it should be left until the last possible moment. It is still possible to restore and clean some teeth in late disease, though both activities are compromised. Every effort should be made to retain a stable occlusion to prevent progression to permanent occlusion.

### This patient has a typical but late presentation. What are the earliest features?

The earliest features are relatively subtle and nonspecific. There is typically burning of the mucosa and roughness, sometimes described as tiny vesicles. All or part of the lining mucosa may be affected and these symptoms associated with betel quid use should be regarded with the highest suspicion.
Case 60

Toothwear

SUMMARY
A 35-year-old policeman presents having noticed that his anterior teeth are becoming shorter. Identify the cause and outline options for management.

Examination

Extraoral examination

The patient is a fit-looking man and slightly overweight. No submandibular or cervical lymph nodes are palpable. The temporomandibular joints appear normal and there is no evidence of hypertrophy of the masseter muscles.

Intraoral examination

The appearance of the anterior teeth is shown in Figure 60.1. What do you see?

The palatal surfaces and incisal edges of the upper incisor teeth are worn. The wear involves the enamel and dentine but not the pulp. The palatal surfaces of the teeth appear smooth and unstained. The incisal edges are rough, small chips of unsupported labial enamel having fractured away.

If you were able to examine the patient, you would find that some of the upper and lower anterior teeth do not contact each other in the retruded contact and the intercuspal positions. All other teeth appear normal and the palatal surfaces of the upper posterior teeth are unaffected.

What does this appearance signify?

This is toothwear, the loss of dental tissues through the processes of erosion, attrition and abrasion. Although each process may act alone, significant toothwear is usually the result of a combination of these processes and erosion is often dominant.

The smooth surfaces suggest that erosion is a factor in this case and the distribution of enamel loss suggests that regurgitation of gastric acid may be the cause. Dietary acids are usually associated with erosion on the buccal or labial surfaces of the upper anterior teeth but if the patient rinses or swills acidic drinks in the palatal vault prior to swallowing, the pattern of erosion is very similar to that seen when gastric acid is regurgitated. Either source of acid might be the cause.

Define erosion, abrasion and attrition.

- **Erosion** is the chemical dissolution of teeth by acids.
- **Attrition** is the wear of tooth against tooth. Mild degrees of attrition are normal.
- **Abrasion** is the wear of teeth by physical means other than the teeth.

Differential diagnosis

What is your differential diagnosis for this patient?

1. Dental erosion caused by gastric acid combined with attrition
2. Dental erosion caused by dietary acids combined with attrition
3. Attrition alone
4. Industrial erosion.
Which of these causes would you exclude? Explain why.

Attrition as a single factor is most unlikely to be the cause, because surfaces of the teeth do not contact in the intercuspal or retruded contact position. It would also be most unlikely that occlusal wear could affect the whole of the palatal surfaces of all incisors equally. Attrition is often associated with marked bruxism but there is no evidence of masseteric hypertrophy on examination.

Industrial erosion is now very uncommon. Acid present in the air of the working environment causes dental erosion but improvements in health and safety at work have almost eradicated this condition. Car battery acid workers used to suffer erosion, particularly affecting the buccal surfaces of the teeth. The patient’s profession as policeman means he is unlikely to be exposed to an acidic environment though some patients may be exposed to volatile acids through hobby activities.

What specific questions would you ask? Explain why.

Toothwear is often multifactorial and the patient must be questioned about all causes.

Do you suffer acid regurgitation from the stomach? Regurgitation of stomach acid can be noticed by the patient because of the taste. However, it may be unnoticed if it happens at night, and may or may not be associated with symptoms of gastric disease. Occasional mild reflux into the oesophagus or pharynx is relatively common.

What is your alcohol intake and what is the pattern of consumption? The patient has indicated an intake of 10–20 units of alcohol each week. Patients often under-represent their intake and it would be worth checking this with the patient. Many alcoholic drinks are acid, contributing to dietary acid (below), and binge patterns of drinking are often associated with vomiting. The possibility of a history of chronic alcoholism should be considered.

Do you have a high consumption of acidic foods or drinks? This is a common cause of dental erosion. The intake of both acid foods and drinks must be ascertained, together with the way in which they are consumed.

Do you grind or clench your teeth during the day or at night? Bruxism or other parafunctional habits are common causes of increased wear.

Have you ever suffered from an eating disorder such as anorexia or bulimia nervosa? These causes of gastric regurgitation need to be excluded. Such eating disorders are uncommon in males but their incidence is increasing.

In response to your questioning, the patient denies frequent acid intake, vomiting or bruxism. However, he indicates that he does suffer some acid regurgitation associated with his dyspepsia (heartburn) and that alcohol is sometimes associated with the attacks. He has had heartburn and regurgitation for 20 years but is not taking any regular medication to relieve the symptoms. He had not considered this significant enough to mention on his medical history questionnaire.

Investigations

What investigations would you perform?

A thorough dietary record should be taken by the patient to determine the true consumption of acidic foods and drinks. Diet analysis sheets need to be filled in for 4 or more days, including a weekend, and it is emphasized that the patient should write down all the foods and drinks taken over that time, including between-meal snacks. Both frequency and amount need to be noted and the patient should be specifically told to note suspect foods such as carbonated drinks, citrus fruits and drinks, vinegar and white wine, to ensure that none is missed.

Diet analysis confirms the patient’s statement that he has a low consumption of acidic food and drink. This excludes dietary acid as a cause, leaving gastric acid as the only other source. Regurgitation erosion occurs when the stomach juice passes from the stomach into the mouth. The pH of stomach juice is around 1 or 2, and if regurgitation occurs frequently the damage to teeth can be catastrophic.

Further differential diagnosis

How might gastric acid enter the mouth?

1. Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease
2. Eating disorders
3. Chronic alcoholism
4. Rumination.

What features of these conditions might aid definitive diagnosis?

Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease is usually associated with heartburn (intermittent retrosternal pain radiating along the oesophagus, worsened by lying down or a recent large meal), or epigastric pain (centred over the xiphisternum). When symptoms are related to meals, the term dyspepsia is sometimes used. In most patients symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux are self-limiting and little or no acid enters the mouth. In others complete regurgitation into the mouth is frequent, pain becomes persistent and patients seek medical advice. A small proportion of patients treat their pain with over-the-counter antacids and are unaware of the potential for damage to their teeth or oesophagus. A history of taking antacid preparations is a useful indicator for the activity of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, and this patient has already indicated that he has noticed some regurgitation. This is the most likely cause.

Eating disorders are a cause of erosion in younger patients. Both anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa tend to affect young, adolescent, intelligent females with a history of overprotective parents. Anorexia is self-destructive. Sufferers lose body weight by starving themselves and/or vomiting to
lose weight in an attempt to improve their body self-image. A small proportion of patients with severe anorexia die from the disorder. Unlike anorectics, bulimic patients usually have a stable body weight. They eat and drink in binges and vomit to control their body weight. There may be an accompanying history of drug and alcohol abuse.

**Alcoholism.** As noted above, alcoholism is associated with dental erosion, either through vomiting or the low pH of some alcoholic drinks.

**Rumination** is an unusual practice, being the habitual chewing of food, swallowing and then regurgitating it mixed with stomach acid to be chewed and swallowed again. It is considered rare but there is no accurate information on its prevalence and it is thought to affect young, healthy and mainly professional people. If the habit is continued it can cause significant damage to teeth.

The patient gives a clear history of regular heartburn and symptomatic regurgitation. He denies rumination and alcoholism and there is no suggestion of an eating disorder, an unlikely possibility in this age group.

**Diagnosis**

- **What is your diagnosis?**
  
  The diagnosis is toothwear caused primarily by erosion. The cause of the erosion is gastric acid reflux secondary to gastro-oesophageal reflux disease.

**Treatment**

- **How will you manage the patient?**
  
  The patient should be referred to a gastroenterologist or his general medical practitioner for further investigation of his symptoms. Reflux may be caused by a reduction in pressure around the lower oesophageal sphincter (as for instance in hiatus hernia) or abnormal oesophageal motility. Referral is necessary to detect such associated conditions and, if symptoms merit, to consider treatment with drugs which block acid secretion.

A conservative approach should be taken to treatment of the erosion. No immediate treatment is required if the erosion is relatively minor, as the patient is happy with the appearance of the teeth. If the cause is identified and treated, erosion will cease or progress more slowly. Study models taken at yearly intervals may be compared to those taken at the initial visit to assess progression, and if the toothwear progresses, restorations may be considered.

In the early stages of erosion provisional plastic restorations will protect the palatal surfaces from further damage. However, the short lifespan of such restorations commits the patient to further treatment. Alternatively palatal veneers of porcelain, composite or metal provide a longer term restoration if there is sufficient space occlusally for the restorations.

- **What precautions must be taken to prevent iatrogenic damage when restoring worn teeth?**
  
  Accurate diagnosis is essential. If attrition rather than erosion is diagnosed, occlusal splints might be prescribed. These would worsen erosion caused by gastric regurgitation because acid would be trapped beneath the splint away from the pH-neutralizing effect of saliva. There is also the potential for unglazed or unpolished porcelain to wear the enamel of the opposing teeth. This might become significant if there is an element of attrition causing the wear or if the restoration were allowed to occlude against dentine.
Worn front teeth

SUMMARY
A 60-year-old man presents at your general dental practice saying that his teeth have worn down. What is the cause and how should he be managed?

Fig. 61.1 The patient’s appearance at presentation.

History
Complaint
The patient is unhappy about his short and discoloured upper anterior teeth. He is also finding that he has difficulty eating. The appearance of his teeth has recently become more important to him because he has taken a job in which he deals with the public. He wishes primarily to improve his appearance and appears to be sufficiently motivated to complete a course of complex dental treatment.

History of complaint
The patient has only recently started to attend his dentist regularly, after a 10-year period without treatment. Most of his posterior teeth were extracted before the age of 45 and he has worn his present upper partial denture for at least 12 years.

Examination
Extraoral examination
No submandibular or cervical lymph nodes are palpable, the temporomandibular joints appear normal and there is no tenderness around the muscles of mastication. Despite the anterior toothwear there is no evidence of loss of occlusal vertical dimension.

Intraoral examination
The oral mucosa is healthy. In the mandible all teeth between the left and right second premolars are present but in the maxilla there are only five anterior teeth remaining. The appearances are shown in Figure 61.1.

Wear of the incisal edges of upper and lower anterior teeth has produced short clinical crowns and the upper right lateral incisor and canine are worn almost to gingival level. There are deposits of plaque around the cervical margins of his teeth and a number of teeth have cervical caries. Despite the plaque, there is only occasional interdental bleeding on probing and no significant increase in pocket depths. The upper ridges are not extensively resorbed and are broad and well defined. When asked to bite his teeth together the patient adopts the forward mandibular posture shown in Figure 61.1.

Both lower lateral incisors and the lower left canine and first premolar appear discoloured. Only the lower left second premolar fails to respond to an electronic test of vitality.

The patient produces his acrylic upper partial denture from his pocket. It is poorly retentive and of indifferent fit.

What is your differential diagnosis? What features suggest each possibility?

The patient is suffering toothwear. This is usually caused by a combination of three basic underlying processes: erosion, attrition and abrasion. In this case it seems likely that the cause is predominantly erosion with attrition as a secondary factor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aetiological factor</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>Erosion is usually caused by excessive dietary acid or regurgitation. Both possibilities must be excluded by careful questioning and dietary analysis (see also Case 60). The appearance of the wear facets suggests erosion as the major cause. Although the teeth interdigitate on incisal enamel, the dentine has been lost from an area which is not in contact with the opposing teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attrition</td>
<td>Attrition is usually caused by occlusal wear and a minor degree is normal. Bruxism and other parafunctional habits may have caused increased attrition. There is no evidence of masticatory muscle tenderness or hypertrophy to suggest that such habits contribute.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrasion</td>
<td>Abrasion of the teeth is wear by an external agent and is seen when a coarse diet is eaten, as in developing countries, or as a result of toothbrush abrasion. There is nothing to suggest an unusual diet in this case, though the possibility should be excluded by questioning. The pattern is not consistent with a primarily abrasive process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Investigations

**What investigations would you perform? Why?**

**Dietary analysis** is required to determine whether there is excessive dietary acid and to identify the sources of sugars responsible for the caries in several teeth.

**Radiographic assessment** by means of either a panoramic tomograph or full mouth periapical films is necessary. In view of the fact that the patient has not attended a dentist for a decade, the panoramic tomograph would provide a useful survey of both teeth and edentulous ridges. Periapical films of all standing teeth are a reasonable alternative in this case because the missing teeth reduce the number of films and radiation dose required. A periapical film of the lower left second premolar is required to assess the feasibility of root canal treatment and obtaining films of the other discoloured teeth would be prudent despite their apparent vitality. Periapical films taken with a paralleling technique would allow accurate assessment of bone levels.

**Upper and lower study models** are required to assess the treatment options for the short clinical crowns and to design a new denture. When restoring extensively worn teeth the models should be mounted in the retruded contact position on either a fully or semiadjustable articulator. Obtaining an accurate occlusal record is particularly important in this case because the patient has a habitual forward mandibular posture. Only the retruded contact position is reproducible and only models mounted to this occlusal record can be used to analyse this patient’s adapted intercuspal position. The study models can also be used to produce a diagnostic wax-up which is mounted onto the articulator so that it is possible to assess how much the vertical dimension needs increasing to accommodate any crowns. The diagnostic wax-up can be duplicated in stone and a soft vacuum-formed splint (or silicone matrix) formed around it and used to make provisional crowns.

**Assessment of vertical dimension.** Most dentate patients will accept the increased vertical dimension needed to create sufficient space for crowns. This would not be so for a mucosa-borne denture, which would probably fail. Although the precise mechanisms are not understood, it is believed that the pain fibres in the periodontal ligament prevent overloading.

**Treatment planning**

**What is the main problem in providing crowns for these teeth?**

The short clinical crowns. Further reduction in crown length would be required to create space for the artificial crowns and this would leave short, unreceptive preparations.

**What two basic treatment philosophies could be used to restore the dentition? What are their advantages and disadvantages and which should be chosen in this case?**

The two basic choices are either to accept short anterior crowns and make a denture to the existing intercuspal position and vertical dimension (the conformative approach) or to restore the teeth in the retruded-contact position (the reorganized approach).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Advantages and disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conformative</td>
<td>The new restorations are placed conforming to the existing occlusal-vertical dimension and intercuspal position. This means that the restorations are easier to make and the patient needs to make minimal adaptation to them. The height of the tooth must be reduced further to produce occlusal clearance and this may expose the pulp. The conformative approach is usually the more convenient method for single crowns or simple bridges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reorganized</td>
<td>This is required when the vertical dimension or intercuspal position must be altered significantly or when multiple units are needed. In cases of toothwear, the vertical dimension often needs to be increased and a new occlusal relationship defined to correct the mandibular posture and articulate the crowns. The retruded-contact position is chosen because it is reproducible and can act as the new intercuspal position.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Which approach is necessary in this case and how would you manage the vertical dimension?**

In this case a reorganized approach should be adopted. This is because the crowns of the unrestored teeth are very short. To crown these teeth the natural crown would need to be reduced in height to provide occlusal clearance and this would result in shorter unreceptive preparations. It is therefore necessary to gain space for the new tooth height.

**How might this problem be overcome?**

Surgical crown lengthening with alveolar bone remodelling can be used to overcome this problem. The gingival margin is repositioned apically to create a longer tooth, shown in Figure 61.2, which can be prepared for a crown in the conventional manner, shown in Figure 61.3. Crown lengthening does not alter the occlusal vertical dimension because the additional crown length needed for preparation is obtained by exposing the root. Surgical crown lengthening is preferable to electrosurgery which merely alters the gingival contour.

The alternative is to accept the height of the teeth and the gingival contour and create vertical space by adding to the incisal height of the artificial crowns.

In this case the teeth are so short that a combination of both techniques is required.

**Diagnosis**

Dietary analysis reveals a moderate sucrose intake as snacks and a moderate citrus fruit and fruit juice component in the diet. The patient is suffering from tooth loss caused primarily by erosion with a secondary element of attrition. The extent of the toothwear may indicate an element of asymptomatic regurgitation but the patient declined investigation.
Fig. 61.2 The upper anterior teeth following surgical crown lengthening.

Fig. 61.3 Provisional restorations after crown lengthening.

Fig. 61.4 Another patient with marked upper anterior toothwear.

■ **How is surgical crown lengthening achieved?**

Flaps are raised buccally and palatally and crestal bone is removed with a bur. Both the height of the bone and its width must be adapted, remodelling the alveolar contour so that the soft tissues will return to their new apical position but be able to retain the normal shape of the gingival margin. Bone is removed palatally and buccally. The amount removed must be judged so that sufficient crown length is produced to allow a retentive preparation but support from the root is not compromised. The optimum distance from the crest of the alveolar bone to the gingival margin is 3–4 mm. Sufficient bone must be removed to preserve this distance or the gingival tissues will regrow to their original position.

■ **What are the disadvantages of crown lengthening?**

- Crown lengthening results in the crown margins lying on the root surfaces of the teeth. The cross-sectional area of the root is smaller than the crown so that the preparation is rather tall and narrow (and therefore weak) and the final restoration is more triangular in shape (as in the provisional restorations in Figure 61.3).
- Some patients develop significant sensitivity from the exposed dentine.

- Part of the periodontium is removed. The support of teeth with short roots may be compromised as a result.
- The procedure is uncomfortable for the patient and time is needed for healing and for the new gingival contour to stabilize.

■ **Are there any alternatives to crown lengthening?**

An alternative approach to surgical crown lengthening would be to accept the clinical crown height but gain additional retention by placing a post in the root canal. However, elective root treatment should be avoided whenever possible in cases of toothwear. This is especially so when there is a significant element of attrition, for instance from a parafunctional habit. The additional occlusal loading may result in decementation of the post or fracture of the root.

Secondary dentine formation below the wear may also complicate root treatment by causing sclerosis of the canal.

■ **The patient clearly dislikes his acrylic partial denture. Will restoration require a replacement?**

Ideally, yes. When the anterior teeth are restored the patient will need sufficient occlusal table posteriorly to masticate effectively.

In the short term an upper partial denture is required preferably a tooth-supported chrome–cobalt denture. In the longer term other options such as an implant-retained bridge might be considered. However, such complex treatment should not be provided until caries activity has been controlled.

### Treatment

In this case crown-lengthening surgery was performed on all upper and lower teeth and the vertical dimension was increased to provide additional space for the new crowns. The effect of crown lengthening is shown in Figure 61.2 and the provisional restorations in Figure 61.3.

■ **How should the stages of treatment be organized into a treatment plan culminating in the permanent restoration? Why is each stage required?**

See Table 61.1.
Table 61.1 Stages of treatment plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic wax-up</td>
<td>To show the patient the eventual shape and relationships of the planned crowns. It can also be used to produce the provisional restorations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown-lengthening surgery in upper arch</td>
<td>To gain length for retention. Usually, the upper arch is treated first because it is technically easier. In addition, the upper provisional crowns establish the new anterior guidance which can be copied in the definitive restorations once the patient is comfortable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healing period</td>
<td>The time delay between the periodontal surgery and placement of provisional crowns should be in the order of a few weeks as there is some evidence that the tissues can heal back towards their original position. Definitive crowns can be made once gingival contour is stable, around 3–4 months post surgery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisional restorations, upper arch</td>
<td>These are made shortly after the crown lengthening and the anterior guidance is adjusted so that the patient is comfortable. The restorations should be worn for at least a few weeks to assess the patient’s compliance (Fig. 61.3).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New denture and definitive upper restoration</td>
<td>The new crowns are made first and then the denture around them. Some clinicians will make the crowns, try them in and recement the provisional restoration. This allows the denture to be constructed to fit the final crowns in the laboratory. Others prefer to cement the new crowns in place and take a new impression to construct the denture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment period</td>
<td>Allows time for the patient to decide whether they wish to have the lower arch restored.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower arch crown lengthening and new crowns</td>
<td>The same process is used in the lower arch once the surgical procedure is completed. It is unlikely that the patient needs lower teeth posterior to the second premolars.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**How would your treatment differ for the patient shown in Figure 61.4 who has a complete dentition?**

When more teeth are present, the extra vertical space needed to make the crowns can be created orthodontically. An anterior bite plane (Dahl appliance) is cemented to the teeth with a relatively weak cement such as a glass ionomer. This allows the posterior teeth to overerupt and also intrudes the anterior teeth so that tooth movement rather than tooth reduction provides the crown length necessary for retentive crown preparations. The appliance is worn for about 3–6 months, depending on the rate of eruption. The patient’s appliance is shown in Figure 61.5 holding the posterior teeth apart at the start of treatment.
A case of toothache

SUMMARY
A 36-year-old Nigerian lady presents in your practice for the first time, complaining of toothache.

History
Complaint
She complains of toothache associated with her lower left teeth and points somewhat imprecisely to the lower left quadrant.

History of complaint
The patient has been aware of intermittent pain at this site for several months. Initially the pain was short lived and brought on by hot and cold drinks but in the last few days the discomfort has become progressively worse. She is now suffering a fairly constant and very painful toothache which is no longer closely related to hot and cold stimuli.

Medical history
She is otherwise fit and well and no positive findings are revealed by the medical history.

Examination
Extraoral examination
She is a fit and well-looking African lady. The submandibular lymph nodes are not palpable. There is no detectable soft tissue swelling and the temporomandibular joints appear normal.

Intraoral examination
The lower left second premolar and first and second permanent molars are heavily restored. There is only a small restoration in the first premolar. Several other teeth contain smaller restorations. The surrounding oral mucosa appears normal and there is no bony enlargement or swelling. No tenderness is elicited on palpation of the lingual or buccal sulcus adjacent to the teeth and the contour of the tissues here is normal.

How do you interpret the history and examination so far?
The clear history of toothache (which is normally correctly identified by patients) and the large restorations suggest that one or more teeth may be the cause of the pain, probably as a result of caries or complications of restoration. The pain is poorly localized, severe, feels like toothache and has been exacerbated by hot and cold, almost certainly indicating pulpitis. The recent onset of pain unrelated to hot and cold suggests late or irreversible pulpitis but that the causative pulp remains partially vital. The history does not suggest spread of infection or inflammation to the periodontal ligament, which is normally associated with pain on biting and accurate localization of the causative tooth by the patient.

What simple additional examinations would you perform and why?
All the teeth in the lower left quadrant distal to, and including, the canine should be percussed. The same teeth should be tested for vitality, together with their equivalent lower right teeth for comparison (provided there are no clinical features such as caries or restorations suggesting that these also have compromised vitality).

When this is done, it is found that no teeth are particularly tender to percussion. Both the second premolar and first molar may be slightly tender (the patient is unclear) but neither gives a dull percussive note. The second premolar is vital. The first molar is slightly hypersensitive, both by electric pulp testing and application of a cold stimulus (ethyl chloride), neither test causing acute pain.

Investigations
What investigation would you now undertake and why?
An intraoral periapical radiograph of the lower left premolars and first molar should be taken. The examination so far indicates that the first molar is the most likely cause of the pain. However, the patient is vague as to whether the second premolar is tender to percussion and it contains a large restoration. There may be two causes for the pain.

A radiograph cannot provide direct evidence of vitality. However it will give information on possible causes of loss of vitality, particularly caries and inadequate restorations, as well as revealing previous attempted root canal treatment and periapical granuloma or infection (provided there has been sufficient time for apical bone loss to develop). A radiograph will also be required in the event that either extraction or root canal treatment is necessary.

The periapical radiograph is shown in Figure 62.1. What do you see?
The periapical radiograph shows:
• A small restoration in the first premolar.
• Large restorations in the second premolar and first and second molars.
Fig. 62.1 Periapical of both lower premolars and first molar.

- No radiographic evidence of dental caries.
- Early bifurcation bone loss associated with the first molar.
- A radiolucent area centred on the apex of second premolar which appears to extend to involve the mesial root of the first molar.
- Loss of lamina dura around the apex of the root of the second premolar and the first molar mesial root.
- An irregular but relatively well-defined radiopaque zone distal to the first premolar root.

What would you do next and why?

Further radiographic views are required. The radiograph has not aided diagnosis of the dental pain as no unsuspected cause for the pulpitis has been identified. However it has revealed an apical radiolucency on the second premolar and first molar which is not compatible with an uncomplicated periapical granuloma, infection or cyst. The presence of an apical radiolucency on the second premolar is also incompatible with the history and examination which indicate that this tooth is vital.

The presence of both radiopacity and radiolucency requires consideration of a wider differential diagnosis which would include fibro-osseous and cemento-osseous lesions, odontogenic tumours and a variety of bone disorders. The margins of the radiolucent lesion are not visible in the film and need to be defined before a more accurate differential diagnosis can be proposed. Because some fibro-cemento-osseous lesions may be bilateral, appropriate views would be a dental panoramic radiograph or right and left oblique laterals. These will also allow all the teeth and their supporting structures to be assessed because the patient is being seen in the practice for the first time. Bitewings to assess caries would also be appropriate in a new patient with several heavily restored teeth if there is clinical suspicion of caries.

Part of the dental panoramic radiograph is shown in Figure 62.2. What do you see?

The additional radiograph shows several features including:
- The lower right second premolar and first molar are absent, presumed extracted.
- A small occlusal restoration in the lower left second molar which has tipped slightly mesially.

Fig. 62.2 Section from the dental panoramic radiograph.

- An extensive lesion of mixed radiodensity involving the central body of the mandible from the mesial root of the second molar across the midline to join that shown previously in the left.
- The lesion appears to be composed of several radiolucencies often with a central opacity centred on the root apices.
- There is little or no expansion of the bone despite the extensive lesion.
- The lesion has not displaced teeth or inferior dental nerve canal.
- There are no lesions in the maxilla (not seen in figure).

Diagnosis

What are the causes of a mixed radiolucency such as this in the jaws?

- Osseous dysplasias (Cemento-osseous dysplasias)
  - periapical
  - focal
  - florid
- Chronic osteomyelitis
- Paget’s disease of bone
- Fibrous dysplasia
- Metastatic malignancy.

What is the most likely diagnosis? Explain why.

One of the osseous dysplasias (cemento-osseous dysplasias) is the most likely cause of the patient’s jaw lesions. The diagnosis may be made on the radiographic appearances alone. No other condition produces multiple lesions centred on the apices of the teeth, each with a central radiopacity and a variable and poorly defined radiolucent rim. As disease progresses this pattern may become less distinct, but it is clearly visible in several of this patient’s lesions. This patient has the florid form of the disease in which one or more quadrants are affected. The periapical form affects a few teeth, usually the lower incisors, and the focal form gives rise to one large lesion but all are part of a spectrum of disease severity. The diagnosis is supported by the patient’s race, these conditions being more prevalent in those of African or
mongoloid racial stock. The lesion(s) are normally asymptomatic.

■ What diagnoses have you excluded? Explain why.

Chronic osteomyelitis produces a patchy mixed radiolucency but would give symptoms of dull boring central bone pain quite distinct from those reported. Sinuses or other signs of infection would probably be present. However, this diagnosis should not be completely excluded without a further consideration, because the sclerotic bone of fibro-osseous and cemento-osseous lesions such as florid osseous dysplasia is prone to infection, particularly dental infection, and in the past the condition was thought to be a form of osteomyelitis. A biopsy to confirm the presumed diagnosis is contraindicated because of the risk of initiating osteomyelitis.

Paget’s disease of bone may be confidently excluded because it almost never affects the mandible without producing obvious lesions, signs and symptoms in other bones. If this were Paget’s disease the maxilla would usually be more severely affected. Paget’s disease affects predominantly elderly Caucasians.

Fibrous dysplasia might be considered as a cause of patchy and poorly defined radiolucency but presents with expansion of the jaw, usually the maxilla, during the first or second decade.

Metastatic malignancy might also be considered as a further cause. Most cancers cause purely radiolucent lesions but some, notably prostate and breast, may cause bony sclerosis and ‘sun-ray’ radiopacity. However the site is usually near the angle of the mandible, and radiological appearances are sufficiently characteristic of florid osseous dysplasia to exclude this sinister diagnosis.

■ How might you confirm the diagnosis without biopsy?

Any previous radiographs should be reviewed to determine whether the lesion has been present and slowly progressing for several years. This would confirm the diagnosis.

A previous dental practitioner was contacted and provided the radiograph seen in Figure 62.3, which had been taken 11 years previously.

The radiograph shows the lower left quadrant. The lower left second premolar and first molar contain smaller restorations than at present and there is probable caries in the second premolar. However the first premolar appears to contain the same restoration as at present, and at its apex there is a lesion typical of early osseous dysplasia comprising a radiolucency with a central opacity at the root apex. This early stage of the lesion provides conclusive evidence for the proposed diagnosis.

■ What would you do about the patient’s pain?

The causative tooth must be properly identified and the electric pulp test has suggested that the first molar is to blame. The increased sensitivity on electric and cold pulp testing may be accounted for by pulpitis or small vital pulp remnants in an otherwise nonvital pulp.

Fig. 62.3 Section from the dental panoramic tomograph taken 11 years previously.

When pulp tests fail to identify a definite cause, the most effective method is to perform a test cavity without local analgesia in the most likely tooth. This is not possible (or usually required) in a tooth with acute pulpitis, but works well for chronic low grade pain, if a pulp is completely or partially viable or when a patient’s response to testing cannot be relied upon.

Alternatively, a diagnostic local analgesic injection may be given to abolish the pain, but this is not usually useful for first molars where a block is required for effective analgesia. Once the anaesthetic is given no further pulp tests are possible at that visit.

When a test cavity was performed the first molar was found to be nonvital, with vital pulp in one canal only. Either root canal treatment or extraction are required.

■ What about the apical radiolucency on the second premolar?

This is another early lesion of cemento-osseous dysplasia. Some years later radiographs revealed that the lesion had developed a zone of radiopacity centrally.

■ Does florid osseous dysplasia have any significant complications?

Yes. Precautions must be taken to ensure that the patient does not develop osteomyelitis in the sclerotic bone of the mandible. A preventive regime for caries and periodontal disease must be instituted to reduce the chances of future dental infection. The periodontal condition of the first molar is poor and extraction would be the preferred option. Other nonvital teeth should also be extracted if there are reasons to suspect that root canal treatment may not be successful. Antibiotics should be prescribed during the healing period for all extractions involving affected bone. Any surgery in the mandible should be similarly covered and would be best performed in hospital rather than general practice unless the practitioner has appropriate experience.
A child with a swollen face

SUMMARY
A 5-year-old boy has painless bilateral facial swellings. Identify the cause and recommend treatment.

Examination

Extraoral examination
The appearance of the child is shown in Figure 63.1. He appears healthy but has obvious bilateral enlargement of the side of the face. The temporomandibular joints appear normal on palpation. Some upper deep cervical lymph nodes are palpable bilaterally. They are only slightly enlarged, not tender and are freely mobile.

On the basis of what you know, what types of lesion would you consider?

From this view alone it is difficult to tell whether the swelling originates in the salivary glands, mandible or soft tissues. Each site would have different possible causes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Possible causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue enlargement</td>
<td>Masseteric hypertrophy is possible. Bruxism is common in children though significant masseteric hypertrophy is rare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salivary gland enlargement</td>
<td>Rare in children. HIV salivary cystic disease is seen in HIV infection. Mumps can be excluded. Mumps is acute and, in addition, the child would have had mumps vaccine with the rest of the routine childhood vaccinations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enlargement of the mandible</td>
<td>A few rare inherited disorders of bone could cause bilateral expansion of the ramus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A developmental syndrome</td>
<td>Many syndromes have craniofacial signs and this is a possibility which should be borne in mind. There appear to be no associated features.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intraoral examination
Intraoral examination reveals a minimally restored dentition and healthy oral mucosa. Palpation of the mandibular rami shows that they are the source of the enlargement. There is obvious rounded swelling of the posterior body and ramus of the mandible. The lower right second deciduous molar is missing.

Investigations

A radiograph is obviously required. Which view(s) would you choose?

A dental panoramic radiograph is the investigation of choice as an initial view. The whole of the swellings will be visible and the left and right can be easily compared. A posterior–anterior view of the jaws would also be useful, providing a second view at right angles to the ramus in the panoramic view. It would allow mediolateral expansion to be assessed.

The radiographic appearance is shown in Figure 63.2. What are the radiographic features of the lesions?

See Table 63.1.
Fig. 63.2 Dental panoramic radiograph.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 63.1 Radiographic features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shape</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of outline/edge</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relative radiodensity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effects on adjacent structures</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Differential diagnosis**

- **Give a differential diagnosis. Explain which is the most likely cause and why.**

Only a very short differential diagnosis is possible for this case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Similarity to present case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cherubism</td>
<td>Causes bilateral radiolucencies in the mandibular rami and maxilla. Enlargement starts in children before the age of 5 years. The lesions appear multilocular and radiolucent and disrupt the dentition. The radiographic and facial appearances in this case are characteristic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other possible causes</td>
<td>There are a few very rare bone diseases and syndromes which may need to be considered if the most likely diagnosis of cherubism cannot be confirmed. Almost all other causes have prominent signs elsewhere in the body and none has been noted in this case.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What further questions might help confirm your diagnosis?**

- **Did either parent have a similar problem?** Cherubism is inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion. Radiographs of both parents may reveal unsuspected healed lesions and this would aid diagnosis.

- **Are any brothers or sisters affected?** For similar reasons, siblings would be expected to show similar signs.

- **How was the lower second deciduous molar lost?** Cherubism may cause early exfoliation of teeth.

**Would any further radiographs help confirm the diagnosis?**

More detailed radiographic examination with intraoral films would be helpful for the following reasons.

- To demonstrate involvement of the maxilla. More severely affected patients usually have lesions in the maxilla, usually centred on the tuberosity but sometimes extending to distort the orbit. These can easily be missed on extraoral films but, if present, confirm the diagnosis.

- To identify displacement or destruction of teeth. As noted above, cherubism often destroys tooth germs and displaces teeth.

**Is a biopsy required?**

In a classical case of cherubism, the diagnosis may be made with certainty on the basis of family history, clinical and radiographic features. In a new case such as this, or if there were no family history, it would be prudent to confirm that the lesions are histologically compatible with cherubism.

**A biopsy specimen was removed from the expanded alveolar ridge. The histological appearances are shown in Figure 63.3. What do you see?**

The lesion is composed of cellular fibrous tissue which appears loose and oedematous with spaces rather than
 Taken together, the evidence supports a diagnosis of cherubism and this is a typical case.

**Aetiology**

**What is the cause of cherubism?**

Cherubism is caused by any one of several mutations in the SH3BP2 gene, a regulator of the C-Abl oncogene, a poorly understood signalling molecule involved in regulation of cell division and many other cell functions. The condition is usually inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion. It would be expected that one parent would be similarly affected. Females are often less severely affected and cases may appear to be sporadic.

**Treatment**

**What treatment would you recommend? What other advice would you give to the parents?**

No treatment is required though the parents and child may need reassurance. The parents can be told that lesions of cherubism usually grow fastest before the age of 5. Although there will be further growth during the next few years, the lesions will stop growing spontaneously and start to regress around the age of puberty. The swelling should have completely resolved by the age of 25 and only radiographic changes will remain into the fourth decade.

Surgical intervention is not usually necessary but may be performed for cosmetic reasons if lesions resolve slowly. Some teeth will be lost through the disease process. The parents should also be warned that future children and siblings are likely to be affected. Genetic counselling would be appropriate.
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A 51-year-old man has a recurrent swelling in his neck at mealtimes. What is the cause and how may he be treated?

SUMMARY
A 51-year-old man has a recurrent swelling in his neck at mealtimes. What is the cause and how may he be treated?

Fig. 64.1 The patient on presentation.

History
Complaint
The patient has a swelling in the left neck, below the angle of the jaw in the submandibular region. It appears suddenly on eating and is painful, lasts 1 or 2 hours and then slowly subsides. He reports that the swellings are worse when eating citrus fruit. The swelling is still present following his last meal.

History of complaint
The swelling first appeared suddenly during a meal 4 months ago. It resolved within 2 hours but recurred once during the following week. In the following weeks the swelling appeared more frequently and then, on one occasion stayed swollen for 3 days. This swelling appeared different; the swelling was warm to touch and more painful. He consulted his medical practitioner and was prescribed amoxicillin and the swelling resolved shortly afterwards. After that episode the recurrent swelling did not appear for nearly 2 months but it now appears daily. The patient’s medical practitioner has suggested that he see a dentist to exclude a dental cause for the swelling.

Medical history
The patient is an otherwise fit and healthy man.

How do you interpret the information you have so far?
The patient is almost certainly suffering from the symptom known as mealtime syndrome, swelling of a salivary gland associated with eating or the thought of eating. Provided the swelling is in the correct site to be a salivary gland, this will be the underlying process. The cause of mealtime syndrome is obstruction. Between meals the low flow of saliva leaks around a partial obstruction. When flow is stimulated the salvia is held back, causing the gland to swell and inducing pain because the gland is restricted within a capsule.

This accounts for the recurrent swelling but the patient’s episode of persistent swelling appears to be infectious. The classical signs of inflammation were present and the swelling resolved on antibiotics (though the causative link between the resolution and antibiotic is conjectural). When salivary flow is reduced the gland is prone to ascending infection by oral commensal bacteria and opportunistic pathogens so the two causes of swelling could well be linked.

Are there any specific questions you would ask? Why?
Whether the patient has noticed any abnormality of salivary flow such as a foul tasting saliva or sudden resolution of the swelling following a sudden discharge of saliva or salty fluid into the mouth. The first would suggest infection and the second would confirm intermittent obstruction.

Examination
Extraoral examination
The appearance of the swelling is shown in Figure 64.1.

What do you see?
The patient has a round swelling of approximately 3 cm in diameter in the region of the left submandibular gland, below the lower border of the mandible on the left side and just anterior to the angle of the mandible. If you were able to palpate the neck you would feel no cervical lymphadenopathy and find that the swelling is firm, mobile and tender.

Intraoral examination
What features of the intraoral examination are important?

Bimanual palpation of the submandibular gland will allow the gland to be steadied for examination. Palpation from only one side displaces the mobile gland, making differentiation of the gland from an enlarged lymph node or swelling of the gland from swelling in the gland difficult.

Expression of saliva from the salivary gland ducts. Massaging the duct should produce a free flow of clear saliva. Cloudy
saliva indicates infection or inflammation. No saliva may indicate reduced secretion or blockage. Pus indicates infection and a sample should be taken for culture and sensitivity.

When you examine the patient you discover that the oral mucosa and dentition appear normal. A small amount of clear saliva can be expressed from the orifice of the left submandibular duct. Bimanual palpation reveals a 3 cm diameter hard mass in the floor of mouth near the posterior free border of mylohyoid muscle. The mass is freely mobile vertically and appears to be the submandibular gland.

**Diagnosis**

The patient has mealtime syndrome and probably obstruction. The gland is hard because of recurrent sialadenitis, a result of ascending low-grade infection.

- **What are the possible causes of obstruction?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Cause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intraductal causes (common)</td>
<td>Sialolithiasis (stone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mucous plug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stricture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrabuctal causes (rare)</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pressure from adjacent neoplasm or other swelling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Are there any other causes of intermittent swelling that need to be considered?**

Yes. Intermittent swelling may be associated with overvigorous rinsing (particularly with chlorhexidine) or in wind instrument players who force air or liquids back into the gland. A number of other chronic conditions that cause persistent swelling may cause intermittent swelling in their early stages. These include sialadenitis (usually the result of obstruction), primary Sjögren’s syndrome, sialosis, drug-induced salivary gland swelling (caused by iodine-containing compounds, phenylbutazone and other drugs). However, this last group do not cause such obvious and short-lived swelling as obstruction.

**Investigations**

- **What investigations are required? Why?**

   Investigations are required to plan treatment rather than confirm the diagnosis. The information required is the cause of the blockage, the site of the blockage and the degree of damage sustained by the gland and ducts from sialadenitis. Useful investigations are shown in Table 64.1.

   In this case a plain film and an ultrasound scan were performed as initial investigations.

   - **The plain film is shown in Figure 64.2. What do you see?**

     The plain film shows a radiopacity in the region of the left submandibular gland hilum. The stone is outlined in Figure 64.9.

   - **The ultrasound is shown in Figure 64.3. What do you see?**

     Interpreting a single ultrasound picture is difficult; the investigation is dynamic and the ultrasound operator may observe the screen for several minutes, altering the angle of the ultrasound transducer (transmitter/detector) to provide views at different angles. Ultrasound scans are conventionally orientated with the surface of the patient (ultrasound transducer) at the top. Objects that reflect ultrasound, such as stones, appear white and cast a dark acoustic shadow below them. Dilated ducts proximal to the stone appear as dark spaces. The scan shows a large stone and a second stone located deeper within the hilum, below the larger stone. Stones are often multiple. The exact location of the stones can only be assessed by the operator, who knows the angle of the ultrasound transmitter. The stones are outlined in Figure 64.9. If you look back at the plain film you will see the second stone as a fainter, poorly defined opacity just below the main stone and the shadow of the lower border. It is outlined in Figure 64.9.

   Having confirmed the presence of multiple stones and the involvement of the gland rather than the duct, a sialogram was taken for reasons noted in Table 64.1.

   - **The sialogram is shown in Figure 64.4. What do you see?**

     The sialogram (left) confirms the presence of two stones and also shows the diameter of the main duct distally and outlines the gland by filling the duct system. The gland is shrunken (this is a result of fibrosis and loss of parenchyma). In the emptying film (right), contrast medium has been

![Fig. 64.2 Lateral radiographic view at presentation.](image)

![Fig. 64.3 Ultrasound scan performed at presentation.](image)
Table 64.1 Investigations for salivary obstruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigation</th>
<th>Rationale and role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plain radiograph</td>
<td>Simple to perform, suitable for detection of radiopaque stones in ducts or the submandibular gland, a lower true occlusal film for the submandibular duct or a lateral view of the upper neck, oblique lateral or panoramic tomogram for the gland itself. The parotid duct may be visualized in a posteroanterior jaws view with the cheek blown out. Useful for submandibular calculi because 60–80% are radiopaque but of less value in the parotid where only 20–40% of calculi are radiopaque and other tissues are superimposed on the image. Radiolucent ‘stones’ are unmineralized or poorly mineralized masses of inspissated mucin.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Sialogram              | Usually the most useful investigation but more complex, requiring cannulation of the duct. Helpful because it shows:  
|                        | • whether the obstruction is due to a stone or stricture  
|                        | • the size and position of a stone or stricture  
|                        | • the diameter and length of the duct distal to the obstruction, down which the stone or stone pieces will have to pass if the stone can be disrupted  
|                        | • the degree of disruption of the duct system in the gland, which reflects the damage and degeneration present  
|                        | • an estimate of salivary flow if an emptying film is taken to show how rapidly the contrast is expelled.  
|                        | Sialograms are performed by passing radiopaque contrast media into the duct. The commonly used materials contain iodine and are contraindicated in those with iodine hypersensitivity. |
| Ultrasound             | Ultrasound is a noninvasive, harmless investigation useful to show the gland parenchyma and stones over 2 mm diameter. It is relatively quick and sometimes useful to distinguish stones and sialadenitis from enlarged lymph nodes. It is not as good as sialography for demonstrating duct architecture. |
| Computerised tomography| Particularly sensitive for detecting small calculi, 10 times more sensitive to calcification than plain film. However, not good for assessing the fine detail of duct morphology and so not helpful in assessing the degree of damage in the gland. |
| Magnetic resonance imaging| Gives excellent soft tissue contrast and is the technique of choice for examining the possibility of a neoplasm within the gland and to differentiate gland from enlarged lymph nodes. |
| Radiotrace scan        | Indicates salivary gland activity but has low resolution and cannot detect stones or the anatomy of an abnormality. Used mainly to investigate xerostomia. |
| Salivary endoscopy     | New technique available in only a few centres. Uses ultrathin endoscopes between 0.7 and 1.3 mm diameter that can be passed down the salivary duct accompanied by saline irrigation. This allows direct vision of the duct lumen and is used to diagnose stones, strictures and inflammatory conditions. |

Fig. 64.4 Sialogram. On the left immediately after filling, on the right 15 minutes later.

washed from the main duct indicating that there is still salivary flow. However, the medium trapped behind the stone has not been able to escape, showing the degree of blockage caused by the stone. The duct system is better seen in the right-hand film. There are a few grossly dilated ducts with constrictions along them giving rise to a ‘string of sausages’ appearance. The constrictions are strictures caused by fibrosis around the ducts.

**Why are stones commonest in the submandibular gland and duct?**

It is thought that several factors predispose to deposition of mucin in the duct:

- the high mucin content of submandibular saliva
- the length of the duct
- the uphill course of the duct.

During periods of low flow, at night or between meals, there is probably pooling of saliva in the duct and hilum. These same factors also make it less likely that the earliest microscopic stones are washed from the duct.

**Treatment**

**What is the most common technique for treating sialolithiasis?**

Surgery is commonly undertaken to treat salivary gland obstruction. Stones located in the most distal part of the submandibular and parotid duct may sometimes be removed via an intraoral incision. If the stone is within the gland, surgery to remove the whole submandibular or superficial parotid gland is the traditional way to remove the stone.
Surgery is preferred by some surgeons who believe that the gland is probably damaged by chronic inflammation and would be prone to recurrent sialadenitis, even if it could be preserved.

**What are the complications of surgery?**

Fortunately complications are rare but may be significant and distressing for the patient. Submandibular gland removal is relatively simple and free of complications but parotid surgery is difficult. The complications are:

**Fistula formation.** If part or all of a gland is left in situ, saliva may track along the incision, prevent healing and drain permanently to the exterior, facial skin in the case of a parotid fistula. In time the tract will epithelialize, become chronically infected and scar.

**Frey’s syndrome,** or gustatory sweating, results when parasympathetic nerves supplying the parotid or submandibular glands are cut to remove the gland. During healing the nerves grow and may innervate the sweat glands of the overlying skin. The affected skin then sweats, sometimes profusely, on eating or the thought of food. This is an unpleasant and distressing complication and is difficult to control with anticholinergic drugs.

**Nerve palsy.** The facial nerve is at risk during superficial parotidectomy because it passes through the gland. Its mandibular branch, the marginal mandibular nerve, runs along the lower border of the mandible and is at risk if the incision for submandibular gland removal is placed too high. The hypoglossal nerve may also be damaged during submandibular gland removal.

**Is a more conservative approach possible?**

Yes, there are a number of new minimally invasive techniques. These mostly aim to disrupt the stone so that the fragments are washed out by the flow of saliva. It is also possible to remove calculi whole and dilate stenoses of the duct. These techniques require careful selection criteria to ensure success and there is always a possibility that they will fail and surgery will be required. Sometimes several methods together may be successful. For instance, a stone may be broken by extracorporeal lithotripsy, any fragments that do not wash out may be further broken by laser via an endoscope and the remaining pieces removed in a basket.

Methods include those shown in Table 64.2

**Is the present case suitable for treatment by minimally invasive techniques?**

Yes, but surgery cannot be completely avoided. The stone is more than 7 mm in diameter and so is unsuitable for extracorporeal lithotripsy. It is not mobile in the duct and so is unsuitable for basket removal. However, minimal surgery is possible provided the stone can be palpated under the
tongue and an intraoral surgical removal is possible. This was carried out successfully for this patient under local analgesia.

**Another possibility**

- **If the obstruction had been caused by a stricture, how would your management have differed?**

  Most strictures are caused by fibrosis around stones, though the stones may be passed spontaneously leaving only the stricture. The duct proximal to a stricture often dilates and a series of strictures and dilatations gives rise to the 'string of sausages' or 'string of beads' appearance on a sialogram. This appearance indicates inflammation around the duct or sialodochitis.

  Strictures located at or near a duct orifice may be dilated by introduction of graded lacrimal duct dilators. The more proximally located stones and strictures are inaccessible and present a more difficult management problem – traditionally treated by surgical resection of the gland, but more recently by dilatation of strictures by balloon catheter. This is often successful in the short term but in the long term the strictures may relapse.

- **The submandibular gland from another patient with sialolithiasis is shown in Figure 64.7. What do you see?**

  The gland has been sliced along its long axis and opened to reveal a stone in the hilum. The stone is in a cavity with a smooth lining, a dilated duct, seen around the lower left of the stone. On the right is a stone approximately 15 mm long sectioned to reveal the incremental lines that indicate intermittent formation of the stone in concentric layers during periods of low saliva flow or stasis. Somewhere in the centre is a nidus, probably a small crystal, shed duct lining cell or similar, possibly foreign, particle that is the nucleus of the stone.

- **The histological appearances of a gland that contained a stone are shown in Figure 64.8. What do you see and how do you interpret the findings?**

  On the left is normal submandibular gland. Note how the gland tissue is composed of densely packed acini and ducts with very little space between them. Most of the acini are serous acini and are composed of granular, darkly stained cells. Below the centre of the picture is a cluster of bubbly pale-stained mucous cells. The ducts have a prominent eosinophilic (pink) lining epithelium and are striated ducts. In the normal gland the mucin washes out of the ducts when the section is prepared.

  In the centre is a gland excised because of a stone. In the middle is a collecting duct that is very dilated and contains inspissated mucin that cannot be washed away, a result of reduced flow and blockage. Inflammatory cells are visible as tiny blue dots within the mucin reflecting emigration into the duct in response to ascending infection and bacteria around the stone.

  On the right is a higher power view of several lobules. The acinar cells have almost all been destroyed and only small ducts remain. There are large spaces between the acini and ducts filled with fibrous tissue, seen as a pink collagen cuff around the ducts. This is scarring as a result of chronic inflammation. The scarring is felt as induration on palpation of the whole gland. Fibrosis also destroys the gland structure and prevents recovery, even if the stone were to be removed.
Fig. 64.8  Histological appearances of a normal submandibular gland and one excised for sialadenitis secondary to sialolithiasis.

Fig. 64.9  Plain film (Fig.64.2) with main stone outlined and second stone ringed by a dotted line. Ultrasound scan (Fig.64.3) with the gland surface outlined (G) two stones arrowed and the dilated proximal duct outlined. Sialogram (Fig.64.4) with the stones ringed and strictures in the main ducts arrowed.
Failed endodontic treatment

SUMMARY
A 40-year-old lady presents to you with apical infection on a root-treated and crowned upper incisor. What are the treatment options and their likely chances of success?

The upper incisors were root treated about 10 years ago and all are crowned. Treatment was successful initially but she has suffered several episodes of pain over the last 5 years, for which she has been prescribed a number of courses of antibiotics. Periradicular surgery (apicectomy with root end filling) has been carried out on four occasions and she has suffered intermittent bouts of tenderness from most of the upper incisors since the last surgical procedure.

Medical history
The patient is otherwise fit and well.

Examination

Extraoral examination
The temporomandibular joints appear normal and no submental, submandibular or cervical lymph nodes are palpable.

Intraoral examination
The patient hands you a porcelain crown from the upper right lateral incisor with a cast gold post still cemented into it.

The appearances of the dentition with the crown temporarily reseated in the lateral incisor are shown in Figure 65.1 and in two occlusal views in Figure 65.2. There is tenderness over the apices of the central incisors, but not the right lateral incisor.

Fig. 65.1 The upper anterior teeth on presentation. The crown on the upper right lateral incisor had fallen out and has been replaced for the photograph.

History
Complaint
The patient, pointing to her upper right lateral incisor, complains that one of her crowns has fallen out. She is in no pain.

History of complaint
The crown fell out a few days ago. It has not fallen out before, though the adjacent crown on the upper right central incisor requires regular recementing.

Dental history
The patient has always attended regularly for dental treatment, but with a series of different practitioners. Some of
What can you deduce from the clinical appearances seen in Figures 65.1 and 65.2?

A sinus is present just above the mucogingival junction of the upper right central incisor. The likely causes would be a periapical abscess or chronic suppurative periodontitis, resulting from bacterial infection of a necrotic pulp or a failed endodontic treatment. Alternative causes include a periodontal abscess, perio-endo lesion or an infection associated with a root fracture.

There is slight erythema of the entire attached gingiva overlying the upper right central incisor root. This could be caused by a deep periodontal pocket, a perio-endo lesion or a fractured root.

All four upper incisor teeth are crowned and the crowns appear rather bulbous with poor marginal adaptation and contour.

The upper incisor crowns are spaced and irregular – note the upper left central incisor crown is wider than the right. It is possible that the natural dentition was spaced. Alternatively, either periodontitis or bone loss from repeated surgery may have allowed some labial drift, though this seems unlikely because the teeth are not labially inclined. The spacing might also be the result of poor quality laboratory work.

There is marginal gingival inflammation around the upper incisors with a broad rounded gingival margin and little stippling. This is most probably caused by a combination of poor oral hygiene and plaque accumulation at the, possibly defective, margins of the crowns.

There is recession and loss of interdental papillae. This is probably also a result of plaque-induced inflammation. However, the gingival flap incision, which was necessary for periapical surgery, might also have contributed and the tapering roots and wide interdental spaces of the upper incisors are prone to loss of interdental papillae when there is recession.

There is a faint scar line above the mucogingival junction of the upper incisors consistent with a healed submarginal semilunar flap, presumably made for periapical surgery.

There is toothwear of the tips of the upper canines, lower incisors and canines and around the amalgam restorations in the lower arch. The lower incisors are worn to expose the reactionary dentine at the original site of the pulp. The toothwear is consistent with erosion (capping out of the upper canines) and attrition (wear facets on lower incisors) due to the abrasive nature of the opposing porcelain crowns. For further discussion of toothwear see Cases 60 and 61. It is possible that the upper incisors were crowned to overcome the cosmetic effect of toothwear – short crowns and sharp and flaking enamel at the incisal edges.

The gingival margin of the lower incisors is higher than that of the lower canines and premolars indicating that the lower incisors have overerupted, presumably as a result of the toothwear on upper and lower incisors.

Many of the posterior amalgam restorations have little contour and are ditched. However, this is no indication of clinical failure and they should not be replaced for this reason alone.

Investigations

What investigations would you carry out and why?

Periodontal examination including recording of probing depths and gingival bleeding. It is necessary to identify whether there is pocket formation or only marginal inflammation. However, it will be difficult to assess the true attachment loss because the amelocemental junction has been lost on crown preparation. A wide pocket that can be probed to the apex would indicate a probable peri-endo lesion. A very localized deep pocket would raise the suspicion of a vertical root fracture.

Check the marginal integrity of the crowns by gently ‘drawing’ a straight probe along and across the crown/tooth interface without pressure (pressure might cavitate any early carious lesions present).

Check the mobility of the upper teeth as an aid to determine the extent of bone loss or root fracture.

On performing these investigations you discover generalized marginal gingivitis with bleeding on probing and plaque accumulation around the crown margins. No caries is detected around the central incisor crown margins. However, the marginal adaptation of all the crowns is poor. A deep pocket containing pus extends down the labial surface of the upper right central incisor.

Radiographic examination of the upper incisors. Periapical radiographs, ideally taken by paralleling technique and beam aiming device, are required to:

- assess presence and quality of any root fillings and the status of the periradicular tissues
- aid identification of the source of the sinus
- detect or exclude caries at the crown margins
- help eliminate root fracture as a cause. This is difficult as the fracture line would have to be in the line of the X-ray beam (labiopalatally) to be visible and even then might be superimposed on the root canal or root filling
- confirm the alveolar bone levels.

In this case the sinus opening lies immediately over the apex of the upper right central incisor, indicating the likely source of infection. If this were less clear-cut, a thin gutta percha cone could be inserted through the sinus opening and it would track to the source of the infection (see Case 1).

The periapical radiographs are shown in Figure 65.3. What do you see?

See Table 65.1.

Diagnosis

What are your provisional diagnoses?

See Table 65.2.
Table 65.1 Radiographic investigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upper right lateral incisor</th>
<th>Upper right central incisor</th>
<th>Upper left central incisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The cast post does not fill the prepared post space, leaving a void between it and the small amount of gutta-percha root filling apically. A root end filling of amalgam has been placed but it does not conform to the apical morphology of the root and extends into the periodontal tissue. Despite these defects there is no periodontal radiolucency. The crown margins are poorly adapted to the root face.</td>
<td>This tooth also has a poorly fitting cast post and core and a thick cement lute can be seen around the post. There is no evidence of a conventional orthograde root canal filling and a root end filling of amalgam is present. A periodontal radiolucency is evident with widening of the periodontal ligament at the apex, even though the lamina dura appears intact across it. No root fracture can be detected. The crown margins are poorly adapted to the root face.</td>
<td>In this tooth the cast post and core deviate from the original line of the root canal and weaken the root mesially. Distally some residual gutta-percha lies alongside the post. There is an adequate length of gutta-percha root filling apical to the post; however, there is a periapical radiolucency and loss of lamina dura around the apex. The crown margins are poorly adapted to the root face.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 65.2 Provisional diagnoses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upper right lateral incisor</th>
<th>Upper right central incisor</th>
<th>Upper left central incisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Failure of cementation of the post crown, predisposed to by inadequate post length. There is additional root length available to provide a longer post. Despite its suboptimal appearance, the apical amalgam appears successful and there is no evidence of apical periodontitis.</td>
<td>A vertical root fracture is probably present as evidenced by the gingival inflammation, infection, pattern of pocketing and the history of repeated decementation of the post and crown. Root fracture is predisposed to by overpreparation of the root and lateral forces resulting from inadequate post length. Infection of the fractured root. Bacteria have probably entered via the gingival crevice and pocket and repeated decementation of the post. The void below the post provides an additional cause for failure of root canal treatment. Bacteria can colonize it after loss of the post and extend to the apical seal. Chronic suppurative periapical periodontitis, indicating failure of the apical seal.</td>
<td>The root filling is inadequate. There is no coronal seal — the crown is poorly adapted and gutta-percha extends alongside the post, potentially allowing bacterial ingress to the root apex. There is chronic periapical periodontitis indicating failure of coronal and apical seal and persistence of bacteria within the root.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 65.3 Periapical radiographs taken on presentation. That on the left was taken with the post crown on the upper right lateral incisor removed and that on the right with it temporarily reseated.

Treatment

- **How would you manage these failed restorations?**

  The posts and crowns need to be removed from all three teeth to allow further investigation for root fracture and to assess the possibility of re-root filling the teeth by an orthograde approach.

- **How will you remove the posts and crowns? What are the advantages and disadvantages of the methods?**

  The crowns must be sectioned and removed to gain access to the core and root face.

Three methods are available for removing the posts:

1. Application of an ultrasonic instrument, with copious water coolant, around the core at different angles. Ultrasonic vibration will shatter the cement lute and allow removal. This is time consuming and some authorities suggest that the technique must be used continually for 10 minutes before admitting failure. However, it can take much longer and patients may find the prolonged procedure uncomfortable.

2. The Masserman kit, a series of trephines of different diameters that are used to cut around the post. Care must be used to select the smallest trephine that fits over the post. The trephine cuts a cylindrical hole and is thus not conservative of root dentine, especially if the post is large when lateral perforation by the trephine is a risk. Also the core must be cut away before the trephine can be used, limiting other options if the trephine fails.

3. The Eggler or similar clamp/screw devices that grip the core and pull the post out. The core must be prepared to provide retention for the clamp. Threaded posts cannot be removed with a pull action. Rotary instruments cannot ever be used to drill out metal posts. The relative hardness of the post means that rotary instruments will always slip and remove root dentine, risking perforation of the root.

In this instance all posts were easily removed using an ultrasonic scaler. On removing the posts you discover a vertical root fracture in the upper right central incisor as expected.
This tooth is therefore unrestorable and will require extraction. You continue to open the root canals of the other teeth under rubber dam. The single cone gutta percha root filling in the left central incisor was easily removed and, under copious irrigation with sodium hypochlorite, the working length was established.

■ The working length radiograph is seen in Figure 65.4. What can you deduce?

The file used to take the working length radiograph is wide. This is because the previous root canal preparation was excessive and only a large file binds against the root canal walls. The file is approximately 2 mm short of the correct working length. The apical part of the root canal has been overprepared and this now poses a problem as the anatomical apical constriction has been destroyed and extrusion of the root canal filling through the apex is likely.

This is exactly what happened, as can be seen in Figure 65.5.

■ The teeth are now stabilized. What are the longer term options?

The upper right lateral incisor has no active apical inflammation and a new post and temporary crown can be considered. However, the apical amalgam is less than ideal and the tooth is compromised as an abutment for a fixed bridge to replace the right central incisor.

The upper right central incisor is unrestorable and following extraction will need to be replaced with an upper acrylic immediate partial (removable) prosthesis for a 6 month period to allow for ridge resorption to take place. At this stage a definitive replacement can be considered.

The upper left central incisor may now be symptomless and the apical area may resolve. Attempts to remove the extruded material via the root canal are typically unsuccessful, pushing the material further into the tissues. Therefore it is advisable to place a new post and core straight away to establish a good coronal seal. A laboratory-made temporary crown can be placed for the 6 month observation period required for the right central incisor. Extrusion of gutta percha compromises the long-term prognosis and makes this tooth unsuitable as an abutment for a fixed prosthesis in its present state. If a removable prosthesis is the preferred option to replace the right central incisor, the prosthesis should be designed so that the other two compromised teeth can be added should treatment prove unsuccessful.

■ The patient expressed a strong wish to avoid a removable prosthesis. Are no fixed replacements possible?

There are other possibilities but all involve expenditure of significant time and expense on severely compromised teeth. Assuming the root filling in the upper left central incisor is successful and that the root filling in the right lateral incisor remains so, posts and cores could be placed in both teeth and a fixed–fixed conventional immediate heat-cured acrylic bridge could be fitted as an interim restoration.

No more permanent restoration can be considered until the two root treated incisors are stable, and this can only be guaranteed by further periradicular surgery. This would allow removal of the poor apical amalgam reverse root filling in the lateral incisor and gutta percha extruded from the left central incisor. The chances of success reduce with each episode of apical surgery and normally a fourth attempt would be considered heroic. However, in this case there are clear reasons for failure of the previous surgery (inadequate orthograde root fillings) and so a further apicectomy does have some hope of success. The highest chance of success will be obtained with root end fillings of mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA).

Implants offer an alternative solution. The teeth could be replaced individually if and when the stabilization fails or replaced as a group with the intention of an implant-retained bridge or removable prosthesis. This could produce a faster result but would be significantly more expensive.
Case ▪ 66

A pain in the head

SUMMARY

A 58-year-old female patient attending for routine dental care mentions that she has a severe headache and wishes to delay treatment. What should you do?

Over-the-counter painkillers have no effect. The present headache started on the way to your surgery.

Medical history

The patient is overweight, has smoked 15 cigarettes a day for 20 years and drinks 22 units of alcohol a week.

Dental history

The patient attends regularly and has no dental problems. You have noted attrition from bruxism but she has never complained of tenderness in the muscles of mastication.

How do you assess the history so far?

Severe headaches with nausea and visual disturbance on an occasional basis would suggest migraine as a cause. However, migraine usually has onset in young adult or middle age and would be unusual as a new diagnosis in a postmenopausal patient. The headache is not described as unilateral, as most migraines are, and there is no typical description of an aura. Dizziness, nausea and blurred vision, on the other hand, can be associated with migraine.

The bruxism is irrelevant. It may be associated with masticatory muscle tenderness but is usually asymptomatic.

What is the role of the dentist in headache diagnosis and treatment?

It would be wise to consider this question before dismissing the complaint, referring to a medical practitioner or taking on analysis of the problem. A dentist would be expected to have a fairly broad knowledge of signs, symptoms and causes of craniofacial pain, but mainly for diagnostic and patient referral purposes.

The primary role of the dentist in headache is to exclude pain of dental or local origin. This is most important but not always easy.

The key causes of pain of dental origin that might present as headache are:

- Pulpitis and referred pain of dental origin
- Sinusitis
- Temporomandibular joint/myofascial pain dysfunction.

In addition some causes of head and neck pain can be misinterpreted by the patient as pain of dental origin and so present to the dentist. The key causes to consider are:

- Trigeminal and other neuralgias
- Giant cell arteritis
- Chronic idiopathic (atypical) facial pain.

The dentist should be very familiar with these causes of pain and should also be able to diagnose many others. However, it is not the role of the dentist to undertake primary diagnostic responsibility for other causes of headache or facial pain. Craniofacial pain is usually managed by a multidisciplinary team, which may well include a dentist, and in such a setting the dentist may take on considerably more responsibility. In other settings the dentist will not have the necessary neurological knowledge or access to investigations.

History

Complaint

The headaches affect her whole head, are short but severe, with dizziness, nausea and blurred vision (Figure 66.1).

History of complaint

The headaches started only 2 weeks ago. She has not been able to identify any causes. The only way she has been able to manage the pain is to lie still in bed until the pain is over.

Fig. 66.1 The patient on presentation.
Some dentists extend their practice into headache, migraine and a range of musculoskeletal pains. There is a danger of extending beyond competence or into non-orthodox treatments without a good evidence base.

Your management of true headache, whether in primary or secondary care, should be limited to:

- Identifying and treating dental causes or
- Making a provisional diagnosis
- Ensuring appropriate referral
- Informing the patient about possible causes and treatments.

One of the most important factors is to be able to identify any sinister signs that might indicate significant underlying disease.

**What further information do you need?**

You will need to question the patient for further details about the features in the left-hand column of Table 66.1. When you do this, the patient gives the answers shown on the right.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>You ask about</th>
<th>The patient indicates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The pain distribution</td>
<td>The pain is felt bilaterally, within and on the surface of the head; it is worse at the back of the head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity and nature of pain</td>
<td>Extremely painful, immediate onset, crippling pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triggering and relieving factors</td>
<td>None identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of attacks</td>
<td>Has only had four or five episodes in 2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whether pain resolves between attacks</td>
<td>Gradually fades over hours; the patient is normal between attacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The exact nature of the visual disturbance</td>
<td>Sensitivity to light, blurred vision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any recent illness</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any recent trauma</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has there been loss of consciousness or confusion?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea or vomiting</td>
<td>Nausea accompanies the pain; she has not vomited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other medical conditions and medication</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How can pulpitis be excluded as a cause of craniofacial pain?**

Toothache is the commonest cause of pain sensed by the trigeminal system. Diagnosis of toothache is discussed in several other problems. The key features of pulpitis are that it may be intermittent or constant, sometimes with a dental trigger, exacerbated by hot, cold and osmotic stimuli and may be poorly localized. Periapical periodontitis, sensed in the periodontal ligament, is well localized and sensitive only to pressure. Pain from multirooted teeth may have both a pulpal and periodontal component.

The main problems in excluding pulp pain are caused by teeth with cracks or low-grade chronic pulpitis, such as in teeth with leaking restorations or small amounts of caries below restorations. When a cause is not evident it may be necessary to investigate a number of teeth by tests of vitality, replacement of restorations and by placing orthodontic bands or copper rings to exclude cracks; this may be quite time-consuming and require several visits. Short stabbing neuralgia-type pain from a pulpitic or cracked tooth can often be detected with cold stimulation or by applying biting pressure on to individual cusps.

One of the most useful diagnostic features of toothache is the patient’s interpretation of the pain. In past decades, when dental disease was prevalent, most patients would have sufficient experience to make a clear diagnosis themselves. Now that caries is less prevalent and less extensive, patients may have no experience of either the severity or poor localization of toothache and so attribute the pain to other causes.

Pain from the pulp is classically poorly localized and may radiate to other teeth, the opposite jaw, temporal region or be referred to any area supplied by the trigeminal nerve. More rarely, pain can be referred to trigeminal nerves from areas innervated by other cranial and even cervical spinal nerves. Referred pain may be as severe as nonreferred pain but there is usually a component of pain felt locally to aid diagnosis. Dental pain misinterpreted as headache is usually felt on the front and sides of the head. Referred pain will not radiate across the midline.

Referred pain can be a major diagnostic problem. When a dental cause is suspected, a long-lasting Marcain local analgesic applied to suspected sources may be attempted to see whether it blocks the pain.

The character and distribution of this pain exclude all dental causes.

**How may pain of temporomandibular joint/myofascial pain dysfunction be excluded?**

This pain originates in the muscles of mastication and is felt there, referred to the superficial tissues in and around the joint and the ear. It may be identified by its distribution and accompanying signs of abnormal mandibular movement. As the symptoms can be very variable, a diagnostic appliance may be helpful.

Pain may be unilateral or bilateral and tends to be a dull constant pain worsened on mouth opening, on biting hard foods or on palpation of the joints. There may be wear facets indicating bruxism or other mandibular parafunction.

This pain is often misinterpreted as headache by patients but it is superficial and should not be confused with an intracranial pain.

The character and distribution of this pain immediately exclude this cause.

**How can sinusitis be excluded as a cause of headache?**

Sinusitis usually follows an upper respiratory tract infection and is accompanied by nasal obstruction or stuffiness. The pain is usually well localized and is more likely to be confused with toothache than headache. Maxillary sinusitis causes tenderness of teeth adjacent to the sinus. If the sinus is fluid-filled, the pain may alter with posture. Only sinusitis in the sphenoid, ethmoid and frontal sinuses is likely to be confused with headache but, even there, sinusitis is considered a very rare cause of headache.
Sinusitis may be of dental origin if inflammation spreads from the roots of upper molar teeth. There may then be additional pain of dental origin.

This pain is predominantly posterior, acute and severe. Sinusitis cannot be the cause.

Are there other headache-like pains that may be mistaken for dental pain?

Yes, and the most important is probably temporal arteritis (giant cell arteritis). The pain of temporal arteritis is felt in and around the temporal artery and muscle but is frequently referred to the jaws or teeth and mistaken for toothache. The temporal artery wall is inflamed and gradually destroyed by inflammatory cells, including giant foreign-body cells, and the lumen is occluded. Ischaemia of the temporal muscle is felt as pain on mastication.

The same process affects other branches of the carotid artery, including the ophthalmic artery, so that blindness may result. This can happen very quickly and a suspected diagnosis of temporal arteritis should be investigated as an emergency. The tender enlarged artery may be palpable. Diagnosis is supported by a raised erythrocyte sedimentation rate and biopsy of the artery is diagnostic, though corticosteroids are often started immediately because of the risk of blindness.

Other causes of referred pain include obstructive salivary disease, earache and joint pain from degenerative disease of the cervical spine.

Could this patient have a neuralgia?

Neuralgia is pain felt in the distribution of a nerve and that is not triggered by a normal pain stimulus. It may be due to nerve hypersensitivity or central causes, be acute or chronic but is not felt by the patient to be a headache. Trigeminal neuralgia is more likely to be misconstrued as a severe pulpitis and teeth may be unnecessarily extracted or filled before the diagnosis is made. It particularly mimics the pain of a cracked tooth. Atypical trigeminal neuralgia, with its constant pain and wider distribution, is often misconstrued as migraine, dental pain, temporomandibular joint pain dysfunction or atypical facial pain.

The features of cranial nerve neuralgias are shown in Table 66.2.

When a pain of trigeminal or glossopharyngeal neuralgia type is diagnosed in a patient under 50 years of age, the possibility that it has a central origin, such as a neoplasm or multiple sclerosis, must be investigated. Similar acute and chronic, often chronic burning, nerve pain is also experienced by those suffering from peripheral neuropathy, which may accompany diabetes, chemotherapy, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection and other diseases.

Neuralgic pain may also follow damage to nerves by trauma or surgery. Over 70% of patients with lingual or inferior alveolar nerve damage present with neuralgia.

This patient’s pain does not follow the sensory distribution of the cranial nerves. The character and distribution are wrong; this is not a neuralgia.

What are common causes of true recurrent headache?

The common causes of recurrent headache are shown in Table 66.3. An occasional headache is so common as to be regarded as normal. In all, 80% of the population have had at least one tension-type headache in the previous year. Only intermittent but relatively frequent headache meeting the

---

**Table 66.2** Features of the main cranial nerve neuralgias

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trigeminal neuralgia</th>
<th>Glossopharyngeal neuralgia</th>
<th>Atypical trigeminal neuralgia (trigeminal neuralgia type 2)</th>
<th>Postherpetic neuralgia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute neuralgic pain</td>
<td>Acute neuralgic pain</td>
<td>Constant, less severe than typical neuralgia</td>
<td>Chronic neuralgic pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affects the elderly</td>
<td>Affects the elderly</td>
<td>Affects all ages</td>
<td>Affects the elderly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excruating short-lasting stabbing pain;</td>
<td>Excruating short-lasting stabbing pain;</td>
<td>Severe aching or burning, sometimes with stabbing pains as</td>
<td>Stabbing and/or burning pain; seventy varies, may be very</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>may be remissions of years</td>
<td>may be remissions of years</td>
<td>typical neuralgia is superimposed</td>
<td>severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May be elicited by touching a trigger area</td>
<td>May be elicited by a trigger area in the</td>
<td>May worsen with movement, but no typical trigger area</td>
<td>No trigger areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the face, scalp or in the mouth</td>
<td>throat, coughing, sneezing, chewing,</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sensation in the dermatome may also be affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>yawning or swallowing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharply defined to area innervated by</td>
<td>Affects the oropharynx, posterior tongue</td>
<td>Often affects all three divisions of the trigeminal nerve,</td>
<td>Limited to the dermatome distribution of a nerve previously</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>divisions 1 and/or 2 of the trigeminal</td>
<td>and ear</td>
<td>often bilateral</td>
<td>affected by herpes zoster infection (shingles). Usually starts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nerve, usually unilateral, bilateral in 10% of cases</td>
<td>Some patients have a hypotensive period or faint during attack</td>
<td></td>
<td>as skin lesions heal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause usually unknown; some cases related</td>
<td>Cause usually unknown; some cases related</td>
<td>Cause usually unknown; some cases related to vascular pressure</td>
<td>Results from nerve damage caused by herpes zoster infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to vascular pressure on the nerve in the skull base</td>
<td>to vascular pressure on the nerve in the</td>
<td>to vascular pressure in the skull base or nerve damage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated by carbamazepine or similar drugs</td>
<td>Treated by carbamazepine or similar drugs</td>
<td>Treated by multiple approaches including high-dose anticonvulsants, tricyclic</td>
<td>Prevented by aggressive early treatment of zoster infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in the first instance, gabapentin or phenytoin</td>
<td>in the first instance, gabapentin or</td>
<td>antidepressants or opiate analgesics. May require surgery to</td>
<td>with antiviral drugs, steroids and/or amitriptyline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>necessary. Persistent pain may necessitate</td>
<td>phenytoin if necessary. Persistent pain may</td>
<td>decompress the nerve or even to ablate it</td>
<td>Treatment requires multiple approaches, including topical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surgery to decompress the nerve or even to ablate it</td>
<td>necessitate surgery to decompress the nerve or even to ablate it</td>
<td></td>
<td>lidocaine patches, anticonvulsants including phenytoin or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Treated by multiple approaches including</td>
<td></td>
<td>carbamazepine, gabapentin and lamotrigine. Surgery usually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>high-dose anticonvulsants, tricyclic</td>
<td></td>
<td>avoided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>antidepressants or opiate analgesics. May</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 66.3 Common causes of recurrent headache

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of headache</th>
<th>Main diagnostic features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic daily headaches</strong></td>
<td><em>Tension headaches and chronic tension headaches</em> The most common type of headache. More frequent in women than men. Usually fewer than 15 days each month but more than 80 days a year. Usually affects both sides of the head, no nausea or other neurological symptoms. Usually wears off after up to 6 hours but may last several days. More frequent during times of stress. Constant ache, tightening of the neck muscles and a feeling of pressure behind the eyes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medication overuse headache</strong></td>
<td>Relatively rare; 1–3% of all chronic daily headaches. Usually more than 15 days/month for more than 3 months. Patients using over-the-counter OTC pain medication for 10 days each month are much more likely to develop medication overuse headache and the risk is highest with painkillers containing codeine or ergotamine. Headsaches improve after 2 months of withdrawal of analgesics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Migraine**                      | Common: one in five women and 6% of men have had at least one migraine-type headache in the previous year. Migraine becomes less frequent in the elderly. There may be a family history. Attacks may be precipitated by alcohol, chocolate, cheese, menstruation, stress or fatigue in certain patients. In one in five patients the attack begins with an aura, typically for 20–30 minutes before the headache. This varies between patients but common features are:  
  - Visual disturbance, usually blurred vision, flashing lights or occasionally a zigzag pattern that moves across the field of vision (fortification spectra)  
  - Tingling and/or numbness affecting the face, lips, tongue, cheek or fingertips  
  The headache is severe, usually disabling, may be throbbing and is often made worse by the lightest exercise. Classically one-sided, though it may affect the front, back or whole head. Often accompanied by nausea and/or vomiting, sensitivity to light and/or smells. Less common features include flashing lights, blindness, tingling in the face or speech disturbance. Dihydroergotamine is not uncommon and some people take a lot of 100 mg as the headache is subsiding. |
| **Cluster headache (migrainous neuralgia)** | A fairly rare condition affecting around 1 in 1000 people, mostly males and mostly smokers. The pain is excruciating, much more severe than migraine and is often described as a stabbing pain rather than a headache. The pain begins quickly and is not associated with the systemic effects of migraine. Pain is felt in, above, behind or below the eye, strictly on one side of the head. It is steady rather than throbbing and lasts for between 10 minutes and 2 hours. During the attack the eye may water or become bloodshot and the eyelid droop and the nostril on the same side will run or become blocked. Attacks may be precipitated by alcohol, chocolate, cheese, menstruation, stress or fatigue. Attacks come in clusters, often several times a day on consecutive days for 2–3 months before disappearing completely for a year or even longer. Often the attacks occur at the same time every morning (alarm clock headache). |
| **Sudden-onset unilateral neuralgiform conjunctival injection and tearing (SUNCT)** | A trigeminal nerve pain that is like cluster headache but affects the face and is characterized by facial flushing of the affected area, with a red eye and tears. |
| **Low-pressure headache**         | Headaches caused by low cerebrospinal fluid pressure. They develop over a few days, becomes persistent and may be associated with neurological symptoms. |
| **Sinister headache**             | These are rare but important to diagnose as they indicate possible important underlying disease. Features suggesting a sinister headache are:  
  - An acute single headache (‘thunderclap’ headache)  
  - Abrupt onset  
  - Nausea and/or vomiting  
  - Elderly patient with no chronic headache history  
  - Fever  
  - Neck stiffness  
  - Rash  
  - Head injury  
  - Loss of consciousness  
  - Limb weakness or difficulty speaking  
  - Eye signs: unequal pupils, failure to accommodate, ptosis  
  which might indicate underlying causes such as: cerebral ischaemia or stroke, subarachnoid haemorrhage, ruptured aneurysm, meningitis, brain tumour, hypertension, cerebral abscess |

---

**Criteria below is amenable to diagnosis without specialist knowledge and investigations.**

**Could this be a pain of idiopathic origin?**

In the current classification of headache and craniofacial and oral pain, there are several categories of idiopathic facial pain with defined diagnostic criteria. These include the entities of persistent idiopathic facial pain (atypical facial pain and atypical odontalgia). Useful features are unusual descriptions of pain and distributions that appear anatomically impossible, crossing dermatomes or the midline. Burning mouth syndrome is a further idiopathic facial pain and is now known to be a neuropathy. All these conditions are characterized by constant pain that may regress spontaneously. These diagnoses should not be applied until all possible causes have been excluded and are best supported by investigation in a specialized pain centre.

There are no features to suggest this patient has an idiopathic pain. All other causes must be excluded before this diagnosis can be entertained.
Differential diagnosis

What is your differential diagnosis? What would you do next?

This is a true headache. Of the other potential causes, only migraine appears likely but, as noted above, this is unlikely, though still possible. No definitive diagnosis is possible but there are several features that suggest that this is a sinister headache.

- Sudden onset
- Acute extreme pain
- Few discrete headaches, all severe
- Associated with nausea and visual disturbance.

The patient is an overweight smoker likely to have peripheral vascular disease and there must be a worry that these unusual headaches might be caused by minor strokes or leakage from a subarachnoid vessel or aneurysm. There is no muscle weakness or loss of consciousness to confirm the diagnosis of stroke and these are usually present when stroke is the cause. However, headache is the commonest preceding symptom of subarachnoid haemorrhage and is seen in about half of cases with a ruptured aneurysm.

What would you do next?

The features are sufficiently worrying to recommend that the patient attend her general medical practitioner immediately. A letter detailing your suspicions and that you have excluded dental causes should be given to the patient. She must be accompanied and she must not drive.
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Abrasion  
defined, 285
features, 289
Abscess, 152, 230
Abutment teeth, 280
Access cavities, 225, 225
Aciclovir, 133
Acid regurgitation, 286
Acidic food, 286
Acrylic palatal coverage, 111
Acrylic poly(methylmethacrylate) denture  
see Denture base, defective
Acute necrotising ulcerative gingivitis, 132
Acute osteomyelitis, 103–104
Acute periodontitis, 53
Acute pulpitis, 152
Adenocarcinoma, 74, 75, 94
Adenosine triphosphate (ATP), 104
Adhesive bridge, 164
Adrenaline (epinephrine), 14, 15, 15, 16, 173, 175
overdose, 174
unwanted effects, 173, 174, 174
‘Advance directives’, 30
Advanced Life Support (ALS), 60
Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS), 16
Adverse reactions, 173–174
causes, 173
differential diagnosis, 173–175
history, 173
AIDS (acquired immunodeficiency syndrome), 146, 278
see also HIV (human immunodeficiency virus)
Airway obstruction, 60
Alcohol, 286
Alcoholism, 287
Alginate, 139
Allergy  
to benzodiazepines, 188
to dentures, 162
to penicillin, 16, 17
Allopurinol, 162
Alveolar bone remodelling, 290
Alveolar ridge, 200
Alveolus, scarring, 24
Amalgam restorations, 308
Ameloblastoma, 8–9
types, 10–11, 11
Amelodental junction, 47, 47
Amelogenesis imperfecta, 267
Ampicillin, 233
Anaesthesia see Adverse reactions;
General anaesthesia
Analgesia, 68
Analgesics, 68
Anaphylaxis, 175
pathogenesis, 14
signs and symptoms, 13
useful drugs, 14–16, 15
Angina bullosa haemorrhagica, 192
Ankylosis, 79
Anorexia nervosa, 286
Antibiotics  
abscess treatment, 231
healing period, 295
odontogenic infections, 153
postimplantation, 121
postoperative, 116–117, 207
prophylaxis, 205
soft tissue infection, 231, 232–233
Anticoagulation, 203–208, 203
diagnosis, 204
examination, 204
history, 203–204
investigations, 204, 204
postoperative care, 206–207, 207–208
treatment, 204–207
Antidepressants, 162
Antiepileptics, 196
Antifibrinolytics, 207
Antigastric reflux drugs, 196
Antihistamines, 16
Antihypertensives, 162
Antinuclear antibody (ANA), 161
Antiretroviral treatment, 279, 279
, 280
Antiseptic mouthwash, 133
Antitetanus immunization, 167
Antiviral treatment, 133
Antral cysts, 252
Anxiety, 187–189
diagnosis, 187
history, 187
investigations, 187, 188
management, 187–188, 188
postoperative care, 189
prognosis, 189
treatment, 188–189
Applied behavioural analysis (ABA), 196
Aprotinin, 207
Arthrocentesis, 41
Arthrography, trismus, 39–40
Aspirating technique, 93, 93, 175, 262, 263
Aspirin, 207
Attachment loss, 177
Attrition, 290
defined, 285
features, 289
Atypical facial pain, 311
Autism, 195–198
causes, 195
communication, 195–196
aids, 197, 197

B

Bacteria in dentine caries, 46, 46
Barbed broach, 225
Basic Life Support (BLS), 60
Behaviour management strategies, 64, 64
Behavioural therapy, 196
Behçet’s disease, 88
Benign neoplasms, 50
Benign salivary gland neoplasm, 261–262
Benzodiazepine antagonists, 189
Benzodiazepine-induced sexual fantasy, 189
Benzodiazepines, 188
Beta blockers, 162
Betel quid chewing, 281, 282, 283–284
Biopsies  
dentigerous cyst, 252–253, 253
dysplasia, 282–283, 283
facial swelling, 298–299, 299
gingival swelling, 50–51, 51
Langerhans’ cell histiocytosis, 245–246, 246
lichen planus, 160, 161–162, 161
multilocular radiolucency, 10
neck swelling, 92, 93, 305, 306
palate swelling, 262, 263, 264
salivary gland, 34, 35, 36
socket, 74–75, 75
sore mouth, 193, 193
squamous cell carcinoma, 273–274, 274
tongue, white patch on, 211, 212, 213,
215–217, 217
types, 263
Bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis, 103, 104
Bisphosphonates, 75, 104, 104, 106–107
Bite, anterior open, 24
Biting, pain on, 53–55, 223
differential diagnosis, 54
examination, 53–54, 53
history, 53
investigations, 54, 54
treatment, 54–55
Bleeding problems, 280
see also Anticoagulation
Blisters see Sore mouth with blisters
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G

Gag reflex, 114
Galvanic pain, 54
Gap between front teeth, 255–260
diagnosis, 256
examination, 255–256, 255, 256
history, 255
investigations, 256, 256
treatment, 256–260, 258, 259, 260
Gaseous porosity, 57
Gastric acid reflux, 286, 287
Gastrointestinal carcinoma, 94
Gastrointestinal disease, 87, 88
Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, 286, 287
Gates–Glidden bur, 225,
Gastrointestinal carcinoma, 94
Gastrointestinal disease, 87, 88
Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, 286, 287
Gates–Glidden bur, 225, 225, 227
General anaesthesia, 117
anxiety and, 187–188, 188–189
ASA classification, 188
flow chart for selection, 232
General Dental Council (GDC), 16, 120,
171, 187, 207, 208, 278
Giant cell arteritis, 311, 313
Giant cell granuloma, peripheral, 50
Giant cell lesion, 9, 299
Gingival inflammation, 308
Gingival recession, 19–21
clinical assessment, 19–20, 20
diagnosis, 20–21
examination, 19
history, 19
investigations, 20
training, 20–21, 21
Gingival swelling, 49–52
diagnosis, 51, 51
differential, 49–50
examination, 49–50, 49
history, 49
investigations, 50, 50
training, 50–51
Gingivitis
acute necrotizing ulcerative, 132
chronic, 256
desquamative, 192
plaque-induced, 245, 246
Glossopharyngeal nerve, 269
Glucagon, 100,
Glucose, 100, 100
powder, 100, 101
Glyceryl trinitrate (GTN), 99
Gold, 162
Gold-based alloys, 58
Grading, staging and, 270
Granular porosity, 57
Granuloma, 222
giant cell, peripheral, 50
pyrogenic, 50, 51, 52
Guidance Notes for Dental Practitioners on the Safe Use of X-ray Equipment (DOH), 136, 138
Gypsum-bonded investments, 58

H

Haemangiomas, 277
Haemostasis, 206
Halitosis, 67
Hamartomas, 50
Hand, foot and mouth disease, 132
Headache, 311–315
causes, 313, 314

Diagnosis, 311–314, 313, 314
differential, 315
history, 311
Head Act (2006), 145
Health and hygiene, oral, 178
Health and Safety Executive, 149
Hedstrom file, 227
Hemisected teeth, 181
Hepatitis B, 145, 146, 147–148, 148
Herpangina, 132
Herpes simplex, 132, 133
Herpes zoster, 132
Herpetic gingivostomatitis, primary, 131
Herpetiform aphthae, 132
Hidden caries, 156
HIV (human immunodeficiency virus), 145–148, 147, 149, 277–278
antiretroviral treatment, 279, 279, 280
-associated salivary gland disease, 280
information from clinic, 278, 279
oral signs, 279
Hypoglycaemia, 99–101
drug treatment,
type 1, 14, 14
Hypoglycaemic agents, oral, 162
Hypoglycaemia, 99–101
Hypogastric artery, 264
Hypoglycaemic agents, oral, 162
Hypoplasia, enamel, 265–266, 267
Hypomineralization, 97, 265–266, 267
Hypersensitivity autistic, 196
to local anaesthesia, 175
type 1, 14, 14
Hypothyroidism, 40
Hypovitaminosis, 97, 265–266, 267
I

Iatrogenic damage, 287
Idiopathic enamel hypoplasia, 266
Idiopathic molar-incisor hypoplasia, 266
Idiopathic white spot, 266
Idiosyncratic behaviours, 196
Idiopathic molar-incisor hypoplasia, 266
Idiopathic enamel hypoplasia, 266
Iatrogenic damage, 287
Incisors, 163, 265
mobile, 197
restorative options, 258, 268
Incisors, fractured, 183–189
compliances, 186
examination, 183–184, 183
history, 183
investigations, 184, 185
temporary replacement, types of, 186,
186
treatment, 185–186
Incisors, immature, 95–98
diagnosis, 97
intraoral surgery, 173, 174, 175
Intravenous injection, 173–174, 175
Intravenous fluid, 14
Intravenous sedation, 188
Intravenous injection, 173–174, 175
Intravenous fluid, 14
Intravenous sedation, 188
Intrusive luxation, 96, 97
Intubation, fibreoptic-guided, 153
Ionising Radiation (Medical Exposure) Regulations (2000), 138
Ionising Radiations Regulations (1999), 138
Iron deficiency, 88

J

Justification, 135–136, 138

K

Kaposi sarcomas, 277, 278
Kennedy class IV situations, 260
Keratosis, 213
Kessling set-up, 237
Ketoconazole, 207
Kidney carcinoma, 74

L

Langerhans’ cell histiocytosis, 245–246, 247
Language, appropriate, 62
Latex hypersensitivity, 177
Lead aprons, 136
Learning difficulties categories, 28, 28
treatment and, 30
Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), 11, 39, 40, 105, 105
Malignant neoplasms, 10, 50, 74, 246
salivary gland, 262
sites, 94
Mandible
enlargement of, 297
fractured, 68, 183
Mandibular impacted third molars (MITMs), 114, 116
advice, 115
complications, 116, 116
examination, 113, 113
extraction, 114–117, 116
history, 113
investigations, 113–118
Marsupialization, 252
Masseran kit, 309
MBD (mesial, buccal, distal) rule, 224
Mealtime syndrome, 301, 302
Measurement
mouth opening, 282
recession, 177
Melatonin, 196
Men who have sex with men (MSM), 147
Mental Capacity Act, 28, 30–31
Mesial box, 47
Mesiodens, 250
Metastasis, 74
distant, 270
lymph node, 270
Malignant, 92, 245, 295
Methylprednisolone, 162
Methylmercaptoacetic acid, 57, 57
Methylphenidate, 196
Metronidazole, 153, 207, 233, 279
Miconazole, 207
oral gel, 279
Microbiological tests, 160–161
Midaodol, 188, 279
contraindications, 188
side-effects, 189
Migraine, 311
Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA), 310
Minimally invasive techniques, 304
Minimum preparation bridge, 237, 237, 257
Missing teeth
genotically, 250
replacement options, 199, 200
see also Incisors, missing; Incisors, missing upper lateral
Mobility, tooth, 184, 197, 220, 308
Molar-incisor hypoplasia, 266, 268
Molars
caps, 142, 143
lower first, treatment options, 181
lower second, extraction, 180
lower third, 152, 152
see also Endodontic treatment, molar; First permanent molars; Mandibular impacted third molars (MITMs)
Mouth opening, limitation of, 281–4
diagnosis, 282
examination, 281, 282
history, 281
investigations, 282–283, 283
treatment, 283–284, 284
Mouth ulcers, 87–90, 132
causes, 87
diagnosis, 88–89
examination, 87–88, 87
features, 87–88, 88
history, 87
lichen planus, 159, 159, 160, 162
treatment, 89–90, 89
see also Dry mouth; Painful mouth; Sore mouth

Mouthwash, 133
Mucosa
buccal, 159, 159, 161, 281, 282, 283
dysplasia, 282–3, 283
palpation of, 219
Mucous membrane pemphigoid, 192
Mucous retention cyst, 262
Multidirectional tomography, 178
Multilocular radiolucency, 7–11
diagnosis, 7–8, 10–11, 11
differential, 8–10
examination, 7–8, 7
history, 7
investigations, 8, 8, 9, 10, 10
treatment, 11
Multirooted teeth, 312
Myocardial infarction, 59, 173, 175
Myofascial pain, 311, 312
dysfunction syndrome, 38

N
National Guidelines for HIV Testing (2008), 147
National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, 205, 207
National Patient Safety Agency, 205
Neglect, dental, 171–172
Neoplasms benign, 50, 261–262
malignant, 10, 50, 74, 93–94, 245, 262
Neuralgia, 313
cranial nerve, features, 313, 313
trigeminal, 53, 311
Nickel titanium files, 225
Nonaccidental injury, 96, 168, 169
Noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM), 100–101
Nonnucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NNRTIs), 279
Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, 68, 162, 207
Nonverbal communication, 196
Nucleoside/Nucleotide Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NRTIs), 279
O
Occupation, discoloured teeth and, 126
Occlusal high spot, 53, 54
Occlusal vertical dimension, 111
Oclusion
discoloured teeth and, 126
incisors, fractured, 184
Occult caries, 156
Odontogenic carcinomas, 74
Odontogenic keratocysts, 9–10, 252
Odontogenic sarcomas, 74
Odontogenic tumours, 9, 50, 251
Oedema, 230
Optimization, 135–136, 138
Oral cancer see Carcinoma;
Squamous cell carcinoma
Oral hypoglycaemic agents, 162
Oral mucositis, 149
Oral surgery, 94
Oral submucous fibrosis, 282, 283
Oraantral communication, 81, 82
Oral submucous fibrosis, 282, 283
Oroantral communication, 85
Oroantral fistula (OAF), 81–85
diagnosis, 83
differential diagnosis, 81–82
examination, 81, 81, 83
history, 81
investigations, 82–83, 83
postoperative instructions, 84–85
predisposing factors, 82, 85, 85
signs and symptoms, 82
treatment, 84–85, 84
Orthodontic opinion, 157
Orthodontic treatment, 19
Osseointegration, 164–165, 165
Osseous dysplasias, 294–295
Osteitis, 104
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Osteomyelitis, 68, 104
  acute, 103–104
  chronic, 74, 103, 295
Osteonecrosis, 107, 107
  bisphosphonate-related, 103, 104
  maxillary, 106
  risks, 104–105, 104
Osteosarcoma, 74
Overdentures, 109–111
  diagnosis, 109, 111
  examination, 109–111, 110
  features, 109, 110
  history, 109
Overdose, local anaesthesia, 173
Overdentures, 109–111
  diagnosis, 109, 111
  examination, 109–111, 110
  features, 109, 110
  history, 109
Osteonecrosis, 107, 107
  bisphosphonate-related, 103, 104
  maxillary, 106
  risks, 104–105, 104
Osteosarcoma, 74
Overdentures, 109–111
  diagnosis, 109, 111
  examination, 109–111, 110
  features, 109, 110
  history, 109
Overdose, local anaesthesia, 173
Oxygen masks, 15, 100

P
Paget’s disease of bone, 295
Painful mouth, 131–134
  diagnosis, 131
  differential, 131–132
  examination, 131–132
  history, 131
  investigations, 132, 133
  prognosis, 133–134
Palatal rotation flap, 84, 84
Palliative care, 275
Palpation of mucosa, 219
Penicillamine, 162
Periodontitis, advanced, 177–181
  persistent idiopathic facial pain, 314
  Phenylketonuria, 196
  Phosphate-bonded investments, 58
  Physical contact, 196
  Pictorial communication aids, 197, 197
  Plaque-induced gingivitis, conditions mimicking, 245, 246
  Play, children and, 62
  Pleomorphic adenoma, 262, 263, 264
  Porcelain veneers, 127, 128, 287
  Porosity, types of, 57, 57
  Porphyromonas spp, 233
  Posterior capping, 241
  Postexposure prophylaxis (PEP), 145, 147, 149
  Postjuvenile periodontitis, 256
  Precautionary principle, 205
  Premalignant lesion, 216
  Prothrombin complex concentrates, 207
  Protease Inhibitors, 279
  Prostate-specific antigen (PSA), 75
  Prophyplaque, 197
  Prosthesis, 201
  Prothrombin complex concentrates, 207
  Radiation, 271–272
  Radiotherapy, 272–274
  Radiculitis, 46
  Radiculopathy, 245–247
  Raloxifene, 107
  Replacement, 305
  Reticulum, 262
  Recurrent aphthous stomatitis (RAS), 87
  causes, 88
  treatment, 89–90, 89
  Removal, 121
  Removable acrylic dentures, 257, 258
  Removable appliances, 26, 240, 241
  Removable prostheses, 201
  Reorganized approach, 290
  Reflux, gastric acid, 286, 287
  Referral, letters of, 257
  Referred pain, 131
  Reflux, gastric acid, 286, 287
  Refractory periodontitis, 243–247
  Referred pain, 312
  Reimplant, tooth, 119, 121
  Removable acrylic dentures, 257, 258
  Removable appliances, 26, 240, 241
  Removable prostheses, 201
  Reorganized approach, 290
  Replacement resorption, 70
  Report, incident, 149
  Reporting of Injuries, Disease and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations (1995), 149
  ‘Research Diagnostic Criteria’ (RDC) system, 38
  Resistance to change, 196
  Resorption, 77
  causes, 78, 78
  features, 78, 79
  types of, 78–79
  Restorations
  materials, 2, 3, 4, 45
  quality of, 200
  Resuscitation Council UK, 16
  Retruded position, dentures, 111
  Rett’s syndrome, 196
  Ritalin, 196
  Root canal treatment, 156–157, 222, 223, 224, 227
  Root fillings, 54, 310, 310
  Root fracture, 77
  Root fragments, 68, 82, 83, 85
  Root morphology, 224
  Root-resected teeth, 181
  Rotary instruments, 309
  Rubber dam, 44
  Ruminant, 267
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S
Saliva, 301–302
flow rate, 34
obstruction, investigations, 303
Salivary gland
disease, HIV-associated, 280
diagnosis, 304
enlargement, 297
neoplasm
benign, 261–262
malignant, 262
swelling, 36
drug-induced, 302
Pacomas, 74
Kaposis, 277, 278
Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines
Network, 207
Sedation see General anaesthesia
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, 196
Self-mutilation, 197
Sensation tests, 72
Serology, triamus, 40
Sialadenitis, 302
Sialoliths, 305
Sialosis, 302
Sialograms
Sialodochitis, 305
Sialadenitis, 302
Sialogrants
Sjögren’s syndrome, 33, 34
Sinus
Tuberous sclerosis, 196
Sore mouth, 159–162
differential, 162
examination, 159–160
history, 159
investigations, 160–162, 161
Tuberculosis, 92
Tumours
odontogenic, 9, 50, 251
size, 270
squamot odontogenic, 245

T
Tachycardia, 207
Temperature, body, 132
Temporal (giant cell) arteritis, 312, 313
Temporal mandibular joint (TMJ)
clicking, 38–39, 39
dysfunction, 311, 312
Tennis, 68
Tissue injury, 97
Thyroid carcinoma, 74
Toothache, 293–295, 312
investigations, 293–294
history, 293
infections, 293–294
see also Facial swelling with toothache
Toothbrushing, 177
gingival health and, 19
habits, 198
Tobacco, 282–287, 289–292, 308
diagnosis, 287, 290
examination, 285, 285, 289, 289
history, 285, 289
investigations, 286, 290
Trenchbone, 207
Trauma
life support, 16
pain and, 197
trauma and, 67
ulceration, 87
Trigeminal neuralgia, 53, 311
Trismus, 37–41, 151, 232
causes, 37–38
defined, 37
differential diagnosis, 37–39
examination, 37, 37
history, 37
investigations, 39–40, 40
treatment, 40–41
Trisomy 21 see Down’s syndrome
Tricut biopsy, 263
Tuberculosis, 92
Tuberous sclerosis, 196
Tumours
odontogenic, 9, 50, 251
size, 270
squamot odontogenic, 245

U
Ulcers see Mouth ulcers
Ultrasound
neck swelling, 301, 303, 304
vibration, 309
Ultraviolet (UV) light, 126
United Nations Convention on the Rights
of the Child (1989), 171
Urine glucose test, 179
Uricarial rash, 13, 13

V
Varicella zoster, 132
Vasovagal attack, 99, 173
Veneers, porcelain, 127, 128, 287
Verbal communication, 195
Vertical dimension, 110, 110, 111
assessment, 290
Vesiculobullous conditions, 192
Vitality tests
abutment teeth, 200
caries, 1, 44
dentigerous cysts, 251
incisors, 96, 184, 236
limitations, 220
palatal swelling and, 262
pulp, 220
Vitamin deficiencies, 88
Vitamin K, 206, 207

W
Warfarin, 203, 205, 206, 207
Wear see Toothwear

WHARFE (mnemonic), 115
White patches see Tongue, white patch on
Wide-needle biopsy, 263
Willis bite gauge, 110
Winter’s lines technique, 115, 115
World Health Organization (WHO), 136

X
X-rays, 135–138
digital radiography, 138
dosage, 135
film reject analysis, 137–138, 137, 138
guiding principles, 135–136
history, 135
regulations, 138

Z
Zygomatic arch, 183

risks, 135
see also Radiographs
Xerostomia, false, 33, 34
see also Dry mouth